



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

### About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>



US 12680.10.6 C



HARVARD  
COLLEGE  
LIBRARY





THE  
HISTORY OF NEW ENGLAND

FROM

1630 TO 1649.

BY

JOHN WINTHROP, ESQ.

FIRST GOVERNOUR OF THE COLONY OF THE MASSACHUSETTS BAY.

FROM

HIS ORIGINAL MANUSCRIPTS.

WITH NOTES

TO ILLUSTRATE

THE CIVIL AND ECCLESIASTICAL CONCERNS, THE GEOGRAPHY, SETTLEMENT, AND INSTITUTIONS OF THE COUNTRY, AND THE LIVES  
AND MANNERS OF THE PRINCIPAL PLANTERS.

BY JAMES SAVAGE,

PRESIDENT OF THE MASSACHUSETTS HISTORICAL SOCIETY.

A NEW EDITION,

WITH ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS BY THE FORMER EDITOR.

VOL. II.

---

Sepe audivi, Q. Maximum, P. Scipionem, praetera civitatis nostre praelatos viros, solitos ita dicere,  
cum majorum imagines intuerentur, vehementissime sibi animum ad virtutem accendi.

*Sallust, Bell. Jugurth. c. iv.*

---

BOSTON:  
LITTLE, BROWN AND COMPANY.

M DCCC LIII.

US12680,10,6

~~A 345~~ v C

Am #2118

1794, April 27.

PARLIAMENT LIBRARY,  
LONDON, ENGLAND.

Gift of  
G. B. Leighton,  
of St. Louis.

TRANSFERRED TO  
HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY  
AUG 22 1936

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1858, by  
LITTLE, BROWN AND COMPANY,  
in the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

C A M B R I D G E :  
ALLEN AND FARNHAM, PRINTERS.

THE  

---

**HISTORY OF NEW ENGLAND.**

1640.

(3.) 13.] THE court of elections was at Boston, and <sup>\*3</sup> Thomas Dudley, Esq., was chosen governour. Some trouble there had been in making way for his election, and it was obtained with some difficulty; for many of the elders labored much in it, fearing lest the long continuance of one man in the place should bring it to be for life, and, in time, hereditary. Beside, this gentleman was a man of approved wisdom and godliness, and of much good service to the country, and therefore it was his due to ||share|| in such honor and benefit as the country had to bestow. The elders, being met at Boston about this matter, sent some of their company to acquaint the old governour with their desire, and the reasons moving them, clearing themselves of all dislike of his government, and seriously professing their sincere affections and respect towards him, which he kindly and thankfully accepted, concurring with them in their motion, and expressing his unfeigned desire of more freedom, that he might a little intend his private occasions, wherein (they well knew) how much he had lately suffered (for his bailiff, whom he trusted with managing his farm, had engaged him £2500 without his privity) in his outward estate.<sup>1</sup> This they had heard of, and were much affected there-

||serve||

---

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix to this volume, I. 2. for a notice of this misfortune, which caused a change in the author's will.

with, and all the country in general, and took course, (the elders agreeing upon it at that meeting,) that supply should be sent in from the several towns, by a voluntary contribution, for freeing of those engagements; and the court (having no money to bestow, and being yet much indebted) gave his wife three thousand acres of land, and some of the towns sent in liberally, and some others promised, but could perform but little, and the most nothing at all. The whole came  $\text{£}500$  whereof near half came from Boston, and one gentleman of Newbury, Mr. Richard Dummer, propounded for a supply by a more private way, and for example, himself disbursed  $\text{£}100$ .<sup>1</sup>

This first court there fell some difference between the governour and some of the deputies about a vote, upon a motion to have the fine of  $\text{£}200$  imposed upon Mr. Robert Keaine to be abated. Some would have had it at  $\text{£}100$ , — others at 100 || marks, || others at 50, and because the governour put the lowest to the vote first, whereas divers called for the highest, they charged the governour with breach of order, whereupon he grew into some heat, professing that he would not suffer such things, etc. The deputies took this as a menacing, and much offence they took at it; but the next day he cleared his intention to them, and all was quiet.<sup>2</sup>

[Blank.]

|| mills ||

<sup>1</sup> This unexampled liberality to Winthrop, in his distress, is a more satisfactory proof of the high estimation, in which he stood, than could be afforded by the most elaborate eloquence of eulogy. But the generosity of Dummer is above all praise. His contribution is fifty per cent. above the whole tax of his town, and equal to half the benevolence of the whole metropolis; yet he had been a sufferer under the mistaken views of Winthrop, and the other triumphant sound religionists, as set forth in our note on Vol. I. 248.

At this court, a rate of  $\text{£}1200$  was agreed on for the year in these proportions: Boston,  $\text{£}179$ ; Ipswich,  $\text{£}120$ ; Salem,  $\text{£}115$ ; Cambridge,  $\text{£}100$ ; Dorchester,  $\text{£}95$ ; Charlestown and Watertown, each,  $\text{£}90$ ; Lynn,  $\text{£}85$ ; Roxbury,  $\text{£}75$ ; Newbury,  $\text{£}65$ ; Concord,  $\text{£}50$ ; Hingham,  $\text{£}35$ ; Dedham,  $\text{£}30$ ; Braintree,  $\text{£}25$ ; Weymouth,  $\text{£}21$ ; Colchester,  $\text{£}15$ ; Hampton,  $\text{£}10$ . But it was found, by the depreciation of estates, at a subsequent court, that the change rendered it impossible to collect a large portion of the tax.

<sup>2</sup> That Dudley was brother-in-law of the delinquent, and that three fifths of

Mo. 4.] Divers of the inhabitants of Linne, finding themselves straitened, looked out for a new plantation, and going to Long Island, they agreed with the Lord Sterling's agent there, one Mr. Forrett,<sup>1</sup> for a parcel of the isle near the west end, and

---

the fine was abated, appears in Vol. I. 314, in note. Six or seven years later, Benjamin Keayne, husband of Dudley's daughter, Sarah, being in London, repudiated his wife, writing to her father, and his spiritual guides, Wilson and Cotton, in terms of the strongest reprobation of her conduct. These letters are preserved in our first volume of Registry of Deeds, where one would hardly look for such scandal; but it is so disgusting, that I must leave them, with the character of the lady, in oblivion.

Wilson was a relative of K. and his son, Rev. John of Medfield, gave evidence, on 11 February, 1675, as to bestowal of 500 acres, on John Mansfield, by his *aunt* Anna, relict of K. Before 1652 Sarah K. obtained a new husband, one Pasy. It was, I think, only a match of convenience, procured by her friends; and her father, the Governour, calls her by that name, when he provides in his will the featherbed, rug, and two blankets of the worser sort for her. She died, very poor, 1659, at Roxbury.

<sup>1</sup> Either Forrett, whose name is strangely *printed* in Hubbard, 245, had not granted leave to settle without some conditions, or the people from Lynn had, in his opinion, violated their engagements; for he came, next year, to Boston, and made a very formal protest against their proceedings in these words:—

“ Know all men by these presents, that whereas Edward Tomlyns and Timothy Tomlyns, together with one Hansard Knowles and others, have lately entered and taken possession of some part of the Long Island in New England, which was formerly granted by the letters patent of our sovereign lord, king Charles, to the right honorable William, Earl of Stirling, and his heirs,—I, James Forrett, gentleman, by virtue of a commission under the hand and seal of the said earl to me made, for the disposing and ordering of the said Long Island, do hereby protest and intimate, as well to the said Edward Tomlyns and others the said intruders, as to all others, whom it may concern, that neither they, nor any of them, nor any other person or persons (not claiming by or from the said earl) have, or shall have, or enjoy any lawful right, title, or possession of, in, or to the said island, or any part thereof, but that the said earl, his heirs or assigns may, and will at all times, when they please, implead or eject either by course of law, or lawful force, if need be, all the said intruders, their servants, tenants, or assigns, and may and will recover against them, and every of them, all damages and costs in this behalf sustained, any color of title or pretence of right by grant from the governour of New England, or any other, notwithstanding. In testimony whereof, I have made and published this protest and intimation before John Winthrop, one of the magistrates and council of the Massachusetts in New England aforesaid, and have desired, that the same may be recorded there and in other jurisdiction in those parts, and have published and showed the same to the said Edward Tomlyns, in the presence of

\*5 agreed with the Indians for their right.<sup>1</sup> The Dutch, hearing of this, and making claim to that part of the island by \*6 a former purchase of the Indians, sent men to take possession of the place, and set up the arms of the Prince of Orange upon a tree. The Linne men sent ten or twelve men with provisions, etc., who began to build, and took down the prince's arms,<sup>2</sup> and, in place thereof, an Indian had drawn an unhandsome face. The Dutch took this in high displeasure, and sent

---

the witnesses under named. Dated at Boston, 28 day of the 7 month, An. Dom. 1641, an Regis Domini nostri Caroli Angliæ, etc., decimo septimo."

"The above named James Forrett, did make this protestation the 28 of the said month in the year aforesaid, at Boston, in the Massachusetts aforesaid. Before me,

JOHN WINTHROP."

It is a little singular, that, in the volume of our Records, the signature of Winthrop is an original. But it is more strange, that no notice of this solemn instrument is found in Wood's Sketch of the settlement of Long Island, or any other book, to my knowledge.

Edward Tomlyns had been, it will be remembered, representative in the first court for Lynn, and afterwards served in September, 1635, and September, 1639. Timothy, who may be supposed his brother, was deputy in eleven courts, between March, 1634-5, and May, 1640.

<sup>1</sup> Trumbull's account, I. 119, is not very satisfactory, though it assists our topography: "Capt. Howe and other Englishmen, in behalf of Connecticut, purchased a large tract of the Indians, the original proprietors on Long Island. This tract extended from the eastern part of Oyster Bay to the western part of Home's or Holme's Bay to the middle of the great plain. It lay on the northern part of the island, and extended southward about half its breadth. Settlements were immediately begun upon the lands, and by the year 1642 had made considerable advancement." Some mistake of the reverend historian is here observable; for, in coincidence with Winthrop, he mentions, on the third page after, the breaking up of this settlement, and imprisoning some, and driving the rest away. I doubt, also, that the purchase was not made *in behalf of Connecticut*. Trumbull takes no notice of the negotiation with Lord Sterling's agent. He has, besides, forgotten to mention the English afterwards setting down on the eastern point of the island, nearly a hundred miles from their former habitation. Thinking only of the wrongs of Connecticut, he carelessly took a very brief statement of the commissioners of the United Colonies from Hazard, II. 164. But the right appears to me to have been on the side of the Dutch.

<sup>2</sup> Lechford, 44, says, "Lieut. Howe pulled down the Dutch arms." His name was Daniel, and he was deputy for Lynn, I think, in the courts, May and September, 1636; in April, May, September, and November, 1637.

soldiers and fetched away their men, and imprisoned them a few days, and then took an oath of them [blank] and so discharged them.<sup>1</sup> Upon this the Linne men (finding themselves too weak, and having no encouragement to expect aid from the English) || deserted || that place, and took another at the east end of the same island; and, being now about forty families, they proceeded in their plantation, and called one Mr. Pierson,<sup>2</sup> a godly learned man, and a member of the church of Boston, to go with them, who with some seven or eight more of the company gathered (9) into a church body at Linne, (before they went,) and the whole company entered into a civil combination (with the advice of some of our magistrates) to become a corporation.

Upon this occasion, the Dutch governour, one William Kyste, (a discreet man,) wrote to our governour complaint of the English usurpations, both at Connecticut, and now also at Long Island, and of the abuse offered to the Prince's arms, etc., and thereupon excused his imprisoning our men. To which the governour returned answer, ("in Latin, his letter being in the same,") that our

|| desisted ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Wood, in his Sketch of Long Island, 9, says, in a note, "13 May, 1640, Gov. Kieft sent Cornelius Van Ten Hoven, the secretary, the under sheriff, a sergeant and twenty-five soldiers, to Scout's Bay, to break up a settlement of the English, who had torn down the state's arms, and carved a fool's head on the tree. The party set out the 14th, and returned the 15th. They found a company of eight men, and a woman with an infant, who had erected one house, and were engaged in erecting another. The party brought six of the men to the governour. On examination, it appeared, that they came from Lynn, near Boston, under Andrew Forrester, a Scotchman, agent for Lord Stirling, who had returned to New Haven. After they had been examined, and signed an agreement to leave the place, they were dismissed." Andrew Forrester is an odd perversion of James Forrett.

<sup>2</sup> Rev. Abraham Pierson, after serving these settlers at Southampton, removed, in 1644, to Branford in New Haven colony, whence he departed in 1665, for Newark in New Jersey. He was of Trinity College, Cambridge, where I saw his subscription to King James's Articles, on taking his degree of A. B. in January, 1632-3. His son, of the same name, graduated at Harvard College, 1668, became the first ruler of the college at New Haven. See Trumbull, the Biographical Dictionaries, and a chapter in Mather, that contains very little, except what is taken from Winthrop.

My desire had always been to hold peace and good correspondence with all our neighbors; and though we would not maintain any of our countrymen in any unjust action, yet we might not suffer them to be injured, etc. As for our neighbors of Connecticut, etc., he knew they were not under our government, and for those at Long Island, they went voluntarily from us, etc.

This year there came over great store of provisions, both out of England and Ireland, and but few passengers, (and those brought very little money,) which was occasioned by the store of money and quick markets, which the merchants found here the two or three years before, so as now all our money was drained from us, and cattle and all commodities grew very cheap, which enforced us at the next general court, in the 8th month, to make an order, that corn should pass in payments of new debts; Indian at 4s. the bushel; rye at 5s., and wheat at 6s.; and that, upon all executions for former debts, the creditor might take what goods he pleased, (or, if he had no goods, then his lands,) to be appraised by three men, one chosen by the creditor, one by the debtor, and the third by the marshal.<sup>1</sup>

One of the ships, which came this summer, struck upon a

---

<sup>1</sup> It seems best here to give the transcript from our Records, I. 291, "Whereas many men in the plantation are in debt, and here is not money sufficient to discharge the same, though their cattle and goods should be sold for half their worth, as experience has showed upon some late executions, whereby a great part of the people in the country may be undone, and yet their debts not satisfied, though they have sufficient, upon an equal valuation, to pay all, and live comfortably upon the rest; It is therefore ordered, that upon every execution of debts past, the officer shall take land, houses, corn, cattle, fish, or other commodities, and deliver the same, in full satisfaction to the creditor, at such prices as the same shall be valued at by three understanding and indifferent men, to be chosen, the one by the creditor, and another by the debtor, and the third by the marshal. And the creditor is at liberty to take his choice of what goods he will, and if he hath not sufficient goods to discharge it, then he is to take his house, or land, as aforesaid." Our great legal antiquary, in tracing the mode of levy on land from this *peculiar* law, begins in 1647, and has not gone so far back as its origin. See Dane's Gen. Abr. and Dig. of Am. Law, ch. 136, art. 14, Vol. V. 23. The morals of our people did not suffer by the short continuance of this regulation, as far as chattels are concerned. The necessity will not justify, however, their policy.

whale with a full gale, which put the ship a stays ; the whale struck the ship on her bow, with her tail a little above water, and brake the planks and six timbers and a beam, and staved two hogsheads of vinegar.

(7.) There was some rumor of the Indians plotting mischief against the English ; and, to strengthen this, the governour of Plimouth, a Mr. Bradford, wrote a letter to this effect : that <sup>8</sup> he was informed, (and did believe it,) that the Naragansett sachem, Miantunnomoh, had sent a great present of wampum to the Mohawks, to aid him against the English, and that it was accepted, and aid promised. The like news was brought by Mr. Haynes, one of the magistrates upon Connecticut, and many words were taken up from some Indians among us, which our fears interpreted the same way. The governour and council gave no great credit to these suspicions, yet they thought fit to take order, strengthening the watches in all towns, and causing them to be ordered by the military officers, (being before committed to the constables' charge,) and withal sent Capt. Jenyson with three men and an Indian interpreter to the Naragansett sachems, to know the truth of their intentions, etc. They were very kindly entertained, but they would not speak with him in the presence of his Indian interpreter, § because he was a Pequod, and a servant, and their enemy, and might discover their councils. So he made use of another interpreter.<sup>§1</sup> They denied all confederations with the Mohawks, etc., and professed their purpose to continue friendship with us, and not to use any hostility towards the English, except they began, etc., and promised to come to Boston (as he was desired) if Mr. Williams might come with him, (but that we had denied). Only Janemoh, the Niantick sachem, carried himself proudly, and refused to come to us, or to yield to any thing, only he said he would not harm us, except we invaded him.<sup>2</sup>

---

Ancient Charters and Laws, p. 173, compiled by the same laborious student, has an error in the date, August, instead of October, which, no doubt, arose from the numeral of the month, 8th, being used in the record. August was the sixth month.

<sup>1</sup> This is a fine example of those errors of omission in the first printed copy, as in Vol. I 148, is accounted for.

<sup>2</sup> No information on the matter of this paragraph can be derived from

The governour and council took from Cutshamekin the powder and shot they had bought of our people, with promise to pay for it, or restore it, etc.

This summer there came divers godly men, as they pretended, from || Christophers || with their families. The occasion was, one Mr. Collins,<sup>1</sup> a young scholar, full of zeal, etc., preaching in the island, it pleased God, divers were wrought upon by

him, but he and they being || <sup>2</sup>persecuted,|| and their liberty restrained, they came away, and brought all their substance in tobacco, which came at so dead a market, as they could not get above two pence the pound (the freight came to one penny, || <sup>3</sup>observe,||) nor could sell half at that rate. They arrived first at Quiliack, (since called New Haven,) and so dispersed themselves here and there, and some returned to Ireland. Mr. Collins and one Mr. Hales<sup>2</sup> (a young man very well conceited of himself and censorious of others) went to Aquiday, and so soon as Hales came acquainted with Mrs. Hutchinson, he was taken by her and became her disciple. Mr. Collins was entertained at Hartford to teach a school, and hearing of Mrs. Hutchinson's opinions, etc., wrote to Mr. Hales to beware of her. Mr. Hales returned him answer, and the next morning he went away, without taking leave, and being come to Mrs. Hutchinson, he was also taken with her heresies, and in great admiration of her, so as these, and other the like before, when she dwelt in Boston, gave cause of suspicion of witchcraft, for it

|| papers ||

|| <sup>2</sup>presented ||

|| <sup>3</sup>the pound ||

---

Morton's Memorial, where we should naturally seek it, and very little from the historian of Connecticut; nor do the Records of his colony give light on it.

<sup>1</sup> Relative to this gentleman, no illumination has dawned upon me from any quarter, beyond what is contained in this narrative of the tyrannical proceedings against him, in the following year, to which it may be reasonably suspected that his marriage of a daughter of Mrs. Hutchinson was as strong an inducement as the letter written by him, then made ground of complaint. Slight addition to our acquaintance with him is gained from Hubbard, 341, in a parenthesis; he had been a hopeful professor, and preacher, also, privately, at Gloucester, in England. His unfortunate death, in 1643, was of course viewed as a judicial punishment, like that of those on whom the tower of Siloam fell.

<sup>2</sup> I have been as unsuccessful in seeking information about this person, as about the scholar whom he seduced from Hartford.

was certainly known, that Hawkins's wife (who continued with her, and was her bosom friend) had much familiarity with the devil in England, when she dwelt at St. Ives, where divers ministers and others resorted to her and found it true.

This summer here arrived one Mr. Thomas Gorge,<sup>1</sup> a young gentleman of the inns of court, a kinsman of Sir Ferdinand Gorge, and sent by him with commission for the government of his province of Somersetshire. He was sober and well disposed; he staid a few days at Boston, and was very careful to take advice of our magistrates how to manage his affairs, etc. When he came to Acomenticus, <sup>\*10</sup>now called Bristol, he found all out of order, for Mr. Burdett ruled all, and had let loose the reigns of liberty to his lusts, that he grew very notorious for his pride and adultery; and the neighbors now finding Mr. Gorge well inclined to reform things, they complained of him, and produced such foul matters against him, as he was laid hold on, and bound to appear at their court at Sacoe: but he dealt so with some other of the commissioners, that, when the court came, Mr. Vines<sup>2</sup> and two more stood for him, but

---

<sup>1</sup> For his labors the province of Maine is under high obligation to Thomas Gorges, who resided three years, not *about* two, as Belk. Biog. I. 385, has it, in that part of our country. Hutchinson, Coll. 114, where is preserved a letter to our Winthrop of 28 June, 1643, calls him son of Sir Ferdinando, but the charter from the patentee names him cousin. He writes, in that letter, he supposes he shall soon go for England in a ship then lying there, but seems not certain. After that, nothing is heard of him, except his grant, on 14 July following, of the township of Wells, 1 Hist. Coll. III. 138. His commission to be of the council of Maine, and secretary of that board, dated 10 March, 1639, that is 1640, N. S., immediately before he came over, is copied from the York Records into Sullivan's Maine Apx. VI. It recites, that in September last his kinsman had given a commission, which Sullivan prints next, as if, because bearing date 2 September, 1639, it were subsequent, though really six months earlier. Similar errors are often committed by writers of more care than that distinguished gentleman, through failure of recollecting the ancient computation, persevered in by English protestants of that and four succeeding ages refusing to adopt the Gregorian style.

<sup>2</sup> Richard Vines, Esquire, had been employed by Sir F. Gorges, according to Belknap, a long time before the settlement at Plimouth; and from the continuance of his office in Maine, we may be confident, he deserved the approbation of his superiors. He lived at Winter Harbor, near the Saco. Sullivan, 218, 224. Power to him and six others to be of the council was given by the com-

Mr. Gorge having the greater party on his side, and the jury finding him guilty of adultery and other crimes, with much labor and difficulty he was fined (under £30). He appealed unto England, but Mr. Gorge would not admit his appeal, but seized some of his cattle, etc. Upon this Mr. Burdett went into England, but when he came there he found the state so changed, as his hopes were frustrated, and he, after taking part with the cavaliers, was committed to prison.<sup>1</sup>

[Blank.]

One Baker, master's mate of the ship [blank,] being in drink, used some reproachful words of the queen.<sup>2</sup> The governour <sup>\*11</sup> and council were much in doubt what to do with him, but having considered that he was distempered and sorry for

---

mission, mentioned in the last note, which may be seen in Haz. I. 458 ; when Gorges was added, there were eight. So that Vines and two others standing for Burdett, five would have been against him. Sullivan says, that, on account of his adherence to the king, it was inconvenient for Vines to remain in America after 1645. But in that year he was chosen deputy governour, that is, I presume, chief magistrate, of the province, for the proprietary governour was in England. Two letters from him, of 19 July, 1647, and 29 April, 1648, to our Gov. Winthrop, preserved in Hutch. Coll. 222, 3, show he was well settled at Barbados, and, besides the profit of his plantation, gained by the practice of medicine. By them his regard for our country appears too great to permit us to suppose him to have been a high royalist.

<sup>1</sup> A strange error is found in Sullivan, 238. Of York he says, " we do not find that they ever had a preacher there under the government of Gorges. In the year 1660, one Burdett, who had been expelled from Exeter, for misdemeanors, became a preacher, etc." Yet on the next page he copies from our author's text the whole preceding paragraph, with its date. The circumstances, under which his history was composed, were very adverse to accuracy, and the author was constantly engaged in more important labors.

Burdett, who had been a minister in the fine living of Yarmouth, Co. Norfolk, came to Salem, and there two years exercised in the place of Roger Williams. My extracts from Maine Records, for 1640, show, that he was fined, on three convictions, for gross misconduct, £10, £5, and £20, severally.

<sup>2</sup> Had his reproaches been uttered against our magistrates or churches, perhaps his punishment would have been heavier. It will not escape observation, that drunkenness was received as a mitigation of the offence, and perhaps our ancestors were right in such judgment; but we must remember, that the state of intoxication was of itself punishable. Could we approach nearer to their sanctity of manners, our popular maxim, that such a circumstance is an aggravation, might justly bear a qualification, if not a reversal.

it, etc., and being a stranger and a chief officer in the ship, and many ships were then in harbor, they thought it not fit to inflict corporal punishment upon him, but after he had been two or three days in prison, he was set an hour at the whipping post with a paper on his head, and so dismissed.

Mo. 5. 27.] Being the second day of the week, the Mary Rose, a ship of Bristol, of about 200 tons, her master one Capt. [blank,] lying before Charlton, was blown in pieces with her own powder, being 21 barrels; wherein the judgment of God appeared, for the master and company were many of them profane scoffers at us, and at the ordinances of religion here; so as, our churches keeping a fast<sup>1</sup> for our native country, etc., they kept aboard, at their common service, when all the rest of the masters came to our assemblies; likewise the Lord's day following; and a friend of his going aboard next day and asking him, why he came not on shore to our meetings, his answer was, that he had a family of his own, etc., and they had as good service aboard as we had on shore. Within two hours after this (being about dinner time) the powder took fire (no man knows how) and blew all up, viz. the captain and nine or ten of his men, and some four or five strangers. There was a special providence that there were no more, for many principal men were going aboard at that time, and some were in a boat near the ship, and others were diverted by a sudden || shower || of rain, and others by other occasions. There was one man saved, being carried up in the scuttle, and so let fall in the same into the water, and being taken up by the ferry boat, near dead, he came to himself the next morning, but could not tell any thing of the blowing up of the ship, or how he came there. The rest of the dead bodies were after found, much bruised and broken. Some goods were saved, but the whole loss was estimated at £2,000. A 20s. piece was found sticking in a chip, for there was above £300 in money in her, and 15 tons of lead, and 10 pieces of ordnance, which a year after were taken up, and the hull of the ship drawn ashore.<sup>2</sup>

|| storm ||

<sup>1</sup> Thursday, 28 July.

<sup>2</sup> That Hubbard, who transcribes from our text whole pages of less remark.

\*12 This judgment of God upon these scorers of his ordinances and the ways of his servants (for they spake very evil of us, because they found not so good a market for their commodities as they expected, etc.) gives occasion to mention other examples of like kind, which fell out at this and other times, by which it will appear how the Lord hath owned this work, and preserved and prospered his people here beyond ordinary ways of providence.

One Capt. Mason of London, a man in favor at court, and a professed enemy to us, had a plantation at Pascataquack; which he was at great charge about, and set up a sawmill, but nothing prospered. He provided a ship, which should have been employed to have brought || a || general governour, or in some other design to our prejudice, but in launching of it, her back was broken. He also employed Gardiner, and Morton, and others, to prosecute against us at council table, and by a quo warranto, etc., so as Morton wrote divers letters to his friends here, insulting against us, and assuring them of our speedy ruin, etc. But the Lord still || \*disappointed || them, and frustrated all their designs. As for this || \*Mason,|| he fell sick and died soon after, and in his sickness he sent for the minister, and bewailed his enmity against us, and promised, if he recovered, to be as great a friend to New England as he had formerly been an enemy.

Sir Ferdinand Gorge also had sided with our adversaries against us, but underhand, pretending by his letters and speeches to seek our welfare; but he never prospered. He attempted great matters, and was at large expenses about his province here, but he lost all.

One Austin<sup>1</sup> (a man of good estate) came with his family in  
 || the ||                    || \*disapproved ||                    || \*Morton ||

---

able circumstances, has omitted this casualty, which is the most striking recorded by Winthrop, is the more curious, since it was ascribed, in the erroneous temper of the age, to a judgment of God; and the Ipswich historian partially extracts the next following paragraph about Mason. Johnson, lib. III. c. 9, slightly mentions the destruction of the ship.

<sup>1</sup> A family of the same name has long been very respectable in the city of New Haven, or its neighborhood; but of this sufferer I can learn nothing more, than his fault in being discontented with the country, and returning home. We

the year 1638 to Quinipiack, and not finding the country as he expected, he grew discontented, saying that he could not subsist here, and thereupon made off his estate, and with his family and £1000 in his purse, he returned for England in a ship bound for Spain, against the advice of the godly there, who told him he would be taken by the Turks ; and it so fell out, for in Spain he embarked himself in a great ship bound for \*13 England which carried £200,000 in money, but the ship was taken by the Turks, and Austin and his wife and family were carried to Algiers, and sold there for slaves.<sup>1</sup>

The Lord showed his displeasure against others, though godly, who have spoken ill of this country, and so discouraged the hearts of his people ; even the lords and others of Providence having spoken too much in that kind, thinking thereby to further their own plantation. They set out a ship the last year with passengers and goods for Providence, but it was taken by the Turks. Captain Newman, the same year, having taken good prizes in their service, returning home, when he was near Dover, was taken by a Dunkirker, and all lost. Mr. Humfrey, who was now for Providence with his company, raised an ill report of this country, were here kept, in spite of all their endeavors and means to have been gone this winter, and his corn and all his hay to the value of £160 were burnt by his own servants,<sup>2</sup> who made a fire in his barn, and by gunpowder, which

---

may safely disagree with our author's judgment, that so slight an error deserved so heavy an infliction.

<sup>1</sup> Here ends the perfect text of the second venerable MS. of the author, which began in my Vol. I p. 197. On the morning of the 10th November, 1825, the original was destroyed by fire, and my copy, on which the labor of collation, equally faithful and pleasant, had been bestowed by me, three times, in different years, was also lost. Another copy, designed for the printers, shared the same fate, except that the few pages foregoing, having been sent to the press, were preserved. From this place to the end of the second volume of the original MS., the boast of a pure text, with correction of the grosser errors denoted in the margin, and supplying of omissions in the former edition, must be abandoned. In some few places my memory preserves what the destructive element ravished from my possession ; but I shall be cautious of trusting so frail a resource. Nearly all my notes are preserved for that part of the present volume, which had been printed in 1790.

<sup>2</sup> From our Coll. Rec. I. 295, at the Quarter Court of Assistants, 1 November

accidentally took fire, consumed all; himself having at the court before petitioned for some supply of his want, whereupon the court gave him £250. Soon after also Providence was taken by the Spaniards, and the lords lost all their care and cost to the value of above £60,000.

Mo. 7. 3.] Captain Underhill being brought, by the blessing of God in this church's censure of excommunication, to remorse for his foul sins, obtained, by means of the elders, and others of the church of Boston, a safe conduct under the hand of the governour and one of the council to repair to the church. He \*14 came at the time of the court of assistants, and upon the lecture day, after sermon, the pastor called him forth and declared the occasion, and then gave him leave to speak: and indeed it was a spectacle which caused many weeping eyes, though it afforded matter of much rejoicing to behold the power of the Lord Jesus in his own ordinances, when they are dispensed in his own way, holding forth the authority of his regal sceptre in the simplicity of the gospel. He came in his worst clothes (being accustomed to take great pride in his bravery and neatness) without a band, in a foul linen cap pulled close to his eyes; and standing upon a form, he did, with many deep sighs and abundance of tears, lay open his wicked course, his adultery, his hypocrisy, his persecution of God's people here, and especially his pride (as the root of all, which caused God to give him over to his other sinful courses) and contempt of the magistrates. He justified God and the church and the court in all that had been inflicted on him. He declared what power Satan had of him since the casting out of the church; how his presumptuous laying hold of mercy and pardon, before God gave it, did then fail him when the terrors of God came upon him, so as he could have no rest, nor could see any issue but utter despair, which had put him divers times upon resolutions of destroying himself, had not the Lord in mercy prevented him, even when his sword was ready to have done the execu-

---

following, the blame of the negligence appears to be cast on *one*, for it stands: "Henry Stevens for firing the barn of his master, Mr. John Humfrey, he was ordered to be servant to Mr. Humfrey for 21 years from this day, toward recompensing the loss."

tion. Many fearful temptations he met with beside, and in all these his heart shut up in hardness and impenitency as the bondslave of Satan, till the Lord, after a long time and great afflictions, had broken his heart, and brought him to humble himself before him night and day with prayers and tears till his strength was wasted ; and indeed he appeared as a man worn out with sorrow, and yet he could find no peace, therefore he was now come to seek it in this ordinance of God. He spake well, save that his blubbering, etc., interrupted him, and all along he discovered a broken and melting heart, and gave good exhortations to take heed of such vanities and beginnings of evil as had occasioned his fall ; and in the end he earnestly and humbly besought the church to have compassion of him, and to deliver him out of the hands of Satan. So accordingly he was received into the church again ; and after he came into the court (for the general court began soon after) and made confession of his sin against them, etc., and desired pardon, which the court freely granted him, so far as concerned their private judgment. But for his adultery they || would || not pardon that for example's sake, nor would restore him to freedom, though they released his banishment, and declared the former law against adultery to be of no force ; so as there was no law \*15 now to touch his life, for the new law against adultery was made since his fact committed. He confessed also in the congregation, that though he was very familiar with that woman, and had gained her affection, etc., yet she withstood him six months against all his solicitations (which he thought no woman could have resisted) before he could overcome her chastity, but being once overcome, she was wholly at his will. And to make his peace the more sound, he went to her husband (being a cooper) and fell upon his knees before him in the presence of some of the elders and others, and confessed the wrong he had done him, and besought him to forgive him, which he did very freely, and in testimony thereof he sent the captain's wife a token.<sup>1</sup>

|| could ||

---

<sup>1</sup> The tone of this long paragraph, which contains some of the author's best delineation of manners, leads me to doubt, that Winthrop suspected the sincerity

4. 5. 6.] It rained three days and nights together, and the tides were extraordinary high.

Mo. 9.] It is before declared how the church of Boston sent messengers and a letter to their members at Aquiday, and how they refused to hear them, pretending themselves to be no members, being now so far removed. Whereupon the elders and most of the church intended to have cast them out, as refusers to hear the church; but some others desired that the church would write to them once again, which accordingly was done, and the letter drawn by Mr. Cotton, wherein he fully repeated all former proceedings, both of the church and of the court, and justified both, and condemned their errors and disturbance of the peace here, and their remonstrance, and Mr. Wheelwright's sermon, (which formerly, among other his failings, being misled by their subtlety, etc., he had justified and commended,) and showed how the church had been wronged by them.

Miantunnomoh, the sachem of Naragansett, came, and was

---

of Underhill's penitence. We are presented in a note on p. 34 of Wood's Sketch of Long Island, with a more copious account of this adventurer, than I was able to afford in Vol. I. 55, n. 2: "He had served as an officer in the British forces in the Low Countries, in Ireland, and at Cadiz, and he acted a distinguished part in the war with the Pequots, during the years 1636 and 1637. After the termination of that war, he removed to Connecticut, and settled at Stamford. He was a delegate from that town to the general court at New Haven, in 1643, and was appointed an assistant justice there. During that year, he was sent for by the Dutch governour, to take a command in the war which the Dutch were about to commence with the Indians, situated north of the Sound, and west of the Connecticut settlements, which lasted till the summer of 1646, and was terminated by a great battle at Strickland's plain in Horse-neck, in which the Dutch with difficulty obtained the victory. After the conclusion of this war, in which he acted a principal part, he settled at Flushing on Long Island. He had some agency in detecting and exposing the intrigues of the Dutch treasurer with the Indians in 1653. He wrote to the commissioners of the united colonies, tendered them his services, and may have acted under their orders in attacking Fort Neck. In 1665, he was a delegate from the town of Oyster Bay to the assembly held at Hampstead by Goveraour Nicolls, and was appointed by him under-sheriff of the north riding of Yorkshire, or Queens county. In 1667, the Matinecoc Indians gave him 150 acres of land, which has remained in the family ever since, and is now in possession of one of his descendants that bears his name. It is supposed Captain Underhill died in 1672, at Oyster Bay."

met at Dorchester by Captain Gibbons and a guard of twelve musketeers, and well entertained at Roxbury by the governour; but when we came to parley, he refused to treat with us by our Pequod interpreter, as he had done before to Captain Jenyson, and the governour being as resolute as he, refused to use <sup>\*16</sup> any other interpreter, thinking it a dishonor to us to give so much way to them.<sup>1</sup> Whereupon he came from Roxbury to Boston, departing in a rude manner, without showing any respect or sign of thankfulness to the governour for his entertainment, whereof the governour informed the general court, and would show him no countenance, nor admit him to dine at our table, as formerly he had done, till he had acknowledged his failing, etc., which he readily did, so soon as he could be made to understand it, and did speak with our committees and us by a Pequod maid who could speak English perfectly. But it was conceived by some of the court that he kept back such things as he accounted secrets of state, and that he would carry home in his breast, as an injury, the strict terms he was put to both in this, and the satisfaction he was urged to for not observing our custom in matter of manners, for he told us that when our men came to him, they were permitted to use their own fashions, and so he expected the same liberty with us. So as he departed and nothing agreed, only the former articles of peace were read to him and allowed by him with this addition, that if any of his men did set traps in our jurisdiction, etc., they should be liable to satisfy all damages, etc.

Mo. 8.] The elders had moved at a general court before, that the distinction between the two jurisdictions might be set down, that the churches might know their power, and the civil magistrate his. The same had been moved by the magistrates formerly, and now at this court they presented a writing to that effect, to be considered by the court, wherein they declared that the civil magistrate should not proceed against a church member before the church had dealt with him, with some other restraints which the court did not allow of. So the matter was

---

<sup>1</sup> Dudley, if he knew the objection to the use of a Pequod interpreter, made by Miantonomoh two months before, showed more resolution than good policy.

\*17 referred to further consideration, and it appeared, indeed, that divers of the elders did not agree in those points.<sup>1</sup>

At this court Mr. Ezekiel Rogers, pastor of the church in Rowley, being not kindly dealt with, nor justly, as he alleged, concerning the limits of their town, moved for further enlargement for taking in a neck of land upon Merrimack near Cochitawit,<sup>2</sup> for which end they desired their line might run square from Ipswich line. This line was granted, and he said it should satisfy, but within an hour after it was discovered that he was mistaken, and that such a line would not reach the neck, whereupon he came again and confessed his mistake, and still demanded the neck. The court was very doubtful what to do in it, having formerly granted a plantation at Cochitawit, and did not yield his request. Whereupon he pleaded justice, upon some promises of large accommodations, etc., when we desired his sitting down with us, and grew into some passion, so as in departing from the court, he said he would acquaint the elders with it. This behaviour, being menacing, as it was taken, gave just cause of offence to the court, so as he was sent for, not by the officer, but by one of Rowley deputies. Before he came, he wrote to the governour, wherein he confessed his passionate distemper, declared his meaning in those offensive speeches, as that his meaning was that he would propound the case to the elders for advice only about the equity of it, which

---

<sup>1</sup> Nothing could have been proposed, more effectual than the measure advised by some of the elders, to enlarge the clerical power which was already too great. For ecclesiastical delinquencies, indeed, it is proper enough that the church should proceed before the criminal administration interferes, and perhaps such offences should be left only to the church. In England, after excommunication, civil penalties and disabilities are added.

<sup>2</sup> This Indian name of a plantation, afterwards called Andover, is sometimes written Cochituit, and Coojetowick, and more often Cochichawick, and thus it appears in the earliest mention of it by our records. At an adjourned meeting of the general court, Sept. 24, 1634, "it is ordered that the land about Cochichawick shall be reserved for an inland plantation, and that whosoever will go inhabit there shall have three years immunity from all taxes, levies, public charges and services whatsoever, military discipline only excepted." A committee, consisting of Winthrop, Bellingham, and Coddington, was at the same time authorized "to license any that they think meet to inhabit there, and that it shall be lawful for no person to go thither without their consent or the major part of them."

he still defended. This would not be accepted, but the court would have him appear and answer: only they left him to take his own time, so the next day he came, not accompanied with any other of the elders, though many were then in town, and did freely and humbly blame himself for his passionate distemper; and the court knowing that he would not yield from the justice of his cause, (as he apprehended it,) they would not put him upon any temptation, but accepted his satisfaction, \*18 and freely granted what he formerly desired.

A commission had formerly been granted to Mr. Endecott and Mr. Stoughton for joining with the commissioners of Plimouth, who met the second time at Scituate, and there came to a full agreement, which was certified this court, and recorded to this effect: That the bounds should be || that branch || of Conyhassett creek nearest to Scituate, with 60 acres of marsh in the south side.<sup>1</sup>

The scarcity of money made a great change in all commerce. Merchants would sell no wares but for ready money, men could not pay their debts though they had enough, prices of lands and cattle fell soon to the one half and less, yea to a third, and after one fourth part.

Mo. 10. 9.] The church of Watertown ordained Mr. Knolles,<sup>2</sup>  
|| the beach ||

<sup>1</sup> In vol. I. 284, is transcribed what I then thought the earliest notice in our colony records of any inquiry about the bounds of the two jurisdictions; but the Rec. I. 192, at the general court in May, 1637, has the following: "Mr. Timothy Hatherley and Mr. . . . . Tilden, with Mr. William Aspinwall and Joseph Andrews were appointed to view the bounds between us and Plimouth, and make return how they find them lie to both courts." Tilden, Nathaniel, was of Scituate, and a respectable mention of him is made in 2 Hist. Coll. IV. 242.

<sup>2</sup> Both by Eliot and Allen the Rev. John Knowles is overlooked, yet he seems entitled to a place in their works, at least, as much as many who are thus honored. In a later period of this history, his name will occur in connexion with a memorable mission to Virginia, of which an account may be seen in Eliot's Ecol. Hist. 1 Hist. Coll. IX. 46, abbreviated from our author. Johnson has given a fuller relation of the result, Lib. III. c. 11, and in his usual manner, II. c. 15, bestows on Knowles appropriate verses. Hutch. Coll. 447 and 514 contains two letters to Gov. Leverett from Knowles, in London, 1674 and 1677, from which we learn how strongly he continued an attachment to our colony,

a godly man and a prime scholar, pastor, and so they had now two pastors and no teacher, differing from the practice of the other churches, as also they did in their privacy, not giving notice thereof to the neighboring churches, nor to the magistrates, as the common practice was.<sup>1</sup>

\*19 At the court of assistants one Hugh Bewett was banished for holding publicly and maintaining that he was free from original sin and from actual also for half a year before, and that all true christians after [      ] are enabled to live without committing actual sin.<sup>2</sup>

---

and especially to Harvard College. In 3 Hist. Coll. I. 62, 65, are other valuable letters from him. I am dissatisfied, as curious readers often are, with Hubbard 410, 411, for adding nothing to what he borrowed of Winthrop, when he ought to have learned much about one of his brethren in the ministry many years after our author's decease, and had better means than Hutchinson.

<sup>1</sup> Our congregational societies of christians assert the *right* of each body of worshippers to elect and ordain, without any assistance from others, its own pastor, though the fellowship of the churches, as *equal* members of the mystical body, is requested. They design to stand fast in the liberty, which forbids them to call any man on earth master. When a clergyman so distinguished as Phillips, and well acquainted with the discipline of his sect, (see Vol. I. p. 14, n. 1,) received a colleague, we may be confident, no deficiency in the delegation of pastoral duties and privileges was designed or permitted. The fact related in the text confirms my doubt of the very great distinction between the offices of pastor and teacher, Vol. I. p. 31.

<sup>2</sup> This blank had been filled by me, but it is impossible now to recover the exact word or words. The loss is very trifling, as the next note may convince any other reader than myself.

<sup>3</sup> Hubbard, 277, adds to the narrative a profound reflection: "it being justly to be feared, that if he had staid still, he would have made himself, and others too, guilty of more actual sin than his neighbors, (as is ordinarily found by experience of those great pretenders to perfection and holiness)." But in his compilation, part of Bewett's heresy, that all true christians after some exercise of faith may live without actual transgression, is omitted. Emerson, in his history of First Church, 70, has more candidly said: "In this avowal he seemed desirous of gaining no peculiar reputation for sanctity." The names of the jury are given in our Col. Rec. I. 295, and their verdict, "guilty of heresy, and that his person and errors are dangerous for infection of others." The sentence "ordered, that the said Hugh Buet should be gone out of our jurisdiction by the 24th present upon pain of death, and not to return upon pain of being hanged." This was rather milder than common, though removal in December might be uncomfortable. The first named juryman was Edward Rainsford, who was, says Hutchinson, I. 238, brother of the Lord Chief Justice of that name.

15.] A pinnace called the Coach, being in her voyage to New Haven (late Quinipiack) between Salem and Cape Cod, sprang a leak, so as in the morning they found her hold half filled with water; whereupon the seamen and passengers be-took themselves to their skiff, being a very small one, and the wind then growing very high at S. W. Only one Jackson, a godly man and an experienced seaman, would not leave the vessel before he had tried the utmost, so getting them in again, and || laying || the bark upon the contrary side, they fell to getting out the water, which, it pleased God, they overcame, and having a fine fresh gale, they got safe back to Salem.

Mr. Pelham's<sup>1</sup> house in Cambridge took fire in the dead of the night by the chimney. A neighbor's wife hearing some noise among her hens, persuaded her husband to arise, \*20 which, being very cold, he was loth to do, yet through her great importunity he did, and so espied the fire, and came running in his shirt, and had much to do to awake any body, but he got them up at last, and so saved all. The fire being ready to lay hold upon the stairs, they had all been burnt in their chambers, if God had not by his special providence sent help at that very instant.

About this time a pinnace called the Make Shift, (§ so called § because she was built of the wreck of a greater vessel<sup>2</sup> at the  
|| tying ||

---

I have great confidence, usually, in the facts asserted by Gov. H., but here I doubt. An Island in our harbor is called after him. Bewett went to Providence, the common resort of those who had too weak or too strong a faith for Massachusetts. 3 Hist. Coll. I. 4. There, by frequent election, he held important offices.

<sup>1</sup> We can hardly doubt, that this is Herbert Pelham, Esq., the same gentleman mentioned in Vol. I p. 8, n. 1. He had been of the company in England in 1629, Hubbard, 122, and in the common stock of the colony advanced 100 pounds. He was first treasurer of Harvard College, appointed by our government before the charter; and was honored with the offices of assistant and commissioner of the United Colonies. He was of the University of Oxford, matriculated 12 November, 1619, at Magdalen, or, as they call it, Maudlin, Hall. Johnson, Lib. II. c. 18, says Pelham came over in 1639, and pays him a tribute of verse, chiefly valuable for its information, that he went home. Hutchinson makes him of the same family as the Duke of Newcastle in his time.

<sup>2</sup> Cast away in April of the preceding year. See Vol. I p. 305.

Isle of Sable, and by that means the men saved,) being on a voyage to the southward, was cast away upon a ledge of rocks near Long Island, the goods were all lost, but the men were saved. No winter but some vessels have been cast away in that voyage.

About this time there fell out a thing worthy of observation. Mr. Winthrop the younger, one of the magistrates, having many books in a chamber where there was corn of divers sorts, had among them one wherein the Greek testament, the psalms and the common prayer were bound together. He found the common prayer eaten with mice, every leaf of it, and not any of the two other touched, nor any other of his books, though there were above a thousand.<sup>1</sup>

§ Quere, of the child at Cambridge killed by a cat. §

Mo. 8. We received a letter at the general court from the magistrates of Connecticut and New Haven and of Aquiday, wherein they declared their dislike of such as would have the Indians rooted out, as being of the cursed race of || Ham, || and their desire of our mutual accord in seeking to gain them by justice and kindness, and withal to watch over them to prevent any danger by them, etc. We returned answer of our consent <sup>21</sup> with them in all things propounded, only we refused to include those of Aquiday in our answer, or to have any treaty with them.<sup>2</sup>

|| Shem ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Such an anecdote looks too much like superstitious belief in the relater. It is apparently introduced as a pointing from heaven against the service of the Episcopal church, but is susceptible of an harmless explanation: the mice, not liking psalmody, and not understanding Greek, took their food from another part of the volume. Our age will believe that the book, which alone was injured among a thousand, was fortuitously attacked by these humble mischief-makers. The succeeding paragraph, omitted by the former editor, is surely of equal value, whether true or not. If the cat had been in Winthrop's library, she might have prevented the stigma on the common prayer.

<sup>2</sup> By giving the order of court, to which our text refers, I shall not deserve the condemnation of exposing the nakedness of our fathers: "It is ordered, that the letter lately sent to the governour by Mr. Eaton, Mr. Hopkins, Mr. Haynes, Mr. Coddington, and Mr. Brenton, but concerning also the general court, shall be thus answered by the governour, that the court doth assent to all the propositions laid down in the aforesaid letter; but that the answer shall

Mo. 10.] About the end of this month, a fishing ship arrived at Isle of Shoals, and another soon after, and there came no more this season for fishing. They brought us news of the Scots entering into England, and the calling of a parliament, and the hope of a thorough reformation, etc., whereupon some among us began to think of returning back to England. Others despairing of any more supply from thence, and yet not knowing how to live there, if they should return, bent their minds wholly to removal to the south parts, supposing they should find better means of subsistence there, and for this end put off their estates here at very low rates. These things, together with the scarcity of money, caused a sudden and very great abatement of the prices of all our own commodities. Corn (Indian) was sold ordinarily at three shillings the bushel, a good cow at seven or eight pounds, and some at £5,—and other things answerable (see the order of court in 8ber. about these things) whereby it came to pass that men could not pay their debts, for no money nor beaver were to be had, and he who last year, or but three months before, was worth £1000, could not now, if he should sell his whole estate, raise £200, whereby God taught us the vanity of all outward things, etc.

One Taylor, of Linne, having a milch cow in the ship as he came over, sold the milk to the passengers for 2d the quart, and

---

be directed to Mr. Eaton, Mr. Hopkins, and Mr. Haynes only, excluding Mr. Coddington and Mr. Brenton, as men not to be capitulated withal by us, either for themselves, or the people of the island, where they inhabit, as their case standeth." This is the most exalted triumph of bigotry. Papists, Jews, Mussulmans, idolators, or atheists, may be good parties to a civil compact, but not erroneous Protestant brethren, of unimpeachable piety, differing from us in explication of unessential, or unintelligible, points of doubtful disputation. It was not enough, that the common charities of life were broken off, but our rulers proved the sincerity of their folly, by refusing connexion in a just and necessary course of policy, which demanded the concurrence of all the plantations on our coast. This conduct, also, appears little more civil than prudent; for when those of Aquiday were associated by the gentlemen of Connecticut and New Haven in their address, the answer should have been directed to all without scruple.

The error of the former edition in giving the name of that son of Noah, upon whom the curse was fastened, or denounced, will seem ludicrous to such as know the universal acquaintance of the people of Connecticut, learned or illiterate, with the scriptures of the Old Testament.

\*22 being after at a sermon wherein oppression was complained of, etc., he fell distracted. § Quere, of the price, for 2d the quart was not dear at sea.<sup>1</sup> §

This evil was very notorious among all sorts of people, it being the common rule that most men walked by in all their commerce, to buy as cheap as they could, and to sell as dear.

A great ship called the Charles, of above 300 tons, brought passengers hither this year. The master was a plain, quiet man, but his company were very wicked, and did wrong the passengers much, and being at Pascataquack to take in clap-boards with another ship wherein Mr. Peter by occasion preached one Lord's day, the company of the Charles did use all the means they could to disturb the exercise, by hooting and hallooing, but in their return they were set upon by the Turks and divers of them killed.

A wicked fellow, given up to bestiality, fearing to be taken by the hand of justice, fled to Long Island, and there was drowned. He had confessed to some, that he was so given up to that abomination, that he never saw any beast go before him but he lustred after it.

Mr. Nathaniel Eaton, of whom mention is made before, being come to Virginia, took upon him to be a minister, but was given up of God to extreme pride and sensuality, being usually drunken, as the custom is there.<sup>2</sup> He sent for his wife and children. Her friends here persuaded her to stay awhile, but she went notwithstanding, and the vessel was never heard of after.

Mo. 12. 2.] The church of Dorchester being furnished with a very godly and able pastor, one Mr. Mather, and having in-

<sup>1</sup> This is a refreshing sentence, as it shows, that our author would not always consider misfortune to be proof of guilt.

<sup>2</sup> Our neighbors of Virginia will not, I hope, be disconcerted at this report of customary drunkenness; or they must, at least, recollect that the materials of their infant colony were less select than those of New England. If the imputation be intended, as appears not improbable, to apply only to the ministers of religion, the author's gradually acquired prejudice against the church of England will afford explanation of the phrase, and their charity must supply the deficiency of his.

vited to them one Mr. Burr,<sup>1</sup> who had been a minister in England, and of very good report there for piety and learning, \*23 with intent to call him also to office, after he was received a member in their church, and had given good proofs of his gifts and godliness to the satisfaction of the church, they gave him a call to office, which he deferring to accept, in the mean time he delivered some points savoring of familism, wherein the church desiring satisfaction, and he not so free to give it as was meet, it was agreed that Mr. Mather and he should confer together, and so the church should be informed wherein the difference lay. Accordingly Mr. Burr wrote his judgment in the points in difference, in such manner and terms as from some of his propositions there could no other be gathered but that he was erroneous; but this was again so qualified in other parts as might admit of a charitable construction. Mr. Mather reports to the church the errors which might be collected, without mentioning the qualification, or acquainting Mr. Burr with it before. When this was published, Mr. Burr disclaimed the errors, and Mr. Mather maintained them from his writings; whereupon the church was divided, some joining with the one, and some with the other, so as it grew to some heat and alienation, and many days were spent for reconciliation, but all in vain. In the end they agreed to call in help from other churches, so this day there was a meeting at Dorchester of the governour and

---

<sup>1</sup> Memorials of the Rev. Jonathan Burr will be found in the *Magnalia*, Book III., whose author was grandson of his colleague, in *Harris's History of Dorchester*, and in *Allen's Dictionary*. His short course, for he died the next year after that of our text, does not fully excuse the omission of him by Eliot. He had his A. B. at Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, 1623; A. M. 1627. In his native county, he was silenced by Bp. Laud at Rickingshall in Suffolk. His widow married Richard Dummer of Newbury, by whom his son, Jonathan, was brought up at Harvard College, received its honors 1651, and was a physician at Hingham, died of the small pox, 1690, in Sir William Phip's abortive campaign against Quebec. Peter Burr, H. C. 1690, a Judge of the Superior Court of Connecticut, of a different family, grandson of Jehu of Roxbury, was father of President Aaron Burr of Princeton College, a distinguished name in the history of our country. President Burr was father of the more distinguished Aaron Burr, the most efficient agent in the great civil revolution of 1800, thereby made Vice President of the United States.

another<sup>1</sup> of the magistrates, and about ten of the elders of the neighboring churches, wherein four days were spent in opening the cause, and such offences as had fallen out in the prosecution ; and in conclusion the magistrates and elders declared their judgment and advice in the case to this effect ; that both sides had cause to be humbled for their failings, more particularly Mr. Burr for his doubtful and unsafe expressions, and backwardness to give clear satisfaction, etc., and Mr. Mather for his inconsideration, both in not acquainting Mr. Burr with his collections before he had published them to the church, and in not certifying the qualifications of those errors which were in his writings : for which they were advised to set a day apart for reconciliation. Upon this Mr. Mather and Mr. Burr took the blame of their failings upon themselves, and freely submitted to the judgment and advice given, to which the rest of the church yielded a silent assent, and God was much glorified in the close thereof ; and Mr. Burr did again fully renounce those erroneous opinions of which he had been suspected, confessing that he was in the dark about these points, till God, by occasion of this agitation, had cleared them to him, which he did with much meekness and many tears.

\*24 The church of Boston were necessitated to build a new meeting house, and a great difference arose about the place of situation, which had much troubled other churches on the like occasion, but after some debate it was referred to a committee, and was quietly determined. It cost about £1000, which was raised out of the weekly voluntary contribution without any noise or complaint, when in some other churches which did it by way of rates, there was much difficulty and compulsion by || levies || to raise a far less sum.<sup>2</sup>

#### || laws ||

---

<sup>1</sup> The author designates himself by this modest expression.

<sup>2</sup> By this paragraph we are compelled to dissent from the inscription in front of the first church, rebuilt 1808. The time of finishing the edifice must certainly be received as this year, not, as that monument bears, 1639. Cotton Mather, who has published more errors of carelessness than any other writer on the history of New England, which he professed to understand better than all his contemporaries, in his discourse on occasion of the great fire of 1711, by which the edifice, whose erection is commemorated in the text, was consumed,

The general fear of want of foreign commodities, now our money was gone, and that things were like to go well in England, set us on work to provide shipping of our own, for which end Mr. Peter, being a man of a very public spirit and singular activity for all occasions, procured some to join for building a ship at Salem of 300 tons, and the inhabitants of Boston, stirred up by his example, set upon the building another at Boston of 150 tons. The work was hard to accomplish for want of money, etc., but our shipwrights were content to take such pay as the country could make. The shipwright at Salem, through want of care of his tackle, etc., occasioned the death of one Baker,<sup>1</sup> who was desired with five or six more to help hale up a piece of timber, which, the rope breaking, fell down upon them. The rest by special providence were saved. This Baker, going forth in the morning very well, after he had prayed, told his wife he should see her no more, though he could not foresee any danger towards him.

The court having found by experience, that it would not avail by any law to redress the excessive rates of laborers' and workmen's wages, etc. (for being restrained, they would either remove to other places where they might have more, or else being able to live by planting and other employments of their own, \*25 they would not be hired at all,) it was therefore referred to the several towns to set down rates among themselves. This took better effect, so that in a voluntary way, by the counsel and persuasion of the elders, and example of some who led the way, they were brought to more moderation than they could be by compulsion. But it held not long.

Upon the great liberty which the king had left the parliament to in England, some of our friends there wrote to us advice to

---

utters this strange statement: "I suppose my grandfather preached the first sermon in it, sixty-five or six years ago." It was at least seventy-one years, as he might, on slight calculation, have ascertained.

<sup>1</sup> Of this sufferer I cannot easily learn any thing, but the name of baptism. A remarkable instance of the judicial policy of our fathers is found in their animadversion upon the shipwright; for in Col. Rec. I. 297, at the court 2d March following, may be read, "Richard Hollingworth, upon occasion of the death of Robert Baker, was fined 10 pound, to be paid to the wife and children of the said Baker, his negligence being the occasion of his death."

send over some to solicit for us in the parliament, giving us hope that we might obtain much, etc. But consulting about it, we declined the motion for this consideration, that if we should put ourselves under the protection of the parliament, we must then be subject to all such laws as they should make, or at least such as they might impose upon us; in which course though they should intend our good, yet it might prove very prejudicial to us.<sup>1</sup> But upon this occasion the court of assistants being assembled, and advising with some of the elders about some course to serve the providence of God, in making use of present opportunity of a ship of our own being ready bound for England, it was thought fit to send some chosen men in her with commission to negotiate for us, as occasion should be offered, both in furthering the work of reformation of the churches there which was now like to be attempted, and to satisfy our countrymen of the true cause why our engagements there have not been satisfied this year, as they were wont to be in all former time since we were here planted; and also to seek out some way, by procuring cotton from the West Indies, or other means that might be lawful, and not dishonorable to the gospel for our present supply of clothing, etc., for the country was like to afford enough for food, etc. The persons designed hereto were Mr. Peter, pastor of the church of Salem, Mr. ||Welde|| the pastor of the church of Roxbury, and Mr. Hibbins of Boston. For this end the governour and near all the rest of the magistrates and some of the elders wrote a letter to the church of Salem, acquainting them with our intentions, and desiring them to spare their pastor for that service. The governour also moved the church of Roxbury for Mr. Welde,

||Wade||

---

<sup>1</sup> Upon this passage, transcribed for his letter to Baron Van der Capellan, a distinguished Dutch statesman, in 1779, Governor Trumbull, one of the most deliberate asserters of the American revolution, and then custodian of the two first MS. volumes of this history, remarks: "Here observe, that, as at this time, so it hath been ever since, that the colonies, so far from acknowledging the parliament to have a right to make laws binding on them in all cases whatsoever, they have denied it in any case." 1 Hist. Coll. VI. 156. Cradock, the first governour of the company of Massachusetts, was a member, of the parliament then sitting, for the city of London.

whom, after some time of consideration, they freely yielded. <sup>26</sup> But when it was propounded to the church of Salem, Mr. Endecott, being a member thereof, and having formerly opposed it, did now again the like in the church. Some reasons were there alleged, as that officers should not be taken from their churches for civil occasions, that the voyage would be long and dangerous, that it would be reported that we were in such want as we had sent to England to beg relief, which would be very dishonorable to religion, and that we ought to trust God who had never failed us hitherto, etc. But the main reason, indeed, which was privately intimated, was their fear lest he should be kept there, or diverted to the West Indies, for Mr. Humfrey intended to go with him, who was already engaged that way by the lord Say, etc., and therefore it was feared he should fall under strong temptations that way,<sup>1</sup> being once in England; and Mr. Humfrey discovered his intentions the more by falling foul upon Mr. Endecott in the open assembly at Salem for opposing this motion, and with that bitterness as gave great offence, and was like to have grown to a professed breach between them, but being both godly, and hearkening to seasonable counsel, they were soon reconciled, upon a free and public acknowledgment of such failings as had passed. But the church, not willing to let their pastor go, nor yet to give a plain denial to the magistrates' request, wrote an answer by way of excuse, tendering some reasons of their unsatisfiedness about his going, etc. The agitation of this business was soon about the country, whereby we perceived there would be sinister interpretations made of it, and the ship being suddenly to depart, we gave it over for that season.

Mo. 2. 13.] A negro maid, servant to Mr. Stoughton of Dorchester, being well approved by divers years' experience, for sound knowledge and true godliness, was received into the church and baptized.<sup>2</sup>

Some agitation fell out between us and Plimouth about Sea-

---

Poor Peter yielded to stronger temptations from the dominant party in the civil war; and by his extreme activity, beyond his professional line of duty, excited so great an enmity, as led to his execution on the triumph of his enemies.

<sup>2</sup> Similar instances have been common enough ever since.

cunk. Some of our people finding it fit for plantations, and thinking it out of our patent, which Plimouth men understanding, forbad them, and sent to us to signify that it was within their grant, and that we would therefore forbid ours to proceed. But the planters having acquainted us with their title, and offering to yield it to our jurisdiction, and assuring us that it could not be in the Plimouth patent, we made answer to Plimouth accordingly, and encouraged our neighbors to go on; so as divers letters passing between us, and || they || sending some

•27 to take possession for them, at length we sent some to Plimouth to see their patent, who bringing us a copy of so much as concerned the thing in question, though we were not fully satisfied thereby, yet not being willing to strive for land, we sat still.

There fell out much trouble about this time at Pascataquack. Mr. Knolles had gathered a church of such as he could get, men very raw for the most part, etc. Afterwards there came amongst them one Mr. Larkham,<sup>1</sup> who had been a minister at Northam near Barnstable in England, a man not savouring the right way of church discipline, but being a man of good parts

|| the ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Belknap, in N. H. I. 43, 51, has given as much of Thomas Larkham, as can probably be ascertained, though he refers to Hubbard MS. rather than the original text of Winthrop, sub. an. 1642. Notwithstanding the suspicious case there introduced, Larkham is reported, in his later years, as "well known for a man of great piety and sincerity" in England, when ejected under the statute of 1662. He died, aged 68, in 1669. Lechford's account of the dispute varies a little from that above: "They two fell out about baptising children, receiving of members, burial of the dead; and the contention was so sharp, that Knowles and his party rise up and excommunicated Mr. Larkham and some that held with him; and further, Mr. Larkham flying to the magistrates, Mr. Knowles and Captain Underhill raised arms, and expected help from the Bay, Mr. Knowles going before the troop with a bible upon a pole's top, and giving forth that their side were Scots and the other English. Whereupon the gentlemen of Sir F. Gorge's plantation came in, and kept court with the magistrates of Piscataqua, who have also a patent, being weak of themselves, and they fined all those that were in arms for a riot, by indictment, jury, and verdict formally: nine of them were censured to be whipped, but that was spared; Mr. Knowles, and the captain, their leaders, were fined 100 pounds apiece, which they were not able to pay." The antagonist excommunications of the rival synods must have given much scandal to disinterested spectators, if there were any.

and wealthy, the people were soon taken with him, and the greater part were forward to cast off Mr. Knolles their pastor and to choose him, for they were not willing nor able to maintain two officers, so Mr. Knolles gave place to him, and he being thus chosen, did soon discover himself. He received into the church all that offered themselves, though men notoriously scandalous and ignorant, so they would promise amendment, and fell into contention with the people, and would take upon him to rule all, even the magistrates (such as they were;) so as there soon grew sharp contention between him and Mr. Knolles, to whom the more religious still adhered, whereupon they were divided into two churches. Mr. Knolles and his company excommunicated Mr. Larkham, and he again laid violent hands upon Mr. Knolles. In this heat it began to grow to a tumult, some of their magistrates joined with Mr. Larkham and assembled a company to fetch Capt. Underhill (another of their magistrates and their captain) to their court, and he also gathered some of the neighbors to defend himself, and to see the peace kept; so they marched forth towards Mr. Larkham's, one carrying a bible upon a staff for an ensign, and Mr. Knolles with them armed with a pistol. When Mr. Larkham and his company saw them thus provided, they proceeded no further, but sent to Mr. Williams,<sup>1</sup> who was governour of those in the lower part of the river, who came up with a company of armed men and beset Mr. Knolles' house, where Capt. Underhill then was, and there they kept a guard upon them night and day, and in the mean time they called a court, and Mr. Williams sitting as judge, they found Capt. Underhill and his company guilty of a riot, and set great fines upon them, and ordered him and some others to depart the plantation. The cause of this eager prosecution of Capt. Underhill was, because he had procured a good part of the inhabitants there to offer themselves again to the government of the Massachusetts, who being thus prosecuted, they sent a petition to us for aid.

The governour and council considered of their petition, and

<sup>1</sup> Francis Williams, Esquire, was governour of the settlements at Portsmouth, and Dover, appointed, after Neal's return to England, by Gorges and Mason, and continued in office by the people. Belk. N. H. I. 26. Soon after this disturbance, Hutch. I. 105, says, he removed to Barbados.

gave commission to Mr. Bradstreet, one of our magistrates, Mr. Peter and Mr. Dalton,<sup>1</sup> two of our elders, to go thither and to endeavor to reconcile them, and if they could not effect that, then to inquire how things stood, and to certify us, etc. They went accordingly, and finding both sides to be in fault, at length they brought matters to a peaceable end. Mr. Larkham was released of his excommunication and Capt. Underhill and the rest from their censures, and by occasion of these agitations Mr. Knolles was discovered to be an unclean person, and to have solicited the chastity of two maids, his servants, and to have used filthy dalliance with them, which he acknowledged before the church there, and so was dismissed, and removed from Pascataquack. This sin of his was the more notorious, because the fact, which was first discovered, was the same night after he had been exhorting the people by reasons and from scripture, to proceed against Capt. Underhill for his adultery.<sup>2</sup> And it is very observable how God gave up these two, \*29 and some others who had held with Mrs. Hutchinson, in crying down all evidence from sanctification, etc., to fall into these unclean courses, whereby themselves and their erroneous opinions were laid open to the world.

Mr. Peter and Mr. Dalton, with one of Acomenticus, went from Pascataquack, with Mr. John Ward,<sup>3</sup> who was to be entertained there for their minister; and though it be but six miles, yet they lost their way, and wandered two days and one night without food or fire, in the snow and wet. But God

---

<sup>1</sup> Very little notice can be found of this reverend gentleman, except in Johnson, lib. II. c. 18, who has given the usual complement of rhyme. His name was Timothy, and his brother Philemon's descendants have been honored with much public confidence in our time. Samuel, son of Philemon, was an early representative from Hampton.

<sup>2</sup> He returned to England upon the invitation of his aged father, and arrived in London, December 24, 1641, says Brook's Lives of the Puritans.

<sup>3</sup> John, son of Nathaniel Ward, mentioned in my note 1, Vol. I. 154, is remembered in Eliot and Allen. He was born at Haverhill, in old England, where his grandfather, John, was the rector; and in compliment to him the place of his descendant's residence in our country was named. He was bred at Emanuel College, Cambridge, had his degrees 1626 and 1630. All else that could be interesting about him is supplied by the history of Haverhill, in 2 Hist. Coll. IV. Johnson has given him, lib. III. c. 1, some rugged verses.

heard their prayers, wherein they earnestly pressed him for the honor of his great name, and when they were even quite spent, he brought them to the seaside, near the place they were to go to, blessed forever be his name.

Not long before a godly maid of the church of Linne, going in a deep snow from Meadford homeward, was lost, and some of her clothes found after among the rocks.

One John Baker, a member of the church of Boston, removing from thence to Newbury for enlargement of his outward accommodation, being grown wealthy from nothing, grew there very disordered, fell into drunkenness and such violent contention with another brother, maintaining the same by lying, and other evil courses, that the magistrates sent to have him apprehended. But he rescued himself out of the officer's hands and removed to Acomenticus, where he continued near two years, and now at this time he came to Boston, and humbled himself before the church, confessing all his wickedness, with many tears, and showing how he had been followed with Satan, and how he had labored to pacify his conscience by secret confessions to God, etc., but could have no peace; yet could not bring his heart to return and make public acknowledgment, until the hand of God fell upon one Swain his neighbor, who fell into despair, and would often utter dreadful speeches against himself, and cry out that he was all on fire under the wrath of God, but would never discover any other heinous sin, but that having gotten about £40 by his labor, he went into England and there spent it in wicked company, and so continued, and after a small time hanged himself. This Baker coming in, and seeing him thus dead, was so struck with it as he could have no rest, till he came and made his peace with the church and court. Upon his confession, the church was doubtful whether they ought \*30 not to cast him out, his offences being so scandalous, notwithstanding they were well persuaded of the truth of his repentance; but the judgment of the church was, that, seeing he had excommunicated himself by deserting the church, and Christ had ratified it by giving him up to Satan, whereby the ordinance had had its proper effect, therefore he ought now to be received and pardoned, whereto the church agreed. Yet this man fell into gross distempers soon after.

Mr. Cotton out of that in *Revelations 15.* none could enter into the temple until, etc., delivered, that neither Jews nor any more of the Gentiles should be called until Antichrist were destroyed, viz. to a church estate, though here and there a proselyte.

Upon the Lord's day at Concord two children were left at home alone, one lying in a cradle, the other having burned a cloth, and fearing its mother should see it, thrust it into a hay stack by the door (the fire not being quite out) whereby the hay and house were burned and the child in the cradle before they came from the meeting. About the same time two houses were burned at Sudbury.

By occasion of these fires I may add another of a different kind, but of much observation. A godly woman of the church of Boston, dwelling sometimes in London, brought with her a parcel of very fine linen of great value, which she set her heart too much upon, and had been at charge to have it all newly washed, and curiously folded and pressed, and so left it in press in her parlor over night. She had a negro maid went into the room very late, and let fall some snuff of the candle upon the linen, so as by the morning all the linen was burned to tinder, and the boards underneath, and some stools and a part of the wainscot burned, and never perceived by any in the house, though some lodged in the chamber over head, and no ceiling between. But it pleased God that the loss of this linen did her much good, both in taking off her heart from worldly comforts, and in preparing her for a far greater affliction by the untimely death of her husband, who was slain not long after at Isle of Providence.<sup>1</sup>

\*31 Mo. 4. 2.<sup>2</sup>] The court of elections, Richard Bellingham, Esq., chosen governour. See more a few leaves after.

---

<sup>1</sup> The wife of Capt. William Peirce is probably the object of this paragraph.

<sup>2</sup> It has been too hastily thought, that our general election always came nearly at the same time as is fixed by our modern constitution. Careful readers, observing its occurrence in these volumes at different dates, as in 1640, on 18 May, and in 1641, on 2 June, will find the explanation in the charter of 1629, providing that the general court be held on "the last Wednesday in Easter term yearly." By the new charter of William and Mary, 7 Oct. 1691, the last Wednesday of May was established.

This year the two ships were finished, one at Salem of 300 tons, and another at Boston of 160 tons.

The parliament of England setting upon a general reformation both of church and state, the Earl of Strafford being beheaded, and the archbishop (our great enemy) and many others of the great officers and judges, bishops and others, imprisoned and called to account, this caused all men to stay in England in expectation of a new world, so as few coming to us, all foreign commodities grew scarce, and our own of no price. Corn would buy nothing: a cow which cost last year £20 might now be bought for 4 or £5, etc., and many gone out of the country, so as no man could pay his debts, nor the merchants make return into England for their commodities, which occasioned many there to speak evil of us. These straits set our people on work to provide fish, clapboards, plank, etc., and to sow hemp and flax (which prospered very well) and to look out to the West Indies for a trade for cotton. The general court also made orders about payment of debts, setting corn at the wonted price, and payable for all debts which should arise after a time pre-fixed. They thought fit also to send some chosen men into England, to congratulate the happy success there, and to satisfy our creditors of the true cause why we could not make so current payment now as in former years we had done, and to be ready to make use of any opportunity God should offer for the good of the country here, as also to give any advice, as it should be required, for the settling the right form of church discipline there, but with this caution, that they should not seek supply of our wants in any dishonorable way, as by begging or the like, for we were resolved to wait upon the Lord in the use of all means which were lawful and || honorable ||. The men chosen were Mr. Hugh Peter, pastor of the church in Salem, Mr. Thos. Welde, pastor of the church in Roxbury, and Mr. William Hibbins of Boston. There being no ship which was to return right for England, they went to Newfoundland, intending to get a passage from thence in the fishing fleet.<sup>1</sup> They

|| humble ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Thomas Lechford, the lawyer, informs us, in his Plain Dealing, p. 13, that he left Boston in the same ship.

departed hence the 3d of the 6th month, and with them went \*32 one of the magistrates, Mr. John Winthrop, jun. This act of the court did not satisfy all the elders, and many others disliked it, supposing that it would be conceived we had sent them on begging; and the church of Salem was unwillingly drawn to give leave to their pastor to go, for the court was not minded to use their power in taking an officer from the church without their consent, but in the end they and the other churches submitted to the desire of the court. These with other passengers to the number of forty went to Newfoundland, expecting to go from thence in some fishing ships. They arrived there in 14 days, but could not go altogether, so were forced to divide themselves and go from several parts of the island, as they could get shipping. The ministers preached to the seamen, etc., at the island, who were much affected with the word taught, and entertained them with all courtesy, as we understood by letters from them which came by a fishing ship to the Isles of Shoals about the beginning of October.

21.] A young man, a tanner in Boston, going to wash himself in a creek, said, jestingly, I will go and drown myself now, which fell out accordingly; for by the slipperiness of the earth, he was carried beyond his depth, and having no skill to swim, was drowned, though company were at hand, and one in the water with him.

Letters came from the governour, etc., of Connecticut for advice about the difference between them and the Dutch. The Dutch governour had pressed them hard for his interest in all Hartford, etc., as far as one might see from their house, alleging he had purchased so much of the Pequods, and threatened force of arms. They of the river alleged their purchase of other Indians, the true owners of the place, etc., with other arguments from our patent and that of Saybrook. We returned answer without determining of either side, but advising to a moderate way, as the yielding some more land to the Dutch house (for they had left them but 30 acres). But the Dutch would not be thus pacified, but prepared to send soldiers to be billeted at their house. But it pleased the Lord to disappoint their purpose, for the Indians falling out with them, killed four of their men at their fort Orange, whereof three were English,

who had gone to dwell among them, whereby they were forced to keep their soldiers at home to defend themselves ; and Mr. Peter going for England, and being well acquainted with the chief merchants in Holland, undertook to pacify the West India company, but for want of commission from those of Hartford, the company there would not treat with him.

About this time three boys of Summer's Islands stole away in an open boat or skiff, and having been eight weeks at sea, their boat was cast away upon a strand without Long <sup>•33</sup> Island, and themselves were saved by the Indians.<sup>1</sup>

A church being gathered at Providence in the West Indies, and their pastor, Mr. Sherwood, and another minister being sent prisoners into England by one Carter, the deputy governour, the rest of the church, being but five, wrote to our churches complaining of the persecution of their magistrates and others, and desiring our prayers and help from us, which moved the churches and magistrates more willingly to further those who were already resolved and preparing for that Island. Whereupon two small vessels, each of about 30 tons, with divers families and goods, so many as they could bestow, 30 men, 5 women, and 8 children, set sail for the Island, and touching at Christophers, they heard that a great fleet of Spanish ships was abroad, and that it was feared they had taken Providence, so as the master, Mr. Peirce, a godly man and most expert mariner, advised them to return, and offered to bear part of the loss. But they not hearkening to him, he replied, Then am I a dead man. And coming to the Island, they marvelled they saw no colors upon the fort, nor any boat coming towards them, whereupon he was counselled to drop an anchor. He liked the advice, but yet stood on into the harbor, and after a second advice, he still went on ; but being come within pistol shot of one fort and hailing, and no answer made, he put || his bark ||

|| her back ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Many less striking stories of preservation at sea, than this of fifty-six days in an open boat, are given by Mather, who neglects this. How the boys found food, is a natural inquiry ; and the author of the *Magnalia* might have furnished the same aid as fed the prophet Elijah. Perhaps it was stolen with the boat ; yet their preservation, in such a voyage, from Bermuda to Long Island, is quite remarkable.

a stays, and being upon the deck, which was also full of passengers, women and children, and hearing one cry out, they are traversing a piece at us, he threw himself in at the door of the cuddy, and one Samuel Wakeman,<sup>1</sup> a member of the church of Hartford, who was sent with goods to buy cotton, cast himself down by him, and presently a great shot took them both. Mr. Peirce died within an hour; the other, having only his thighs tore, lived ten days. Mr. Peirce had read to the company that morning (as it fell in course) that in Genesis the last, Lo I die, but God will surely visit you and bring you back; out of which words he used godly exhortations to them.<sup>2</sup> Then <sup>•34</sup> they shot from all parts about thirty great shot, besides small, and tore the sails and shrouds, but hurt not the bark, nor any person more in it. The other vessel was then a league behind, which was marvelled at, for she was the better sailer, and could fetch up the other at pleasure; but that morning they could not by any means keep company with her. After this the passengers, being ashamed to return, would have been set on shore at Cape Grace de Dios, or Florida, or Virginia, but the seamen would not, and through the wonderful providence of God they came all safe home the 3d of 7ber following. This brought some of them to see their error, and acknowledge it in the open congregation, but others were hardened. There was a special providence in that the ministers were sent prisoners into England before the Island was taken, for otherwise it is most probable they had been all put to the sword, because some Spaniards had been slain there a little before by the deputy governour his command, after the lieutenant had received them upon quarter, in an attempt they had made upon the Island, wherein they were repulsed with the loss of two or three || hundred<sup>3</sup> || men. They took it after, and gave the people quarter and sent them home.

||thousand||

<sup>1</sup> He was admitted a freeman of Massachusetts 7 Aug. 1632, and probably before removing to Hartford, lived at Cambridge, for which town he was, I think, a deputy at the third court, May, 1635.

<sup>2</sup> Johnson, lib. II. c. 20, has given an account of this disastrous expedition, in which a strange lack of sympathy for the sufferers is observable.

<sup>3</sup> The original MS. is plain enough.

A like providence there was, though not so safe, in that divers godly people, in their voyage to the Island the year before, were taken prisoners by the Turks, and so their lives saved, paying their ransom.

This year divers families in Linne and Ipswich having sent to view Long Island, and finding a very commodious place for plantations, but challenged by the Dutch, they treated with the Dutch governour to take it from them. He offered them very fair terms, as that they should have the very same liberties, both civil and ecclesiastical, which they enjoyed in the Massachusetts, only liberty for appeal to the Dutch, and after ten years to pay the 10th of their corn. The court were offended at this, and sought to stay them, not for going from us, but for strengthening the Dutch, our doubtful neighbors, and taking that from them which our king challenged and had granted a patent of, with Martha's Vineyard and other Islands thereby, to the earl of Sterling, especially for binding themselves by an oath of fealty; whereupon divers of the chief being called before the general court in 8ber, and reasons laid down to dissuade them, they were convinced, and promised to desist.

This summer the merchants of Boston set out a vessel again to the Isle of Sable, with 12 men, to stay there a year. They sent again in the 8th month, and in three weeks the vessel <sup>\*35</sup> returned and brought home 400 pair of sea horse teeth, which were esteemed worth £300, and left all the men well, and 12 ton of oil and many skins, which they could not bring away, being put from the island in a storm.

I must here return to supply what was omitted concerning the proceedings of the last court of elections.<sup>1</sup> There had been much laboring to have Mr. Bellingham chosen, and when the

---

<sup>1</sup> "Mr. B. chosen unduly," is the marginal note of our MS. Of the manner of election no evidence is furnished by the records; but I find in them this vote, showing, perhaps, that the court was less friendly than the people to Bellingham: "The order formerly made for allowing 100 pounds per annum to the governour is repealed." At this general court, it was "ordered, that John Humfrey, Esq. shall be sergeant major general." Col. Rec. I. 308. This is a fact not usually known; Hubbard, 373, and most writers after him, suppose the organization of the militia to be three years later.

votes were numbered he had six more than the others; but there were divers who had not given in their votes, who now came into the court and desired their liberty, which was denied by some of the magistrates, because they had not given them in at the doors. But others thought it was an injury, yet were silent, because it concerned themselves, for the order of giving in their votes at the door was no order of court, but only direction of some of the magistrates; and without question, if any freeman tender his vote before the election be passed and published, it ought to be received.

Some of the freemen, without the consent of the magistrates or governour, had chosen Mr. Nathaniel Ward to preach at this court, pretending that it was a part of their liberty. The governour (whose right indeed it is, for till the court be assembled the freemen are but private persons) would not strive about it, for though it did not belong to them, yet if they would have it, there was reason to yield it to them. Yet they had no great reason to choose him, though otherwise very able, seeing he had cast off his pastor's place at Ipswich, and was now no minister by the received determination of our churches. (In his sermon he delivered many useful things, but in a moral and political discourse, grounding his propositions much upon the old Roman and Grecian governments, which sure is an error, for if religion and the word of God makes men wiser than their neighbors, and these times have the advantage of all that have gone before us in experience and observation, it is probable that by all these helps, we may better frame rules of government for ourselves than to receive others upon the bare authority of the wisdom, justice, etc. of those heathen commonwealths. Among other things, he advised the people to keep all their magistrates in an equal rank, and not give more

<sup>36</sup> honor or power to one than to another, which is easier to advise than to prove, seeing it is against the practice of Israel (where some were rulers of thousands, and some but of tens) and of all nations known or recorded. Another advice he gave, that magistrates should not give private advice, and take knowledge of any man's cause before it came to public hearing. This was debated after in the general court, where some of the deputies moved to have it ordered. But it was opposed by

some of the magistrates upon these reasons: 1. Because we must then provide lawyers to direct men in their causes. 2. The magistrates must not grant out original process, as now they do, for to what end are they betrusted with this, but that they should take notice of the cause of the action, that they might either divert the suit, if the cause be unjust, or direct it in a right course, if it be good. 3. By this occasion the magistrate hath opportunity to end many differences in a friendly way, without charge to the parties, or trouble to the court. 4. It prevents many difficulties and tediousness to the court to understand the cause aright (no advocate being allowed, and the parties being not able, for the most part, to open the cause fully and clearly, especially in public). 5. It is allowed in criminal causes, and why not in civil. 6. || Whereas|| it is objected that such magistrate is in danger to be prejudiced, answer, if the thing be lawful and useful, it must not be laid aside for the temptations which are incident to it, for in the least duties men are exposed to great temptations.<sup>1</sup>

|| Where||

---

<sup>1</sup> The advice of the preacher was good, notwithstanding the above formidable array of arguments against it. A denial to parties of right to employ advocates was one cause of the absurd and dangerous practice of obtaining opinions from judges on *ex parte* hearing. Lechford, of Clement's Inn, in the character of whose book Hutchinson, I. 451, has too liberally shown his patriotic sensibility, for the author respected our rulers, and wished well to the country, was compelled to return home after vainly trying his fortune near three years in this colony. His rare book will vindicate my judgment. In Col. Rec. I. 294, I find this curiosity in legislative and judicial economy: "At a quarter court, 1 Dec. 1640, Mr. Thomas Lechford, acknowledging he had overshot himself, and is sorry for it, promising to attend his calling, and not to meddle with controversies, was dismissed." Yet the very calling, by which he sought to earn his bread, was that of an attorney, and the following year, finding that his labor as a scrivener would not maintain him, the poor lawyer returned to England. Of his tract, called "Plain Dealing, or News from England," in 80 pages, London, 1642, I have never seen any copy but that in the Ebeling collection of Harvard College library, nor heard of any more than one, in possession of Hon. Francis Baylies, of Taunton. It is remarkable, that a considerable part of his most valuable matter is in a beautiful ancient MS. in the archives of our Historical Society. From the peculiar spelling, sometimes more correct than in the printed volume, and from the use of short hand in several of the notes, it appears to me to be the autograph of Lechford. That curious tract is reprinted

\*37 At this court it was ordered, that the elders should be desired to agree upon a form of catechism which might be put forth in print.

Offence being taken by many of the people that the court had given Mr. Humfrey £250, the deputies moved it might be ordered, that the court should not have power to grant any benevolences ; but it was considered that the court could not deprive itself of its honor, and that hereby we should lay a blemish upon the court, which might do more hurt to the country by weakening the reputation of the wisdom and faithfulness of the court in the hearts of the people, than the money saved would recompence. Therefore it was thought better to order it by way of declaration, as if it were to deter importunity of suitors in this || kind,|| that the court would give no more benevolences till our

|| hand ||

---

in 3 Mass. Hist. Coll. III. 55 ; and notes on this MS. by a friend, equally assiduous and critical, are given in the latter part of that same volume. His description of our ancient forms of trial is interesting : " Twice a year, in the said great quarter courts, held before the general courts, are two grand juries sworn for the jurisdiction, one for one court, and the other for the other ; and they are charged to inquire and present offences, reduced by the gouverneur, who gives the charge, most an end, under the heads of the ten commandments. Matters of debt, trespass, and upon the case, and equity, yea, and of heresy also, are tried by a jury, which, although it may seem to be indifferent, and the magistrates may judge what is law and what is equal, and some of the chief ministers inform what is heresy, yet the jury may find a general verdict, if they please ; and seldom is there any special verdict found by them with deliberate arguments made thereupon, which breeds many inconveniences. The parties be warned to challenge any juryman, but because there is but one jury in court for trial of causes, and all parties not present at their swearing, the liberty of the challenge is much hindered, and some inconveniences do happen thereby. Juries are returned by the marshal ; he was at first called the Beadle of the society. Seldom is there any matter of record, saving the verdict, many times at random taken and entered, which is also called the judgment. The parties in all causes speak themselves for the most part, and some of the magistrates, where they think cause requireth, do the part of advocates without fee or reward."

Many of our magistrates, as Winthrop, Bellingham, Humfrey, Dudley, and probably Pelham and Bradstreet, had been bred lawyers at home, and were well able to administer justice to the infant community ; but no judge can be wise enough to decide always with satisfaction to both parties, after privately hearing, and of necessity, as it were, undertaking the cause of one before issuing of process.

debts were paid, and stock in the treasury, except upon foreign occasions, etc.

There arose a question in the court about the punishment of single fornication, because, by the law of God, the man was only to marry the maid, or pay a sum of money to her father; but the case falling out between two servants, they were whipped for the wrong offered to the master in abusing his house, and were not able to make him other satisfaction. The like difficulty arose about a rape, which was not death by the law of God, but because it was committed by a boy upon a child of 7 or 8 years old, he was severely whipped. Yet it may seem by the equity of the law against sodomy, that it should be death for a man to have carnal copulation with a girl so young, as there can be no possibility of generation, for it is against nature as well as sodomy and buggery.

At this court the gentlemen, who had the two patents of Dover and Strawberry bank at Pascataquack in the name of the lords and ||themselves,|| granted all their interest of jurisdiction, etc., to our court, reserving the most of the land to themselves. Whereupon a commission was granted to Mr. ||<sup>2</sup>Bradstreet|| and Mr. Simonds,<sup>1</sup> with two or three of Pascataquack, to call a court there and assemble the people to take their submission, etc., but Mr. Humfrey, Mr. Peter, and Mr. Dalton had been sent before to understand the minds of the people, to reconcile some differences between them, and to prepare them. See more.<sup>2</sup>

||these||

||<sup>2</sup>Bradford||

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 372, calls Samuel Symonds, Esquire, "a gentleman of an ancient and worshipful family, from Yeldham in Essex;" but he falls into an error of the date of his election as an assistant. He was a deputy from Ipswich in May, 1638, and after, and was, of course, a parishioner of Hubbard. A letter from him to Gov. Winthrop, relative to the petition for enlargement of privileges, encouraged by Vassall, Dr. Child, Yale, and others, of which so much will be seen in the later part of this volume, is printed in Hutch. Coll. 218. He always enjoyed high consideration with the people whom he served, in 1673 succeeded Leverett, as deputy governour, and was continued in that office until his death in 1678.

<sup>2</sup> Four pages onward. This was mentioned in a former part of the narrative for this year, page 28.

Mrs. Hutchinson and those of Aquidai island broached new heresies every year. Divers of them turned professed anabaptists, and would not wear any arms, and denied all magistracy among christians, and maintained that there were no churches since those founded by the apostles and evangelists, nor could any be, nor any pastors ordained, nor seals administered but by such, and that the church was to want these all the time she continued in the wilderness, as yet she was. Her son Francis and her son-in-law Mr. Collins (who was driven from Barbadoes where he had preached a time and done some good, but so soon as he came to her was infected with her heresies) came to Boston, and were there sent for to come before the governour and council. But they refused to come, except they were brought; so the officer led him, and being come (there were divers of the elders present) he was charged with a letter he had written to some in our jurisdiction, wherein he charged all our churches and ministers to be antichristian, and many other reproachful speeches, terming our king, king of Babylon, and sought

to possess the people's hearts with evil thoughts of our government and of our churches, etc. He acknowledged the letter, and maintained what he had written, yet sought to evade || by || confessing there was a true magistracy in the world, and that christians must be subject to it. He maintained also that there were no gentile churches (as he termed them) since the apostles' times, and that none now could ordain ministers, etc. Francis Hutchinson did agree with him in some of these, but not resolutely in all; but he had reviled the church of Boston (being then a member of it) calling her a strumpet. They were both committed to prison; and it fell out that one Stoddard,<sup>1</sup>

|| the ||

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be constantly remembered, that the constables, being chosen by the general court, were in early times among the chief people in their several towns. Edward Tyng had served before in this office for Boston. Anthony Stoddard, linen-draper, was allowed to become a citizen, or townsman, of Boston, 26 August, 1639, and 27 January following a hundred acres of land at Mount Woollaston was granted him. He was admitted to the freeman's oath 13 May, 1640. In the first volume of our county registry of deeds, p. 30, is a bond in Latin, Ant. Stoddard to "John Eliot, Joshua Hues, Isaac Heath, Thomas Bell, Edward Clap, William Park, and John Johnson de Roxbury,

being then one of the constables of Boston, was required to take Francis Hutchinson into his custody till the afternoon, and said withal to the governour, Sir, I came to observe what you did, that if you should proceed with a brother otherwise than you ought, I might deal with you in a church way. For this insolent behavior he was committed, but being dealt with by the elders and others, he came to see his error, which was that he did conceive that the magistrate ought not to deal with a member of the church before the church had proceeded with him. So the next Lord's day in the open assembly, he did freely and very affectionately confess his error and his contempt of authority, and being bound to appear at the next court, he did the like there to the satisfaction of all. Yet for example's sake he was fined 20s., which though some of the

---

supervisoribus testamenti Jos. Welde," to the use of Barbara Welde, "viduae predicti Jos. Welde." The condition, in English, reciting that said Ant. Stoddard purposeth to enter into marriage with B. W. widow of Joseph Welde, with whom he is to receive the dowry left by her said husband, if therefore A. S. leave said B. at his death 500 pounds lawful money or money's worth, then to be void. It is dated 24th of 6, 1647. She, by the name of Barbara Clap, probably daughter of the overseer, had married Welde, as the Roxbury record shows, on 20 April, 1639. On 18 March, 1649-50, he was chosen recorder of Boston, and next May a representative. Many of the later years of his life he filled the same place. His son, Solomon, born of a former marriage from that above-mentioned, was a distinguished divine, of whose powers abundant records are known. His mother was daughter of Emanuel Downing, Winthrop's brother-in-law. Other descendants have served the community in honorable stations even to our days. A very short letter from him, in a collection of Winthrop papers, but without superscription, seems worth transcribing:—

Northampton, 25 August, '73.

Hon. S.—I was desired by John Earle, who was sometime your servant, to convey to you the enclosed money. He has acknowledged to me, that while he was in your service, he wronged you of a bushel and half of wheat. God has been pleased to discover to him the evil of his practice, and he begs your forgiveness, and has sent six shillings in way of restitution. I doubt not but you will be ready to pass by his offence, and beg forgiveness of his sin from God.

We have no late intelligence to send, therefore I shall not further trouble your honor, but leaving you to the blessing of God, I take leave, and rest

Your humble servant,

SOL. STODDARD.

magistrates would have had it much less, or rather remitted, seeing his clear repentance and satisfaction in public left no poison or danger in his example, nor had the commonwealth or any person sustained danger by it. At the same court Mr. Collins was fined £100 and Francis Hutchinson £50, and to remain in prison till they gave security for it. We assessed the fines the higher, partly that by occasion thereof they might be the longer kept in from doing harm, (for they were kept close prisoners,) and also because that family had put the country to so much charge in the synod and other occasions to the value of £500 at least: <sup>1</sup> but after, because the winter drew on, and the prison was inconvenient, we abated them to £40 and £20. But they seemed not willing to pay any thing. They refused to come to the church assemblies except they were led, and so they came duly. At last we took their own bonds for their fine, and so dismissed them.

Other troubles arose in the island by reason of one Nicholas || Easton,|| a tanner, a man very bold, though ignorant. He using to teach at Newport, where Mr. Coddington their governour lived, maintained that man hath no power or will in himself, but as he is acted by God, and that seeing God filled all things, nothing could be or move but by him, and so he must needs be the author of sin, etc., and that a christian is united to the essence of God. Being showed what blasphemous consequences would follow hereupon, they professed to abhor the consequences, but still defended the propositions, which discovered their ignorance, not apprehending how God could make a creature as it were in himself, and yet no part of his essence, as we see by familiar instances; the light is in the air, and in every part of it, yet it is not air, but a distinct thing from it. There joined with Nicholas || Easton || Mr. Codd-

|| Eason ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Such arbitrary conduct cannot be overlooked by faithful history in silence; yet nothing can be more clear, than that our magistrates thought they were doing God service. The subsequent relaxation appears to be told without any design of gaining credit for clemency. From our Col. Rec. may be learned, that the delinquents were forbidden to come again into this jurisdiction under pain of death, which seems to be the common threat of that day, to fence out Rhode Island people.

ton, Mr. Coggeshall,<sup>1</sup> and some others, but their minister, \*41 Mr. Clark, and Mr. Lenthall, and Mr. Harding,<sup>2</sup> and some others dissented and publicly opposed, whereby it grew to such heat of contention, that it made a schism among them.

Mo. 7.] Captain Underhill, coming to Boston, was presently apprehended by the governour's warrant to appear at the next court, and bound for his good behaviour in the mean time, which was ill taken by many, seeing he did not stand presented by any man, and had been reconciled to the church and to the court, who had remitted his sentence of banishment, and showed their willingness to have pardoned him fully, but for fear of offence. And it was held by some of the magistrates, that the court, having reversed the sentence against him for former misdemeanors, had implicitly pardoned all other misdemeanors before that time, and his adultery was no more then but a misdemeanor; but to bind a man to his good behavior, when he stands reconciled to the church and commonwealth, was certainly an error, as it was also to commit such an one, being not presented nor accused. So easily may a magistrate be misled on the right hand by the secret whisperings of such as pretend a zeal of justice and the punishment of sin. The governour caused him to be indicted at the next court, but he was acquitted by proclamation.

Mo. 7. 11.] It being court time, about 7 or 8 in the evening there appeared to the southward a great light, about 30 or 40 feet in length; it went very swift, and continued about a minute. It was observed by many in the bay and at Plimouth and New Haven, etc., and it seemed to all to be in the same position.

15.] A great training at Boston two days. About 1200 men were exercised in most sorts of land service; yet it was observed that there was no man drunk, though there was plenty

---

<sup>1</sup> All three of these wilful heretics were gentlemen of high esteem in civil life, and at different times governours of the colony.

<sup>2</sup> My only information of Robert Harding induces me to believe him a companion in the fleet with Winthrop, as he is the *eleventh* member of the Boston church, and admitted among the earliest freemen, 18 May, 1631. He was disarmed for his heterodoxy in 1637, Vol. I. 248. In 1641, he was an assistant at Rhode Island, Callender, 42.

of wine and strong beer in the town, not an oath sworn, no quarrel, nor any hurt done.<sup>1</sup>

\*42 The parliament in England falling so readily to reform all public grievances, some of our people being then in London preferred a petition to the Lords' house for redress of that restraint which had been put upon ships and passengers to New England, whereupon an order was made, that we should enjoy all our liberties, etc., according to our patent, whereby our patent, which had been condemned and called in upon an erroneous judgment in a quo warranto, was now implicitly || revived || and confirmed. This petition was preferred without warrant from our court.

7. 2.] A day of thanksgiving was kept in all our churches for the good success of the parliament in England.

This year men followed the fishing so well, that there was about 300,000 dry fish sent to the market.

The lords and gentlemen that had two patents at Pascataquack, finding no means to govern the people there, nor to restrain them from spoiling their timber, etc., agreed to assign their interest to us (reserving the greatest part of the propriety of their lands). So commissioners being sent thither, the whole river agreed to come under our jurisdiction under two propositions. 1. If we took them in upon a voluntary submission, then they would have liberty to choose their own magistrates,

|| received ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Drunkenness was, however, known on other days, as from the frequent animadversion of the courts on the delinquents is apparent. Some credit may well be deserved by the community, whose moral sense drives such depravity from public view. Perhaps at our military reviews in modern times, there is just claim for a similar commendation, especially if regard be had to the diversity of circumstances, by which the proportion of spectators is now much increased. Formerly all were soldiers.

In Vol. I 298, we have an account of the military muster 6 May, 1639, when 1000 men appeared, and in the intervening two years and four months, the number is increased twenty per cent. Probably the addition of new comers, as not many arrived in 1639, and few in 1640, did not exceed the emigration to Long Island, New Haven, and other parts. With such a rate of increase for thirty-five years, the people would have multiplied more than fifteen fold before the beginning of Phillip's war, making the period of doubling not quite nine years. This ratio could last but a very short time, while the first young brood were coming up to maturity.

etc. 2. If we took them in as being within the line of our patent, they would then submit to be as Ipswich and Salem, etc., and would have such liberties for felling timber, etc., as they had enjoyed, etc., and so referred it to the next general court; and to have courts there as Ipswich and Salem had. And accordingly at the general court in the 3d month next, they sent two deputies, who, being members of the church there, were sworn freemen, and order made for giving the oath to others at their own court, the like liberty to other courts for ease of the people.

Mo. 9. 8.] Monsieur Rochett, a Rocheller and a protestant, came from Monsieur La Tour, planted upon St. John's River up the great bay on this side Cape Sable. He brought no letters with him, but only letters from Mr. Shurt of Pemaquid, where he left his men and boat. He propounded to us, 1. <sup>43</sup> Liberty of free commerce. This was granted. 2. Assistance against D'Aulnay of Penobscott, whom he had war with. 3. That he might make return of goods out of England by our merchants. In these two we excused any treaty with him, as having no letters or commission from La Tour. He was courteously entertained here, and after a few days departed.

9.] Query, whether the following be fit to be published.

The governour, Mr. Bellingham, was married, (I would not mention such ordinary matters in our history, but by occasion of some remarkable accidents). The young gentlewoman was ready to be contracted to a friend of his, who lodged in his house, and by his consent had proceeded so far with her, when on the sudden the governour treated with her, and obtained her for himself. He excused it by the strength of his affection, and that she was not absolutely promised to the other gentleman. Two errors more he committed upon it. 1. That he would not have his contract published where he dwelt, contrary to an order of court.<sup>1</sup> 2. That he married himself contrary

<sup>1</sup> By statute in 1639 the intention of the parties should have been published three times, on as many days, where the parties lived. From the first church records, I find, that the name of the "young gentlewoman," whose affections were withdrawn by the Governour from his friend, was Penelope Pelham. She came in 1635, at the age of 16, in the ship with Richard Saltonstall and his

to the constant practice of the country. The great inquest presented him for breach of the order of court, and at the court following, in the 4th month, the secretary called him to answer the prosecution. But he not going off the bench, as the manner was, and but few of the magistrates present, he put it off to another time, intending to speak with him privately, and with the rest of the magistrates about the case, and accordingly he told him the reason why he did not proceed, viz., being unwilling to command him publicly to go off the bench, and yet not thinking it fit he should sit as a judge, when he was by law to answer as an offender. This he took ill, and said he would not go off the bench, except he were commanded.<sup>1</sup>

Archibald Tomson,<sup>2</sup> of Marblhead,<sup>3</sup> carrying dung to his <sup>\*44</sup> ||ground|| in a canoe upon the Lord's day, in fair weather and still water, it sunk under him in the harbor near the shores and he was never seen after.

One Knore, of Charlestown, coming down Mistick in a small boat laden with wood, was found dead in it: a good caveat for men not to go single in boats in such a season of the year, for it was very stormy weather.<sup>4</sup>

9. 12.] A great tempest of wind and rain from the S. E. all the night, as fierce as an hurricane. It continued very violent at N. W. all the day after. Divers boats and one bark were

||garden||

---

wife, daughter of Brampton Gurdon, Esq., member of Parliament, and John Pelham, who may have been her brother. But, as the custom house docket mentions, that she was "to pass to her brother's plantation," I conclude, that she was sister of William and perhaps of Herbert, who, however, had not, then, like William, pitched his tent on our side of the ocean.

<sup>1</sup> "I did command him" was originally written, instead of the last three words. In June, 1642, the *new* governour was Winthrop. No wonder the people were scandalized at such a breach of order in their chief magistrate.

<sup>2</sup> All my knowledge of this person is derived from the inexhaustible stores of that thorough antiquary, John Farmer, Esquire, of Concord, N. H. who quotes for me from the Salem records: "1. 11. 1637, the inhabitants of Marblehead to be rated," and adds that Arch. Tomson was rated 40 shillings.

<sup>3</sup> A valuable Memoir, historical and topographical, of Marblehead is preserved in 1 Hist. Coll. VIII. 54-78.

<sup>4</sup> I think this was Thomas Knower, who had come in the fleet with Winthrop, probably, and went home, about 1634, to bring his wife and child next year.

cast away in the harbor, but (which was a wonder to all) no dwelling house blown down, nor any person killed; and the day after it came to S. E. again, and continued all the night with much wind and rain; and thereupon (it being about the new moon) followed the highest tide which we had seen since our arrival here.

The summer past was very cool and wet, so as much Indian corn never ripened, though some stood till the 20th of this month. It was observed, that people who fed upon that corn were extraordinarily infected with worms in their bodies all the year following, which in some was well prevented by leaving their bread and feeding upon salt fish.

The Charles of Dartmouth, of 400 tons, lying at Pascataquack to take in pipe staves, was forced from her anchors in the last tempest and driven upon the rocks; yet all her masts were before taken down to be new masted. There rode by her a small ship which was safe. This small ship was before despised by the men of the greater, and they would needs unrig their ship upon the Lord's day, though they were admonished not to do it. In the same great tempest a shallop of 3 tons rode it out all night at the head of Cape Anne, and came in safe after.

Mr. Stephen Batchellor, the pastor of the church at Hampton, who had suffered much at the hands of the bishops in England, being about 80 years of age, and having a lusty comely woman to his wife, did solicit the chastity of his neighbor's wife, who acquainted her husband therewith; whereupon he was dealt with, but denied it, as he had told the woman he would do, and complained to the magistrates against the woman and her husband for slandering him. The church likewise dealing with him, he stiffly denied it, but soon after, when the Lord's supper was to be administered, he did voluntarily confess the attempt, and that he did intend to have defiled her, if she would have consented. The church, being moved with his free confession and tears, silently forgave him, and communicated with him: but after, finding how scandalous it was, they took advice of other elders, and after long debate and much pleading and standing upon the church's forgiving and being reconciled to him in communicating with him after

he had confessed it, they proceeded to cast him out. After this he went on in a variable course, sometimes seeming very penitent, soon after again excusing himself, and casting blame upon others, especially his fellow elder Mr. Dalton, (who indeed had not carried himself in this cause so well as became him, and was brought to see his failing, and acknowledged it to the elders of the other churches who had taken much pains about this matter). So he behaved himself to the elders when they dealt with him. He was off and on for a long time, and when he had seemed most penitent, so as the church were ready to have received him in again, he would fall back again, and as it were repent of his repentance. In this time his house and near all his substance was consumed by fire. When he had continued excommunicated near two years, and much agitation had been about the matter, and the church being divided, so as he could not be received in, at length the matter was referred to some magistrates and elders, and by their mediation he was released of his excommunication, but not received to his pastor's office. Upon occasion of this meeting for mediation, Mr. Wilson, pastor of Boston, wrote this letter to him, (the letter is worthy inserting).<sup>1</sup>

¶ This year there was discovered a very foul sin, committed by three persons, who the year following came under censure for the same. The case was thus: One Daniel Fairfield (an half Dutchman) about forty years of age, and his wife a lusty young woman, dwelling at Salem near a farm of Mr. Humphrey (one of the magistrates) who much neglected his children, leaving them among a company of rude servants, two of them being young girls (the eldest not seven) came oft to this Fairfield's house, and were by him abused very often, especially upon the Lord's days and lecture days, by agitation and effusion of seed, and after by entering the body of the elder, as it seemed; for upon search she was found to have been forced, and in this course he continued about two years. These girls were after put to board and school to one Jenkin Davis<sup>2</sup> of

<sup>1</sup> Were it in my power, I would supply the author's deficiency by copying Wilson's letter on so tender a subject.

<sup>2</sup> This wretched member of the christian community had been received to the freeman's oath, 9 March, 1637.

Lynn, (who had been servant to Mr. Humfrey,) a member of the church there, and in good esteem for piety and sobriety. His wife being quick with child, and scrupulous of having fellowship with her husband in that condition, he was hurried by <sup>46</sup> the strength of lust to abuse the elder of these girls (being, then about 9 years of age,) but constantly denied any entrance of her body, and continued this wicked course near a year, but with much striving against the temptation, so as he would oft entreat his wife, when she went forth, to carry the children with her, and put up a bill to the elders, to pray for one, who was strongly tempted to a foul sin.

There was also one John Hudson, a lusty young man, an household servant to Mr. Humfrey, who working sometimes at the farm, the elder girl being there, and having no woman to lodge with, came to bed to him, and then he abused her, (she was then about eight years of age,) and after this he did abuse her many times, so as she was grown capable of man's fellowship, and took pleasure in it.

All this time the girl never discovered any of this wickedness, nor was there any suspicion thereof, till her father was gone into England,<sup>1</sup> which was (8.) 26, 41. Then she told her sister (who was newly married) how Fairfield had abused her, and being brought before the governour, and examined, she charged them all three, and declared how they used her from time to time. She also accused two of her own brothers to have used such dalliance with her. (They were so young, as they could not use any semination, and so were referred to private correction.) Thus was this family secretly polluted, and brake not out, till Mr. Humfrey had left the country, which he had plotted two or three years before, against the advice of his best friends.

The offenders, being brought to examination, presently confessed all but entrance of her body; and being committed to prison, and the judgment of the case referred to the general court, it was a great question what the kind of this sin was, whether sodomy, or rape, or etc., which caused the court to

---

<sup>1</sup> See page 86, after.

seek to know the mind of God by the help of all the elders of the country, both our own, and Plimouth, and Connecticut, New Haven, etc. They took it into consideration divers months, and at last returned different answers. Most of our own agreed in one, viz., that it was a rape, though she consented, in regard she was unripe and not of understanding fit to give consent, and that before God they were guilty of death; but because there was no express law in the word of God for such a sentence, nor any law made and published in the country, they referred it to the wisdom of the court, etc. They agreed also that penetration must necessarily be concluded, (if the body of the child be found to have been opened,) though the parties deny it. So also if man and woman be taken in <sup>\*47</sup> such a manner, (as in bed together, or their naked bodies joined, etc.) as in common intendment the act was committed, it is testimony sufficient, for it is not possible to see further. Those of Connecticut and New Haven agreed with the former in point of rape of an unripe girl. Some of the elders of Plimouth concurred with the rest; others, both there, and in the bay, were of different judgment, not thinking it to be capital, (but there were but few of that judgment).

One of the questions put to the elders was, an contactus et fricatio usque ad effusionem seminis sit sodomia morte plectenda? To this most of them answered negatively, and that there must be such an act as must make the parties one flesh.

Another question was, how far a magistrate might exact a confession from a delinquent in capital cases? To this it was answered by the most, that where such a fact is committed, and one witness or strong presumptions do point out the offender, there the judge may examine him strictly, and he is bound to answer directly, though to the peril of his life. But if there be only light suspicion, etc., then the judge is not to press him to answer, nor is he to be denied the benefit of the law, but he may be silent, and call for his accusers. But for examination by oath or torture in criminal cases, it was generally denied to be lawful.

A third question was, whether two vocal witnesses be always necessary for conviction and sentencing an offender? The answer of the most to this was; 1, that where the fact itself

speaks, or the offender freely confesseth, there needs no other witness, for witness is to clear hid or doubtful cases; 2, one clear witness with concurrent and concluding circumstances are instead of two witnesses.

A fourth question was, about presumptuous sins. To this, all made not answer; but the judgment of some of the chief [was], that such sins as are not capital in themselves, yet if committed with open contempt of authority, they are presumptuous capital sins, as Deut. 17, 12. So when a man goeth on in riot, whoredom, theft, etc., notwithstanding all restraint of civil authority, etc.

The help of the elders being presented to the general court, held in the 3 month 1642, the court proceeded against the said offenders, (Mr. Winthrop being again chosen governour at this court, and Mr. Thomas Flint<sup>1</sup> of Concord newly elected to be an assistant, so as there were now in all nine magistrates). The court was much divided about the sentence. The foulness of the sin, and their long continuance in it, wrought <sup>\*48</sup> strongly with many to put them to death, (specially Fairfield;) but after much dispute, (and some remaining doubtful,) the court agreed upon another sentence. The only reason that saved their lives, was, that the sin was not capital by any express law of God, but to be drawn only by proportion; nor was it made capital by any law of our own, so as we had no warrant to put them to death, and we had formerly refrained (by the advice of the elders) upon the same ground, in a case of manifest adultery, and rape of a child under 7 by a boy of about 17.

The sentence against Fairfield was, that he should be severely whipped at Boston and at Salem, and confined to Boston neck, upon pain of death, if he went out, etc., he should have one nostril slit and seared at Boston, and the other at Salem, and to wear an halter about his neck visibly all his life, or to be whipped every time he were seen abroad without it, and to die, if he attempted the like upon any person, and £40 to Mr. Humfrey.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> He brought into the country an estate of 2000 pounds, and was a very useful ruler, dying poor in 1653. See 3 Hist. Coll. I. 48.

<sup>2</sup> On the humble petition of Fairfield and his wife, probably accompanied

Jenkin Davis was to be whipped at Boston and Lynn, to wear an halter during the pleasure of the court, confined to Lynn and not to attempt the like upon any child upon pain of death, and to pay £40 to Mr. Humfrey.

John Hudson to be whipped at Boston and Lynn, and to pay Mr. Humfrey £20 within two years.

This sentence was accordingly executed. The parties received their punishment very patiently, without any striving or complaining, (though they had near 40 stripes,) and acknowledged their sins to be greater than their punishment, etc.

As people increased, so sin abounded, and especially the sin of uncleanness, and still the providence of God found them out. One [blank] Hackett, a servant in Salem, about 18 or 20 years of age, was found in buggery with a cow, upon the Lord's day. He was discovered by a woman, who being detained from the public assembly by some infirmity that day and by occasion looking out at her window, espied him in the very act; but being affrighted at it, and dwelling alone, she durst not call to him, but at night made it known, so as he was apprehended, and brought before the magistrate, to whom he confessed the attempt and some entrance, but denied the completing of the fact. The trial was deferred to the general court,

\*49 and much scruple there was with many, because there was but one witness; but in the end the court agreed, that his confession of some entrance was sufficient testimony with the woman (for more cannot be proved by testimony); so the major part condemned him to die. But the then governour, Mr. Bellingham, being doubtful of the evidence, refused to pronounce the sentence; so the deputy governour, Mr. Endecott, performed it. The boy remained stiff in his denial, and seemed not affected with the apprehension of death, (for he was noted always to have been a very stupid, idle, and ill-disposed boy, and would never regard the means of instruction, either in the church or family;) but after his condemnation, divers of

---

with certificates of his good behavior, they and their children were permitted, some years after, to leave the jurisdiction, on condition of wearing again the opprobrious rope, if he returned. A previous request for relief from the badge had been refused.

the elders and other christians resorting to him, and laboring by the word of God to convince him of his sin, and the present danger of his soul, (the elders also of Boston applying themselves to him in their public ministry,) it pleased the Lord so to bless his own ordinances, that his hard heart melted. He freely confessed the full completing this foul fact, and attempting the like before, with other wickedness he had been guilty of, and fell into much horror of conscience, and after being shut up in an inner room within the prison, his keeper (a very godly man) hearing him speaking, drew near the wall, and, perceiving he was praying, attended carefully by the space of [ blank ] with much amazement to hear one, who but a few days before was so ignorant and blockish, to pray now with such understanding and affection, confessing and bewailing his sins, judging himself for them with their due aggravations, justifying the Lord, appealing to his mercy by the death of his son, and pressing him with strong arguments from the word, etc. The keeper acquainting the elders herewith, they repaired to him, and finding that the Lord had begun a gracious work upon his soul, (the day of his execution being appointed on the morrow,) they obtained of the governour, etc., that it was respite a week longer, in which time he well improved, and gave good testimony of the truth of that work. He desired not much company, nor would use much speech to those who came to him, but would intreat his keeper sometimes to let nobody come to him, that he might be at liberty to speak with God. (11.)

13. When the day of execution came, after he had been at the lecture, he went to the place of execution sadly and silently, and being up the ladder, he said nothing; but the cow (with which he had committed that abomination) being brought forth and slain before him,<sup>1</sup> he brake out into a loud and doleful complaint against himself, bewailed his sinful course of life, his \*50 disobedience to his parents, his slighting and despising their

---

<sup>1</sup> If any one would require any addition of mine to the disgusting relation of this and one or two preceding paragraphs, he must have a depraved appetite. From Col. Rec. it is known, that the last criminal was named William, and that the cow was sentenced to be burnt. Since the change in our laws, which regard offences against nature no longer as capital crimes, we seldom hear of their perpetration.

instructions and the instructions of his dame, and other means of grace God had offered him, etc. Then Mr. Wilson, the pastor of Boston, (the rest of the elders and people there present joining with him,) prayed earnestly to the Lord for him a good space. He attended duly thereto, and prayed also himself, crying oft and earnestly for mercy; yet with a trembling body, and amazed with the apprehension of death so near at hand, to which he quietly yielded himself, when he was required. There is no doubt to be made but the Lord hath received his soul to his mercy; and he was pleased to lift up the light of his countenance so far towards him, as to keep him from despair, and to hold him close to his grace in a seeking condition; but he was not pleased to afford him that measure of peace and comfort as he might be able to hold out to others, lest sinful men, in the love of their lusts, should set mercy and repentance at too low a rate, and so miss of it when they vainly expect it.¶

The general court held in the 10th month past was full of uncomfortable agitations and contentions. The principal occasion (for history must tell the whole truth) was from the governour, who being a gentleman of good repute in England for wisdom and godliness, finding now that some other of the magistrates bare more sway with the people than himself, and that they were called to be of the standing council for life, and himself passed by, was so taken with an evil spirit of emulation and jealousy (through his melancholic disposition) as he set himself in an opposite frame to them in all proceedings, which did much retard all business, and was occasion of grief to many godly minds, and matter of reproach to the whole court in the mouths of others, and brought himself low in the eyes of those with whom formerly he had been in honor. Some instances I will give.

There fell out a case between Mr. Dudley, one of the council, and Mr. Howe, a ruling elder of the church of Watertown, about a title to a mill. The case is too long here to report, but it was so clear on Mr. Dudley's part, both in law and equity, (most of the magistrates also and deputies concurring therein,) as the elders, being desired to be present at the hearing of the case, they also consented with the judgment of the court, be-

fore the case was put to vote, and some of them humbly advised the court that it would be greatly to their dishonor, \*51 and an apparent injustice, if they should otherwise determine. Notwithstanding, he still labored to have the cause carried against Mr. Dudley, reproved some of the elders for their faithful advice, took upon him to answer all the arguments, but so weakly as many were ashamed at it, and in reading an order of court whereupon the issue of the case chiefly depended, he sought to help himself by such unworthy shifts, as interpreting some things against the very letter and common sense, wholly omitting the most material part, etc., refusing to put things to the vote that made against his purpose, etc., that all might see by what spirit he was led.<sup>1</sup>

Another case fell out about Mr. Maverick of Nottles Island, who had been formerly fined £100 for giving entertainment to Mr. Owen and one Hale's wife, who had escaped out of prison, where they had been put for notorious suspicion of adultery,<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Nothing can be learned of this controversy from the records. It is a little remarkable, that a dispute on title to real estate should rise before the country had been eleven years occupied. The opponent of Dudley was a respectable man, as of course must be presumed from his office in the church, before entering on which he was, I believe, a deputy from Watertown in May and Sept. 1635, in Sept. and Dec. 1636, and again May 1639. His name of baptism was Edward. In our Probate Records, I. 31, his will, made 13 June, 1644, is proved 25 July after.

<sup>2</sup> The character of Maverick induces me to believe, that he supposed the parties innocent, which probably influenced Winthrop and the majority to a mitigation of the penalty. Hospitality was a distinguished virtue of this gentleman, see Vol. I. 27, n. 4, but our fathers sometimes viewed its excess in him, as a failing, for at the general court, 4 March, 1634-5, I find this among their records, I. 142: "It is ordered that Mr. Samuel Maverick shall before the last of December next remove his habitation for himself and his family to Boston, and in the mean time shall not give entertainment to any strangers for longer times than one night, without leave from some assistant, and all this to be done under the penalty of 100 pound." Happily this order was repealed in Sept. following. My opinion of Maverick's conduct, reported in the text, gains confirmation from the implication of many others in the escape of the offenders. Our Col. Rec. I. 314, has: "At a quarter court at Boston 7 of 7, 1641, Thomas Owen, for his adulterous practices, was censured to be sent to the gallows with a rope about his neck, and to sit upon the ladder an hour, the rope's end thrown over the gallows, and so to return to prison.

as shall after be showed.<sup>1</sup> The court upon his petition had referred it to the usual committee, who made return that their opinion was, the court might do well to remit it to £60, which he knew would please some of the council well, who had often declared their judgment that fines should be so imposed as they might upon occasion be moderated. So when the petition was returned to him, he takes it and alters the sum from £60 to £80, without acquainting the court therewith, nor would say that he had done it, when the committee informed the court of the alteration, before the secretary charged him with it. Then he said, he did it in jest, and when the secretary said he had reformed it, and the court called to have it put to the vote, he refused, and stirred up much heat and contention about it, so in the end the court required the deputy to put it to the vote.

Upon these and other miscarriages the deputies consulted together, and sent up their speaker,<sup>2</sup> with some others, to give

---

Sarah Hales, the wife of William Hales, was censured for her miscarriage to be carried to the gallows with a rope about her neck, and to sit an hour upon the ladder, the rope's end flung over the gallows, and after to be banished."

A few lines lower is found: "Mr. Samuel Maverick, being found guilty of a confederacy with Thomas Owen to break prison, concealing of it, and letting be upon his island, was fined one hundred pounds.

Mr. Chidley for confederating and concealing, was fined 13 pounds 6. 8.

Mr. Ducket for confederating and concealing, was fined 13 pounds 6. 8.

Mr. Wollaston for concealing, being privy, was fined 13 pounds 6. 8.

Mr. Oateley for concealing, was fined 13 pounds 6. 8.

Thorne for concealing, hiding, and supplying, was fined 6 pounds 13. 6.

William Cope for concealing, was fined 6 pounds 13. 6.

Mary Wilbee for concealing and consenting, was fined 6 pounds 13. 6.

Thomas Owen for escaping out of prison, was fined 20 pounds, to be paid within a week, or to be severely whipped.

Sarah Hales for escaping, to pay 13 pounds 6. 8, or to be whipped and banished.

Mr. Dutchfield, Mr. Williams, and Mr. Hale, were admonished to take heed of the like concealment."

It will be observed, that seven of the men, besides Maverick, have the prefix of dignity, and it is not very unlikely that Mr. Hale was the husband of the female charged.

<sup>1</sup> It is not to be found, I am glad to say, in any part of this history.

<sup>2</sup> A natural inference would be, I believe, that this phrase denotes the pre-

him a solemn admonition, which was never done to any <sup>•53</sup> governour before, nor was it in their power without the magistrates had joined.

siding officer of the deputies ; yet it must be erroneous, if the governour and assistants still continued to sit with them in the same apartment, and generally to act as one assembly. The separation of magistrates and deputies was first provided for at the general court 7 of March, 1643-4, as may be seen in Col. Rec. II. 44-5, and in the proper place of this history. Previous to that event, we must presume, that the governour presided, or the deputy in the governour's absence, and such appears to be the current of evidence. At the general court 7 Oct. 1640, "it is ordered that no man in the general courts shall speak above three times to any cause, without leave from the governour or court, upon pain of twelve pence a time ; and that if any be speaking about private business, whilst the business of the court is in hand, he shall forfeit twelve pence in like sort." The enforcement of the latter branch of this rule would cause a great change in our deliberative bodies.

For explanation of the text I suggest, that the deputies "consulted together" *apart*, and chose one of their number speaker pro hac vice, as a fit person for the extraordinary service of solemn admonition to the chief magistrate. The records have nothing of this curious piece of history. Notice of the first election of a speaker occurs at the general court in May, 1644, when WILLIAM HAWTHORNE was chosen. In the third paragraph of the text after the above, this gentleman is said by the governour to be "usually one of their speakers." In this connexion it means, in my opinion, only one of those who usually spoke, and is rather corroborative of the above suggestion.

By the kindness of the former secretary of the commonwealth, Alden Bradford, Esq., I was furnished with the names of all the speakers to the period of our revolution, mentioned in the records ; and where the records are, as for some years they unhappily are, defective, persevering search of my own, with the assistance of the former treasurer, Nahum Mitchell, Esq., has enabled me to render complete this list, which the reader will be pleased to peruse with these observations, that the beginning only of a term of service is noted, the same person having been continued in office till the following date, and that where the month is not mentioned, it is always May or June Session.

- 1644 William Hawthorne of Salem,
- Oct. 1645 George Cooke of Cambridge,
- 1646 William Hawthorne,
- Oct. . . . Robert Keayne of Boston, but only for the first day,
- . . . . Robert Bridges of Lynn,
- 1647 Joseph Hill of Malden,
- 1648 William Hawthorne,
- Oct. . . . Richard Russell of Charlestown,
- 1649 Daniel Denison of Ipswich,
- 1650 William Hawthorne,

\*54 These continual oppositions and delays, tending to the hindrance and perverting of justice, afforded much occasion

---

Oct. . . . Richard Russell,  
 1651 Daniel Gookin of Cambridge,  
 Oct. . . . Daniel Denison,  
 1653 Humfrey Atherton of Springfield,\*  
 1654 Richard Russell,  
 1655 Edward Johnson of Woburn,  
 . . . Richard Russell,  
 1657 William Hawthorne,  
 1658 Richard Russell,  
 1659 Thomas Savage of Boston,  
 Dec. 1660 William Hawthorne,  
 1662 Thomas Clark of Boston,  
 1668 John Leverett of Boston,  
 1665 Thomas Clark,  
 1666 Richard Waldron of Dover,  
 1669 Thomas Clark,  
 1671 Thomas Savage of Andover,\*  
 1672 Thomas Clark,  
 1673 Richard Waldron,  
 Jan. 1674 Joshua Hobart of Hingham,  
 . . . Richard Waldron,  
 Feb. 1676 Peter Bulkley of Concord,  
 1677 Thomas Savage,  
 1679 Richard Waldron,  
 Feb. 1680 John Richards of Dorchester,  
 . . . Daniel Fisher of Dedham,  
 . . . Elisha Hutchinson of Boston,  
 1683 Elisha Cooke of Boston,  
 1684 John Waite of Malden,  
 1685 Isaac Addington of Boston,  
 1686 John Saffyn of Boston,

---

\* Atherton belonged to Dorchester; Savage, to Boston. Before 1694, our towns had not been restrained from choosing any person to represent them in the general court, other than freeholders and residents within such towns. See Hutch. II. 79, 80, from which it appears, that it was the high court party, in their vain support of the arbitrary conduct of Sir William Phips, which, by a majority of two, drove out the independent, non-resident members, because many belonged to Boston, and knew the governour's conduct too well. It was certainly a violation of the existing rights of the country towns, and an abridgment of their liberty for the future; but its ultimate result was beneficial. This part of our ancient constitution has been too much overlooked.

of grief to all the magistrates, especially to Mr. Dudley, who \*55  
being a very wise and just man, and one that would not

---

usurpation of Andros,  
 1689 Thomas Oakes of Boston,  
 Feb. 1690 John Bowles of Roxbury,  
 . . . Penn Townsend of Boston,  
 Oct. 1691 William Bond of Watertown,  
 1692 Penn Townsend,  
 June . . . under new charter of William and Mary, William Bond,  
 Nov. 1693 Nathaniel Byfield of Bristol,  
 1694 Nehemiah Jewett of Ipswich,  
 1695 William Bond,  
 Feb. 1696 Penn Townsend,  
 1698 Nathaniel Byfield,  
 1699 James Converse of Woburn,  
 1700 John Leverett of Cambridge,  
 1701 Nehemiah Jewett,  
 1702 James Converse,  
 1705 Thomas Oakes,  
 1707 John Burrill of Lynn,  
 1708 Thomas Oliver of Cambridge,  
 1709 John Clark of Boston,  
 1711 John Burrill,  
 1720 Elisha Cooke of Boston, negatived, and the court dissolved for  
 refusing to choose any but him,  
 July . . . Timothy Lindall of Salem,  
 1721 John Clark,  
 1724 William Dudley of Roxbury,  
 1729 John Quincy of Braintree,  
 1739 Paul Dudley of Roxbury, negatived, and then  
 . . . John Quincy,  
 1741 William Fairfield of Wenham,  
 1742 Thomas Cushing of Boston,  
 1746 Thomas Hutchinson of Boston,  
 1749 Joseph Dwight of Brookfield,  
 1750 Thomas Hubbard of Boston,  
 1759 Samuel White of Taunton,  
 1760 James Otis of Barnstable,  
 1762 Timothy Ruggles of Hardwick,  
 1764 Samuel White, and in his absence, pro. tem.  
 June . . . Thomas Clap of Dorchester,  
 1765 Samuel White,  
 1766 James Otis, jr. of Boston, negatived, and then  
 . . . Thomas Cushing of Boston, and in his sickness, pro. tem.

be trodden under foot of any man, took occasion (alleging his age, etc.) to tell the court that he was resolved to leave his place, and therefore desired them against the next court of elections to think of some other. The court was much affected with it, and entreated him, with manifestation of much affection and respect towards him, to leave off these thoughts, and offered him any ease and liberty that his age and infirmities might stand in need of, but he continued resolute. Thereupon the governour also made a speech, as if he desired to leave his place of magistracy also, but he was fain to make his own answer, for no man desired him to keep, or to consider better of it.

This session continued three weeks, and establised 100 laws, which were called the Body of Liberties. They had been composed by Mr. Nathaniel Ward, (sometime pastor of the church of Ipswich: he had been a minister in England, and formerly a student and practiser in the course of the common law,) and had been revised and altered by the court, and sent forth into every town to be further considered of, and now again in this court, they were revised, amended, and presented, and so established for three years, by that experience to have them fully amended and established to be perpetual.<sup>1</sup>

At this session Mr. Hathorn,<sup>2</sup> one of the deputies, and usually one of their speakers, made a motion to some other of the

---

April, 1770, John Hancock of Boston, negatived, and then  
 . . . James Warren of Plimouth,  
 . . . Thomas Cushing.

<sup>1</sup> At the end of Vol. I. of our Col. Rec. appears this sentence, written by Winthrop himself: "At this court the Bodye of Lawes formerly sent forth amonoge the freemen, etc., was voted to stand in force, etc." This body of Liberties, with introduction, equally learned and copious, is printed in 3 Mass. Hist. Coll. VIII. 191.

<sup>2</sup> William Hathorne, or Hawthorne, as the Secretary in the public records usually spells the name, to conform to the sound, was a distinguished man in Salem, where his posterity have always enjoyed influence. Sufficient account of him may be found in Eliot and in the history of Salem, 1 Hist. Coll. VI. But the author of that tract, more celebrated for his amiable temper and multifarious learning, than for correctness or method in exhibiting facts, has left his reader to presume, that Hathorne was not a deputy before 1643; and Eliot, following Hutchinson, dates his first election as speaker in 1650. He came deputy in May 1635, in Sept. 1637, and very often after.

deputies of leaving out two.<sup>1</sup> of their ancientest magistrates, because they were grown poor, and spake reproachfully of them under that motion. This coming to Mr. Cotton his knowledge, he took occasion from his text, the next lecture day, to confute, and sharply (in his mild manner) to reprove such miscarriage, which he termed a slighting or dishonoring of parents, and told the country, that such as were decayed in their estates by attending the service of the country ought to be maintained by the country, and not set aside for their poverty, being otherwise so well gifted, and approved by long experience to be faithful. This public reproof gave such a check to the former motion as it was never revived after. Yet by what followed it appeared, that the fire, from which it brake out, was only raked up, not quenched, as will be shewed anon.

Mr. Hathorn and some others were very earnest to have some certain penalty set upon lying, swearing, etc., which the deputy and some other of the magistrates opposed, (not disliking to have laws made against || these || or any other offences, but in respect of the certain punishment,) whereupon Mr. Hathorn charged him with seeking to have the government arbitrary, etc., and the matter grew to some heat, for the deputy<sup>2</sup> was a wise and a stout gentleman, and knew Mr. Hathorn his neighbor well, but the strife soon fell, and there was no more spoken of it that court. Yet this gave occasion to some of the magistrates to prepare some arguments against the course intended, of bringing all punishments to a certainty. The scope of these reasons was to make good this proposition, viz. All punishments, except such as are made certain in the law of God, or are not subject to variation by merit of circumstances, ought to be left arbitrary to the wisdom of the judges.

Reason 1. God hath left a pattern hereof in his word, where so few penalties are prescribed, and so many referred to the judges; and God himself varieth the punishments of the same offences, as the offences vary in their circumstances; as in manslaughter, in the case of a riotous son proving incorrigible, in the same sin aggravated by ||<sup>2</sup> presumption, || theft, etc., which

|| theft ||

||<sup>2</sup> presumptuous ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Probably Winthrop was one.

<sup>2</sup> Endecott.

are not only rules in these particular cases, but to guide the judges by proportion in all other cases: as upon the law of adultery, it may be a question whether Bathsheba ought to die by that law, in regard to the great temptation, and the command and power of the kings of Israel. So that which was capital in the men of Jabesh Gilead, Judges [xxi. 10] in not coming up to the princes upon proclamation, was but confiscation of goods, etc., in Ezra 10. 8. See 2d Sam. 14. 6. 11.

Reason 2. All punishments ought to be just, and, offences varying so much in their merit by occasion of circumstances, it would be unjust to inflict the same punishment upon the least as upon the greatest.

\*57 3. Justice requireth that every cause should be heard before it be judged, which cannot be when the sentence and punishment is determined before hand.

4. Such parts and gifts, as the word of God requires in a judge, were not so necessary, if all punishments were determined beforehand.

5. God hath not confined all wisdom, etc., to any one generation, that they should set rules for all others to walk by.

6. It is against reason that some men should better judge of the merit of a cause in the bare theory thereof, than others (as wise and godly) should be able to discern of it pro re nata.

7. Difference of times, places, etc., may aggravate or extenuate some offences.

8. We must trust God, who can and will provide as wise and righteous judgment for his people in time to come, as in the present or forepassed times; and we should not attempt the limiting of his providence, and frustrating the gifts of others, by determining all punishments, etc.

Objection. In theft and some other cases, as cases capital, God hath prescribed a certain punishment.

Ans. 1. In theft, etc., the law respects the damage and injury of the party, which is still one and the same, though circumstances may aggravate or extenuate the sin. 2. In capital cases death is appointed as the highest degree of punishment which man's justice can reach.

Objection. Then we might as well leave all laws arbitrary at the discretion of the judge.

Ans. 1. The reason is not like. 1. God gave a certain law where he left the punishment arbitrary, so as we have a clear rule to guide the law where the punishment may be uncertain. The varying of the offence in the circumstances doth not vary the ground or equity of the law, nor the nature of the guilt, as it doth the measure of the reward. He is as || fully || guilty of theft who steals a loaf of bread for his hunger, as he that steals an horse for his pleasure.

Objection. The statutes in England set down a certain penalty for most offences.

Ans. 1. We are not bound to make such examples ourselves. 2. The penalty, commonly, is not so much as the least degree of that offence deserves: 12d. for an oath, 5s. for drunkenness, etc.

Mo. 11]. Those of Providence, being all anabaptists, were divided in judgment; some were only against baptizing of infants; others denied all magistracy and churches, etc., of which Gorton,<sup>1</sup> who had lately been whipped at Aquiday, as is before \*58

||freely||

---

<sup>1</sup> Of Samuel Gorton, a distinguished fanatic "in the most high and palmy state" of fanaticism on each side of the ocean, a perfect biography would require more examination than I have leisure to bestow, and would probably be thought by the reader a labor little rewarded by the result. Allen and Eliot in their dictionaries have adequately commemorated him, and the latter in his candid essays on Eccl. Hist. 1. Hist. Coll. IX. 85-88, has dilated upon the injurious treatment he received. Hutchinson is also explicit in his opinion against it. From the long narrative, in this volume, of the proceedings relative to the claim of lands purchased by him and others at Narraganset, will be discerned equal degrees of injustice and cruelty in the rulers of Massachusetts: any one, whose curiosity is eager enough to look at a defence, may find satisfaction in the bloody bigotry of Johnson, lib. II. c. 23, 24. Allen would not include this author in his references. As the heretic had not been in his grave perhaps twenty years, when Mather wrote, the Magnalia of course contains the maledictions furnished by Morton and Hubbard, whose enmity is less censurable, because contemporary. Callender, 36-38, has spoken with his usual candor of both parties; and from a more inquisitive antiquary than Callender I had encouragement to expect a correction of many of the idle scandals against Gorton, as in my note Vol. I. 296 is expressed. That valued correspondent, in answer to a letter of 30 June, 1820, writes, 16 October of the same year:—

"I did intend, when I wrote the letter accompanying the papers sent to the Historical Society, to have given a sketch of the life of Gorton, whom I still

think has been much abused ; and had made minutes for that purpose. But my feelings at present are widely different from what they were at that time. I mean not by this that I have lost all curiosity for these subjects, but I have lost nearly all confidence as to the truth of what is related. I see in my own times, that I cannot get at the truth of what passes before my own eyes. How then can I know what took place 200 years ago, when I have no evidence but that which is distorted by the worst passions ? I mean not this charge for one side more than another ; and I at the same time acknowledge that these considerations ought not to deter us from using our exertions to come at the truth, and preserve it for the benefit of others. But still, such are my feelings. As to Gorton, he was undoubtedly, in religion, a wild enthusiast. I obtained from his descendants a manuscript volume, from which I expected to have learnt something of his history. But it contained nothing but the wildest and most extravagant whimsies. He spiritualized every thing, and one would almost have thought that he had taken the tour of Swedenburgh. At any rate, I believe there is no one at this time sufficiently skilled in *mysteries* to interpret his meaning. You must know that, in a conveyance to his son on record, he calls himself ' Professor of the mysteries of Christ ' Before this, in a release from John Duckingfield, he is called by the more humble title of ' Samuel Gorton of London, clothier.' It is said that Gorton was banished, 1637, from the colonies of Massachusetts, Plymouth, and Rhode Island. I presume the Island of Rhode Island is meant, though it was not settled till the year after. There is no evidence of that fact on record as relates to Rhode Island. It does not appear that he was ever a freeholder or freeman of that Island, though 20th, 4th, 1638 he was admitted an inhabitant. In March 1642, Randall Houlden, Richard Carder and others were disfranchised the Island. These, Backus says, *followed* Gorton to Newport from Plymouth, though Carder and Houlden were two of the original purchasers of the Island, and both signed the original act of incorporation. Houlden, with R. Williams, witnessed the deed to Coddington, etc., dated 24th, 1st month, 1637. I mention these facts to show how easy it is to write carelessly about men whom we hate or despise. Some writers say he was whipped, others corrected, at Newport. Which is true, if by correction is meant other than whipping, I know not. There is no evidence on record of either. But admit he was both whipped and corrected. It was not for *crime*. An immoral act, as far as I know, has not been charged upon him. His offences were his opinions. On whom then does the odium of whipping fall ? on him who unjustly suffered, or him who unrighteously inflicted the unjust punishment ? I esteem not the less the character of Obadiah Holmes, from whom, on the maternal side, I have been lately told I am descended, for having been most cruelly whipped. Now, any man of common sense would be ashamed to avow the principles which actuated his accusers, in bringing him to the post. We should all much rather share with the sufferer, than his judges, the odium of the punishment.

I have read, I believe, almost every word that is legible of the record of this colony, from its first settlement till after the death of Gorton. From the first establishment of government, he was almost constantly in office ; and during a

mentioned,<sup>1</sup> was their instricter and captain. These, being <sup>•59</sup> || too || strong for the other party, provoked them by injuries, so as they came armed into the field, each against other, but Mr. Williams pacified them for the present. This occasioned the weaker party to write a letter, under all their hands, to our governour and magistrates, complaining of the wrongs they suffered, and desiring aid, or, if not that, counsel from us. We answered them that we could not levy any war, etc. || <sup>2</sup>without || a general court. For counsel we told them, that except they did submit themselves to some jurisdiction, either Plimouth or ours, we had no calling or warrant to interpose in their contentions, but if they were once subject to any, then they had a calling to protect them. After this answer we heard no more from them for a time.<sup>2</sup>

|| two ||

|| <sup>2</sup>with ||

---

long life there is no instance of record, to my knowledge, of any reproach or censure cast upon him, no complaint against him, although history furnishes abundance of evidence that there was no lack of enemies to his person, principles, or property. This can hardly be said of any other settler in the colony of any standing. It was this fact that fixed my opinion of the general tenor of his conduct, and the uprightness of his character. I remember an instance in which he applied to be excused from serving in the court of commissioners, and assigned his long services as a reason. It would be a remarkable fact, that a man should be an enemy to *magistracy*, to religion, in short a bad man, and yet constantly enjoy the confidence of his fellow townsmen, and receive from them the highest honors in their gift."

After all, I can do nothing better, than to advise whoever would form an opinion on the doctrines and conduct of this man, to examine the Notices published in the Genealogical Register, IV. 201, one of the best tracts in that miscellany.

<sup>1</sup> I find no former mention of this punishment by Winthrop, but learn from Lechford, an author, whom my friend, whose letter gives its chief value to the last note, had not probably seen, that "there lately they whipt one Mr. Gorton, a grave man, for denying their power, and abusing some of their magistrates with uncivil terms; the governour, Mr. Coddington, saying, in court, you that are for the king lay hold on Gorton, and he again on the other side called forth, all you that are for the king lay hold on Coddington, whereupon Gorton was banished the island. So with his wife and children he went to Providence. They began about a small trespass of swine, but it is thought some other matter was ingredient."

<sup>2</sup> The original matter of complaint by the Providence people was published by

\*60 The frost was so great and continual this winter, that all the bay was frozen over, so much and so long, as the like, by the Indians' relation, had not been these 40 years, and it continued from the 18th of this month to the 21st of the 12th month; so as horses and carts went over in many places where ships have sailed. Capt. Gibbons and his wife, with divers on foot by them, came riding from his farm at Pullen point, right over to Boston, the 17th of the 12th month, when it had thawed so much as the water was above the ice half a foot in some places; and they passed with loads of wood and six oxen from Muddy river to Boston, and when it thawed it removed great rocks of above a ton or more weight, and brought them on shore. The snow likewise was very deep, especially northward about Acomenticus, above three feet, and much more beyond. It was frozen also to sea so far as one could well discern.

To the southward also the frost was as great and the snow as deep, and at Virginia itself the great bay was much of it frozen over, and all their great rivers, so as they lost much cattle for want of hay, and most of their swine.

There was a shallop with eight men to go from Pascataquack to Pemaquid about the beginning of the frost, they would needs set forth upon the Lord's day, though forewarned, etc. They were taken with a N. W. tempest and put to sea about 14 days: at length they recovered Monhigen. Four of them died with cold, the rest were discovered by a fisherman a good time after, and so brought off the Island.

There was great fear lest much hurt might have been done upon the breaking up of the frost, (men and beasts were grown so **bold**) but, by the good providence of God, not one person miscarried, save one Warde of Salem, an honest young man, who going to show a traveller the safest passage over the river, as he thought, by the salthouse, fell in, and, though he had a pitchfork in his hand, yet was presently carried under the ice by the tide. The traveller fell in with one leg while he went

**[cold]**

---

me in 3 Hist. Coll. I. 2. It is not strange, that after the answer of our rulers, they heard no more for a time.

to help the other, but God preserved him. He had about him all the letters from England which were brought in a ship newly arrived at the Isle of Shoals, which sure were the occasion of God's preserving him, more than any goodness of the man. Most of the bridges were broken down and divers mills.

About this time one Turner of Charlestown, a man of <sup>\*61</sup> about 50 years of age, having led a loose and disorderly life, and being wounded in conscience at a sermon of Mr. Shepherd's, he kept it in and did not discover his distress to such as might have offered him help, etc., nor did attend upon the public means as he ought to have done, and after a good space he went out from his wife on the Lord's day at night, having kept at home all that day, and drowned himself in a little pit where was not above two feet water.

At New Haven there was a sow, which among other pigs had one without hair, and some other human resemblances, it had also one eye blemished, just like one eye of a loose fellow in the town, which occasioned him to be suspected, and being examined before the magistrates, he confessed the fact, for which, after they had written to us, and some other places for advice, they put him to death.<sup>1</sup>

Three men coming in a shallop from Braintree, the wind taking them short at Castle Island, one of them stepping forward to hand the sail, caused a fowling piece with a French lock, which lay in the boat, to go off. The whole charge went through the thigh of one man within one inch of his belly, yet missed the bone, then the shot (being goose shot) scattered a little and struck the second man under his right side upon his breast, so as above 40 shot entered his body, many into the capacity of his breast. The third man being now only able to steer, but not to get home the boat, it pleased God the wind

---

<sup>1</sup> We should think, unless otherwise instructed, that the life of the messenger might have been as precious as his despatches, in the view of heaven. Perhaps there is some danger in our author's construction of this providence, as Warde, who is well spoken of, was lost under the ice.

<sup>1</sup> The confession, we ought to presume, was very full. This absurd paragraph is transcribed to swell the follies of the *Magnalia*, book VI, most appropriately called *Thaumaturgus*.

favored him so as he did fetch the governour's garden, and there being a small boat and men at that time, they brought them to Boston before they were too far spent with cold and pain, and beyond all expectation, they were both soon perfectly recovered, yet he who was shot in the breast fell into a fever and spit blood.

One John Turner,<sup>1</sup> a merchant's factor of London, had gone from hence to the West Indies the year before in a small pinnace of 15 tons, and returned with great advantage in indigo, pieces of 8, etc. He said he got them by trade, but it was suspected he got them by prize. He prepared a bigger vessel and well manned in the beginning of winter, and putting to sea was forced in again three times. 1. By a leak. 2. By a contrary wind; and 3. he spent his mast in fair weather, and having gotten a new at Cape Anne, and towing it towards the bay, he lost it by the way, and so by these occasions and by the frost, he was kept in all winter. Thereupon he gave over his voyage and went to Virginia, and there sold his vessel and shipped himself and his commodities in a Dutch ship for the West Indies.

Mo. 1. 27.] Mr. William Aspenwall, who had been banished, as is before declared, for joining with Mr. Wheelwright, being licensed by the general court to come and tender his submission, etc., was this day reconciled to the church of Boston. He made a very free and full acknowledgment of his error and seduement, and that with much detestation of his sin. The like he did after, before the magistrates, who were appointed by the court to take his submission, and upon their certificate thereof at the next general court, his sentence of banishment was released.

It is observable how the Lord doth honor his people and

---

<sup>1</sup> It is presumed, that this person became a planter at Barbados, and kept up a correspondence with his old friends here. In our Registry of Deeds, Vol. II. 27, is entered a bond from one of the same name to John Richards of Boston, dated 16 Aug. 1658, for "22,848 lbs. of good, dry, well-cured muscovado sugar, to be delivered at the Indian Bridge, or at some convenient store house at the hol' in this island of Barbados, free of storage, at or before the last day of April next." It was recorded after it was payable, of course, on request of obligee.

justify their ways, even before the heathen, when their proceedings are true and just, as appears by this instance. Those at New Haven, intending a plantation at Delaware, sent some men to purchase a large portion of land of the Indians there, but they refused to deal with them. It so fell out that a Pequod sachem (being fled his country in our war with them, and having seated himself with his company upon that river ever since) was accidentally there at that time. He, taking notice of the English and their desire, persuaded the other sachem to deal with them, and told him that howsoever they had killed his countrymen and driven him out, yet they were honest men, and had just cause to do as they did, for the Pequods had done them wrong, and refused to give such reasonable satisfaction as was demanded of them. Whereupon the sachem entertained them, and let them have what land they desired.

2. 14.] A general fast was kept for our native country and Ireland and our own occasions.

The spring began very early, and the weather was very mild, but the third and fourth month proved very wet and cold, so that the low meadows were much spoiled, and at Connecticut they had such a flood as brake their bridges, and killed all their winter corn, and forced them to plant much of their Indian over.

The last winter<sup>1</sup> divers vessels were cast away to the southward, one at Long Island, where 8 or 9 persons were drowned. These were loose people, who lived by trucking with the Indians.

Mo. 3. 9.] The ship Eleanor of London, one Mr. || Inglee || master, arrived at Boston. She was laden with tobacco from Virginia, and having been about 14 days at sea, she was taken with such a tempest, as though all her sails were down and made up, yet they were blown from the yards, and she was laid ||<sup>2</sup>over|| on one side two and a half hours, so low as the water stood upon her deck, and the sea over-raking her contin-

||Jugle||

||<sup>3</sup>only||

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 422, has a strange mistake of the date of these misfortunes, not observing that his guide spoke of divers vessels in the last winter, he notices one, and makes the wreck on 14 April.

ually, and the day was as dark as if it had been night, and though they had cut her masts, yet she righted not till the tempest assuaged. She staid here till the 4th of the (4) and was well fitted with masts, sails, rigging, and victuals at such reasonable rates as the master was much affected with his entertainment, and professed that he never found the like usage in Virginia where he had traded these ten years.

Captain Underhill, finding no employment here that would maintain him and his family, and having good offers made him by the Dutch governour, (he speaking the Dutch tongue and his wife a Dutch woman,) had been with the governour, and being returned desired the church's leave to depart. The church, understanding that the English, at Stamford near the Dutch, had offered him employment and maintenance, (after their ability,) advised him rather to go thither, seeing they were our countrymen and in a church estate. He accepted this advice. His wife, being more forward to this, consented, and the church furnished him out, and provided a pinnace to transport him; but when he came there he changed his mind, or at least his course, and went to the Dutch.

18.] The court of elections was. Mr. Winthrop was again chosen governour, and Mr. Endecott deputy governour. This being done, Mr. Dudley went away, and though he were chosen an assistant, yet he would not accept it. Some of the elders went to his house to deal with him. His answer was, that he had sufficient reasons to excuse and warrant his refusal, which he did not think fit to publish, but he would impart to any one or two of them whom they should appoint, which he did accordingly. The elders acquainted the court with what they had done, but not with the reasons of his refusal, only that they thought them not sufficient. The court sent a magistrate and two deputies to desire him to come to the court, for as a counsellor he was to assist in the general court. The next day he came, and after some excuse he consented to accept the place, so that the court would declare that if at any time he should depart out of the jurisdiction, (which he || protested || he \*64 did not intend,) no oath, either of officer, counsellor, or assistant should hold him in any bond || <sup>2</sup>where || he stood.

|| professed ||

|| <sup>2</sup>which ||

§ This § he desired, not for his own satisfaction, but that it might be a satisfaction to others who might scruple his liberty herein. After much debate the court made a general order which gave him satisfaction.

One Mr. Blinman,<sup>1</sup> a minister in Wales, a godly and able man, came over with some friends of his, and being invited to Green's Harbor,<sup>2</sup> near Plimouth, they went thither, but ere the year was expired there fell out some difference among them, which by no means could be reconciled, so as they agreed to part, and he came with his company and sat down at Cape Anne, which at this court was established to be a plantation, and called Gloucester.

A book was brought into the court, wherein the institution of the standing council was pretended to be a sinful innovation. The governour moved to have the contents of the book examined, and then, if there appeared cause, to inquire after the author. But the greatest part of the court, having some intimation of the author, of whose honest intentions they were well persuaded, would not consent, only they permitted it to be read, but not to be spoken unto, but would have inquiry first made how it came into the court. Whereupon it was found to have been made by Mr. Saltonstall, one of the assistants, and by him sent to Mr. Hathorn (then a deputy of the court) to be tendered to the court, if he should approve of it.

---

<sup>1</sup> Richard Blinman, whom Johnson means not to disparage, when he spells his name Blindman, lib. II. c. 20, is well remembered by Allen in Biog. Dict. and by Eliot, in Eccl. Hist. 1 Hist. IX. 39. He came, we may be sure, as early as 1640; for in March, 1641, before any vessel had arrived that season from Europe, application for admission as freeman in Plimouth Col. was made by him, or some of his fellow passengers. After eighteen years passed in our country, at four several vineyards, viz., Marshfield, Gloucester, New London, and New Haven, he returned to England, and continued in the service at Bristol, having declined offer to settle at Newfoundland, where he stopped on his way. This I learn from a letter of Davenport, mentioning receipt of one, written 22 Aug., 1659, by Blinman from that island, and see Holmes I. 346.

<sup>2</sup> Marshfield is the corporate name, though it was first called Rexham by the Plimouth government. See 1 Hist. Coll. IV. 111. by which it appears their first minister was Edward Bulkley, though the name is given Buckley there, and in 2 Hist. Coll. X. 65. But Hubbard spells it, 663, as I do. He was, probably, son of the first teacher of Concord.

Mr. Hathorn did not acquaint the court with it, but delivered it to one of the freemen to consider of, with whom it remained about half a year, till he delivered it to Mr. Dudley. This discovery being made, the governour moved again that the matter of the book might be considered, but the court could not agree to it except Mr. Saltonstall were first acquit from any censure concerning the said book. This was thought to be a course out of all

\*65 order, and upon that some passages very offensive and un-

warrantable were mentioned, about which also the court being divided, the governour moved to take the advice of the elders concerning the soundness of the propositions and arguments. This the court would not allow neither, except the whole cause were referred also, which he thought sure they would have accepted, for the cause being of a civil nature, it belonged to the court, and not to the elders, to judge of the merit thereof. In the end, a day or two after, when no further proceeding was otherwise like to be had, it was agreed, that in regard the court was not jealous of any evil intention in Mr. Saltonstall, etc., and that when he did write and deliver it, (as was supposed,) there was an order in force, which gave liberty to every freeman to consider and deliver their judgments to the next court about such fundamental laws as were then to be established, (whereof one did concern the institution and power of the council,) therefore he should be discharged from any censure or further inquiry about the same, which was voted accordingly, although there were some expressions in the book which would not be warranted by that order, as that the council was instituted unwarily to satisfy Mr. Vane's desire, etc., whereas it was well known to many in the court, as themselves affirmed, that it was upon the advice and solicitation of the elders, and after much deliberation from court to court. Other passages there were also, which were very unsound, reproachful and dangerous, and was manifested by an answer made thereunto by Mr. Dudley, and received at the next session of the court, and by some observations made by Mr. Norris, a grave and judicious elder, teacher of the church in Salem, (and with some difficulty read also in court,) who, not suspecting the author, handled him somewhat sharply according to the merit of the matter.

This summer || five || ships || <sup>2</sup>more were || built, || <sup>3</sup>three || at Boston, and one at Dorchester, and one at Salem.

A cooper's wife of Hingham, having been long in a sad melancholic distemper near to phrensy, and having formerly attempted to drown her child, but prevented by God's gracious providence, did now again take an opportunity, being alone, to carry her child, aged three years, to a creek near her house, and stripping it of the clothes, threw it into the water and mud. But, the tide being low, the little child scrambled out, and taking up its clothes, came to its mother who was set down not far off. She carried the child again, and threw it in so far as it could not get out; but then it pleased God, that a young man, coming that way, saved it. She would give no other reason for it, but that she did it to save it from misery, and withal that she was assured, she had sinned against the Holy Ghost, and that she could not repent of any sin. Thus doth satan work by the advantage of our infirmities, which should stir us up to cleave the more fast to Christ Jesus, and to walk the more humbly and watchfully in all our conversation.

At this general court appeared one Richard Gibson,<sup>1</sup> a scholar, sent some three or four years since to Richman's Island to be a minister to a fishing plantation there belonging to one Mr. || Trelawney || of Plimouth in England. He removed from thence to Pascataquack, and this year was entertained by the fishermen at the Isle of Shoals to preach to them. He, being wholly addicted to the hierarchy and discipline of England, did exercise a ministerial function in the same way, and did marry and baptize at the Isle of Shoals which was now found to be within our jurisdiction. This man being incensed against Mr. Larkham, pastor of the church at Northam, (late Dover,) for some speeches he delivered in his sermon against such hirelings, etc., he sent an open letter to him, wherein he did scan-

|| three ||      || <sup>2</sup>were new ||      || <sup>3</sup>one ||      || <sup>4</sup>Tretaway ||

---

<sup>1</sup> No just ground of complaint, I suppose, appeared against Gibson, who probably did good service to the poor fishermen, at least by his marrying them. A curious absurdity may be seen in 1 Hist. Coll. VII. 250, from which it seems, that women were forbidden to live at the Isle of Shoals; but it was then under a different jurisdiction.

dalize our government, oppose our title to those parts, and provoke the people, by way of arguments, to revolt from us (this letter being showed to many before it came to Mr. Larkham). Mr. Gibson being now showed this letter, and charged with his offence, he could not deny the thing, whereupon he was committed to the marshall. In a day or two after he preferred a petition, which gave not satisfaction, but the next day he made a full acknowledgment of all he was charged with, and the evil thereof, submitting himself to the favor of the court. Whereupon, in regard he was a stranger, and was to depart the country within a few days, he was discharged without any fine or other punishment.

Mo. 4. 8.] One Nathaniel || Briscoe, ||<sup>1</sup> a godly young man, newly admitted a member of the church of Boston, being single, he kept with his father, a godly poor man, but minded his own advantage more than his father's necessity, so as that his father, desiring in the evening to have his help the next <sup>67</sup> day, he neglected his father's request, and rose very early next morning to go help another man for wages, and being loading a boat in a small creek, he fell into the water and was drowned.

About this time the adventurers to the Isle of Sable fetched off their men and goods all safe. The oil, teeth, seal and horse hides, and some black fox skins, came to near £1500.

One Darby Field,<sup>2</sup> an Irishman, living about Pascataquack, being accompanied with two Indians, went to the top of the white hill.<sup>3</sup> He made his journey in 18 days. His relation at

|| Bristoe ||

---

<sup>1</sup> We cannot presume, that this godly young member of the church of Boston, who disregarded his poor father's request, was the same so shockingly beaten by the head of the college, Vol. I. 308. The Governour mistook his name, which was Daniel.

<sup>2</sup> Field was one of the earliest members of the church of Exeter, Haz. I. 268.

<sup>3</sup> This was, undoubtedly, the first visit of any European to the White Mountains. Belknap has erroneously, N. H. I. 32-24, made Neal, "in company with Josselyn and Darby Field," in 1682, the discoverers; and magnifies his error by this note: "Mr. Hubbard, and after him Gov. Hutchinson, place this discovery of the White Hills in 1642. But as Neal had positive orders to discover the lakes, and tarried but three years in the country, employing great part of

his return was, that it was about || one hundred || miles from Saco, that after 40 miles travel he did, for the most part, ascend, and within 12 miles of the top was neither tree nor grass, but low || "savins,"|| which they went upon the top of sometimes, but a continual ascent upon rocks, on a ridge between two valleys filled with snow, out of which came two branches of Saco river, which met at the foot of the hill where was an Indian town of some 200 people. Some of them accompanied him within 8 miles of the top, but durst go no further, telling him that no Indian ever dared to go higher, and that he would die if he went. So they staid there till his return, and his two Indians took courage by his example and went with him. They went divers times through the thick clouds for a good space, and within 4 miles of the top they had no clouds, but very cold. By the way, among the rocks, there were two ponds, \*68 one a blackish water and the other reddish. The top of all was plain about 60 feet square. On the north side there was such a precipice, as they could scarce discern to the bottom. They had neither cloud nor wind on the top, and moderate heat. All the country about him seemed a level, except here and there a hill rising above the rest, but far beneath them. He saw to the north a great water which he judged to be about

|| 160 ||

||<sup>2</sup> blank ||

---

his time in searching the woods, it is probable that Mr. Hubbard mistook one figure in his date." Here, as he has often done elsewhere, Hubbard might indeed have mistaken a figure, but he faithfully copied Winthrop, whose work was unknown, except in MS., to Dr. Belknap, when the first volume of his history of N. H. was published. A greater mistake is, however, chargeable on Belknap, in making Josselyn the companion of Neal, who was gone home four years before Josselyn came over. Nor did Josselyn make the journey, according to his own account, before his second voyage to New England in 1663. That Neal ever went to the White Mountains, is not rendered probable by any authorities cited by Belknap; and as the circumstance would have been for him a great matter of boasting, we may be confident of the priority of Field, as in the text above. The great lake of Iroquois, which the grandson of Sir F. Gorges writes about as *ascertained* by Neal to be 90 or 100 miles by land from Pascataquack settlement, was, I am satisfied, the Winipiseogee. Distances were always magnified in the wilderness; and poor Neal was lost in the woods, not far from home, when "the discovery wanted but one day's journey of being finished."

100 miles broad, but could see no land beyond it. The sea by Saco seemed as if it had been within 20 miles. He saw also a sea to the eastward, which he judged to be the gulf of Canada: he saw some great waters in parts to the westward, which he judged to be the great lake which Canada river comes out of.<sup>1</sup> He found there much muscovy glass, they could rive out pieces of 40 feet long and 7 or 8 broad. When he came back to the Indians, he found them drying themselves by the fire, for they had a great tempest of wind and rain. About a month after he went again with five or six in his company, then they had some wind on the top, and some clouds above them which hid the sun. They brought some stones which they supposed had been diamonds, but they were most crystal. See after, another relation more true and exact.

Mo. 4. 22.] In the time of the general court, in a great tempest of thunder and lightning, in the evening, the lightning struck the upper sail of the windmill in Boston by the ferry,<sup>2</sup> and shattered it in many pieces, and, missing the stones, struck into the standard, rived it down in three parts to the bottom, and one of the spars; and the main standard being bound about with a great iron hoop, fastened with many long spikes, it was plucked off, broken in the middle, and thrown upon the floor, and the boards upon the sides of the mill rived off, the sacks, etc., in the mill set on fire, and the miller being under the mill, upon the ground, chopping a piece of board, was struck dead, but company coming in, found him to breathe, so they carried him to an house, and within an hour or two he began to stir,

---

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps it is hardly necessary to observe, that the great waters seen north, east, and west, by this traveller, to that elevated spot, on the first visit, were delusions, probably fog banks in the valleys.

<sup>2</sup> Our windmill continued, it seems, as it was left by Wood nine years before, on Copp's hill, from near the foot of which was the ferry to Charlestown. This and other ferries were regulated early by the government, as may be seen from the records. At the general court 18 May, 1631, Vol. I. 72, is found: "Thomas Williams hath undertaken to set up a ferry betwixt Winnettsemitt and Charlton, for which he is to have 3d. a person, and from Winnettsemitt to Boston, 4d. a person." In the Addenda will be seen an account of the ferry between Boston and Charlestown.

and strove with such force, as six men could scarce hold <sup>\*69</sup> him down. The next day he came to his senses, but knew nothing of what had befallen him, but found himself very sore on divers parts of his body. His hair on one side of his head and beard was singed, one of his shoes torn off his foot, but his foot not hurt.<sup>1</sup>

The Indians at Kennebeck, hearing of the general conspiracy against the English, determined to begin there, and one of them knowing that Mr. Edward Winslow did use to walk within the palisadoes, prepared his piece to shoot him, but as he was about it, Mr. Winslow not seeing him nor suspecting any thing, but thinking he had walked enough, went suddenly into the house, and so God preserved him.

At the same general court there fell out a great business upon a very small occasion. Anno 1636, there was a stray sow in Boston, which was brought to Captain Keayne: he had it cried divers times, and divers came to see it, but none made claim to it for near a year. He kept it in his yard with a sow of his own. Afterwards one Sherman's wife, having lost such a sow, laid claim to it, but came not to see it, till Captain Keayne had killed his own sow. After being showed the stray sow, and finding it to have other marks than she had claimed her sow by, she gave out that he had killed her sow. The noise hereof being spread about the town, the matter was brought before the elders of the church as a case of offence; many wit-

---

<sup>1</sup> I have not found any rate for 1641. But in Coll. Rec. II. 10, is the following :

At the adjourned session 14 June, 1642, Mr. William Tyng, goodman Thomas Line, goodman Heath, Lieutenant Duncan, goodman Cheesbrough, Mr. Parker, Mr. Peck, Mr. Sparhawk, Mr. Ayres, Mr. Noyse, Lieutenant Willard, Mr. Allen, Captain Bridges, Mr. Batter, Mr. Whipple, goodman Boyse, Mr. Rawson, John Saunders, and goodman Hayward, were appointed a committee to levy and proportion a rate of 800 pounds, which they agreed as followeth :

Boston, 120 pounds; Ipswich, 82 pounds; Salem, 75 pounds; Cambridge, 67 pounds, 10; Charlestown, 60 pounds; Dorchester, 58 pounds, 10; Watertown, 55 pounds; Roxbury, 50 pounds; Lynn, 45 pounds; Newbury, 30 pounds; Concord, 25 pounds; Hingham, 20 pounds; Dedham, 20 pounds; Rowley, 15 pounds; Sudbury, 15 pounds; Weymouth, 14 pounds; Braintree, 14 pounds; Salisbury, 12 pounds, 10; Medford, 10 pounds; Gloucester, 6 pounds, 10; Hampton, 5 pounds.

nesses were examined, and Captain Keayne was cleared. She not being satisfied with this, by the instigation of one George Story,<sup>1</sup> a young merchant of London, who kept in her house, (her husband being then in England,) and had been brought before the governour upon complaint of Captain Keayne as ~~70~~ living under suspicion, she brought the cause to the inferior court at Boston, where, upon a full hearing, Capt. Keayne was again cleared, and the jury gave him £3 for his cost, and he bringing his action against Story and her for reporting about that he had stolen her sow, recovered £20 damages of either of them. Story upon this searcheth town and country to find matter against Captain Keayne about this stray sow, and got § one § of his witnesses to come into Salem court and to confess there that he had forsworn himself; and upon this he petitions in Sherman's name, to this general court, to have the cause heard again, which was granted, and the best part of seven days were spent in examining of witnesses and debating of the cause; and yet it was not determined, for there being || nine magistrates || and thirty deputies, no sentence could by law pass without the greater number of both, which neither plaintiff nor defendant had, for there were for the plaintiff two magistrates and fifteen deputies, § and for the defendant seven magistrates and eight deputies,<sup>2</sup> § the other seven deputies stood doubtful. Much contention and earnestness there was, which indeed did mostly arise from the difficulty of the case, in regard of cross witnesses, § and some prejudices § (as one || <sup>3</sup>professed ||) against the person, which blinded some men's judgments that they could not attend the true nature and course of the evidence. For all the plaintiff's witnesses amounted to no more but an evidence of probability, so as they might all swear true, and yet the sow in question might not be the plaintiff's. But the defendant's witnesses gave a certain evidence, upon their certain knowledge, and that upon certain grounds, (and these as many

|| one magistrate ||

|| <sup>3</sup>protested ||

---

<sup>1</sup> My search for any traces of this man has been unsuccessful.

<sup>2</sup> It is strange how the former editor could have suffered the mutilated sentence to pass.

and more and of as good credit as the others,) so as if this testimony were true, it was not possible the sow should be the plaintiff's. Besides, whereas the plaintiff's wife was admitted to take her oath for the marks of her sow, the defendant and his wife (being a very godly sober woman) was denied the like, although propounded in the court by Mr. Cotton, upon that rule in the law      he shall swear he hath not put his hands to his neighbor's goods. Yet they both in the open court solemnly, as in the presence of God, declared their innocency, etc. Further, if the case had been doubtful, yet the defendant's lawful possession ought to have been preferred to the plaintiff's doubtful title, for in *equali jure melior est conditio possidentis*. But the defendant being of ill report in the country for a hard dealer in his course of trading, and having been formerly <sup>\*71</sup> censured in the court and in the church also, by admonition for such offences, carried many weak minds strongly against him. And the truth is, he was very worthy of blame in that kind, as divers others in the country were also in those times, though they were not detected as he was; yet to give every man his due, he was very useful to the country both by his hospitality and otherwise. But one dead fly spoils much good ointment.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Frequent animadversions are found in our records on cases of real or supposed overcharge for labor and commodities. A ludicrous one, mentioned by Hubbard, 248, is more satisfactorily stated in our records of the colony I. 250, at a general court 22 of 3, 1639: "Edward Palmer, for his extortion, taking 1 pound 13.7, for the plank and wood-work of Boston stocks, is fined 5 pounds, and censured to be set an hour in the stocks." Afterwards the fine was "remitte to ten shillings. The remainder of the sentence, I fear, was executed. Our Ipswich chronicler is almost facetious about this part: he "had the honor to sit an hour in them himself, to warn others not to offend in the like kind."

The unhappy subject of the controversy in the text was exposed to very general blame, and several particular complaints. I have seen an original affidavit of Thomas Wiltshire, that for work done at Captain Keayne's house there was due to the deponent 38 shillings, and that K. sold him a piece of broad cloth, "which he said was Spanish broad cloth, and delivered for payment to this deponent at seventeen shillings per yard, the which cloth this deponent showed to Henry Shrimpton, and he said it was not worth above ten shillings per yard, for it was but cloth rash, and so said goodman Read, and his wife showed a waistcoat of the same kind of cloth, which cost but nine shillings per yard, and

There was great expectation in the country, by occasion of Story's clamours against him, that the cause would have passed against the captain, but falling out otherwise, gave occasion to many to speak unreverently of the court, especially of the magistrates, and the report went, that their negative voice had hindered the course of justice, and that these magistrates must be put out, that the power of the negative voice might be taken away. Thereupon it was thought fit by the governour and other of the magistrates to publish a declaration of the true state of the cause, that truth might not be condemned unknown. This was framed before the court brake up; for prevention whereof, the governour tendered a declaration in nature of a pacification, whereby it might have appeared, that, howsoever the members of the court dissented in judgment, yet they were the same in affection, and had a charitable opinion of each other; but this was opposed by some of the plaintiff's part, <sup>72</sup> so it was laid by. And because there was much laboring in the country upon a false supposition, that the magistrate's negative voice stopped the plaintiff in the case of the sow, one of the magistrates published a declaration of the necessity of upholding the same. It may be here inserted, being but brief.<sup>1</sup>

Mo. 5. 7.] From Maryland came one Mr. Neale<sup>2</sup> with two pinnaces and commission from Mr. Calvert, the governour there, to buy mares and sheep, but having nothing to pay for them but bills charged upon the Lord Baltimore in England, no man would deal with him. One of his vessels was so eaten with worms that he was forced to leave her.

Mr. Chancey of Scituate persevered in his opinion of dip-

---

in this deponent's judgment was better cloth; and this deponent showed the same cloth to Mr. Rock, and he said it was worth but ten shillings per yard, for it was but cloth rash, and this deponent showed it also to Mr. Stoddard, and he said likewise that it was cloth rash, and was not worth above ten shillings per yard, and was dear enough of that price, or words to that effect." Such was the dangerous form and matter of judicial investigations in the early days.

<sup>1</sup> Yet the author omitted it.

<sup>2</sup> Of this agent of the governour of Maryland I know nothing. One of the protested bills is recorded in our registry of deeds, so that, probably, one person had dealt with him, and found his payment illusory, no doubt, arising from the civil convulsions in England.

ping in baptism, and practised accordingly, first upon two of his own, which being in very cold weather, one of them swooned away. Another, having a child about three years old, feared it would be frightened, (as others had been, and one caught hold of Mr. Chancey and had near pulled him into the water,) she brought her child to Boston, with letters testimonial from Mr. Chancey, and had it baptized there.

21.] A general fast was kept by order of the general court and advice of some of the elders. The occasion was principally for the danger we conceived our native country was in, and the foul sins which had broken out among ourselves, etc.

23.] Osamaken, the great sachem of Pakanocott in Plymouth jurisdiction, came, attended with many men and some other sagamores accompanying him, to visit the governour, who entertained him kindly, etc.

The Mary Rose, which had been blown up and sunk with all her ordnance, ballast, much lead, and other goods, was now weighed and brought to shore by the industry and diligence of one Edward Bendall<sup>1</sup> of Boston. The court gave the owners above a year's time to recover § her § and free the harbor, <sup>73</sup> which was much damnified by her; and they having given her over and never attempting to weigh her, Edward Bendall undertook it upon these terms, viz., if he freed the harbor, § he should have the whole, otherwise § he should have half of all he recovered. He made two great tubs, bigger than a butt, very tight, and open at one end, upon which were hanged so many weights as would sink it to the ground (600wt). It was let down, the diver sitting in it, a cord in his hand to give notice when they should draw him up, and another cord to show when they should remove it from place to place, so he could

---

<sup>1</sup> He was so early a member of Boston church, being No. 77, that I presume he came with Winthrop. Credit is due to him for a great share of enterprise, of which the relation in the text is sufficient proof. The dock, or cove, which was in early times the principal seat of trade in Boston, was long called by his name, because he owned part of the upland around it, and had a ware house on it. It has since been called the town dock, but the exact boundaries, north and south, cannot probably be explained. Bendall lived, I think, to good old age, for administration of his estate was granted 2 May, 1682, to William Phillips, senr. Freegrace Bendall, who was many years register of deeds in our county, was son of Edward.

continue in his tub near half an hour, and fasten ropes to the ordnance, and put the lead, etc., into a net or tub. And when the tub was drawn up, one knocked upon the head of it, and thrust a long pole under water, which the diver laid hold of, and so was drawn up by it; for they might not draw the open end out of water for endangering him, etc.<sup>1</sup> The case of the money, shot out of one of the guns, which came to a trial in the court at Boston, (8) 27, see in the next leaf.

5. 28.] A Dutch ship of 300 tons arrived here, laden with salt from the West Indies, which she sold here for plank and pipe staves. She brought two Spanish merchants, who being taken at sea, while they went in a frigate from Domingo to find an English ship which they had freighted there, and was by their agreement stolen out of the harbor, where she had been long embarked, they hired this Dutchman to bring them hither where they had appointed their ship to come, not daring to go into Spain or England. They staid here about a month, but their ship came not, so they went away again. We heard after that their ship had been 14 days beating upon our coast, and being put back, still, by N. W. winds, she bare up, and went for England, and arriving at Southampton, the parliament made use of the treasure.

God would not suffer her to come to us, lest our hearts should have § been taken with her wealth, and so have\*§ caused the Spaniard to have an evil eye upon us.

Some of the elders went to Concord, being sent for by the church there, to advise with them about the maintenance of their elders, etc. They found them wavering about removal, not finding their plantation answerable to their expectation, and the maintenance of two elders too heavy a burden for them.

<sup>74</sup> The elders' advice was, that they should continue and wait upon God, and be helpful to their elders in labor and what they could, and all to be ordered by the deacons, (whose office had not formerly been improved this way amongst them,) and

---

<sup>1</sup> If the diving bell had by ingenious or philosophical men been earlier invented, I doubt that no instance of its successful application can be found before this.

<sup>2</sup> The Hartford edition, with this doleful omission, was not justified, but in small measure, by Gov. Trumbull's copy of the original MS.

that the elders should be content with what means the church was able at present to afford them, and if either of them should be called to some other place, then to advise with other churches about removal.

One Wequash Cook, an Indian, living about Connecticut river's mouth, and keeping much at Saybrook with Mr. Fenwick, attained to good knowledge of the things of God and salvation by Christ, so as he became a preacher to other Indians, and labored much to convert them, but without any effect, for within a short time he fell sick, not without suspicion of poison from them, and died very comfortably.

There was about £30 put into one of the guns of the *Mary Rose*, which was known all abroad. The guns being taken up and searched, they pulled out of one of them a wad of rope yarn. They handled it and found it very heavy, and began to undo it, but being very wet and foul they threw it down; and about 8 or 9 days after, coming to try one of the guns, and finding this wad lying there, they thrust it in after the powder, and shot it off into the channel, but perceived part of it to break and fall short, and the rest fell into the middle of the channel. But the next low water there was taken up several pieces of gold and some silver. This was in a place where people passed daily, and never any found there before that time. Those who found the money refused to restore it to him who had bought and taken up the wreck. Whereupon he brought his action, and the money was adjudged to him.

Two ships arrived from England, but brought not above five or six passengers, save our own people, and very few goods, except rigging, etc., for some ships which were building here.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> We are told by Johnson, lib. II. c. 21, "the number of freemen added were about 1232" this year, which is so far beyond the average annual addition, or indeed the increase of any single year, that it is strange so careful a compiler as Dr. Holmes transcribed it for the first Ed. of his Ann. I. 824, without hinting a suspicion, that the first or last figure was an error of the London press. In the two preceding years it is known from our author, pp. 7, 81 of this volume, that the "reformation both of church and civil state" had "caused all men to stay in England in expectation of a new world;" of course, that few passengers came over to the colonies. But as the young men, coming of age here yearly, might have supplied a large increase of freemen, I have diligently ex-

\*75 Now came over a book of Mr. Cotton's sermons upon the seven vials. Mr. Humfrey had gotten the notes from some who had took them by characters, and printed them in London, \*he had 300 copies for it,\* which was a great wrong to Mr. Cotton, and he was much grieved at it, for it had been fit he should have perused and corrected the copy before it had been printed.

Mo. 6.] Mr. Welde, Mr. Peter, and Mr. Hibbins, who were sent the last year into England, had procured £500 which they sent over in linen, woollen, and other useful commodities for the country, which, because the stock might be preserved and returned this year for a further supply, were put off together, for about eighty pounds profit, and the principal returned by Mr. Stoughton in the next ship.

By their means also, Mr. Richard Andrews,<sup>1</sup> an haberdasher in Cheapside, London, a godly man, and who had been a former benefactor to this country, having 500 pounds due to him from the governour and company of Plimouth, gave it to this colony to be laid out in cattle, and other course of trade, for the poor.

---

amined the records of their admission, and find in May 113, in June 6, in September 2, in December, at Salem, 9, in February 7—137. Following the advice of a most patient antiquary of New Hampshire, John Farmer, Esquire, I resolved to transcribe from our colony lists the names of all those who took the freeman's oath before Winthrop's death. These are probably ancestors of near three fourths of the present inhabitants of the six New England states, with almost half of New York and Ohio. See Appendix K. But I must not be charged with some errors of the secretary, nor are we permitted to be confident, that *all* the freemen's names are inserted in the records. Those adventurers, in the company, who were free before coming from England, were not sworn. Yet I doubt several were received, in whose favor no record remains. The exuberant diligence of Rev. Lucius R. Paige of Cambridge furnished to the Genealogical Register, Vol. III. a complete list of the freemen of Mass. prior to the charter of William and Mary.

<sup>1</sup> Great liberality of this gentleman is noticed in Vol. I. 136. He had been one of the London associates for aid to the Plimouth colony in 1626. See governour Bradford in 1 Hist. Coll. III. 46-73. The poverty of that settlement for many years prevented the full effect of his benefaction to ours, for it was long before the adjustment was made. See a letter of his dated from Rotterdam in 1645, in answer to one of governour Winthrop's, probably in reply to this proof of liberality in the text, 8 Hist. Coll. I. 21.

Two fishermen drowned in a shallop, which was overset near Pascataquack.

24.] The ship Trial, about 200 tons, built at Boston by the merchants there, being now ready to set sail, (Mr. Thomas || Coytmore ||<sup>1</sup> master, and divers godly seamen in her,) Mr. Cotton was desired to preach aboard her, etc., but upon <sup>76</sup> consideration that the audience would be too great for the ship, the sermon was at the meeting house.<sup>2</sup>

A plantation was begun the last year at Delaware Bay by those of New Haven, and some 20 families were transported thither, but this summer there fell such sickness and mortality among them as dissolved the plantation. The same sickness and mortality befell the Swedes also, who were planted upon the same river. The English were after driven out by the Swedes.

Mo. 7.] Mr. William Hibbins, who was one of those who were sent over into England the year before, arrived now in safety, with divers others who went over then also. He made a public declaration to the church in Boston, of all the good providences of the Lord towards him in his voyage to and fro, etc., wherein it was very observable what care the Lord had of them, and what desperate dangers they were delivered from upon the seas, such as the eldest seamen were amazed; and indeed such preservations and deliverances have been so frequent, to such ships as have carried those of the Lord's family between the two Englands, as would fill a perfect volume to report them all.

6.] There came letters from divers Lords of the upper house, and some 30 of the house of commons, and others from the ministers there, who stood for the independency of churches,

|| blank ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Thomas Coytmore was a deputy from Charlestown in Oct. 1640, and some following courts, and seems to have been a gentleman of good estate. The manner of his death will be seen in a later part of this work. In Dec. 1647, his widow became the fourth wife of our author, and the marriage settlements, preserved in the records of our general courts, Vol. II. 197-9, seem worthy of preservation. See appendix L.

<sup>2</sup> The solemnity was on Wednesday.

to Mr. Cotton of Boston, Mr. Hooker of Hartford, and Mr. Davenport of New Haven, to call them, or some of them, if all could not, to England, to assist in the synod there appointed, to consider and advise about the settling of church government. Upon this such of the magistrates and elders as were at hand met together, and were most of them of opinion that it was a call of God, yet took respite of concluding, till they might hear from the rest. Whereupon a messenger was presently despatched to Connecticut, and New Haven, with the letters, etc. Upon return, it was found that Mr. Hooker liked not the business, nor thought it any sufficient call for them to go 3,000 miles to agree with three men, (meaning those three ministers who were for independency, and did solicit in the parliament, etc.) Mr. Davenport thought otherwise of it, so as the church there set apart a day to seek the Lord in it, and thereupon came to this conclusion, that seeing the church had no other officer but himself, therefore they might not spare him.

Mr. Cotton apprehended strongly a call of God in it, though he were very averse to a sea voyage, and the more because his ordinary topic in Acts 13, led him to deliver that doctrine of the interest all churches have in each other's members for mutual helpfulness, etc. But soon after came other letters

out of England, upon the breach between the king and parliament, from one of the former Lords, and from Mr. Welde and Mr. Peter, to advise them to stay till they heard further; so this care came to an end.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> That these celebrated divines, chiefs in their profession of the three colonies where they lived, remained here, instead of obeying this call, is perhaps one of the most fortunate circumstances in our early history. Probably they would have been made members of the famous Westminster assembly, which opened 1 July, 1643, and maintained, through the wretched intrigues and convulsions of the civil war, and the fluctuations of anarchy, an unequal existence of five years, six months, and twenty-two days. In New England a high regard has always been shown to the doctrinal decisions of this council, but with still greater unanimity has its assertion of the divine right of Presbyterian government been opposed. Our three members would have found few superiors among their clerical brethren; yet their voice must have been drowned in that of the immense majority, who borrowed their ecclesiastical polity from the

There arrived another ship with salt, which was put off for pipe staves, etc., so by an unexpected providence we were supplied of salt to go on with our fishing, and of ships to take off our pipe staves, which lay upon men's hands.

There fell out a very sad accident at Weymouth. One Richard Sylvester,<sup>1</sup> having three small children, he and his wife going to the assembly, upon the Lord's day, left their children at home. The eldest was without doors looking to some cattle; the middle-most, being a son about five years old, seeing his father's fowling piece, (being a very great one,) stand in the chimney, took it and laid it upon a stool, as he had seen his father do, and pulled up the cock, (the spring being weak,) and put down the hammer, then went to the other end and blowed in the mouth of the piece, as he had seen his father also do, and with that stirring the piece, being charged, it went off, and shot the child into the mouth and through his head. When the father came home he found his child lie dead, and could not have imagined how he should have been so killed, but the youngest child, (being but three years old, and could scarce speak,) showed him the whole manner of it.

There arrived in a small pinnace one Mr. Bennet,<sup>2</sup> a gentleman of Virginia, with letters from many well disposed people of the upper || new<sup>3</sup> farms || in Virginia to the elders here, bewailing their sad condition for want of the means of salvation, and earnestly entreating a supply of faithful ministers, whom, upon experience of their gifts and godliness, they might call to office, etc. Upon these letters, (which were

|| blank ||

---

Northern impulse of sectarian ambition; and thus might we have seen introduced, for the simplicity of our present church discipline, a regimen at least as offensive as that from which it had just before escaped.

<sup>1</sup> It may be remembered, from vol. I. 289, that this person had suffered in the petty persecution of some of the Weymouth people.

<sup>2</sup> Johnson, lib. III. c. 11, increases our knowledge of this gentleman by calling him Philip.

<sup>3</sup> In the common place book of Rev. President Dunster, is a copy of this letter, signed by Richard Bennet, Daniel Gaking (i. e. Gookin) John Hyll, and others, 71 in all, dated 24 May from "upper Norfolke in Virginia." The volume is owned by John Belknap, Esquire, son of the historian.

openly read in Boston upon a lecture day,) the elders met, and set a day apart to seek God in it, and agreed upon three who might most likely be spared, viz., Mr. Phillips of Watertown, Mr. Tompson of Braintree, and Mr. Miller of Rowley, for these churches had each of them two. Having designed these men, they acquainted the general court herewith, who did approve thereof, and ordered that the governour should commend them to the governour and council of Virginia, which was done accordingly. But Mr. Phillips being not willing to go, Mr. Knolles, his fellow elder, and Mr. Tompson, with the consent of their churches, were sent away, and departed on their way Sber 7. to Taunton, to meet the bark at Narragansett. Mr. Miller did not accept the call.<sup>1</sup> The main argument, which prevailed with the churches to dismiss them to that work, and with the court to allow and further it, was the advancement of the kingdom of Christ in those parts, and the confidence they had in the promise, that whosoever shall part with father, etc., for my sake and the gospel's, shall receive an hundred fold. We were so far from fearing any loss by parting with such desirable men, as we looked at them as seed sown, which would bring us in a plentiful harvest, and we accounted it no small honor that God had put upon his poor churches here, that other parts of the world should seek to us for help in this kind. For about the same time, two of our vessels which had been gone near a year, and were much feared to be lost, returned home with a good supply of cotton, and brought home letters with them from Barbadoes and other islands in those parts, intreating us to supply them with ministers. But, understanding that these people were much infected with familism, etc., the elders did nothing about it, intending to inquire further by another vessel, which was preparing for those parts.

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 410, adds to this information by assigning the reason of Miller's declining the call "because of his bodily weakness. It seems, however, that his people at Rowley could *spare* him, for we learn from the same author, 663, that he was next year established in Plimouth colony. Johnson, lib. II. c. 11, honors him with verses, that teach us little more, than that he was low of stature, but his prose assures us he continued at Yarmouth in 1651. Mather gives his name of baptism, John. He lived some time at Roxbury, but died at Grotton, 12 June, 1663.

Mo. 7. 1.] There came letters from the court at Connecticut, and from two of the magistrates there, and from Mr. Ludlow, near the Dutch, certifying us that the Indians all over the country had combined themselves to cut off all the English, that the time was appointed after harvest, the manner also, <sup>79</sup> they should go by small companies to the chief men's houses by way of trading, etc., and should kill them in the houses and seize their weapons, and then others should be at hand to prosecute the massacre; and that this was discovered by three several Indians, near about the same time and in the same manner; one to Mr. Eaton of New Haven, another to Mr. Ludlow, and the third to Mr. Haynes. This last being <sup>||</sup>hurt<sup>||</sup> near to death by a cart, etc., sent after Mr. Haynes, and told him that Englishman's God was angry with him, and had set Englishman's cow to kill him, because he had concealed such a conspiracy against the English, and so told him of it, as the other two had done. Upon<sup>1</sup> this their advice to us was, that it was better to enter into war presently, and begin with them, and if we would send 100 men to the river's mouth of Connecticut, they would meet us with a proportionable number.

Upon these letters, the governour called so many of the magistrates as were near, and being met, they sent out summons for a general court, to be kept six days after, and in the mean time, it was thought fit, for our safety, and to strike some terror into the Indians, to disarm such as were within our jurisdiction. Accordingly we sent men to Cutshamekin, at Braintree, to fetch him and his guns, bows, etc., which was done, and he came willingly, and being late in the night when they came to Boston, he was put in the prison; but the next morning, finding upon examination of him and divers of his men, no ground of suspicion of his partaking in any such conspiracy, he was dismissed.

<sup>||</sup>quite<sup>||</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> No light on the subject of their fears is given by the historian of the people of Connecticut. Good sense and honest policy is observable in the reception by the Massachusetts rulers of these complaints against the Indians, which were certainly extravagant, and probably unfounded.

Upon the warrant which went to Ipswich, Rowley, and Newbury, to disarm Passaconamy, who lived by Merrimack, they sent forth 40 men armed the next day, being the Lord's day. But it rained all the day, as it had done divers days before, and also after, so as they could not go to his wigwam, but they came to his son's and took him, which they had warrant for, and a squaw and her child, which they had § no § warrant for, and therefore order was given so soon as || we || heard of it, to send them home again. They, fearing his son's escape, led him in a line, but he taking an opportunity, slipped his line and escaped from them, but one very indiscreetly made a shot \*80 at him, and missed him narrowly. Upon the intelligence

of these unwarranted proceedings, and || \*considering || that Passaconamy would look at it as a manifest injury, (as indeed we conceived it to be, and had always shunned to give them any just occasion against us,) the court being now assembled, we sent Cutshamekin to him to let him know that what was done to his son and squaw was without order, and to show him the occasion whereupon we had sent to disarm all the Indians, and that when we should find that they were innocent of any such conspiracy, we would restore all their arms again, and to will him also to come speak with us. He returned answer that he knew not what was become of his son and his squaw, (for one of them was run into the woods and came not again for ten days after, and the other was still in custody,) if he had them safe again, then he would come to us. Accordingly about a fortnight after he sent his eldest son to us, who delivered up his guns, etc.

Mo. 7. 8.] The general court being assembled, we considered of the letters and other intelligence from Connecticut, and although the thing seemed very probable, yet we thought it not sufficient ground for us to begin a war, for it was possible it might be otherwise, and that all this might come out of the enmity which had been between Miantunnomoh and Onkus, who continually sought to discredit each other with the English. We considered also of the like reports which had formerly been raised almost every year since we came, and how they proved to be but reports raised up by the opposite factions

|| he ||

|| \*concerning ||

among the Indians. Besides we found ourselves in very ill case for war, and if we should begin, we must then be forced to stand continually upon our guard, and to desert our farms and business abroad, and all our trade with the Indians, which things would bring us very low; and besides, if upon this intelligence we should kill any of them, or lose any of our own, and it should be found after to have been a false report, we might provoke God's displeasure, and blemish our wisdom and integrity before the heathen. Further it was considered, that our beginning with them could not secure us against them: we might destroy some part of their corn and wigwams, and force them to fly into the woods, etc., but the men would be still remaining to do us mischief, for they will never fight us in the open field. Lastly, it was considered that such as were to be sent out in such an expedition were, for the most part, godly, and would be as well assured of the justice of the cause as the warrant of their call, and then we would not fear their forwardness and courage, but if they should be sent out, not well resolved, we might fear the success.

According to these considerations, we returned answer <sup>81</sup> to Connecticut, and withal we sent two men with two interpreters, an Englishman and an Indian, to Miantunnomoh, to let him know what intelligence we had of his drawing the rest of the Indians into a confederation against us, and of his purpose to make his son sachem of Pequod, and of other things which were breaches of the league he made with us, and to desire him to come by such a time to give us satisfaction about them. If he refused to come, and gave them || no || satisfactory answer, then to let him know that if he regarded not our friendship, he would give us occasion to right ourselves. And instruction was given them, that if he gave them occasion, they should tell him the reason of our disarming the Indians, and excuse the injury done to Passaconaway, to be a mistake and without our order. The messengers coming to him, he carried them apart into the woods, taking only one of his chief men with him, and gave them very rational answers to all their pro-

positions, and promised also to come over to us, which he did within the time prefixed.<sup>1</sup>

When he came, the court was assembled, and before his admission, we considered how to treat with him, (for we knew him to be a very subtile man,) and agreed upon the points and order, and that none should propound any thing to him but the governour, and if any other of the court had any thing material to suggest, he should impart it to the governour.

Being called in, and mutual salutations passed, he was set down at the lower end of the table, over against the governour, and had only two or three of his counsellors, and two or three of our neighboring Indians, such as he desired, but would not speak of any business at any time, before some of his counsellors were present, alleging, that he would have them present, that they might bear witness with him, at his return home, of all his sayings.

In all his answers he was very deliberate and showed good understanding in the principles of justice and ||equity,|| and ingenuity withal. He demanded that his accusers might be brought forth, to the end, that if they could not make good what they had charged him with, they might suffer what he was worthy of, and must have expected, if he had been found

\*82 guilty, viz., death. We answered, we knew them not, nor were they within our power, nor would § we § give credit to them, before we had given him knowledge of it, according to our agreement with him. He replied, if you did not give credit to it, why then did you disarm the Indians. We answered, for our security, and because we had been credibly informed that some of the eastern Indians had lately robbed divers Englishmen's houses at Saco, and taken away their powder and guns. This answer satisfied || \*him ||. He gave divers reasons, why we should hold him free of any such conspiracy, and why we should

||amity||

|| \*them ||

---

<sup>1</sup> The magnanimity of the sachem is more consistent with his innocence than with the justice of the accusations from Connecticut. Most earnestly have we to deplore, that the firmness manifested by our government, in rejecting the delusive evidence of treachery in the natives, was not maintained the following year.

conceive it was a report raised by Onkus, etc., and therefore offered to meet Onkus at Connecticut, or rather at Boston, and would prove to his face his treachery against the English, etc., and told us he would come to us at any time; for though some had dissuaded him, assuring him, that the English would put him to death, or keep him in prison, yet he being innocent of any ill intention against the English, he knew them to be so just, as they would do him no wrong, and told us, that if we sent but any Indian to him that he liked, he would come to us, and we should not need to send any of our own men. He urged much, that those might be punished, who had raised this slander, and put it to our consideration what damage it had been to him, in that he was forced to keep his men at home, and not suffer them to go forth on hunting, etc., till he had given the English satisfaction, and the charge and trouble it had put the English unto, etc. We spent the better part of two days in treating with him, and in conclusion he did accommodate himself to us to our satisfaction; only some difficulty we had, to bring him to desert the Nianticks, if we had just cause of war with them. They were, he said, as his own flesh, being allied by continual intermarriages, etc. But at last he condescended, that if they should do us wrong, as he could not draw them to give us satisfaction for, nor himself could satisfy, as if it were for blood, etc., then he would leave them to us.

When we should go to dinner, there was a table provided for the Indians, to dine by themselves, and Miantunnomoh was left to sit with them. This he was discontented at, and would eat nothing, till the governour sent him meat from his table. So at night, and all the time he staid, he sat at the lower end of the magistrate's table. When he departed, we gave him and his counsellors coats and tobacco, and when he came to take his leave of the governour, and such of the magistrates as were present, he returned, and gave his hand to the governour again, saying, that was for the rest of the magistrates who were absent.

The court being adjourned for a few days, till we might <sup>83</sup> hear from Miantunnomoh, (it was assembled again at such time as he came to Boston,) there came letters from

Connecticut, certifying us of divers insolencies of the Indians, which so confirmed their minds in believing the former report, as they were now resolved to make war upon the Indians, and earnestly pressing us to delay no longer to send forth our men to join with them, and that they thought they should be forced to begin before they could hear from us again.

Upon receipt of these letters, the governour assembled such of the magistrates and deputies as were at hand, and divers of the elders also, (for they were then met at Boston upon other occasions,) and imparted the letters to them, with other letters sent from the governour of Plimouth, intimating some observations they had, which made them very much to suspect, that there was such a plot in hand, etc. We all sat in consultation hereabout all the day, and in the end concluded, 1. That all these informations might arise from a false ground, and out of the enmity which was between the Naragansett and Monhigen. 2. Being thus doubtful, it was not a sufficient ground for us to war upon them. 3. That all these particular insolencies and wrongs ought to be revenged and repaired by course of justice, if it might be obtained, otherwise we should never be free from war. And accordingly, letters were sent back to our brethren at Connecticut, to acquaint them with our opinions, and to dissuade them from going forth, alleging how dishonorable it would be to us all, that, while we were upon treaty with the Indians, they should make war upon them, for they would account their act as our own, seeing we had formerly professed to the Indians, that we were all as one, and in our late message to Miantunnomoh, had remembered him again of the same, and he had answered that he did so account us. Upon receipt of this our answer, they forbare to enter into war, but (it seemed) unwillingly, and as not well pleased with us.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Here should have been inserted a passage of complaint, that the Connecticut brethren refused to furnish any evidence of the justice of their suspicions of the Naragansett sachem, or something like it, the loss of which I deeply lament. The governour, or perhaps his son John, after the death of his father, had indeed drawn his pen through the original passage, but its import was probably as powerful as in such a case is possible. The curious reader will judge for himself, after reading the residue of this note, written while the venerable MS. was before me.

Although we apprehended no danger, yet we continued <sup>\*84</sup> our military watches, till near the end of 8ber, and restored the Indians all their arms we had taken from them: for although we saw it was very dangerous to us, that they should have guns, etc., yet we saw not in justice how we could take them away, seeing they came lawfully by them, (by trade with the French and Dutch for the most part,) and used them only for killing of fowl and deer, etc., except they brought themselves into the state of an enemy, therefore we thought it better to trust God with our safety than to save ourselves by unrighteousness.

At this court we were informed of some English to the eastward, who ordinarily traded powder to the Indians, and lived alone under no government; whereupon we granted warrant to a gentleman, that upon due proof, etc., he should take away their powder, leaving them sufficient for their own occasions.

This court also took order, that every town should be furnished with powder out of the common store, paying for it in country commodities; likewise for muskets, and for military watches, and alarms, etc. Presently upon this, there arose an alarm in the night upon this occasion. (7.) 19. A man, travelling late from Dorchester to Watertown, lost his way, and being benighted and in a swamp about 10 of the clock, hearing some wolves howl, and fearing to be devoured of them, he cried out help, help. One that dwelt within hearing, over against Cambridge, hallooed to him. The other still cried out, which caused the man to fear that the Indians had gotten some English man and were torturing him, but not daring to go to him, he discharged a piece two or three times. This gave the alarm to Watertown, and so it went as far as Salem and Dor-

---

In preserving this piece of information, probably erased after the unhappy triumph of the prejudices of our brethren in the neighboring colony, we have some reason to confirm our doubts of the correctness of their insinuations or charges. When the complainants are silent, and the accused voluntarily appears, before a tribunal most favorable to the accuser, there is just ground for acquittal after more suspicious circumstances than can be perceived in the case of Miantunnomoh.

chester, but about one or two of the clock no enemy appearing, etc., all retired but the watch.

At this court also, four of Providence, who could not consort with Gorton and that company, and therefore were continually injured and molested by them, came and offered themselves and their lands, etc., to us, and were accepted under our government and protection. This we did partly to rescue these men from unjust violence, and partly to draw in the rest in those parts, either under ourselves or Plimouth, who now lived under no government, but grew very offensive, and the place was likely to be of use to us, especially if we should have occasion of sending out against any Indians of Naragansett § and <sup>85</sup> likewise for an outlet into the Naragansett § Bay, and seeing it came without our seeking, and would be no charge to us, we thought it not wisdom to let it slip.<sup>1</sup>

The English of Southampton, on Long Island, having certain intelligence of one of those Indians who murdered Hammond, who was put ashore there with others, when their pinnace was wrecked, sent Captain Howe, and eight or ten men to take him. He being in the wigwam, ran out, and with his knife wounded one of the English in the breast, and so behaved himself as they were forced to kill him.

22.] The court, with advice of the elders, ordered a general fast. The occasions were, 1. The ill news we had out of England concerning the breach between the king and parliament. 2. The danger of the Indians. 3. The unseasonable weather, the rain having continued so long, viz. near a fortnight together, scarce one fair day, and much corn and hay spoiled, though indeed it proved a blessing to us, for it being with warm easterly winds, it brought the Indian corn to maturity, which otherwise would not have been ripe, and it pleased God, that so soon as the fast was agreed upon, the weather changed, and proved fair after.

At this court, the propositions sent from Connecticut, about

---

<sup>1</sup> The record is, "William Arnold and Robert Coale, William Carpenter and Benedict Arnold, his company, upon their petition, were taken under our government and protection. William Arnold is to see to keep the peace in their lands." Vol. II. 22.

a combination, etc., were read, and referred to a committee to consider of after the court, who meeting, added some few cautions and new articles, and for the taking in of Plimouth, (who were now willing,) and Sir Ferdinando Gorges' province, and so returned them back to Connecticut, to be considered upon against the spring, for winter was now approaching, and there could be no meeting before, etc.<sup>1</sup>

The sudden fall of land and cattle, and the scarcity of foreign commodities, and money, etc., with the thin access of people from England, put many into an unsettled frame of spirit, so as they concluded there would be no subsisting here, and accordingly they began to hasten away, some to the West Indies, others to the Dutch, at Long Island, etc., (for the governour there invited them by fair offers,) and others back for England. Among others who returned thither, there was one of the magistrates, Mr. Humfrey, and four ministers,<sup>2</sup> and a schoolmaster. These would needs go against all advice, <sup>186</sup> and had a fair and speedy voyage, till they came near England, all which time, three of the ministers, with the schoolmaster, spake reproachfully of the people and of the country, but the wind coming up <sup>§</sup>against them, <sup>§</sup>they were tossed up and down, (being in 10ber,) so long till their provisions and other necessaries were near spent, and they were forced to strait allowance, yet at length the wind coming fair again, they got into the Sleeve, but then there arose so great a tempest at S. E. as they could bear no sail, and so were out of hope of being saved (being in the night also). Then they humbled themselves before the Lord, and acknowledged God's hand to be justly out against them for speaking evil of this good land and the Lord's people here, etc. Only one of them, Mr. Phillips<sup>3</sup> of Wrentham, in England, had not joined with the

<sup>1</sup> An unreasonable jealousy next year prevented us from permitting the junction of Maine in this admirable alliance.

<sup>2</sup> These were probably returning home, on the change of times, with expectation of better employment than they had found here, where I imagine they had not been encouraged by any settlement.

<sup>3</sup> In Mather's list, a Phillips of Dedham, without baptismal name, was John Phillips of Wrentham, one of the Westminster assembly of divines. A deacon

rest, but spake well of the people, and of the country; upon this it pleased the Lord to spare their lives, and when they expected every moment to have been dashed upon the rocks, (for they were hard by the Needles,) he turned the wind so as they were carried safe to the Isle of Wight by St. Helen's:<sup>1</sup> yet the Lord followed them on shore. Some were exposed to great straits and found no entertainment, their friends forsaking them. One<sup>2</sup> had a daughter that presently ran mad, and two other of his daughters, being under ten years of age, were discovered to have been often abused by divers lewd persons, and filthiness in his family. The schoolmaster had no sooner hired an house, and gotten in some scholars, but the plague set in, and took away two of his own children.

Others who went to other places, upon like grounds, succeeded no better. They fled for fear of want, and many of them fell into it, even to extremity, as if they had hastened into the misery which they feared and fled from, besides the depriving

<sup>•87</sup> themselves of the ordinances and church fellowship, and those civil liberties which they enjoyed here; whereas, such as staid in their places, kept their peace and ease, and enjoyed still the blessing of the ordinances, and never tasted of those troubles and miseries, which they heard to have befallen those who departed. Much disputation there was about liberty of removing for outward advantages, and all ways were sought for an open door to get out at; but it is to be feared many crept out at a broken wall. For such as come together into a wilderness, where are nothing but wild beasts and beastlike men, and there confederate together in civil and church estate, whereby they do, implicitly at least, bind themselves to support

---

of that church declined some time to undertake his office, out of regard to Mr. Phillips in England. Lechford, who sailed 3 Aug. 1641, from here, in his Plain Dealing, published early next year, mentions Phillips as out of office. Well he might be, as he embarked for home 26 Oct., only twelve weeks later than Lechford. See century sermon of Rev. Samuel Dexter, grandfather of the late Hon. Samuel Dexter; and Lamson's Discourses.

<sup>1</sup> Without admitting the consequence our author draws, we may be equally grateful for their preservation.

<sup>2</sup> He was, I presume, Humfrey, of whose misfortunes we had relation of a large part a few pages before.

each other, and all of them that society, whether civil or sacred, whereof they are members, how they can break from this without free consent, is hard to find, so as may satisfy a tender or good conscience in time of trial. Ask thy conscience, if thou wouldst have plucked up thy stakes, and brought thy family 3000 miles, if thou hadst expected that all, or most, would have forsaken thee there. Ask again, what liberty thou hast towards others, which thou likest not to allow others towards thyself; for if one may go, another may, and so the greater part, and so church and commonwealth may be left destitute in a wilderness, exposed to misery and reproach, and all for thy ease and pleasure, whereas these all, being now thy brethren, as near to thee as the Israelites were to Moses, it were much safer for thee, after his example, to choose rather to suffer affliction with thy brethren, than to enlarge thy ease and pleasure by furthering the occasion of their ruin.<sup>1</sup>

Nine bachelors commenced at Cambridge; they were young men of good hope, and performed their acts, so as gave good proof of their proficiency in the tongues and arts. (8.) 5. The general court had settled a government or superintendency over the college, viz., all the magistrates and elders over the ||six|| nearest churches and the president, or the greatest part of these. Most of them were now present at this first commencement, and dined at the college with the scholars' ordinary commons, which was done of purpose for the students' encouragement, etc., and it gave good content to all.<sup>2</sup>

||three||

---

<sup>1</sup> Few passages in this history are more gratifying than this faithful exhibition of the feelings, by which the early planters of New England were characterized. Unlike the American colonists from all other nations, and of the English settlers in all other quarters of the world, they seem to have transferred at once the whole ardor of their patriotism to this country of their own creation.

<sup>2</sup> We must regret, that Winthrop has taken no notice of the ever-honored name of Rev. John Harvard, except in the loose memoranda, at the end of his MSS. From our Colony Rec. I find, he was made free 2 Nov. 1637, at the same time with Rev. John Fiske. By a most diligent antiquary, John Farmer, Esq., of Concord, N. H., this information is given me from Rev. Samuel Danforth's Almanac for 1648: "7mo. 14 day, 1638, John Harvard, Master of Arts,

\*88 At this commencement, complaint was made to the governours of two young men, of good quality, lately come out of England, for foul misbehavior, in swearing and ribaldry speeches, etc., for which, though they were *adulti*, they were corrected in the college, and sequestered, etc., for a time.

6.] Here came in a French shallop with some 14 men, whereof one was La Tour his lieutenant. They brought letters from La Tour to the governour, full of compliments, and desire of assistance from us against Monsieur D'Aulnay. They staid here about a week, and were kindly entertained, and though they were papists, yet they came to our church meeting; and the lieutenant seemed to be much affected to find things as he did, and professed he never saw so good order

*[adult]*

---

of Emmanuel College in Cambridge, deceased, and by will gave the half of his estate, (which amounted to about 700 pounds,) for the erecting of the college." My correspondent adds: "I do not recollect, that any other authority gives the exact *time* of his death, or the *college* at which he was educated." Johnson, lib. II. c. 12 and 19, has favored us with more than any other book. It is peculiarly vexatious to learn from Mather, of the founder of the college, which he so much and so often desired, happily in vain, to rule, only the amount of his bequest, and that he died of consumption. The sons of the oldest university in our country will be pleased with my extract from our Col. Rec. I 179, of the first motion in this blessed work: "The court agreed to give 400 pounds towards a school or college, whereof 200 pounds to be paid the next year, and 200 pounds when the work is finished, and the next court to appoint where and what building." This was in October, 1636, in the midst of the war with the Pequots, and the beginning of the Antinomian controversy; and we should remember, that the appropriation was equal to a year's rate of the whole colony. Subsequently the income of the ferry between Boston and Charlestown was given, and an annual rate of 100 pounds was ordered for the college. In later days, liberal grants have been made at various times; and the claims of this venerable institution, especially for its library, may soon, I hope be further acknowledged. Harvard's will was, probably, nuncupative, as it is nowhere recorded. Gladly should we give fifty pages of Keayne's for one of this other benefactor, who left, I suppose, no other progeny but this posthumous university.

On 12 July, 1842, the Registrar of the University showed me both of his subscriptions on taking the degrees in Arts, 1631-2 and 1635. The date of his matriculation was so late as 7 July, 1631. His rank appears, as a pensioner, or of the second style, to distinguish him from a sizer, of the third, or a gentleman commoner, of the first; and he is called of Middlesex.

in any place. One of the elders gave him a French testament with || Marlorat's || notes, which he kindly accepted, and promised to read it.

13.] Six ships went hence, laden with pipe staves and other commodities of this country; four went a little before. Of these, four were built in the country this year. Thus God provided for us beyond expectation.

6.] Mention is made before of the white hills, discovered by one Darby Field. The report he brought of || shin-ing || stones, etc., caused divers others to travel thither, but they found nothing worth their pains. Amongst others, Mr. Gorge and Mr. Vines, two of the magistrates of Sir Ferdinand Gorge his province, went thither about the end of this month. They went up Saco river in birch canoes, and that way, they found it 90 miles to Pegwagget, an Indian town, but by land it is but 60. Upon Saco river, they found many thousand acres of rich meadow, but there are ten falls, which hinder boats, etc. From the Indian town, they went up hill (for the most part) about 30 miles in woody lands, then they went about 7 or 8 miles upon shattered rocks, without tree or grass, very steep all the way. At the top is a plain about 3 or 4 miles over, all shattered stones, and upon that is another rock or spire, about a mile in height, and about an acre of ground at the top. At the top of the plain arise four great rivers, each of them so much water, at the first issue, as would drive a mill; Connecticut river from two heads, at the N. W. and S. W. which join in one about 60 miles off, Saco river on the S. E., Amascoggen which runs into Casco Bay at the N. E., and Kennebeck, at the N. by E. The mountain runs E. and W. 30 or 40 miles, but the peak is above all the rest. They went and returned in 15 days.<sup>1</sup>

8. 18.] All the elders met at Ipswich; they took into consideration the book which was committed to them by the gen-

|| blank ||

|| strange ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Here a map, drawn with tolerable accuracy, of the courses of the rivers flowing from the vicinity of the White Hills, is inserted in the original MS. The most satisfactory account of these mountains is found in the New England Journal of Medicine and Surgery, Vol. V. 321-338, Jan. 1816.

eral court, and were much different in their judgments about it, but at length they agreed upon this answer in effect.

Whereas in the book, there were three propositions laid down, and then the application of them to the standing council, and then the arguments enforcing the same: the propositions were these:—

1. In a commonwealth, rightly and religiously constituted, there is no power, office, administration, or  $\parallel$  authority,  $\parallel$  but such as are commanded and ordained of God.

2. The powers, offices, and administrations that are ordained of God, as aforesaid, being given, dispensed, and erected in a christian commonwealth by his good providence, proportioned  $\parallel^2$  by  $\parallel$  his rule to their state and condition, established by his  $\ast 90$  power against all opposition, carried on and accompanied with his presence and blessing, ought not to be by them either changed or altered, but upon such grounds, for such ends, in that manner, and only so far as the mind of God may be manifested therein.

3. The mind of God is never manifested concerning the change or alteration of any civil ordinance, erected or established by him as aforesaid in a christian commonwealth, so long as all the cases, counsels, services, and occasions thereof may be duly and fully ended, ordered, executed, and performed without any change or alteration of government.

In their answer they allowed the said propositions to be sound, with this distinction in the 1st. viz. That all lawful powers are ordained, etc., either expressly or by consequence, by particular examples or by general rules.

In the applications they distinguished between a standing council invested with a kind of transcendent<sup>1</sup> authority beyond other magistrates, or else any kind of standing council distinct from magistrates; the former they seem implicitly to disallow; the latter they approve as necessary for us, not disproportionate to our estate, nor of any dangerous consequence for disunion among the magistrates, or factions among the people, which were the arguments used by the author against our

$\parallel$ duty $\parallel$

$\parallel^2$ to $\parallel$

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 388, absurdly gives this word transient.

council. Some passages they wish had been spared, and other things omitted, which, if supplied, might have cleared some passages, which may seem to reflect upon the present councils, which they do think not to be of that moment, but that the uprightness of his intentions considered, and the liberty given for advice, according to the rules of religion, peace, and prudence, they would be passed by.

Lastly, they declare their present thoughts about the moulding and perfecting of a council, in four rules.

1. That all the magistrates, by their calling and office, together with the care of judicature, are to consult for the provision, protection, and universal welfare of the commonwealth.

2. Some select men taken out from the assistants, or other freemen, being called thereunto, be in especial, to attend by way of council, for the provision, protection, and welfare of the commonwealth.

3. This council, as counsellors, have no power of judicature.

4. In cases of instant danger to the commonwealth, in the interim, before a general court can be called, (which were meet to be done with all speed,) what shall be consented unto and concluded by this council, or the major part of them, together with the consent of the magistrates, or the major part of them, may stand good and firm till the general court. \*91

9. 7.] Some of our ||merchants|| sent a pinnace to trade with La Tour in St. John's river. He welcomed them very kindly, and wrote to our governour letters very gratulatory for his lieutenant's entertainment, etc., and withal a relation of the state of the controversy between himself and Monsieur D'Aulnay. In their return they met with D'Aulnay at Pemaquid, who wrote also to our governour, and sent him a printed copy of the arrest against La Tour, and threatened us, that if any of our vessels came to La Tour, he would make prize of them.

22.] The village at the end of Charlestown bounds was called Woburn, where they had gathered a church, and this day Mr. Carter<sup>1</sup> was ordained their pastor, with the assistance

||magistrates||

---

<sup>1</sup> My acquaintance with Thomas Carter is very limited, yet a little better  
VOL. II.

of the elders of other churches. Some difference there was about his ordination; some advised, in regard they had no elder of their own, nor any members very fit to solemnize such an ordinance, they would desire some of the elders of the other churches to have performed it; but others supposing it might be an occasion of introducing a dependency of churches, etc., and so a presbytery, would not allow it. So it was performed by one<sup>1</sup> of their own members, but not so well and orderly as it ought.<sup>2</sup>

\*92 Divers houses were burnt this year, by drying flax.

Among others, one || Briscoe,|| of Watertown, a rich man, a tanner, who had refused to let his neighbor have leather for corn, saying he had corn enough, had his barn, and corn, and leather, etc., burnt, to the value of 200 pounds.

Mr. Larkam of Northam, alias Dover, suddenly discovering a purpose to go to England, and fearing to be dissuaded by his people, gave them his faithful promise not to go, but yet soon after he got on ship board, and so departed. It was time for him to be gone, for not long after a widow which kept in his house, being a very handsome woman, and about 50 years of

||blank||

---

than Mather's, who leaves us ignorant of his name of baptism, though Johnson, who was one of his flock, lib. II. c. 22, repeats it three times. The same writer, lib. I. c. 37, informs us, he came over in 1635; but perhaps had not been a minister at home. Mather put him into his second classis, of those who had not education perfected in England;) yet I find he had his degrees 1629-30, and 1633, bred at St. John's, Cambridge; and he died 5 Sept. 1684.

<sup>1</sup> Johnson, lib. II. c. 22, says "two persons," I presume he was one, "in the name of the church, laid their hands upon his head, and said, We ordain thee, Thomas Carter, to be pastor unto this church of Christ; then one of the elders Priest [present?] being desired of the church, continued in prayer," etc.

<sup>2</sup> Wonder-working Providence, as cited in last note, is very full on the matter of gathering a church in Woburn on 24 of August preceding, but the town records say 14, which is undoubtedly the true date. Cotton and Wilson of Boston, Allen and Symmee of Charlestown, Shepherd and Dunster of Cambridge, Knowles of Watertown, Allen of Dedham, Eliot of Roxbury, and Mather of Dorchester, some of whom by vicious punctuation are represented as belonging to respective churches in other order, were assistants at the solemnity. It has been seen, p. 75, that 24 August, Cotton was aiding at a different labor in Boston. Very little confidence should be reposed in Johnson's Arabic numerals.

age, proved to be with child, and being examined, at first refused to confess the father, but in the end she laid it to Mr. Larkam. Upon this the church of Dover looked out for another elder, and wrote to the elders to desire their help.<sup>1</sup>

There arrived at Boston a small ship from the Madeiras with wine and sugar, etc., which were presently sold for pipe staves, and other commodities of the country, which were returned to the Madeiras: but the merchant himself, one Mr. Parish, staid divers months after. He had lived at the Madeiras many years among the priests and jesuits, who told him, when he was to come hither, that those of New England were the worst of all heretics, and that they were the cause of the troubles in England, and of the pulling down the bishops there. When he went away, he blessed God for bringing him hither, professing that he would not lose what he had gotten in New England for all the wealth in the world. He went away in a pinnace built here, intending a speedy return. By the way his pinnace (being calked in the winter) proved very leaky, so as all the seamen, being tired out with pumping, gave her over, but Mr. Parish continued the pump, and so kept her up, till it pleased God they espied land, and so they came safe to Fayal.

10.] Those of the lower part of the river Pascataquack invited one Mr. James Parker<sup>1</sup> of Weymouth, a godly <sup>93</sup> man and a scholar, one who had been many years a

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 364, informs us, they obtained "one Mr. Maud, whom they enjoyed many years for their minister, who was a good man, and of a serious spirit, and of a peaceable and quiet disposition. He continued with them to his death." Dr. Belknap, the admirable successor of Maude in our days, following the *Magnalia*, says, "he had been a minister in England." Some may wonder at the omission of his name of baptism by Mather, when they hear that he was on the same day with Richard Mather and his wife, admitted a member of Boston church, 25 Oct. 1635, called Daniel, being No. 316. Before going to Dover, he had been employed as a schoolmaster in Boston. He was bred at Emanuel, Cambridge, where the University registry gives his degrees in 1606 and 1610.

<sup>1</sup> He first appears at our general courts in May, 1639. Belknap, N. H. I. 48, correcting an error of Hutchinson about him, makes him soon after remove to Barbados.

deputy for the public court, to be their minister. He, by advice of divers of the magistrates and elders, accepted the call, and went and taught among them this winter, and it pleased God to give great success to his labors, so as above 40 of them, whereof the most had been very profane, and some of them professed enemies to the way of our churches, wrote to the magistrates and elders, acknowledging the sinful course they had lived in, and bewailing the same, and blessing God for calling them out of it, and earnestly desiring that Mr. Parker might be settled amongst them. Most of them fell back again in time, embracing this present world.<sup>1</sup>

This winter was the greatest snow we had, since we came into the country, but it lay not long, and the frost was more moderate than in some other winters.

12.] News came out of England, by two fishing ships, of the civil wars there between the king and the parliament, whereupon the churches kept divers days of humiliation. But some of the magistrates<sup>2</sup> were not satisfied about the often reiteration of them for the same cause, but they would not contend with the elders about it, but left the churches to their liberty.

1. 5.] At 7 in the morning, being the Lord's day, there was a great earthquake. It came with a rumbling noise like the former, but through the Lord's mercy it did no harm.

The churches held a different course in raising the ministers' maintenance. Some did it by way of taxation, which was very offensive to some. Amongst others, one Briscoe of Watertown, who had his barn burnt, as before mentioned, being grieved with that course in their town, the rather because himself and others, who were no members, were taxed, wrote a book against it, wherein, besides his arguments, which were ||naught,|| he cast reproach upon the elders and officers. This book he published underhand, which occasioned much stir in the town. At length, he and two more were converted before

|| weighty ||

---

<sup>1</sup> This sentence, it is evident, was added some time after the preceding.

<sup>2</sup> We may imagine that the author was one of these.

the court, where he acknowledged his fault in those reproachful speeches, and in publishing it, whereas it had been his duty to have acquainted the court or magistrates with his grievance, etc., (but for the arguments in the point, there was <sup>94</sup> nothing required of him,) and was fined 10 pounds for that, and some slighting of the court, and one of the publishers, 40 shillings.<sup>1</sup>

Corn was very scarce all over the country, so as by the end, of the 2d month, many families in most towns had none to eat, but were forced to live of clams, muscles, cataos, dry fish, etc., and || sure || this came by the just hand of the Lord, to punish our ingratitude and covetousness. For corn being plenty divers years before, it was so undervalued, as it would not pass for any commodity: if one offered a shop keeper corn for any thing, his answer would be, he knew not what to do with it. So for laborers and artificers; but now they would have done any work, or parted with any commodity, for corn. And the husbandman, he now made his advantage, for he would part with no corn, for the most part, but for ready money or for cattle, at such a price as should be 12d. in the bushel more to him than ready money. And indeed it was a very sad thing to see how little of a public spirit appeared in the country, but of self-love too much. Yet there were some here and there, who were men of another spirit, and were willing to abridge themselves, that others might be supplied. The immediate causes of this scarcity were the cold and wet summer, especially in the time of the first harvest; also, the pigeons came in such flocks, (|| <sup>2</sup>above || 10,000 in one flock,) that beat down, and eat up a very great quantity of all sorts of English grain; much corn spent in setting out the ships, ketches, etc.; lastly, there were such abundance of mice in the barns, that devoured much there. The mice also did much spoil in orchards, eating off the bark at the bottom of the fruit trees in the time of the snow, so as never had been known the like spoil in any former winter. So many enemies doth the Lord arm against our daily

|| since ||

|| <sup>2</sup>about ||

<sup>1</sup> Of this dangerous book, or the sentence upon its author, I can find no trace in the records. Though published, it was, I presume, not printed.

bread, that we might know we are to eat it in the sweat of our brows.

1. 30.] The Trial, Mr. Coytmore master, arrived, and a week after one of the ketches. He sailed first to Fayal, where he found an extraordinary good market for his pipe staves and fish. He took wine and sugar, etc., and sailed || thence || to ||<sup>2</sup> Christophers || in the West Indies, where he put off some of his wine for cotton and tobacco, etc., and for iron, which the islanders had saved of the ships which were there cast away. He obtained license, also, of the governour, Sir Thomas Warner, to take up what ordnance, anchors, etc., he could, and

\*95 was to have the one half; and by the help of a diving tub, he took up 50 guns, and anchors, and cables, which he brought home, and some gold and silver also, which he got by trade, and so, through the Lord's blessing, they made a good voyage, which did much encourage the merchants, and made wine and sugar and cotton very plentiful, and cheap, in the country.

Two ketches also, which were gone to the West Indies for cotton, etc., arrived safe not long after, and made return with profit. Another ship also, called the Increase, sent to the Madesiras, returned safe, and two other ships, after, though they went among the Turks.

There was a piece of justice executed at New Haven, which, being the first in that kind, is not unworthy to be recorded. Mr. Malbon,<sup>1</sup> one of the magistrates there, had a daughter about [blank] years of age, which was openly whipped, her father joining in the sentence. The cause was thus.

[Large blank.]<sup>2</sup>

The wife of one ||<sup>8</sup> Onion || of Roxbury died in great despair: she had been a servant there, and was very stubborn and self-willed. After she was married, she ||<sup>4</sup> proved || very worldly, aiming at great matters. Her first child was still-born, through

||hence||      ||<sup>2</sup> St. Peters||      ||<sup>8</sup> Oymoe||      ||<sup>4</sup> grew||

<sup>1</sup> The name of Richard Malbon is, I believe, found only in the historian of Connecticut, I. 106, as one of twelve, from whom the seven pillars of the church at New Haven should be taken.

<sup>2</sup> I could supply this blank, by the aid furnished to me from the late Professor Kingsley; but the matter is too trifling.

her unruliness and falling into a fever. She fell withal into great horror and trembling, so as it shook the room, etc., and crying out of her torment, and of her stubbornness and unprofitableness under the means, and her lying to her dame in denying somewhat that in ||liquorishness|| she had taken away, and of her worldliness, saying that she neglected her spiritual good for a little worldly trash, and now she must go to everlasting torments, and exhorted others to take heed of such evils, etc., and still crying out O! ten thousand worlds for one drop of Christ, etc. After she had then been silent a few hours, she began to speak again, and being exhorted to consider of God's infinite mercy, etc., she gave still this answer, "I cannot for my life," and so died.<sup>1</sup>

The three ministers which were sent to Virginia, viz., Mr. Tompson, Mr. Knolles, and Mr. James from New Haven, departed (8) 7. and were eleven weeks before they arrived. \*96 They lay windbound sometime at Aquiday: then, as they passed Hellgate between Long Island and the Dutch, their pinnace was bilged upon the rocks, so as she was near foun-dered before they could run on the next shore.<sup>2</sup> The Dutch governour gave them slender entertainment; but Mr. Allerton of New Haven, being there, took great pains and care for them, and procured them a very good pinnace and all things necessary. So they set sail in the dead of winter, and had much foul weather, so as with great difficulty and danger they arrived safe in Virginia. Here they found very loving and liberal entertainment, and were bestowed in several places, not by the governour, but by some well disposed people who desired their company. In their way the difficulties and dangers, which they were continually exercised with, put them to some question

||liquor||

---

<sup>1</sup> We are often compelled to regret such accounts of the crying out of persons in delirium, to which, without hesitation, this case may be referred. Kind-hearted Eliot, in his church volume, after relation of her death, adds, "but I hope under some token of mercy." The town records say, "Mary, the wife of Robert Onion, buried 4, (2) 1643. An infant also of Robert Onion buried in the 2d month."

<sup>2</sup> Perhaps the Episcopal church in Virginia reckoned it a judgment of heaven.

whether their call were of God or not; but so soon as they arrived there and had been somewhat refreshed, Mr. Tompson wrote back, that being a very melancholic man and of a crazy body, he found his health so repaired, and his spirit so enlarged, etc., as he had not been in the like condition since he came to New England. But this was to strengthen him for a greater trial, for his wife, a godly young woman, and a comfortable help to him, being left behind with a company of small children,<sup>1</sup> was taken away by death, and all his children scattered, but well disposed of among his godly friends.

4. 20.] Mr. Knolles returned from Virginia, and brought letters from his congregation and others there to our elders, which were openly read in Boston at a lecture, whereby it appeared that God had greatly blessed their ministry there, so as the people's hearts were much inflamed with desire after the ordinances, and though the state did silence the ministers, because they would not conform to the order of England, yet the people resorted to them in private houses to hear them as before.

There fell out hot wars between the Dutch and the Indians <sup>1647</sup> thereabout. The occasion was this. An Indian, being drunk, had slain an old Dutchman. The Dutch required the murderer, but he could not be had. The people called often upon the governour to take revenge, but he still put it off, either for that he thought it not just, or not safe, etc. It fell out that the Mowhawks, a people that live upon or near Hudson's river, either upon their own quarrel, or rather, as the report went, being set on by the Dutch, came suddenly upon the Indians near the Dutch and killed about 30 of them, the rest fled

---

<sup>1</sup> In addition to what is said in my note on p. 313 of Vol. I of the children of this early New England missionary, I learn, that Joseph, the date of whose birth is there mentioned, lived at Billerica. For many years he was a selectman, town clerk, captain of the militia, schoolmaster, representative and deacon of the church, and died 18 October, 1732, aged above 92 years and a half. His wife, whom he had married before removing from Braintree, died 9 October, 1742, aged 91. Benjamin Tompson, many years a magistrate in Billerica, and Col. William Tompson, deacon of that church and a representative, were descendants of Joseph. William died 2 Septr. 1806 at the age of 88. These details are derived from John Farmer, Esquire, the most inquisitive genealogist of our country in the last age.

for shelter to the Dutch. One Marine, a Dutch captain, hearing of it, goeth to the governour, and obtains commission of him to kill so many as he could of them, and accordingly went with a company of armed men, and setting upon them, fearing no ill from the Dutch, he slew about 70 or 80 men, women and children. Upon this the Indians burnt divers of their farm houses and their cattle in them, and slew all they could meet with, to the number of 20 or more, of men, women and children, and pressed so hard upon the Dutch, even home to their fort, that they were forced to call in the English to their aid, and entertained Captain Underhill, etc., which Marine, the Dutch captain, took so ill, seeing the governour to prefer him before himself, that he presented his pistol at the governour, but was staid by a stander-by. Then a tenant of Marine discharged his musket at the governour, but missed him narrowly, whereupon the sentinel, by the governour's command, shot that fellow presently dead. His head was set upon the gallows, and the captain was sent prisoner into Holland. The people, also, were so offended at the governour for the damage they now sustained by the Indians, though they were all for war before, that the governour durst not trust himself among them, but entertained a guard of 50 English about his person, and the Indians did so annoy them by sudden assaults out of the swamps, etc., that he was forced to keep a running army to be ready to oppose them upon all occasions.

The Indians also of Long Island took part with their neighbors upon the main, and as the Dutch took away their corn, etc., so they fell to burning the Dutch houses. But these, by the mediation of Mr. Williams, who was then there to go in a Dutch ship for England, were pacified, and peace re-established between the Dutch and them. At length they came to an accord of peace with the rest of the Indians also.

23.] One John Cook, an honest young man, being in his master's absence to salute a ship, etc., in the vanity of his mind thought to make the gun give a great report, and accordingly said to some, that he would make her speak. Overcharging her, she brake all into small pieces and scattered round about some men a || flight || shot off. Himself was killed, but no hurt

|| slight ||

\*98 found about him, but only one hand cut off and beaten a good distance from the place where he stood. And there appeared a special providence of God in it, for although there were many people up and down, yet none was hurt, nor was any near the gun when she was fired, whereas usually they gather thither on such occasions.

One of our ships, the Seabridge, arrived with 20 children and some other passengers out of England, and 300 pounds worth of goods purchased with the country's stock, given by some friends in England the year before; and those children, with many more to come after, were sent by money given one fast day in London, and allowed by the parliament and city for that purpose.

The house of commons also made an order in our favor, which was sent us under the hand of H. || Elsynge, || Cler. Parl. D. C. to this effect, viz. || \*Veneris || 10 Martii 1642.<sup>1</sup> Whereas the plantations in New England have, by the blessing of Almighty God, had good and prosperous success without any charge to this state, and are now likely to prove very happy for the propagation of the gospel in those parts, and very beneficial and commodious for this kingdom and nation, the commons now assembled in parliament do, for the better advancement of these plantations and encouragement of the planters, etc., ordain that all merchandizes, goods exported, etc., into New England to be spent, used or employed there, || \*or || being of the growth of that country, shall § be § imported hither, or put aboard to be spent, etc., in the voyage going or returning, and all and every the owners thereof, be free of all custom, etc., in England and New England, and all other ports, until this house shall take further order. This to be observed and allowed by all officers and persons whatsoever upon showing

|| Effinge ||

|| \*blank ||

|| \*as ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Holmes Ann. I. 321, of Ed. first, Hutchinson I. 114, and Chalmers, 174, who relies on Hutchinson, all seem to understand the date of this honorable testimony as *our* 1642, whereas it is evident that it should be reckoned of the following year. The tenth of March 1642, was Thursday, in 1643 Friday. Lady-day began the year, as explained in the preface to Vol. I pp. vi. and vii.

forth of this order, signed by the said clerk, without any other warrant.

Our general court, upon receipt of this order, caused the same, with our humble and thankful acknowledgment of so great a favor from that honorable assembly, to be entered verbatim among our records, in perpetuam rei memoriam.

One Richard [blank,] servant to one [blank] Williams of Dorchester, being come out of service, fell to work at his own hand and took great wages above others, and would not <sup>•99</sup> work but for ready money. By this means in a year, or little more, he had scraped together about 25 pounds, and then returned with his prey into England, speaking evil of the country by the way. He was not gone far, after his arrival, but the cavaliers met him and eased him of his money; so he knew no better way but to return to New England again, to repair his loss in that place which he had so much disparaged.

Mo. 3. 10.] Our court of elections was held, when Mr. Eze-  
kiel Rogers, pastor of the church in Rowley, preached. He  
was called to it by a company of freemen, whereof the most  
were deputies chosen for the court, appointed, by order of the  
last court, to meet at Salem about nomination of some to be  
put to the vote for the new magistrates. Mr. Rogers, hearing  
what exception was taken to this call, as unwarrantable, wrote  
to the governour for advice, etc., who returned him answer:  
That he did account his calling not to be sufficient, yet the  
magistrates were not minded to strive with the deputies about  
it, but seeing it was noised in the country, and the people  
would expect him, and that he had advised with the magis-  
trates about it, he wished him to go on. In his sermon he de-  
scribed how the man ought to be qualified whom they should  
choose for their governour, yet dissuaded them earnestly from  
choosing the same man twice together, and expressed his dis-  
like of that with such vehemency as gave offence. But when  
it came to trial, the former governour, Mr. Winthrop, was  
chosen again, and two ||new|| magistrates, Mr. William Hib-  
bins and Mr. Samuel Simons.

At this court came the commissioners from Plimouth, Con-  
necticut and New Haven, viz., from Plimouth Mr. Edward  
[more]

Winslow and Mr. || Collier ||, from Connecticut Mr. Haynes and Mr. Hopkins, with whom Mr. Fenwick of Saybrook joined, from New Haven Mr. Theophilus Eaton and Mr. Grigson.<sup>1</sup> Our court chose a committee to treat with them, viz., the governour and Mr. Dudley, and Mr. Bradstreet, being of the magistrates; and of the deputies, Captain Gibbons, Mr. ||<sup>2</sup> Tyng||<sup>2</sup> \*100 the treasurer, and Mr. Hathorn. These coming to consultation encountered some difficulties, but being all desirous

|| Collins ||

||<sup>2</sup> T. ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Grigson, whose christian name was Thomas, had come over in company with governors Eaton and Hopkins, Rev. J. Davenport and others, according to Trumbull, I. 95. They arrived 26 June, 1637, as in our former volume is mentioned. He was, probably, the chief man in the colony of New Haven, after Eaton, filled the office of treasurer at the organization of government, and was very active in all its concerns. In a later part of this history will be mentioned his untimely death.

<sup>2</sup> William Tyng was a gentleman of high respectability, but not, I imagine, entitled to the merit, as is said 1 Hist. Coll. x. 180, of coming to our country "about the year 1630." Probably he came in the Nicholas, arriving at Boston 3 July, 1638, as John Josselyn, the curious passenger, informs us, that he had chartered this ship at London; and he was admitted of the church in March following, and in Sept. 1639, was chosen a deputy for Boston, and served in eight following courts. He was continued treasurer of the colony, but "being absent for some space of time in England," as Johnson, lib. I. c. 45 informs, Richard Russell was chosen in his room. This, from the records, we learn was in 1645. William Tyng died, probably after his return here, early in 1658. The inventory of his estate, which was, I think, as large as any in the colony for those days, amounting to 2774 pounds 14, 4, preserved in our probate records II. 99-107, was made on 25 May of that year. It is proved by his brother Edward. The titles of several of his books show an estimable curiosity in the possessor. He left no male progeny, but his four daughters were well married. Edward Tyng, admitted of our church 30 Jan. 1641, his wife, Mary, having joined it 5 Sept. preceding, was a person of great influence in the colony, from whom has descended a numerous line, lived to a greater age, dying 28 Sept. 1681. His will, made 25 Aug. 1677, proved 19 January, 1681-2, Lib. VI. 380, distributed his property, as follows: 100 pounds to his son Edward, "having given him a considerable estate already," 500 pounds to his daughter Eunice, a debt remitted to his son, Jos. Dudley, afterwards the governour, 100 pounds to each of nine grand children, three Savages, four Dudleys, Samuel Searle and John Tyng, and all the residue to his wife, who was named executrix. His daughter Eunice, having received her portion on her marriage with Samuel Willard, pastor of the third church, afterwards head of Harvard College, on 7 Jan. 1680-1, her legacy was made void. For a full account of the services of some of his descendants see 1 Hist. Coll. x. 180-183.

of union and studious of peace, they readily yielded each to other in such things as tended to common utility, etc., so as in some two or three meetings they lovingly accorded upon these ensuing articles, which, being allowed by our court, and signed by all the commissioners, were sent to be also ratified by the general courts of other jurisdictions; only Plimouth commissioners, having power only to treat, but not to determine, deferred the signing of them till they came home, but soon after they were ratified by their general court also.

Those of Sir Ferdinando Gorge his province, beyond Passataquack, were not received nor called into the confederation, because they ran a different course from us both in their ministry and civil administration; for they had lately made Acomenticus (a poor village) a corporation, and had made a taylor their mayor, and had entertained one Hull, an excommunicated person and very contentious, for their minister.

At this court of elections there arose a scruple about the oath which the governour and the rest of the magistrates were to take, viz., about the first part of it: "You shall bear true faith and allegiance to our sovereign Lord King Charles," seeing he had violated the privileges of parliament, and made war upon them, and thereby had lost much of his kingdom and many of his subjects; whereupon it was thought fit to omit that part of it for the present.

About this time two plantations began to be settled upon Merrimack, Pentuckett called Haverill, and || Cochi-chawick || called Andover.

The articles of confederation between the plantations under the government of the Massachusetts, the plantations under the government of New Plimouth, the plantations under the government of Connecticut and the government of New Haven, with the plantations in combination therewith:

WHEREAS we all came into these parts of America with one and the same end and aim, namely, to advance the kingdom of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to enjoy the liberties of the gospel in purity with peace: and whereas by our settling, by the wise providence of God, we are further dispersed upon the seacoasts and rivers than was at first intended, so that we can-

not, according to our desire, with convenience communicate in one government and jurisdiction: and whereas we live encompassed with people of several nations and strange languages, which hereafter may prove injurious to us or our posterity; and for as much as the natives have formerly committed sundry insolences and outrages upon several plantations of the English, and have of late combined themselves against us, and seeing by reason of the sad distractions in England, (which they have heard of,) and by which they know we are hindered both from that humble way of seeking advice, and reaping those comfortable fruits of protection, which at other times we might well expect; we therefore do conceive it our bounden duty, without delay, to enter into a present consociation amongst ourselves for mutual help and strength in all future concernment, that, as in nation and religion, so in other respects, we be and continue one, according to the tenor and true meaning of the ensuing articles,—

1. Wherefore it is fully agreed and concluded between the parties above named, and they jointly and severally do, by these presents, agree and conclude that they all be, and henceforth be called by the name of the United Colonies of New England.

2. These united colonies, for themselves and their posterities, do jointly and severally hereby enter into a firm and perpetual league of friendship and amity, for offence and defence, mutual advice and succor upon all just occasions, both for preserving and propagating the truth and liberties of the gospel, and for their own mutual safety and welfare.

3. It is further agreed, that the plantations which at present are, or hereafter shall be settled within the limits of the Massachusetts, shall be forever under the government of the Massa-

\*102 chusetts, and shall have peculiar jurisdiction amongst themselves in all cases as an entire body; and that Plimouth, Connecticut, and New Haven, shall each of them in all respects have like peculiar jurisdiction and government within their limits, and in reference to the plantations which are already settled, or shall hereafter be erected, and shall settle within any of their limits respectively; provided that no other jurisdiction shall hereafter be taken in as a distinct head or

member of this confederation, nor shall any other, either plantation or jurisdiction in present being, and not already in combination or under the jurisdiction of any of these confederates, be received by any of them: nor shall any two of these confederates join in one jurisdiction, without consent of the rest, which consent to be interpreted as in the 6th ensuing article is expressed.

4. It is also by these confederates agreed, that the charge of all just wars, whether offensive or defensive, upon what part or member of this confederation soever they shall fall, shall, both in men and provisions and all other disbursements, be borne by all the parts of this confederation in different proportions, according to their different abilities, in manner following, viz. That the commissioners for each jurisdiction, from time to time as there shall be occasion, bring account and number of all the males in each plantation, or any way belonging to or under their several jurisdictions, of what quality or condition soever they be, from sixteen years old to sixty, being inhabitants there, and that according to the different numbers which from time to time shall be found in each jurisdiction upon a true and just account, the service of men and all charges of the war be borne by the poll; each jurisdiction or plantation being left to their own just course or custom of rating themselves and people according to their different estates, with due respect to their qualities and exemptions among themselves, though the confederation take no notice of any such privilege; and that, according to the different charge of each jurisdiction and plantation, the whole advantage of the war, (if it please God so to bless their endeavors,) whether it be in lands, goods, or persons, shall be proportionably divided among the said confederates.

5. It is further agreed, that if any of these jurisdictions, or any plantation under or in combination with them, be invaded by any enemy whatsoever, upon notice and request of any three magistrates of that jurisdiction so invaded, the rest of the confederates, without any further notice or expostulation, shall forthwith send aid to the confederate in danger, but in different proportions, namely, the Massachusetts one hundred men sufficiently armed and provided for such a service and journey, and each of the rest 45 men so armed and provided; or any less

\*103 number, if less be required, according to this proportion.

But if such a confederate in danger may be supplied by their next confederate, not exceeding the number hereby agreed, they may crave help thence, and seek no further for the present; the charge to be borne as in this article is expressed, and at their return to be victualled, and supplied with powder and shot, if there be need, for their journey, by that jurisdiction which employed or sent for them; but none of the jurisdictions to exceed these numbers till by a meeting of the commissioners for this confederation a greater aid appear necessary; and this proportion to continue till upon knowledge of the numbers in each jurisdiction, which shall be brought to the next meeting, some other proportion be ordered. But in any such case of sending men for present aid, whether before or after such order or alteration, it is agreed that at the meeting of the commissioners for this confederation, the cause of such war or invasion be duly considered, and if it appear that the fault lay in the party invaded, that then that jurisdiction or plantation make just satisfaction both to the invaders whom they have injured, and bear all the charge of the war themselves without requiring any allowance from the rest of the confederates towards the same. And further, that if any jurisdiction see any danger of an invasion approaching, and there be time for a meeting, that in such case three magistrates of that jurisdiction may summons a meeting at such convenient place as themselves shall think meet, to consider and provide against the threatened danger; provided when they are met, they may remove to what place they please: only while any of these four confederates have but three magistrates in their jurisdiction, a request or summons from any two of them shall be accounted of equal force with the three mentioned in both the clauses of this article, till there may be an increase of magistrates there.

6. It is also agreed, that for the managing and concluding of all affairs peculiar to and concerning the whole confederation, commissioners shall be chosen by and out of each of these four jurisdictions, viz., two for the Massachusetts, two for Plimouth, two for Connecticut, and two for New Haven, all in church fellowship with us, which shall bring full power from their several general courts respectively, to hear, examine, weigh,

and determine all affairs of war or peace, leagues, aids, charges, and numbers of men for war, division of spoils, or whatever is gotten by conquest; receiving of more confederates or plantations into the combination with any of these confederates, and all things of like nature which are the proper concomitants or consequents of such a confederation for amity, offence \*104 and defence, not intermeddling with the government of any of the jurisdictions, which by the 3d article is preserved entirely to themselves. But if those eight commissioners, when they meet, shall not agree, yet it is concluded that any six of the eight, agreeing, shall have power to settle and determine the business in question; but if six do not agree, that then such propositions, with their reasons, so far as they have been debated, be sent and referred to the four general courts, viz., the Massachusetts, Plimouth, Connecticut, and New Haven: and if at all the said general courts the business so referred be concluded, then to be prosecuted by the confederation and all their members. It is further agreed, that these eight commissioners shall meet once every year (besides extraordinary meetings according to the 5th article) to consider, treat, and conclude of all affairs belonging to this confederation, which meeting shall ever be the first Thursday in 7ber, and that the next meeting after the date of these presents (which shall be accounted the second meeting) shall be at Boston in the Massachusetts, the third at Hartford, the fourth at New Haven, the fifth at Plimouth, § the sixth and seventh at Boston, § and so in course successively, if in the meantime some middle place be not found out and agreed upon, which may be commodious for all the jurisdictions.

7. It is further agreed, that at each meeting of these eight commissioners, whether ordinary or extraordinary, they all, or any six of them agreeing as before, may choose their president out of themselves, whose office and work shall be to take care and direct for order and a comely carrying on of all proceedings in their present meeting, but he shall be invested with no such power or respect, as by which he shall hinder the propounding or progress of any business, or any way cast the scales otherwise than in the preceding articles is agreed.

8. It is also agreed, that the commissioners for this confeder

ation hereafter at their meetings, whether ordinary or extraordinary, as they may have commission or opportunity, do endeavor to frame and establish agreements and orders in general cases of a civil nature wherein all the plantations are interested for preserving peace amongst themselves, and preventing, as much as may be, all occasions of war or differences with others, as about free and speedy passage of justice in each jurisdiction to all the confederates equally, as to their own, receiving those that remove from one plantation to another without due certificates, how all the jurisdictions may carry it towards the Indians, that they neither grow insolent nor be injured without due satisfaction, lest war break in upon the confederates \*105 through miscarriages. It is also agreed, that if any servant

run away from his master into any of these confederate jurisdictions, that in such case, upon certificate of one magistrate in the jurisdiction out of which the said servant fled, or upon other due proof, the said servant shall be delivered either to his master or any other that pursues and brings such certificate or proof: And that upon the escape of any prisoner or fugitive for any criminal cause, whether breaking prison or getting from the officer, or otherwise escaping, upon the certificate of two magistrates of the jurisdiction out of which the escape is made, that he was a prisoner or such an offender at the time of the escape, the magistrate, or some of them of the jurisdiction where for the present the said prisoner or fugitive abideth, shall forthwith grant such a warrant as the case will bear, for the apprehending of any such person and the delivery of him into the hand of the officer or other person who pursueth him; and if there be help required for the safe returning of any such offender, then it shall be granted unto him that craves the same, he paying the charges thereof.

9. And for that the justest wars may be of dangerous consequence, especially to the smaller plantations in these united colonies, it is agreed, that neither the Massachusetts, Plimouth, Connecticut, nor New Haven, nor any of the members of any of them, shall at any time hereafter begin, undertake, or engage themselves or this confederation, or any part thereof, in any war whatsoever, (sudden exigencies with the necessary consequences thereof excepted, which are also to be moderated as

much as the case will permit,) without the consent and agreement of the aforesigned eight commissioners, or at least six of them, as in the 6th article is provided; and that no charge be required of any of the confederates, in case of a defensive war, till the said commissioners have met and approved the justice of the war, and have agreed upon the sum of money to be levied, which sum is then to be paid by the several confederates in proportion according to the 4th article.

10. That in extraordinary occasions, when meetings are summoned by three magistrates of any jurisdiction, or two, as in the 5th article, if any of the commissioners come not, due warning being given or sent, it is agreed that four of the commissioners shall have power to direct a war which cannot be || delayed ||, and to send for due proportions of men out of each jurisdiction, as well as six might do if all met; but not less than six shall determine the justice of the war, or allow the demands or bills of charges, or cause any levies to be made for the same.

11. It is further agreed, that if any of the confederates shall hereafter break any of these present articles, or be otherway injurious to any one of the other jurisdictions, such breach of agreement or injury shall be duly considered and ordered by the commissioners for the other jurisdictions, that both peace, and this present confederation may be entirely preserved without violation.

12. Lastly, this perpetual confederation, and the several articles and agreements thereof being read and seriously considered both by the general court for the Massachusetts and the commissioners for the other three, were subscribed presently by the commissioners, all save those of Plimouth, who, for want of sufficient commission from their general court, deferred their subscription till the next meeting, and then they subscribed also, and were to be allowed by the general courts of the several jurisdictions, which accordingly was done, and certified at the next meeting held at Boston, (7) 7, 1643.

Boston, (3) 29,<sup>1</sup> 1643.

||detained||

---

<sup>1</sup> This date is very plain in our original MS., yet a doubt can hardly exist,

\*107 4. 12.] Mr. La Tour arrived here in a ship of 140 tons, and 140 persons. The ship came from Rochelle, the master and his company were protestants. There were two friars and two women sent to wait upon La Tour his lady. They came in with a fair wind, without any notice taken of them. They took a pilot out of one of our boats at sea, and left one of their men in his place. Capt. Gibbons' wife and children passed by the ship as they were going to their farm,

---

whether it should not be 19 May. Hazard, who professes to publish the records of the United Colonies, beginning with these articles of confederation, for which his book, with all its typographical errors or false readings, will always be one of the most valuable monuments of our early history, gives, II. 6, the earlier date. But though, at the first meeting of the commissioners, in Sept. after, the recital, ib. 7, contains the same date, and though the order of the Massachusetts general court, appointing its members of this congress, is said to be of xx. May, 1643, and though ib. 11, the common form of commission for all acting under the United Colonies repeats the same, and though, at the opening of the conferences, 1647, the recital, ib. 75, and in fifteen other places, in which the acts of these annual assemblies severally begin, the same day is mentioned, and in one of them the printed word, *nineteenth*, instead of the Arabic figures, is used, yet in the solemn renewal of the articles, on account of Connecticut and New Haven uniting in one colony, proposed in 1670 and finally ratified an. 1672, the records, ib. 515, change the time to 29 May, as they do also in another draft of the same paper, ib. 520, and again, ib. 526. Morton, sub. anno. has the earlier day. Unfortunately our MS. copy of these common records is lost, and Mr. Hazard is understood to have used the set which belonged to Plimouth colony. For the true period of this alliance, in this discrepancy of citation from the authentic volumes of the high contracting parties themselves, we should then be left to conjecture, for Hubbard, Mather, or Hutchinson could hardly be resorted to as any authorities on such a subject, where records are ambiguous. Our general court records for 1643, have no other notice of this matter than of the appointment of the committee mentioned in this volume. But in the following year, after notice of the choice of delegates to the autumnal congress, a copy of their commission is, happily, inserted, which relieves our doubts by reciting the true date of these celebrated articles, 19 May, 1643; and among the archives of our Historical Society, is a very ancient copy, probably among the first, of these interesting Articles, bearing, very distinctly, the date of 19 May. I can easily explain the confusion, which seems to have been introduced, at the renewal of the league in 1670, or in 1672, as above cited in Hazard, or in Mass. Anc. Chart. 726, by supposing, that this copy of Winthrop was referred to, instead of the records, and my suggestion is strengthened by finding the first signature that of his son, John, the governour of Connecticut, who had his father's MS.

but being discovered to La Tour by one of his gentlemen who knew || her,|| La Tour manned out a shallop, which he towed after him to go speak with her. She seeing such a company of strangers making towards her, hastened to get from them, and landed at the governour's garden. La Tour landed presently after her, and there found the governour and his wife, and two of his sons, and his son's wife, and after mutual salutations he told the governour the cause of his coming, viz. that this ship being sent him out of France, D'Aulnay, his old enemy, had so blocked up the river to his fort at St. John's, with two ships and a galliot, as his ship could not get in, whereupon he stole by in the night in his shallop, and was come to crave aid to convey him into his fort. The governour answered that he could say nothing to it till he had conferred with other of the magistrates; so after supper he went with him to Boston in La Tour's boat, having sent his own boat to Boston to carry home Mrs. Gibbons. Divers boats, having passed by him, had given notice hereof to Boston and Charlestown, his ship also arriving before Boston, the towns betook them to their arms, and three shallops with armed men came forth to meet the governour and to guard him home. But here the Lord gave us occasion to take notice of our weakness, etc., for if La Tour had been ill minded towards us, he had such an opportunity as we hope neither he nor any other shall ever have the like again; for coming by our castle and saluting it, there was none to answer him, for the last court had given order to have the castle-Island deserted, a great part of the work being fallen down, etc., so as he might have taken all the ordnance there. Then, having the governour and his family, and Captain Gibbons' wife, etc., in his power, he might have gone and spoiled Boston, and having so many men ready, they might have taken two ships in the harbor, and gone away without danger or resistance, but his neglecting this opportunity gave us assurance of his true meaning. So being landed at Boston, the governour, with a sufficient guard, brought him to his lodging at Captain Gibbons'. This gave further assurance that he intended us no evil, because he voluntarily put his person in

|| him ||

our power. The next day the governour called together such of the magistrates as were at hand, and some of the de-<sup>108</sup>puties, and propounding the cause to them, and La Tour being present, and the captain of his ship, etc., he showed his commission, which was fairly engrossed in parchment under the hand and seal of the Vice Admiral of France, and grand prior, etc., to bring supply to La Tour, whom he styled his majesty's lieutenant general of L'Acadie, and also a letter from the agent of the company of France to whom he hath reference, informing him of the injurious practices of D'Aulnay against him, and advising him to look to himself, etc., and superscribed § to § him as lieutenant general, etc. Upon this it appeared to us, (that being dated in April last,) that notwithstanding the news which D'Aulnay had sent to our governour the last year, whereby La Tour was proclaimed a rebel, etc., yet he stood in good terms with the state of France, and also with the company. Whereupon, though we could not grant him aid without advice of the other commissioners of our confederacy, yet we thought it not fit nor just to hinder any that would be willing to be hired to aid him; and accordingly we answered him that we would allow him a free ||mercate,|| that he might hire any ships which lay in our harbor, etc. This answer he was very well satisfied with and took very thankfully; he also desired leave to land his men, that they might refresh themselves, which was granted him, so they landed in small companies, that our women, etc., might not be affrighted by them. This direction was duly observed.

But the training day at Boston falling out the next week, and La Tour having requested that he might be permitted to exercise his soldiers on shore, we expected him that day, so he landed 40 men in their arms, (they were all shot). They were brought into the field by our train band, consisting of 150, and in the forenoon they only beheld our men exercise. When they had dined, (La Tour and his officers with our officers, and his soldiers invited home by the private soldiers,) in the afternoon they were permitted to exercise, (our governour and other of the magistrates coming then into the field,) and all ours stood

||mercature||

and beheld them. They were very expert in all their postures and motions.<sup>1</sup>

When it was near night, La Tour desired our governour <sup>\*109</sup> that his men might have leave to depart, which being granted, his captain acquainted our captain therewith, so he drew our men into a march, and the French fell into the middle. When they were to depart, they gave a volley of shot and went to their boat, the French showing much admiration to see so many men of one town so well armed and disciplined, La Tour professing he could not have believed it, if he had not seen it. Our governour and others in the town entertained La Tour and his gentlemen with much courtesy, both in their houses and at table. La Tour came duly to our church meetings, and always accompanied the governour to and from thence, who all the time of his abode here was attended with a good guard of halberts and musketeers. Those who engrossed the ships, understanding his distress, and the justice of his cause, and the magistrates' permission, were willing to be entertained by him.

But the rumor of these things soon spreading through the country, were diversely apprehended, not only by the common sort, but also by the elders, whereof some in their sermons spoke against their entertainment, and the aid permitted them; others spake in the justification of both. One [blank,] a judicious minister, hearing that leave was granted them to exercise their men in Boston, out of his fear of popish leagues and care of our safety, spake as in way of prediction, that, before that day were ended, store of blood would be spilled in Boston.<sup>2</sup> Divers

---

<sup>1</sup> Here was inserted, in my copy, a transcript from the MS. (both copy and original perished in the great fire) of an account of one peculiar feat in arms, showed by La Tour's soldiers, of which my memory is not sufficiently tenacious, I sincerely regret, to give an adequate representation. In the midst of their exercise with muskets, they threw down their pieces, cast off their bandoleers, drew their swords, and appeared to make a charge. Some alarm was excited among the women and children, and perhaps a little suspicion among full-grown men.

In the margin was written by our author, "*this were better left out;*" but the reason having ceased, I had resolved, that it had better be printed.

<sup>2</sup> Endecott wrote to the governour, next week, of his dislike of the strangers

also wrote to the governour, laying before him great dangers, others charging sin upon the conscience in all these proceedings ; so as he was forced to write and publish the true state of the cause, and the reasons of all their proceedings, which satisfied many, but not all. Also, the masters and others, who were to go in the ships, desired advice about their proceedings, etc. whereupon the governour appointed another meeting, to which all the || near || magistrates and deputies, and the elders also were called, and there the matter was debated upon these heads.

1. Whether it were lawful for Christians to aid idolaters, and how far we may hold communion with them ?

2. Whether it were safe for our state to suffer him to have aid from us against D'Aulnay ?

To the first question, the arguments<sup>1</sup> on the negative part \*110 were these. 1. Jehoshaphat is reproved for the like — wouldst thou help the wicked ? The answer to this was, first, this must be meant only in such case as that was, not simply according to the words of that one sentence taken apart from the rest, for otherwise it would be unlawful to help any wicked man, though a professed protestant, and though our own countryman, father, brother, etc., and that in any case, though ready to be drowned, slain, famished, etc. ; second, Jehoshaphat aided him in a brotherly league of amity and affinity : I am as thou art, my people as thy people, etc. 2.

|| new ||

---

viewing our forts, bringing their soldiers ashore, and suffering them to train their men. He much feared "having any thing to do with these idolatrous French." See the letter, in Hutch. Coll. 113.

<sup>1</sup> Our author writes in his margin, "some of the ensuing arguments were not used at that meeting, but in the agitation of the case at other times." Hume, Chap. LXII., speaking of the fanaticism in England from 1640 to 1660, says : "The Old Testament, preferably to the New, was the favorite of all the sectaries. The eastern poetical style of that composition made it more easily susceptible of a turn which was agreeable to them." I am sorry to confirm his remark, though the practice was less observable in our country. Yet it seems civil polity was deeply influenced by quotations from the Hebrew Scriptures, which assuredly were not given for our instruction to the extent manifested in our text.

Ahab was declared a wicked man by God, and denounced to destruction. || Answer ||. Ahab was in no distress, and so needed no aid.

2. Argument. Jehoshaphat joining after with Ahazia in making ships, is reproved, etc. Answer. There is difference between helping a man in distress, which is a duty imposed, and joining in a course of merchandise where the action is voluntary; and it appears by this their joining, that the league of amity continued between the two kingdoms.

3. Argument. Josias did evil in aiding the king of Babylon against Pharaoh Necho. Answer 1. The king of Babylon was in no distress, nor did desire his help, nor is it said he intended his aid. 2. Josias, no doubt, did not break any known general rule, being so strict an observer of all God's commandments; for it was not lawful for him to stop Pharaoh's army from going through his country, but his sin was, that either he did not believe the message of God by Pharaoh in that particular case, or did not inquire further about it from his own prophets, and so it is expressed in that story.

4. Argument. Amaziah, king of Judah, is reproved for hiring an army out of Israel, because God was near with Israel. Answer. This is not to the question, which is of giving aid, and not of hiring aid from others, nor was Amaziah in any distress, but only sought to enlarge his dominion.

5. Argument. By aiding papists, we advance and strengthen popery. Answer 1. We are not to omit things necessary and lawful for a doubtful ill consequence, which is but accidental. 2. Such aid may as well work to the weakening of popery by winning some of them to the love of the truth, as hath sometimes fallen out, and sometimes by strengthening one part of them against another, they may both be the more weakened in the end.

For the 2d question, whether it be safe, etc., the arguments on the negative part were these.

1. Papists are not to be trusted, seeing it is one of their tenets that they are not to keep promise with heretics. Answer. In this case we rely not upon their faith but their interest, it being for their advantage to hold in with us, we may

safely trust them ; besides, we shall not need to hazard ourselves upon their fidelity, having sufficient strength to secure ourselves.

2. We may provoke the state of France against us, or at least D'Aulnay, and so be brought into another war. Answer. It appears by the commission and letter before-mentioned, that La Tour stands in good terms with the state of France and the company, etc. It is usual in all states in Europe to suffer aid to be hired against their confederates, without any breach of the peace, as by the states of Holland against the Spaniards, and by both out of England, without any breach of the peace, or offence to either. As for D'Aulnay, he hath carried himself so, as we could look for no other but ill measures from him, if he were able, though we should not permit La Tour to have help from us, for he hath taken Penobscott from us with our goods to a great value. He made prize of our men and goods also at Isle Sable, and kept our men as slaves a good space, but never made satisfaction for our goods ; likewise he entertained our servants which ran from us, and refuseth to return them, being demanded ; he also furnisheth the Indians about us with guns and powder ; and lastly, he wrote last year to our governour, forbidding our vessels to pass beyond his fort in the open sea, and threatening to make prize if he should meet, etc., and if the worst should happen that can be feared, yet if our way be lawful, and we innocent from wrong, etc., we may and must trust God with our safety so long as we serve his providence in the use of such means as he affords us.

3. Argument. Solomon tells us, that he that meddleth with a strife which belongs not to him, takes a dog by the ear, which is very dangerous. Answer. This is a strife which doth belong to us, both in respect of La Tour seeking aid of us in his distress, and also in respect it so much concerns us to have D'Aulnay subdued or weakened : and it were not wisdom in us to stop the course of providence, which offers to do that for <sup>\*112</sup> us without our charge, which we are like otherwise to be forced to undertake at our own charge.

4. It is not safe to permit this aid to go from us, especially without advice of the general court, lest it should miscarry, and so prove a dishonor and weakening to us. Answer 1. For

the general court, it could not have been assembled under fourteen days, and such delay, besides the necessary charge it would have put La Tour unto, and ourselves also by the strong watches we || were || forced to keep, it might have lost the opportunity of relieving him, or it might have put him upon some dangerous design of surprising our ships, etc. Besides, if the court had been assembled, we knew they would not have given him aid without consent of the commissioners of the other colonies, and for a bare permission, we might do it without the court; and to have deferred this needlessly, had been against that rule: say not || <sup>1</sup>to || thy neighbor, go and come again, and to-morrow I will give thee, when there is power in || <sup>1</sup>thine || hands to do it. As for the danger of miscarriage, it is not so much as in other our voyages to Spain or England, or, etc., and if the rule be safe that we walk by, the success cannot alter it.

5. We hear only one party, we should as well hear the other, otherwise we deal not judicially, and perhaps may aid a man in an unjust quarrel. Answer 1. We heard formerly D'Aulnay's allegations against La Tour, and notwithstanding all that, La Tour his cause appears just; for they being both the subjects of the same prince, the ship coming by permission from their prince's authority, D'Aulnay ought to permit him to enter peaceably. 2. Our men that go will first offer parley with D'Aulnay, and if La Tour his cause be unjust, they are not to offend the others. 3. La Tour being now in desperate distress, he is first to be succoured, before the cause be further inquired into, according to the example of Abraham, who, hearing of the distress of his kinsman Lot, staid not till he might send to Chedorlaomer to have his answer about the justice of his cause; yet there was strong presumption that his cause was just, and that Lot and all the rest were lawful prisoners, for they had been twelve years his subjects and were in rebellion at this time, but he stays not to inquire out the cause, the distress not permitting it, but goes personally to rescue them: As put case — an Englishman or Spaniard should be driven into our harbour by a pirate, and should come and inform us so, and desire us to let him have aid to convey him safe to sea, might

|| are ||

|| <sup>1</sup>as |||| <sup>1</sup>their ||

we not lawfully send out aid with him, before we had sent to the pirate to understand the cause; it would be time enough \*113 to demand that, when our aid came up with him. So if our neighboring Indians should send to us to desire aid against some other Indians who were coming to destroy them, should we first send to the other Indians to inquire the justice of the cause? No, but we should first send to save them, and after examine the cause.

The arguments on the affirmative part are many of them touched in the former answers to the arguments on the other part. The rest are these.

1. By the ||royal|| law, thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself. If our neighbor be in distress, we ought to help him without any respect to religion or other quality; but an idolater in distress is our neighbor, as appears by that parable, Luke 10, where it is plainly concluded, that the Samaritan was neighbor to the distressed traveller, and our Saviour bids the lawyer, being a Jew, to do likewise, that is, even to a Samaritan, if in distress; and by the law of relations the distressed Jew was neighbor to the Samaritan, and the Samaritan in distress should have been so to him, though as opposite in religion as protestants and papists. If such an one be not our neighbor, then we have no relation to him by any command of the second table, for that requires us to love our neighbor only, and then we may deceive, beat, and otherwise damnify him, and not sin, etc.

2. Argument out of Gal. 6. 10. Do good to all, but specially to the household of faith, by which it appears that under all, he includes such as were not believers, and those were heathen idolaters, and if we must do good to such, we must help them in distress.

3. We are exhorted to be like our Heavenly Father in doing good to the just and unjust, that is to all, as occasion is offered, even such as he causeth the sun to shine upon, and the rain to fall upon, though excommunicated persons, blasphemers, and persecutors, yet if they be in distress, we are to do them good, and therefore to relieve them.

4. We may hold some kind of communion with idolaters, as

||blank||

1. We may have peace with them ; 2. Commerce : Ezek. 27. 17. speaking of Tyrus, who were idolaters, he sayeth, Judah were thy merchants in wheat, etc., and the Jews were not forbidden to trade with the heathen in Nehemiah's time, so it were not on the Sabbath. 3. In eating and drinking and such like familiar converse : 1. Cor. 10. if an heathen invite a Christian to his table, he might go, etc., and so he might as well invite such to his table, as Solomon did the queen of Sheba, and the ambassadors of other princes round about him, who would not have resorted to him as they did, if he had not entertained <sup>\*114</sup> them courteously ; and he both received presents and gave presents to the queen of Sheba, and others who were then idolaters — and Neh. 5. 17. he sayeth, that with the Jews there were also at his table usually such of the heathen as came to him : so that it was not then (nor indeed at all by the law) unlawful for the Jews to eat with heathen, though the Pharisees made it unlawful by their tradition.

The fourth and last kind of communion is succour in distress.

To the second question, the arguments on the affirmative part were these, with others expressed before in the answers.

1. D'Aulnay is a dangerous neighbor to us ; if he have none to oppose him, or to keep him employed at home, he will certainly be dealing with us, but if La Tour be not now helpen, he is undone, his fort, with his wife, children, and servants, will all be taken, he hath no place to go unto — this ship cannot carry back him and all his company to France, but will leave them on shore here, and how safe it will be for us to keep them is doubtful, but to let them go will be more dangerous, for they must then go to D'Aulnay, and that will strengthen him greatly both by their number, and still also by their present knowledge of our state and place, which, in regard of our own safety, lays a necessity upon us of aiding La Tour, and aiding him so as he may subsist, and be able to make good his place against his enemy.

2. La Tour being in urgent distress, and therefore as our neighbor to be relieved, if it be well done of us, we may trust in God, and not be afraid of any terror, 1 Peter, 3. 6.

3. It will be no wisdom for D'Aulnay to begin with us, for he knows how much stronger we are than he, in men and

shipping; and some experience we have had hereof, in that when our friends of Plimouth hired a ship in our harbour, and therewith went and battered his house at Penobscott, yet he took no occasion thereby against us, nor ever attempted any thing against them, though their trading house at Kennebeck be an hindrance to him, and easy for him to take at his pleasure.

There were other instances brought to the lawfulness, both in Joshua his aiding the Gibeonites, who were Canaanites, and had deluded him, and he might hereupon have left them to be spoiled by their neighbors. So when Jehoshaphat aided Jehoram against Moab, (for he had put away Baal,) Elisha speaks honorably to him and doth not reprove him, but for his presence sake saves their house by miracle, etc.

The like rumors and fears were raised upon our first expedition against the Pequods, 1636. The governour of Plimouth wrote to Mr. Winthrop, then deputy governour, in dislike of our \*115 attempt, and in apprehension of the great danger we had incurred, that we had provoked the Pequods, and no more, and had thereby occasioned a war, etc. But we found, through the Lord's special mercy, that that provocation and war proved a blessing to all the English. Our brethren of Connecticut wrote also to us, declaring their fears, and the danger we had cast them into by warring upon the Pequods, etc. And indeed we committed an error, in that we did not first give them notice of our intention, that they might take the more care of their own safety, but they could not be ignorant of our preparations.

The govenour by letters informed the rest of the commissioners of the united colonies of what had passed about La Tour; but the reason why he did not defer him at first for his answer, till some more of the magistrates and deputies might have been assembled, and the elders likewise consulted with, was this. Conceiving that he stood still under the same sentence of the arrest from the state of France, there would have been no need of advice in the case, for we must have given him the same answer we gave his lieutenant the last year, and upon the same ground, viz. That however he might trade here for such commodities as he stood in need of, yet he could ex-

pect no aid from us, for it would not be fit nor safe for us to do that which might justly provoke the state of France against us. But being met, and seeing the commission from the vice admiral, etc., that occasion of danger being removed, we doubted not but we might safely give him such answer as we did, without further trouble to the country or delay to him. See more of this [blank] leaves after.

The sow business not being yet digested in the country, many of the elders being yet unsatisfied, and the more by reason of a new case stated by some of the plaintiff's side and delivered to the elders, wherein they dealt very || partially ||, for they drew out all the evidence which made for the plaintiff, and thereupon framed their conclusion without mentioning any of the defendant's evidence. This being delivered to the elders, and by them imparted to some of the other side, an answer was presently drawn, which occasioned the elders to take a view of all the evidence on both parties, and a meeting being procured both of magistrates and elders (near all in the jurisdiction) and some of the deputies, the elders there declared, that notwithstanding their former opinions, yet, upon examination of all the testimonies, they found || <sup>116</sup>such || contrariety and crossing of testimonies, as they did not see any ground for the court to proceed to judgment in the case, and therefore earnestly desired that the court might never be more troubled with it. To this all consented except || <sup>116</sup>Mr. Bellingham || who still maintained his former opinion, and would have the magistrates lay down their negative voice, and so the cause to be heard again. This stiffness of his and singularity in opinion was very unpleasing to all the company, but they went on notwithstanding, and because a principal end of the meeting was to reconcile differences and take away offences, which were risen between some of the magistrates by occasion of this sow business and the treatise of Mr. Saltonstall against the council, so as Mr. Bellingham and he stood divided from the rest, which occasioned much opposition even in open court, and much partaking in the country, but by the wisdom and faithfulness of the elders Mr. Saltonstall was brought to see his failings in that treatise, which he did ingenuously acknowledge

|| particularly ||

|| <sup>1</sup>much ||

|| <sup>1</sup>blank ||

and bewail, and so he was reconciled with the rest of the magistrates. They labored also to make a perfect reconciliation between the governour and Mr. Bellingham. The governour offered himself ready to it, but the other was not forward, whereby it rested in a manner as it was. Mr. Dudley also had let fall a speech in the court to Mr. Rogers of Ipswich, which was grievous to him and other of the elders. The thing was this. Mr. Rogers being earnest in a cause between the town and Mr. Bradstreet, which also concerned his own interest, Mr. Dudley used this speech to him, "Do you think to come with your eldership here to carry matters," etc. Mr. Dudley was somewhat hard at first to be brought to see any evil in it, but at last he was convinced and did acknowledge it, and they were reconciled.

The deputies, also, who were present at this meeting and had voted for the plaintiff in the case of the sow, seemed now to be satisfied, and the elders agreed to deal with the deputies of their several towns, to the end that that cause might never trouble the court more. But all this notwithstanding, the plaintiff, (or rather one G. Story || her || solicitor,) being of an unsatisfied spirit, and animated, or at least too much countenanced, by some of the court, preferred a petition at the court of elections for a new hearing, and this being referred to the committee for petitions, it was returned that the greater part of them did conceive the cause should be heard again, and some others in the court declared themselves of the same judgment, which caused others to be much grieved to see such a spirit in godly men, that neither the judgment of near all the magistrates, nor the concurrence of the elders and their mediation, nor the loss of time and charge, nor the settling of peace in court and country could prevail with § them § to let such a cause fall, (as in ordinary course of justice it ought,) as

\*117 nothing could be found in, by any one testimony, to be of criminal nature, nor could the matter of the suit, with all damages, have amounted to forty shillings. But two things appeared to carry men on in this course as it were in captivity. One was, the deputies stood only upon this, that their towns were not satisfied in the cause (which by the way shows plainly

¶ his ¶

the democratical spirit which acts our deputies, etc.). The other was, the desire of the name of victory; whereas on the other side the magistrates, etc., were content for peace sake, and upon the elders' advice, to decline that advantage, and to let the cause fall for want of advice to sway it either way.

Now that which made the people so unsatisfied, and unwilling the cause should rest as it stood, was the 20 pounds which the defendant had recovered against the plaintiff in an action of slander for saying he had stolen the sow, etc., and many of them could not distinguish this from the principal cause, as if she had been adjudged to pay 20 pounds for demanding her sow, and yet the defendant never took of this more than 3 pounds, for his charges of witnesses, etc., and offered to remit the whole, if she would have acknowledged the wrong she had done him. But he being accounted a rich man, and she a poor woman, this so wrought with the people, as being blinded with unreasonable compassion, they could not see, or not allow justice her reasonable course. This being found out by some of the court, a motion was made, that some who had interest in the defendant would undertake to persuade him to restore the plaintiff the 3 pounds (or whatever it were) he took upon that judgment, and likewise to refer other matters to reference which were between the said Story and him. This the court were satisfied with, and proceeded no further.

There was yet one offence which the elders desired might also be removed, and for that end some of them moved the governour in it, and he easily consented to them so far as they had convinced him of his failing therein. The matter was this. The governour had published a writing about the case of the sow, as is herein before declared, wherein some passages gave offence, which he being willing to remove, so soon as he came into the general court, he spake as followeth, (his speech is set down verbatim to prevent misrepresentation, as if he had retracted what he had wrote in the point of the case:) "I understand divers have taken offence at a writing I set forth about the sow business; I desire to remove it, and to begin my year in a reconciled estate with all. The writing is of two parts, the matter and the manner. In the former I had the concurrence of others of my brethren, both magistrates and deputies;

\*118 but for the other, viz., the manner, that was wholly mine own, so as whatsoever was blame-worthy in it I must take it to myself. The matter is point of judgment, which is not at my own disposing. I have examined it over and again by such light as God hath afforded me from the rules of religion, reason, and common practice, and truly I can find no ground to retract any thing in that, therefore I desire I may enjoy my liberty herein, as every of yourselves do, and justly may. But for the manner, whatsoever I might allege for my justification before men, I now pass it over: I now set myself before another judgment seat. I will first speak to the manner in general, and then to two particulars. For the general. Howsoever that which I wrote was upon great provocation by some of the adverse party, and upon invitation from others to vindicate ourselves from that aspersion which was cast upon us, yet that was no sufficient warrant for me to break out into any distemper. I confess I was too prodigal of my brethren's reputation: I might have obtained the cause I had in hand without casting such blemish upon others as I did. For the particulars. 1. For the conclusion, viz., now let religion and sound reason give judgment in the case; whereby I might seem to conclude the other side to be void both of religion and reason. It is true a man may (as the case may be) appeal to the judgment of religion and reason, but, as I there carried it, I did arrogate too much to myself and ascribe too little to others. The other particular was the profession I made of maintaining what I wrote before all the world, which, though it may modestly be professed, (as the case may require,) yet I confess it was now not so beseeming me, but was indeed a fruit of the pride of mine own spirit. These are all the Lord hath brought me to consider of, wherein I acknowledge my failings, and humbly intreat you will pardon and pass them by; if you please to accept my request, your silence shall be a sufficient testimony thereof unto me, and I hope I shall be more wise and watchful hereafter."

The sow business had started another question about the magistrates' negative vote in the general court. The deputies generally were very earnest to have it taken away; whereupon one of the magistrates wrote a small treatise, wherein he laid

down the original of it from the patent, and the establishing of it by order of the general court in 1634, showing thereby how it was fundamental to our government, which, if it were taken away, would be a mere democracy. He showed also the necessity and usefulness of it by many arguments from scripture, reason, and common practice, etc. Yet this would not satisfy, but the deputies and common people would have it taken away; and yet it was apparent (as some of the deputies <sup>\*119</sup> themselves confessed) the most did not understand it.

An answer also was written (by one of the magistrates as was conceived) to the said treatise, undertaking to avoid all the arguments both from the patent and from the order, etc. This the deputies made great use of in this court, supposing they had now enough to carry the cause clearly with them, so as they pressed earnestly to have it presently determined. But the magistrates told them the matter was of great concernment, even to the very frame of our government; it had been established upon serious consultation and consent of all the elders; it had been continued without any inconvenience or apparent mischief these fourteen years, therefore it would not be safe nor of good report to alter on such a sudden, and without the advice of the elders: offering withal, that if upon such advice and consideration it should appear to be inconvenient, or not warranted by the patent and the said order, etc., they should be ready to join with them in taking it away. Upon these propositions they were stilled, and so an order was drawn up to this effect, that it was desired that every member of the court would take advice, etc., and that it should be no offence for any, either publicly or privately, to declare their opinion in the case, so it were modestly, etc., and that the elders should be desired to give their advice before the next meeting of this court. It was the magistrates' only care to gain time, that so the people's heat might be abated, for then they knew they would hear reason, and that the advice of the elders might be interposed; and that there might be liberty to reply to the answer, which was very long and tedious, which accordingly was done soon after the court, and published<sup>1</sup> to good satisfaction.

---

<sup>1</sup> Publishing does not here mean printing. The tract, written for circulation

One of the elders also wrote a small treatise, wherein scholastically and religiously he handled the question, laying down the several forms of government both simple and mixt, and the true form of our government, and the unavoidable change into a democracy, if the negative voice were taken away; and answered all objections, and so concluded for the continuance of it, so as the deputies and the people also, having their heat moderated by time, and their judgments better informed by what they had learned about it, let the cause fall, and he who had written the answer to the first defence, appeared no further in it.

Our supplies from England failing much, men began to look about them, and fell to a manufacture of cotton, whereof we <sup>120</sup> had store from Barbados, and of hemp and flax, wherein Rowley, to their great commendation, exceeded all other towns.

The governour acquainted the court with a letter he received from Mr. Wheelwright, to intreat the favor of the court that he might have leave to come into the Bay upon especial occasions, which was readily granted him for 14 days, whereupon he came and spake with divers of the elders, and gave them such satisfaction as they intended to intercede with the court for the release of his banishment. See more (3) 44.

Sacononoco and Pumham, two sachems near Providence, having under them between 2 and 300 men, finding themselves overborne by Miantunnomoh, the sachem of Naragansett, and Gorton and his company, who had so prevailed with Miantunnomoh, as he forced one of them to join with him in setting his hand or mark to a writing, whereby a part of his land was sold to Gorton and his company, for which Miantunnomoh received a price, but the other would not receive that which was for his part, alleging that he did not intend to sell his land, though through fear of Miantunnomoh he had put his mark to the writing, they came to our governour, and by ||Benedict||

■ Benjamin ■

---

by Winthrop, is in our Historical Society's Library, dated 5 of 4th mo, 1643. It contains sixteen pages, and is among the Hutchinson MSS.

Arnold, their interpreter, did desire we would receive them under our government, and brought withal a small present of wampom, about ten fathom. The governour gave them encouragement, but referred them to the court, and received their present, intending to return it them again, if the court should not accord to them; but at the present he acquainted another of the magistrates with it. So it was agreed, and they wrote to Gorton and his company to let them know what the sachems had complained of, and how they had tendered themselves to come under our jurisdiction, and therefore if they had any thing to allege against it, they should come or send to our next court. We sent also to Miantonomoh to signify the same to him. Whereupon, in the beginning of the court, Miantonomoh came to Boston, and being demanded in open court, before divers of his own men and Cutshamekin and other Indians, whether he had any interest in the said two sachems as his subjects, he could prove none. Cutshamekin also in his presence affirmed, that he had no interest in them, but they were as free sachems as himself; only because he was a great sachem, they had sometime sent him presents, and aided him in his war against the Pequots: and Benedict Arnold affirmed, partly upon his own knowledge, and partly upon the relation of divers Indians of those parts, that the Indians belonging to these sachems did usually pay their deer skins (which are a tribute belonging to the chief sachem) always to them, and never to Miantonomoh or any other sachem of Narragansett, which Miantonomoh could not contradict.

Whereupon it was referred to the governour and some other of the magistrates and deputies to send for the two sachems after the court, and to treat with them about their receiving in to us.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> It may be very difficult, perhaps impossible, to arrive at the whole truth in regard to this purchase by Gorton and his associates. A copy of the deed, 12 January 1642-3, found in Trumbull's MSS. xix. proves that "Myantonomy, chief sachem of the Narrhyganset, have sold unto the persons here named one parcel of lands, with all the rights and privileges thereof whatsoever, lying upon the west side of that part of the sea called Sawhamed bay from Copessu-tuxit over against a little island in the said bay, being the north bounds, and the utmost point of that neck of land called Shawhomet, being the south bounds

But before this, Gorton and his company (12 in number) sent a writing to our court of four sheets of paper, full of reproaches against our magistrates, elders and churches, of familistical and absurd opinions, and therein they justified their purchase of the sachems' land, and professed to maintain it to the death. They sent us word also after, (as Benedict Arnold reported to us,) that if we sent men against them, they were ready to meet us, being assured of victory from God, etc. Whereupon the court sent two<sup>1</sup> of the deputies to speak with them, to see whether they would own that writing which was subscribed by them all. When they came, they with much difficulty came to find out Gorton and two or three more of them, and upon conference they did own and justify the said writing. They spake also with the two sachems, as they had commission, and giving them to understand upon what terms they must be received under us, they found them very pliable to all, and opening to them the ten commandments, they received this answer, which I have set down as the commissioners took it in writing from their mouths.

1. Quest. Whether they would worship the true God that made heaven and earth, and not blaspheme him? Ans. We desire to speak reverently of Englishman's God and not to speak evil of him, because we see the Englishman's God doth better for them than other Gods do for others.

2. That they should not swear falsely. Ans. We never knew what swearing or an oath was.

3. Not to do any unnecessary work on the Lord's day within

---

from the seashore of each border upon a strait line westward twenty miles, I say I have truly sold this parcel of land abovesaid, the proportion whereof is according to the map underwritten or drawn, being the form of it, unto Randall Houlden, John Greene, John Wickes, Francis Weston, Samuel Gorton, Richard Waterman, John Warner, Richard Carder, Sampson Shotten, Robert Potter, William Waddall, for one hundred and forty-four fathom of Wampom-peague, I say I have sold it and possession of it given unto the men abovesaid, with the free and joint consent of the present inhabitants, being natives, as it appears by their hands hereunto annexed, dated, etc., being enacted upon the abovesaid parcel of lands in the presence of the sachem of Shaomet, Pumham, Jano, Totanoman, John Green, junior." The Indian witnesses affix marks, of which one is a hatchet, another a gun; the grantor's is a bow and arrow.

<sup>1</sup> Humphrey Atherton and Edward Tomlyns, as I ascertain by the records.

the gates of || proper || towns. Ans. It is a small thing for us to rest on that day, for we have not much to do any day, and therefore we will forbear on that day.

4. To honor their parents and superiors. Ans. It is our custom so to do, for inferiors to be subject to superiors, for if we complain to the governour of the Massachusetts that we have wrong, if they tell us we lie, we shall willingly bear it.

5. Not to kill any man but upon just cause and just authority. Ans. It is good, and we desire so to do.

6. 7. Not to commit fornication, adultery, bestiality, etc. Ans. Though fornication and adultery be committed among us, yet we allow it not, but judge it evil, so the same we judge of stealing.

8. For lying, they say it is an evil, and shall not allow it.

9. Whether you will suffer your children to read God's word, that they may have knowledge of the true God and to worship him in his own way? Ans. As opportunity serveth by the English coming amongst us, we desire to learn their manners.<sup>1</sup>

After the court, the governour, etc., sent for them, and they came to Boston at the day appointed, viz., the 22d of the 4th month, and a form of submission being drawn up, and they being by Benedict Arnold, their neighbor, and interpreter, (who spake their language readily,) made to understand every particular, in the presence of divers of the elders and many others, they freely subscribed the submission, as it here followeth verbatim. Being told that we did not receive them in as confederates but as subjects, they answered, that they were so little in respect of us, as they could expect no other. So they dined in the same room with the governour, but at a table by themselves; and having much countenance showed them by all present, and being told that they and their men should be always welcome to the English, provided they brought a note from Benedict Arnold, that we might know them from other Indians, and having some small things bestowed upon them by the governour, they departed joyful and well satisfied. We

|| blank ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Thou shalt not steal, seems to be omitted. I hope the commissioners were not afraid to teach that to the catechumens.

looked at it as a fruit of our prayers, and the first fruit of our hopes, that the example would bring in others, and that the Lord was by this means making a way to bring them to civility, \*123 and so to conversion to the knowledge and embracing of the gospel in his due time.<sup>1</sup>

Soon after their departure, we took order that Miantonomoh and the English in those parts should have notice of their submission to us, that they might refrain from doing them injury.

*Their Submission was as followeth.*

“This writing is to testify, That we Pumham, sachem of Shawomock, and Sacononoco, sachem of Patuxet,<sup>2</sup> etc., have, and by these presents do, voluntarily and without any constraint or persuasion, but of our own free motion, put ourselves, our subjects, lands and estates under the government and jurisdiction of the Massachusetts, to be governed and protected by them, according to their just laws and orders, so far as we shall be made capable of understanding them: and we do promise for ourselves and our subjects, and all our posterity, to be true and faithful to the said government, and aiding to the maintenance thereof to our best ability, and from time to time to give speedy notice of any conspiracy, attempt, or evil intention of any which we shall know or hear of, against the same: and we do promise to be willing, from time to time, to be instructed in the knowledge and worship of God. In witness whereof,” etc.

The lady Moodye,<sup>3</sup> a wise and anciently religious woman,

---

<sup>1</sup> We may rejoice in the benevolence, which attempted the civilization and conversion to Christianity of these Indians, and certainly must honor the government, whose liberal treaty with their confederates is so diverse from the usual terms of stipulation with the natives; but it may be feared, that there was too much human policy at work in obtaining their *subjection*, and we must acknowledge, that a territorial usurpation beyond the limits of our charter was the result, if not the motive, of the negotiation. Yet the act of submission in June could not invalidate the deed of January preceding.

<sup>2</sup> Shawomock, or Shaomet, and Patuxet lie south of Providence, of course far without the bounds of Massachusetts charter.

<sup>3</sup> My acquaintance with this lady is very slight. Hutchinson, I. 21, mentions her purchase of Humfrey’s plantation at Saugus, when he returned to England. At a general court, 18 May, 1640, probably before that transaction, I find from

being taken with the error of denying baptism to infants, \*124 was dealt withal by many of the elders and others, and admonished by the church of Salem, (whereof she was a member,) but persisting still, and to avoid further trouble, etc., she removed to the Dutch against the advice of all her friends. Many others, infected with anabaptism, removed thither also. She was after excommunicated.

5. 5.] There arose a sudden gust at N. W. so violent for half an hour, as it blew down multitudes of trees. It lifted up their meeting house at Newbury, the people being in it. It darkened the air with dust, yet through God's great mercy it did no hurt, but only killed one Indian with the fall of a tree. It was straight between Linne and Hampton.

2.] Here arrived one Mr. Carman,<sup>1</sup> master of the ship called [blank] of 180 tons. He went from New Haven in 10ber last, laden with clapboards for the Canaries, being earnestly commended to the Lord's protection by the church there. At the Island of Palma, he was set upon by a Turkish pirate of 300 tons and 26 pieces of ordnance and 200 men. He fought with her three hours, having but 20 men and but 7 pieces of ordnance that he could use, and his muskets were unserviceable with rust. The Turk lay across his hawse, so as he was forced to shoot through his own hoodings, and by these shot

---

Col. Rec. I. 276: "The Lady Deborah Moody is granted 400 acres of land, where it may not hinder a plantation, nor any former grant." I fear we must infer from the text, that her perversion to anabaptism deprived her, in the writer's opinion, of the "anciently religious" character; as that was, undoubtedly, the reason of her expulsion from the church, as if it were a private society, or club. She suffered from the Indians a little, as will appear a few pages onward, but her fortune was more happy than her neighbor, Mrs. Hutchinson's. Wood's Sketch of the Settlement of Long Island, p. 41, relates the advantage of her aid obtained to an extraordinary degree by Governour Stuyvesant. Having some trouble with the English planters of Gravesend, who were a majority in that town, he went thither 23d Nov. 1654, "and to effect his purpose, he was obliged to avail himself of the influence of Lady Moody, a connection of Sir Henry Moody, one of the original patentees. He conceded the nomination of the magistrates that year to her, and her popularity reconciled the people to the measure, and produced submission to the arbitrary act of the governour."

<sup>1</sup> Mention of his death in a very disastrous shipwreck will occur in the progress of this history.

killed many Turks. Then the Turk lay by his side and boarded him with near 100 men, and cut all his ropes, etc., but his shot having killed the captain of the Turkish ship and broken his tiller, the Turk took in his own ensign and fell off from him, but in such haste as he left about 50 of his men aboard him, then the master and some of his men came up and fought with those 50 hand to hand, and slew so many of them as the rest leaped overboard. The master had many wounds on his head and body, and divers of his men were wounded, yet but one slain; so with much difficulty he got to the island, (being in view thereof,) where he was very courteously entertained and supplied with whatsoever he wanted.

*Continuation about La Tour.*

The governour, with the advice of some of the magistrates and elders, wrote a letter to D'Aulnay, taking occasion in answer to his letter in 9ber last to this effect, viz. Whereas he  
\*125 found by the arrest he sent last autumn, that La Tour was under displeasure and censure in France, thereupon we intended to have no further to do with him than by way of commerce which is allowed, and if he had made prize of any of our vessels in that way, as he threatened, we should have righted ourselves so well as we could, without injury to himself or just offence to his ||mastery|| of France, whom we did honor as a great and mighty prince, and should endeavor always to behave ourselves towards his majesty and all his subjects as became us, etc. But La Tour coming now to us, and acquainting us how it was with him, etc., and here mentioning the vice admirals commission and the letters, etc., though we thought not fit to give him aid, as being unwilling to intermeddle in the wars of any of our neighbors, yet considering his urgent distress, we could not in christianity or humanity deny him liberty to hire for his money any ships in our harbor, either such as came to us out of England or others. And whereas some of our people were willing to go along with him, (though without any commission from us,) we had charged them to labor by all means to bring matters to a reconciliation, etc., and that they should be assured, that if they should do or attempt any thing against

||master||

the rules of justice and good neighborhood, they must be accountable therefor unto us at their return.<sup>1</sup>

Beside the former arguments, there came since to Boston one Mr. Hooke,<sup>2</sup> a godly gentleman, and a deputy of the court for Salisbury, who related of the good usage and great courtesy which La Tour had showed to himself and other passengers, who were landed at his fort about nine years since as they came from England, and how the ship leaving them there, and only a small shallop to bring them to these parts, and a dangerous bay of 12 leagues to be passed over, he would not suffer them to depart before he had provided his own pinnace to transport them.

And whereas he was charged to have killed two Englishmen at Machias not far from his fort, and to have taken away their goods to the value of 500 pounds, Mr. Vines of Saco, who was part owner of the goods and principal trader, etc., being present with La Tour, the governour heard the cause between them, which was thus: Mr. Vines being in a pinnace trading in those parts, La Tour met him in another pinnace, and bought so many of his commodities as Mr. Vines received then of him 400 skins, and although some of Mr. Vines his company had abused La Tour, whereupon he had made them prisoners in his pinnace, yet at Mr. Vines' intreaty he discharged them with grave and good counsel, and acquainted Mr. Vines with his commission to make prize of all such as should come to trade in those parts, and thereupon desired him peaceably to forbear, etc., yet at his request he gave him leave to trade the goods he had left, in his way home, so as he did not fortify or build in any place within his commission, which he said he

---

<sup>1</sup> In the course of a very short time we had trouble enough from this impolitic and unlawful interference. There was wisdom enough in the country to have prevented it; but Winthrop was probably prevailed on by the Boston merchants. See Hutch. Coll. 115-134.

<sup>2</sup> William Hooke was a witness, 27 May, 1638, to the delivery of possession, by Capt. Neale, to Aldworth and Elbridge of the Pemaquid grant from the Earl of Warwick and Sir Ferdinand Gorges, for the President and Council of New England, Haz. I. 318; and by the charter of 2 Sept. 1639, was named by Sir F. to be one of his council, Haz. I. 458.

could not answer it if he should suffer it; whereupon they parted friendly. Mr. Vines landed his goods at Machias, and there set up a small wigwam, and left five men and two murderers to defend it, and a shallop, and so returned home. Two days after La Tour comes, and casting anchor before the place, one of Mr. Vines' men came on board his pinnace, and while they were in parley, four of La Tour his men went on shore. One of the four which were in the house, seeing them, gave fire to a murderer, but it not taking fire, he called to his fellow to give fire to the other murderer, which he going to do, the four French retreated, and one of their muskers went off, (La Tour sayeth it was by accident, and that the shot went through one of his fellow's clothes, but Mr. Vines could say nothing to that). It killed two of the men on shore, which La Tour then professed himself innocent of, and very sorry for; and said further, that the five men were at that time all drunk, and not unlikely, having store of wine and strong water, for had they been sober, they would not have given fire upon such as they had conversed friendly with but two days before, without once bidding them stand, or asking them wherefore they came. After this La Tour coming to the house, and finding some of his own goods, (though of no great value,) which had a little before been taken out of his fort at St. Johns by the Scotch and some English of Virginia, (|| when || they plundered all his goods to a great value and abused his men,) he seized the three men and the goods and sent them into France according to his commission, where the men were discharged, but the goods adjudged lawful prize. Mr. Vines did not contradict any of this, but only that he did not build or fortify at Machias, but only set up a shelter for his men and goods. For the value of the goods Mr. Vines \*127 showed an invoice which came to 3 or 400 pounds, but

La Tour said he had another under the men's hands that were there, which came not to half so much. In ||<sup>conclusion</sup>|| he promised that he would refer the cause to judgment, and if it should be found that he had done them wrong, he would make satisfaction.

5. 14.] In the evening La Tour took ship, the governour and divers of the chief of the town accompanying him to his

|| where ||

||<sup>2</sup>courtesy||

boat. There went with him four of our ships and a pinnace. He hired them for two months, the chiefest, which had 16 pieces of ordnance, at 200 pounds the month ; yet she was of but 100 tons, but very well manned and fitted for fight, and the rest proportionable. The owners took only his own security for their pay. He entertained also about 70 land soldiers, volunteers, at 40s. per month a man, but he paid them somewhat in hand.<sup>1</sup>

Of the two friars which came in this ship, the one was a very learned acute man. Divers of our elders who had conference with him reported so of him. They came not into the town, lest they should give offence, but once, being brought by some to see Mr. Cotton and confer with him, and when they came to depart, the chief came to take leave of the governour and the two elders of Boston, and showed himself very thankful for the courtesy they found among us.

In the afternoon they set sail from Long Island, the wind N. and by W. and went out at Broad Sound at half flood, where

---

<sup>1</sup> Haz. I. 499-502, has transcribed from our registry of Suffolk, Vol. I. 7, the articles of agreement, 30 June, 1648, between La Tour and Captain Edward Gibbons and Thomas Hawkins, masters and part owners of the ship Seabridge, ship Philip and Mary, ship Increase, and ship Greyhound, that the Seabridge shall be completely fitted with a master and 14 able seamen and a boy, with 14 pieces of ordnance, powder and shot, etc., for two months from 10 July next, the Philip and Mary the same number of men, etc. with 10 pieces of ordnance, etc., the Increase with 14 persons and 10 pieces of ordnance, the Greyhound with 4 murderers and 8 men, all to be ready to set sail from the roads of Boston and Charlestown on or before 10 July, and from thence, "*by God's grace*, shall directly sail in company with the ship Clement appertaining to the said Monsieur La Tour, the danger of the seas excepted, as near unto the fort of the said M. La Tour, in the river of St. Johns, as the abovementioned ships may conveniently ride at anchor; and farther we promise to join with the said ship Clement in the defence of ourselves and the said M. La Tour against M. Dony's his forces, or any other that shall unjustly assault or oppose M. La Tour in his way to his fort as abovesaid, and for any further assistance, we promise no further than by a mutual consent of the said M. La Tour with the agent and his counsel by us appointed, or the major part of them." Many extraordinary provisions on the part of La Tour show the proceeding to have been a most palpable violation of the neutrality we ought to have maintained. No doubt our rulers were confident of their integrity, as the governour makes apology for not advising with the elders.

\*128 no ships of such burthen had gone out before, or not more than one.

Three errors the governour, etc., committed in managing this business. 1. In giving La Tour an answer so suddenly (the very next day after his arrival). 2. In not advising with any of the elders, as their manner was in matters of less consequence. 3. In not calling upon God, as they were wont to do in all public affairs, before they fell to consultation, etc.

The occasions of these errors were, first, their earnest desire to despatch him away, and conceiving at first they should have given him the same answer they gave his lieutenant the last year, for they had not then seen the Vice Admiral's commission. 2. Not then conceiving any need of counsel, the elders never came into the governour's thoughts. 3. La Tour and many of the French coming into them at first meeting, and some taking occasion to fall in parley with them, there did not appear then a fit opportunity for so solemn an action as calling upon God, being in the midst of their business before they were aware of it. But this fault hath been many times found in the governour to be oversudden in his resolutions, for although the course were both warrantable and safe, yet it had beseemed men of wisdom and gravity to have proceeded with more deliberation and further advice.

Those about Ipswich, etc., took great offence at these proceedings, so as three of the magistrates and the elders of Ipswich and Rowley, with Mr. Nathaniel Ward, wrote a letter to the governour and assistants in the bay, and to the elders here, protesting against the proceedings, and that they would be innocent of all the evil which might ensue, etc., with divers arguments against it, whereof some were weighty, but not to the matter, for they supposed we had §engaged§ the country in a war, as if we had permitted our ships, etc., to fight with D'Aulnay, whereas we only permitted them to be hired by La Tour to conduct him home. The governour made answer to this protestation, so did Mr. Dudley and the pastor of Boston.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> These valuable papers, of both sides of the question, are preserved in Hutch. Coll. 115-184.

5.] Letters came to our governour from Mr. Haynes, governour at Hartford, certifying of a war begun between Onkus, sachem of Mohigen, and Sequasson, sachem upon Connecticut, and that upon Onkus' complaint of the other's assaulting him, etc., he sent for Sequasson and endeavored to make them friends, but Sequasson chose rather to have war, so they were forced to leave them to themselves, promising to be aiding to neither, etc. Soon after Onkus set upon Sequasson and killed seven or eight of his men, wounded 13, burnt his wigwams and carried away the booty. Upon this Miantunnomoh (being allied to Sequasson) sent to Mr. Haynes to complain of Onkus. He answered that the English had no hand in it, nor would encourage them, etc. Miantunnomoh gave notice hereof also to our governour by two of our neighbor Indians who had been with him, and was very desirous to know if we would not be offended, if he made war upon Onkus. Our governour answered, if Onkus had done him or his friends wrong and would not give satisfaction, we should leave him to take his own course.

5. 22.] A Dutch sloop arrived with letters in Latin, signed by the secretary there in the name and by the command of the governour and senate, directed to the governour and senate of U. P. of New England, wherein 1st, he congratulates our late confederation, then he complains of unsufferable wrongs done to their people upon Connecticut, more of late than formerly, and of misinformation given by some of ours to the States' ambassador in London, and desires to know by a categorical answer, whether we will aid or desert them, (meaning of Hartford,) that so they may know their friends from their enemies, etc. The governour appointed a meeting of some of the next magistrates on the second day next, but the rain hindering some of them, it was put off to the fifth day.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The Dutch were, it seems, too weak to maintain their post on the Connecticut river, where our people had restricted them to 30 acres, as appears on p. 82, ante. Sir William Boswell, the English minister at the Hague, recommended to the friend of Gov. Hopkins in London, who had forwarded to Holland a memorial on the adverse claim to possession, to keep on "crowding the Dutch out of those places they have." See the first article of appendix to Vol. I. of

Here arrived a bark of the Earl of Warwick from Trinidad. She came for people and provisions, but our people, being well informed of the state of those places, were now become wiser, and could stay here where they were in better condition than they could be in those parts, so he altered his design and went towards Canada, and by the way guarded home a pinnace of La Tour's which came hither for provisions.

The wife of one [blank] Hett, of whom mention was made before, being cast out of the church of Boston, the Lord was pleased so to honor his own ordinance, that whereas before no means could prevail with her either to reclaim her from her wicked and blasphemous courses and speeches, etc., or to bring her to frequent the means, within a few weeks after her casting out, she came to see her sin and lay it to heart, and to frequent the means, and so was brought to such manifestation of repentance and a sound mind, as the church received her in again.

The day appointed for considering of the letter from the Dutch proved again so wet as but few met, and of those some would have another day appointed, and all the magistrates to be called to it, but others thought it not fit both in regard

130 the messenger hasted away, and also, for that no direct answer could be returned without a general court. At length advising with some of the elders who were at hand, and some of the deputies, we returned answer to this effect, (in the name of the governour only,) viz. After gratulation, etc., of their friendly respect and our earnest desire of the continuance of that good correspondency which hath been between themselves and us, ever since our arrival in these parts, That our chief council, to whom their letters were directed, being far dispersed, etc., he was necessitated, with the advice of some other of the magistrates, to return this answer to them for the present, being rather a declaration of their own conceptions than the determination of our chiefest authority, from which they should receive further answer in time convenient. We declared our grief for the difference between them and our brethren of Hart-

---

Col. Rec. of Conn. where Trumbull, in a note, honestly confesses that this "course of policy seems to have been closely adhered to by the colony in their subsequent dealings with the Dutch."

ford, which we conceived might be composed by arbiters, either in England or Holland, or here; that by our confederation we were bound to seek the good and safety of each other as our own, which we hoped would not hinder the continuance of that amity and correspondency between themselves and us; and that the ground of their difference, being only for a small parcel of land, was a matter of so little value in this vast continent, as was not worthy to cause a breach between two people so nearly related, both in profession of the same protestant religion and otherwise; therefore we would seriously request them, as we would do also the others, that || until || the justice of the cause were decided by one of the ways before named, there might be abstinence on both sides from injury and provocation, and if any should happen on their part, that it might be duly examined, and we were assured (they being a people fearing God, they durst not allow themselves in any unrighteous course) they should receive equal satisfaction. See more page [blank.]

We received news of a great defeat given the Narragansetts by Onkus, and of 15 Dutch slain by the Indians, and much beaver taken, and of Mr. Lamberton,<sup>1</sup> etc.

6.] Onkus, being provoked by Sequasson, a sachem of Connecticut, who would not be persuaded by the magistrates there to a reconciliation, made war upon him, and slew divers \*131 of his men and burnt his wigwams; whereupon Miantonomoh, being his kinsman, took offence against Onkus, and went with near 1,000 men and set upon Onkus before he could be provided for defence, for he had not then with him above 3 or 400 men. But it pleased God to give Onkus the victory, after he had killed about 30 of the Narragansetts, and wounded many more, and among these two of Canonicus' sons and a brother of Miantunnomoh, who fled, but having on a coat of mail, he was easily overtaken, which two of his captains perceiving, they laid hold on him and carried him to Onkus, hoping

|| when ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Very little more can be ascertained of him, than in this history will be seen of his controversy with the Swedes in the attempt to get a settlement, contrary to their right, and of his loss at sea in the early part of 1646. Trumbull, I. 122, calls him "a principal gentleman of New Haven."

ing thereby to procure their own pardon. But so soon as they came to Onkus, he slew them presently; and Miantunnomoh standing mute, he demanded of him why he would not speak. If you had taken me, sayeth he, I would have besought you for my life. The news of Miantunnomoh's captivity coming to Providence, Gorton and his company, who had bought of him the lands belonging to the sachems who were come under our jurisdiction, wrote a letter to Onkus, willing him to deliver their friend Miantunnomoh, and threatened him with the power of the English if he refused, \*and they sent their letter in the name of the governour of Massachusetts\*. Upon this Onkus carries Miantunnomoh to Hartford to take advice of the magistrates there, and at Miantunnomoh's earnest entreaty he left him with them, yet as a prisoner. They kept him under guard, but used him very courteously, and so he continued till the commissioners of the United Colonies met at Boston, who taking into serious consideration what was safest and best to be done, were all of opinion that it would not be safe to set him at liberty, neither had we sufficient ground for us to put him to death. In this difficulty we called in five of the most judicious elders, (it being in the time of the general assembly of the elders,) and propounding the case to them, they all agreed that he ought to be put to death. Upon this concurrence we enjoined secrecy to ourselves and them, lest if it should come to the notice of the Narragansetts, they might set upon the commissioners, etc., in their return, to take some of them to redeem him, (as Miantunnomoh himself had told Mr. Haynes had been in consultation amongst them;) and agreed that, upon the return of the commissioners to Hartford, they should send for Onkus and tell him our determination, that Miantunnomoh should be delivered to him again, and he should put him to death so soon as he came within his own jurisdiction, and that two English should go along with him to see the execution, and that if any Indians should invade him for it, we would send men to defend him: If Onkus should refuse to do it, then \*132 Miantunnomoh should be sent in a pinnace to Boston, there to be kept until further consideration.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Of several parts of this history many readers will perhaps form an unfavor-

The reasons of this proceeding with him were these. <sup>133</sup>  
1. It was now clearly discovered to us, that there was a

---

able judgment, but none has been so painful in the whole progress of my labors, as this which relates the treatment of Miantunnomoh by our fathers. Such a case of perfidy, or cruelty, or both, it is impossible to pass without animadversion.

This prince had deserved of our people, it seems to me, at least, as highly as Onkus, who, after removal of his great rival, became a perpetual plague to the English of Connecticut. Miantunnomoh certainly showed an aptitude for policy in some things worthy of a great mind; and his advice, when a prisoner, to Governor Haynes, to guard against surprise by his subjects for obtaining his liberation, discloses as great magnanimity as he probably expected to find in his civilized friends. Something of the character of both these sachems may be learned from Roger Williams, their nearest neighbor and most unprejudiced judge, 3 Hist. Coll. I. 166, and from a comparison of his relation with that of our author, Vol. I. 265. He calls the inferior Okace.

In May preceding the date in the text, the chief of the Narragansetts had been summoned to Boston, whither he came with confidence, to answer our demand, if he could prove any interest in Pumham and Sacononoco, who early in the same year had sold parcel of their lands to eleven men of somewhat different faith from that of the majority of Massachusetts. Our colony having prevailed on those sachems immediately after to submit themselves to us, thereupon denied most injuriously the right of Gorton, Holden, and the others, to the lands thus purchased, because the Indians had been induced to make the sale by Miantunnomoh, who asserted a superiority of some sort over them, and took part of the price.

We next hear of this chieftain in July, that, a feud breaking out between Onkus and Sequasson, a relative of Miantunnomoh, he complained against Onkus to the governors of Connecticut and Massachusetts, and desired them not to take offence, if he made war upon him. Hostile feelings, and injuries, perhaps mutual, had long subsisted between these barbarians, but to which of their confederates the first wrong might be imputed by the English, they certainly did not assume the part of avengers. The answer of Governor Winthrop to this complaint, see page 129, is a full declaration of neutrality: "If Onkus had done him or his friends wrong, and would not give satisfaction, we should leave him to take his course." Now the severest casuistry of christian belligerents is not yet so refined as to deny, that Onkus had wronged Sequasson, for he had defeated him, killed and wounded several of his men, burnt his wigwams, and carried away plunder.

Miantunnomoh, in the earliest prosecution of the war, was seized by the treachery of two of his captains, and delivered to his enemy, by whom, on his solicitation, he was carried to Hartford. It cannot be doubted, I presume, that the captive, having in vain pressed the conqueror to put him to death, expected friendship from the English, to which his former services and recent deference

134 general conspiracy among the Indians to cut off all the English, and that Miantonomoh was the head and contriver of it. 2. He was of a turbulent and proud spirit, and

---

gave him no slight claim. The Narragansetts made presents to Onkus: by one party these gifts are represented as a reward for delivering his prisoner to the English, by the other, as a ransom for the life of their sovereign. See governour Hayne's letter to Winthrop, 3 Hist. Coll. I. 229. Perhaps the conqueror was persuaded to surrender his prey at Hartford through the influence of Gorton and his associates, for it was at first reported, that "they sent their letters in the name of the governour of Massachusetts;" but as this clause was afterwards struck out by Winthrop, it was probably a false report. Such a deception, for a benevolent purpose, might not be a heavy aggravation of the errors of ignorance, under which those heretics sank. Whatever influence, however, moved Onkus, it seems hardly possible, that he could have anticipated the joyful result of the policy of his teachers in civilization, the deliberation of pious statesmen, by which his captive was restored to his hands, with an injunction to put him to death.

A judicial investigation of the case of this sachem should not have been undertaken; but as it was, we may look at the grounds of judgment. Trumbull, I. 130, makes part of his offence "without consulting the English according to agreement." Our author's narrative ought to have silenced such a pretence. Little importance need be attached to another allegation, "that he had promised us in the open court to send to Onkus the Pequod, who had shot him in the arm, yet in his way homeward he killed him;" nor indeed to any other part of the doubtful story about the traitorous slave of the Moheagan. By the acts of the commissioners, Haz. II. 8, we learn, that it was fully proved, from the Pequod's own mouth, that he was guilty, and therefore Miantonomoh, if innocent, as our people, before his misfortunes, thought him, might believe his royal promise satisfactorily performed by putting to death the assassin, instead of returning him to his master. Perhaps his promise to the English, on this matter, was less distinctly understood than it might have been between contracting parties of the same language. If Onkus were, however, free from all blame, and the Narragansett chargeable with treachery, and every other vice of kings, our rulers had no cognizance of the cause, and their advice to the successful warrior was cruel; but their conduct to Miantonomoh, who had so few years before been their ally against the Pequods, can hardly be regarded as less than a betraying of innocent blood. In the congress of the united colonies, whose doings in this behalf are briefly, but fairly, told by our author, its president, and may be seen at large in Haz. II. 11-18, it was too hastily, I think, resolved, "that it would not be safe to set him at liberty;" and as death was the alternative, in their want of counsel and confidence to come to such a shocking result, against an unarmed prisoner, who was in amity with them, advice was asked, yet of only five among fifty assembled, of the ministers of religion. The fate of Agag followed of course.

would never be at rest. 3. Although he had promised us in the open court to send the Pequod to Onkus, who had shot him in the arm with intent to have killed him, (which was by the procurement of Miantonomoh as it did probably appear,) yet in his way homeward he killed him. 4. He beat one of Pumham's men and took away his wampom, and then bid him go and complain to the Massachusetts.

According to this agreement the commissioners, at their

---

"In case Onkus shall refuse to *execute justice* upon Miantonomoh," say our records, he was to be sent by water to Massachusetts. Such an unwelcome visit, from one who had been often here in his prosperity and pride of independence, was to be avoided. How much those of Connecticut desired his destruction, may easily be judged by their undertaking to send "12 or 14 musketeers home with Onkus to abide a time with him for his defence." If so low was their estimate of the peril from the subjects of the chieftain in revenge of his death, how slight must have been the danger from their want of gratitude for restoring him to liberty and power?

With profound regret I am compelled to express a suspicion, that means of sufficient influence would easily have been found for the security of themselves, the pacifying of Onkus, and the preservation of Miantonomoh, had he not encouraged the sale of Shaomet and Patuxet to Gorton and his heterodox associates. This idea had been unwillingly entertained years before I knew the comment of Governor Stephen Hopkins, 2 Hist. Coll. IX. 202, with which I close this unhappy subject: "The savage soul of Uncas doubted, whether he ought to take away the life of a great king, who had fallen into his hands by misfortune; and to resolve this doubt, he applied to the christian commissioners of the four united colonies, who met at Hartford,\* in September, 1644: They were less scrupulous, and ordered Uncas to carry Myantonomo out of their jurisdiction, and slay him; but kindly added, that he should not be tortured; they sent some persons to see execution done, who had the satisfaction to see the captive king murdered in cold blood. This was the end of Myantonomo, the most potent Indian prince the people of New England had ever any concern with; and this was the reward he received for assisting them seven years before, in their war with the Pequots. Surely a Rhode Island man may be permitted to mourn his unhappy fate, and drop a tear on the ashes of Myantonomo, who, with his uncle Conanicus, were the best friends and greatest benefactors the colony ever had: They kindly received, fed, and protected the first settlers of it, when they were in distress, and were strangers and exiles, and all mankind else were their enemies; and by this kindness to them, drew upon themselves the resentment of the neighboring colonies, and hastened the untimely end of the young king."

\* It should be Boston, 1648. Trumbull, I. 188, hastily says, the commissioners for Plymouth are not on record this year. Their names are signed to the acts.

return to Connecticut, sent for Onkus, and acquainted him therewith, who readily undertook the execution, and taking Miantunnomoh along with him, in the way between Hartford and Windsor, (where Onkus || hath || some men dwell,) Onkus' brother, following after Miantunnomoh, clave his head with an hatchet, some English being present.<sup>1</sup> And that the Indians might know that the English did approve of it, they sent 12 or 14 musketeers home with Onkus to abide a time with him for his defence, if need should be.

Mo. 6.] About the 20th of this month the ships which went with La Tour came back safe, not one person missing or sick. But the report of their actions was offensive and grievous to us; for when they drew near to La Tour's place, <sup>135</sup> D'Aulnay, having discovered them, set sail with his vessels (being two ships and a pinnace) and stood right home to Port Royal. Ours pursued them, but could not fetch them up, but they ran their ships on ground in the harbor and began to fortify themselves: whereupon ours sent a boat to D'Aulnay with the governour's letter and a letter from Captain Hawkins, who by agreement among themselves was commander in chief. The messenger who carried the letters, being one who could speak French well, was carried blindfold into the house, and there kept six or seven hours, and all D'Aulnay's company plied for their fortifying with palisadoes, and the friars as busy as any, and encouraging the women, who cried pitifully, telling them we were infidels and heretics. D'Aulnay would not open La Tour's letter, because he did not style him Lieutenant

|| had ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Trumbull I. 185, relates that "Uncas cut out a large piece of his shoulder, and ate it in savage triumph!" He said, "it was the sweetest meat he ever ate; it made his heart strong." This was the report, I suppose, of the two English, who were deputed to witness the butchery. From the same author, we learn, that Miantunnomoh was buried at the same place, and a great heap, or pillar, was erected on the spot: "this memorable event gave the place the name of Sachem's Plain." It is in the eastern part of the town of Norwich. This is strangely at variance with our history, which represents the place, as to me seems much the most probable, between Hartford and Windsor. Possibly the burial may have been at a spot some few miles distant from the shocking scene of his cowardly execution.

General, etc., but he returned answer to the governour and to Captain Hawkins, and sent him a copy of the arrest against La Tour, and showed the original to the messenger, but refused to come to any terms of peace. Upon this La Tour urged much to have our men to assault him, but they refused. Then he desired that some of ours might be landed with his to do some mischief to D'Aulnay. Captain Hawkins would send none, but gave leave to any that would go; whereupon some 30 of ours went with La Tour's men, and were encountered by D'Aulnay's men, who had fortified themselves by his mill, but were beaten out with loss of three of their men, and none slain on our side nor wounded, only three of La Tour's men were wounded. They set the mill on fire and burnt some standing corn, and retired to their ships with one prisoner whom they took in the mill. D'Aulnay shot with his ordnance at their boats as they went aboard, but missed them, nor did our ships make one shot at him again, but set sail and went to La Tour's fort. While they lay there, D'Aulnay's pinnace came, supposing he and his ships had been still there, and brought in her 400 moose skins and 400 beaver skins. These they took without any resistance and divided them; one third La Tour had and the pinnace, one third to the ships, and the other to the men.<sup>1</sup> So they continued there till their time was near expired, and were paid their hire and returned, one ship coming a good time before the other; and the pinnace went up John's river some 20 leagues and loaded with coal. They brought a piece of white marble, whereof there is great store near his fort, which makes very good lime.

Mo. 7.] The Indians near the Dutch, having killed 15 men, as is before related, proceeded on and began to set upon the English who dwelt under the Dutch. They came to Mrs. Hutchinson's in way of friendly neighborhood, as they had been accustomed, and taking their opportunity, killed her and Mr. Collins, her son-in-law, (who had been kept prisoner in Boston, as is before related,) and all her family, and such of Mr. Throckmorton's and Mr. Cornhill's families

<sup>1</sup> "Offensive and grievous" such conduct must have seemed to Winthrop and his Boston friends; but it was a natural result of the original wrong.

as were at home; in all sixteen, and put their cattle into their houses and there burnt them.<sup>1</sup> By a good providence of God, there was a boat came in there at the same instant, to which some women and children fled, and so were saved, but two of the boatmen going up to the houses were shot and killed.

These people had cast off ordinances and churches, and now at last their own people, and for larger accommodation had subjected themselves to the Dutch and dwelt scatteringly near a mile asunder: and some that escaped, who had removed only for want (as they said) of hay for their cattle which increased much, now coming back again to Aquiday, they wanted cattle for their grass.<sup>2</sup> These Indians having killed and driven away all the English upon the main as far as Stamford, (for so far the Dutch had gained possession by the English,) they passed on to Long Island and there assaulted the Lady Moodey in her house divers times, for there were 40 men gathered thither to defend it.

---

<sup>1</sup> Welde's *Rise, Reign, and Ruin of the Antinomians* contains this pious exultation at the destruction of Mrs. Hutchinson: "Now I am come to the last act of her tragedy, a most heavy stroak upon herself and hers, as I received it very lately from a godly hand in New England." "The Indians set upon them, and slew her, and all her family, her daughter, and her daughter's husband, and all their children, save one that escaped; (her own husband being dead before;) a dreadful blow. Some write that the Indians did burn her to death with fire, her house, and all the rest named that belonged to her; but I am not able to affirm by what kind of death they slew her, but slain it seems she is, according to all reports. I never heard that the Indians in those parts did ever before this commit the like outrage upon any one family, or families; and therefore God's hand is the more apparently seen herein, to pick out this woful woman, to make her, and those belonging to her, an unheard of heavy example of their cruelty above others."

The geographical position is well indicated in Brodhead's *History of New York*, published this year, 1853, Vol. I. 384. It was a few miles east of Throg's neck, so called from John Throckmorton, mentioned in Vol. I. 42, and obtained the name of Annie's Hoeck, in compliment to the outcast from Boston; and the small stream that separates it from East Chester is called Hutchinson's river.

<sup>2</sup> Considering the gentle and catholic temper of Winthrop, who utters this sarcasm, we might presume, that others, of less amiable disposition, indulged in greater abuse of the inhabitants of Rhode Island, and may wonder at the humility of the seceders.

These Indians at the same time set upon the Dutch with an implacable fury, and killed all they could come by, and burnt their houses and killed their cattle without any resistance, so as the governour and such as escaped betook themselves to their fort at Monhaton, and there lived and eat up their cattle.

4.] There was an assembly at Cambridge of all the elders in the country, (about 50 in all,) such of the ruling elders as would were present also, but none else. They sat in the college, and had their diet there after the manner of scholars' <sup>137</sup> commons, but somewhat better, yet so ordered as it came not to above sixpence the meal for a person. Mr. Cotton and Mr. Hooker were chosen moderators. The principal occasion was because some of the elders went about to set up some things || according || to the presbytery, as of Newbury, etc. The assembly concluded against some parts of the presbyterian way, and the Newbury ministers took time to consider the arguments, etc.

7.] Upon the complaint of the English of Patuxet near Providence, who had submitted to our jurisdiction, and the two Indian sachems there, of the continual injuries offered them by Gorton and his company, the general court sent for them, by letter only, not in way of command, to come answer the complaints, and sent them letters of safe conduct. But they answered our messengers disdainfully, refused to come, but sent two letters full of blasphemy against the churches and magistracy, and other provoking terms, slighting all we could do against them. So that having sent three times, and receiving no other answer, we took testimonies against them both of English and Indians, and determined to proceed with them by force. And because they had told our messengers the last time, that if we had any thing to say to them, if we would come to them, they would do us justice therein, therefore we wrote to them to this effect, viz.: To the end that our justice and moderation might appear to all men, we would condescend so far to them as to send commissioners to hear their answers and allegations, and if thereupon they would give us such satisfaction as should be just, we would leave them in peace, if otherwise,

|| undoing ||

we would proceed by force of arms ; and signified withal that we would send a sufficient guard with our commissioners. For seeing they would not trust themselves with us upon our safe conduct, we had no reason to trust ourselves with them upon their bare courtesy. And accordingly we sent the next week Captain George Cook,<sup>1</sup> Lieutenant Atherton,<sup>2</sup> and Ed-

---

<sup>1</sup> Johnson, lib. II. c. 26, says, "now Colonel Cook in the wars of Ireland," that is, 1651. I find him a deputy from Cambridge in May, 1636, and not after for some years, though Joseph Cook, perhaps his brother, often appears. He probably died in Oliver's service.

<sup>2</sup> Humphrey Atherton deserves much honor in our early annals, though probably he had not come over long before his admission, as a freeman, 2 May, 1638. In September after, he was a deputy for Dorchester, again in May, 1639, and in June, 1641. He had, I suppose, an interest at Springfield, for he represented that town in 1653, when he was chosen speaker, yet lived at Dorchester. Next year he was chosen assistant, and major-general about the same time, succeeding Sedgwick in that office. Johnson, lib. I. c. 45, and lib. II. c. 26, honors him. He was much employed in the negotiations with the Indians, and made use of his influence with them in a great purchase for himself and private associates within the colony of Rhode Island ; but after all his services there was something uncommonly melancholy in his death. Hubbard, 641, says, "In the year 1665, Mr. Atherton, the chief military officer in New England, died suddenly by a fall from his horse, who likewise" [he had just before related the obloquy with which the Rev. John Norton was oppressed] "was called to conflict with the strife of tongues, and the manner of his death also noted as a judgment. Moses and Aaron must be stoned when the mixed multitude in Israel have not their will ; who by the perverseness of their minds become the more obdurate in their errors by the solemn strokes of Providence, which if rightly improved might lead them to repentance, which is the use thereof." In this date, as in very many others, Hubbard is wrong. The tombstone, according to Alden, I. 238, says he died September 16, 1661, and the contemporary Journal of Rev. Peter Hobart of Hingham, copy of which is in my possession, mentioning the fall from his horse at Boston, has the same date, "being Monday, buried Friday following." In our Probate Records, IV. 31, is written, "at a meeting at the governour's house, this 27 September, 1661, power of administration to the estate of the late Major-General Humphrey Atherton is granted to Jonathan Atherton, his eldest son, and Timothy Mather, James Throwbridge, and Obadiah Swift, three of his sons in law, in behalf of the widow, themselves, and rest of the children, they bringing in an inventory of that estate to the next county court, and giving security to administer according to law." In the same volume, p. 191, "at an adjournment of the county court in Boston, 6 July, 1662, this court doth order that the estate of Major Humphrey Atherton, lately deceased, his inventory amounting to 900 pounds, besides a farm of 700 acres near Worronoco, shall be divided in manner following, i. e. to the widow, his late

ward Johnson, with commission and instructions, (the <sup>138</sup> instructions would here be inserted at large,) and with them 40 soldiers.

---

mansion house with the land adjoining, and meadow at little neck, and the division on this side Neponsett, being all valued at about 204 pounds, the same to enjoy during her life, she maintaining the house and fences from time to time and at all times in good and sufficient repair, and her executors and administrators to stand bound to leave the same so at her decease; also to the said widow, besides the said house and lands, about 96 pounds 14, to be set her out of the goods and chattels and debts according to a schedule hereunto annexed; and the remainder of the said estate, all just debts being first paid, to be divided among the children of the said Major Atherton, to his eldest son a double portion, and the rest equally to be sharers, and in like manner the reversion of the house and land assigned to the widow to be divided amongst the children after her decease, provided always such of the children as have received any part of their portions during their father's life shall be abated proportionably in the division of the said estate."

Hope Atherton, a son of the Major-General, H. C. 1665, was the first minister of Hatfield. He was exposed to much suffering in the great Indian war of 1675 and 1676, and on 18 May of the latter year served as chaplain to the troops under Captain Turner, who performed a memorable service against the enemy at the falls at Montague on Connecticut river. In the disastrous retreat "he was separated from the troops and lost in the woods. After wandering at random until next morning, despairing of finding the route home, he came to the resolution of delivering himself to the enemy, and the next day approaching a party, by signs he offered himself as a prisoner; but they refused to receive him. When he approached and called to them, they fled from his presence and none offered to molest him, or discovered the least hostility; fear seemed rather to predominate, and Mr. Atherton was left to his own fate. In this strange dilemma, he determined, if possible, to find the river, and follow it to Hatfield, and after a devious march of several days, of uncommon fatigue, hunger, and anxiety, was restored to his people. This singular conduct of the Indians was attributed to some of their religious superstitions. Probably Mr. Atherton's dress indicated his profession; and having some knowledge of the sacredness of his office, these superstitious notions led them to consider him as a superior being." See the very interesting history of the Indian Wars by General Epaphras Hoyt, p. 133-4, whose narrative, in this part, is taken from the Appendix of Williams's Redeemed Captive, and the sermon of Atherton preached the next Sunday after his return.

By the benevolence of my friend, John Farmer, Esquire, my conjecture, as to the period of Atherton's coming over, was partially confirmed. He informed me, that Dorchester Church records show, that A. signed the covenant in 1636. Perhaps some of the children, referred to in the order of court, were born before, for my informant gives me record of baptisms of Rest 26, 3, 1639, Increase

They came to Providence, and by the way received another letter from Gorton, of the like contents with the former, and told them plainly they were prepared for them, etc. Being come near, they found they had put themselves all into one house, which they had made musket-proof with two flankers.

\*139 But by the mediation of others of [Providence,] they came to parley, and then offered to refer their cause to arbitrators, (alleging that we were parties, and so not equal judges,) so as some of them might be of Providence or of Aquiday, and offered their cattle for security to abide the order, etc. Our commissioners, through importunity of themselves and others of Providence, were content to send to us to know our minds about it. Their letter came to us, when a committee, appointed by the general court, were met about the tidings of Miantunnomoh's death; so calling into us five or six of the elders who were near at hand, we considered of the motion, and agreed that it was neither seasonable nor reasonable, neither safe nor honorable, for us to accept of such a proposition.

1. Because they would never offer us any terms of peace before we had sent our soldiers. 2. Because the ground of it was false, for we were not parties in the case between the Indians and them, but the proper judges, they being all within our jurisdiction by the Indians and English their own grant. 3. They were no state, but a few fugitives living without law or government, and so not honorable for us to join with them in such a course. 4. The parties whom they would refer

\*140 it unto were such as were rejected by us, and all the governments in the country, and besides, not men likely to be equal to us, or able to judge of the cause. 5. Their blasphemous and reviling writings, etc., were not matters fit to

[providence]

---

11, 2, 1641, Thankful 29, 2, 1644, Hope 30, 6, 1646, Watching 24, 6, 1651, Patience 2, 2, 1654. Beside these were Jonathan, Catharine, Consider, Margaret, and Mary; of whom the first two or three were born in England. Charles Humfrey Atherton, H. C. 1794, a distinguished gentleman of Amherst, N. H., was not descended from the Major-General, but from James, perhaps a brother of the principal subject of this note. He died in 1852, having much illustrated the history of his native State, and especially by a genealogical memoir of his progenitors.

be compounded by arbitrament, but to be purged away only by repentance and public satisfaction, or else by public punishment.

And lastly, the commission and instructions being given them by the general court, it was not in our power to alter them; so accordingly we wrote to our commissioners to proceed, which accordingly they did, and approached the house, where they had fortified themselves, with trenches so near as they might fire the house, which they attempted two or three times, but they within quenched it. At last three of them escaped out and ran away, and the rest yielded and were brought to Boston, and were committed to the prison. It was a special providence of God that neither any of them nor of ours were slain or hurt, though many shot passed between them, but every man returned safe and hale. See more, page [blank].

Here wants the beginning which may be supplied out of the records, 64.

Other affairs were transacted by the commissioners of the United Colonies, as writing letters to the Swedish governour in Delaware river, concerning the foul injuries offered by him to Mr. Lamberton and those people whom New Haven had planted there, and also to the Dutch governour about the injuries his agent there had also offered and done to them, as burning down their trading house, joining with the Swedes against them, etc. But this was inserted in the letter which the general court sent to him in further answer of that which he sent to them, as is expressed herebefore; in which letter we declared the complaints which had been made by our confederates both of Hartford and New Haven, of their injurious dealings, as well at Hartford and New Haven as at Delaware: also our opinion of the justice of the cause of Hartford in respect of title of the land in question between them, which we could not change, except we might see more light than had yet appeared to us by the title the Dutch insisted upon, nor might we desert either of our confederates in a righteous cause. And we gave also commission to Mr. Lamberton to go treat with the Swedish governour about satisfaction for those injuries and damages, and to agree with him about settling their trade

and plantation. This Swedish governour demeaned himself as if he had neither christian nor moral conscience, getting Mr. Lamberton into his power by feigned and false pretences, and keeping him prisoner and some of his men, laboring by promises and threats to draw them to accuse him to have conspired with the Indians to cut off the Swedes and Dutch, and not prevailing these ways, then by attempting to make them drunk, that so he might draw something from them: and in the end, (though he could gain no testimony,) yet he forced him to pay [blank] weight of beaver before he would set him at liberty. He is also a man very furious and passionate, cursing and swearing, and also reviling the English of New Haven as runagates, etc., and himself with his own hands put irons upon one of Mr. Lamberton's men, and went also to the houses of those few families planted there, and forced some of them to swear allegiance to the crown of Sweden, though he had no color of title to that place, and such as would not, he drove away, etc. All these things were clearly proved by Mr. Lamberton's relation, and by other testimony upon oath, but this was || before he was sent || with commission.<sup>1</sup>

About this time our governour received letters from Philip Bell, Esq., governour of Barbados, complaining of the distract-ed condition of that island in regard of divers ||<sup>2</sup>sects|| of familists sprung up there, and their turbulent practices, which had forced him to proceed against some of them by banishment, and others of mean quality by whipping; and earnestly desir-ing us to send them some godly ministers and other good peo-ple. The governour imparted the letter to the court and elders, but none of our ministers would go thither, and the governour returned answer accordingly.

8. 12.] The new sachem of Narraganset, Miantunnomoh's brother called Pesucus, a young man about 20, sent a present to our governour, viz., an otter coat and girdle of wampom, and

|| sent before ||

||<sup>2</sup> sorts||

---

<sup>1</sup> The invaluable Colonial Records of Connecticut, published by J. Hammond Trumbull, in two large Vols. 1636-1677, furnish no light on this subject; and our resort is restricted to the Records of the commissioners of the United Colonies, as given by Hazard.

some other wampom, in all worth about 15 pounds, and desired peace and friendship with us, and withal that we would not aid Onkus against him, whom he intended to make war upon in revenge of his brother's death. Our governour answered the messengers, that we were willing to have peace and friendship with him, and to that end had sent messengers to Canonicus, (whom it seemed they met with by the way,) but we desired withal that there might be peace with all Indians also, both Onkus and others, and that we had also sent to Ousamekin to that end; therefore except their sachem would agree to it, we could not receive his present. They replied that they had no instructions about the matter, but would return back and acquaint their sachem with it, and return to us again, and desired to leave their present with our governour in the mean time, which he agreed unto.

13.] Captain Cook and his company, which were sent <sup>142</sup> out against Gorton, returned to Boston, and the captives, being nine, were brought to the governour his house in a military order, viz., the soldiers being in two files, and after every five or six soldiers a prisoner. So being before his door, the commissioners came in, and after the governour had saluted them, he went forth with them, and passing through the files, welcomed them home, blessing God for preserving and prospering them, and gave them all thanks for their pains and good carriage, and desired of the captain a list of their names, that the court, etc., might know them if hereafter there should be occasion to make use of such men. This good acceptance and commendation of their service gave many of them more content than their wages, (which yet was very liberal, || ten shillings || per week, and they to victual themselves, and it is needful in all such commonwealths where the state desires to be served by volunteers). Then having conferred privately with the commissioners, he caused the prisoners to be brought before him in his hall, where was a great assembly, and there laid before them their contemptuous carriage towards us, and their obstinacy against all the fair means and moderation we had used to reform them and bring them to do right to those of ours whom they had wronged, and how the Lord had now

||blank||

justly delivered them into our hands. They pleaded in their excuse that they were not of our jurisdiction, and that though they had now yielded themselves to come and answer before us, yet they yielded not as prisoners. The governour replied, they were brought to him as taken in war, and so our commissioners had informed, but if they could plead any other quarter or agreement our commissioners had made with them, we must and would perform it; to which they made no answer. So the governour committed them to the marshall to convey to the common prison, and gave order they should be well provided for both for lodging and diet. Then he went forth again with the captain, and the soldiers gave him three vollies of shot and so departed to the inn, where the governour had appointed some refreshing to be provided for them above their wages.

The next Lord's day in the forenoon, the prisoners would not come to the meeting, so as the magistrates determined they should be compelled.<sup>1</sup> They agreed to come, so as they might have liberty after sermon to speak, if they had occasion.

<sup>143</sup> The magistrates' answer was, that they did leave the ordering of things in the church to the elders, but there was no doubt but they might have leave to speak, so as they spake the words of truth and sobriety. So in the afternoon they came, and were placed in the fourth seat right before the elders. Mr. Cotton (in his ordinary text) taught ||then|| out of Acts 19. of Demetrius pleading for Diana's silver shrines or temples, etc. After sermon Gorton desired leave to speak, which being granted, he repeated the points of Mr. Cotton's sermon, and coming to that of the silver shrines, he said that in the church there was nothing now but Christ, so that all our ordinances, ministers, sacraments, etc., were but men's inventions for show and pomp, and no other than those silver shrines of Diana. He

||them||

---

<sup>1</sup> Nothing marks more the habits of our fathers, than this perverse desire that prisoners of erroneous opinions should come to hear the orthodox preachers. It has in some parts of the country, and in former times here, been customary to carry criminals, condemned to death, on the Sunday preceding their execution, to the public service of the church; but it seems hard to compel the accused so to hear themselves condemned before trial.

said also that if Christ lived eternally, then he died eternally; and it appeared both by his letters and examinations that he held that Christ was incarnate in Adam, and that he was that image of God wherein Adam was created, and that the chief work and merit was in that his incarnation, in that he became such a thing, so mean, etc., and that his being born after of the Virgin Mary and suffering, etc., was but a manifestation of his sufferings, etc., in Adam. Likewise in his letters he condemned and reviled magistracy, calling it an idol, alleging that a man might as well be a slave to his belly as to his own species: yet being examined he would acknowledge magistracy to be an ordinance of God in the world as marriage was, viz., no other magistracy but what was natural, as the father over his wife and children, and an hereditary prince over his subjects.

When the general court was assembled, Gorton and his company were brought forth upon the lecture day at Boston, and there, before a great assembly, the governour declared the cause and manner of our proceeding against them, and their letters were openly read, and all objections answered. As 1. That they were not within our jurisdiction. To this was answered. 1. That they were either within Plimouth or Mr. Fenwick, and they had yielded their power to us in this cause. 2. If they were under no jurisdiction, then had we none to complain unto for redress of our injuries, and then we must either right ourselves and our subjects by force of arms, or else we must sit still under all their reproaches and injuries, among which <sup>144</sup> they had this insolent passage.—“ We do more disdain that you should send for us to come to you, than you could do, if we should send for the chiefest among you to come up to us, and be employed according to our pleasure in such works as we should appoint you.”

As for their opinions, we did not meddle with them for those, otherwise than they had given us occasion by their letters to us, and by their free and open publishing them amongst us, for we wrote to them only about civil controversies between them and our people, and gave them no occasion to vent their blasphemyings and revilings, etc. And for their title to the Indians' land, we had divers times desired them to make it appear, but they always refused, even to our commissioners whom we sent last

to them; and since they were in prison, we offered to send for any witnesses they would desire, but still they refused, so that our title appearing good, and we having now regained our possession, we need not question them any more about that. Their letters being read, they were demanded severally if they would maintain those things which were contained therein. They answered they would in that sense wherein they wrote them.

After this they were brought before the court severally to be examined, (divers of the elders being desired to be present,) and because they had said they could give a good interpretation of all they had written, they were examined upon the particular passages. But the interpretation they gave being contradictory to their expressions, they were demanded then if they would retract those expressions, but that they refused, and said still that they should then deny the truth. For instance in one or two; their letters were directed, one to their neighbors of the Massachusetts, and the other of them to the great honored idol || general || of the Massachusetts, and by a messenger of their own delivered to our governour, and many passages in both letters particularly applied to our courts, our magistrates, our elders, etc., yet in their examinations about their reproachful passages, they answered, that they meant them of the corrupt estate of mankind in general and not of us, etc. So whereas in their letters they impute it to us as an error, that we teach that Christ died actually only when he suffered under Pontius Pilate, and before only in types, upon their examination they say that their meaning was, that his death was actual to the faith of the fathers under the law, which is in effect no other than we hold, yet they account it an error in us, and would not retract that charge. One of the elders had been in the prison with them, and had conferred with them about their \*145 opinions, and they expressed their agreement with him in every point, so as he intended to move for favor for them, but when he heard their answer upon their examination, he found how he had been deluded by them; for they excel the jesuits in the || art || of equivocation, and regard not how false

|| gentleman ||

|| act ||

they speak to all other men's apprehensions, so they keep to the rules of their own meaning. Gorton maintained, that the image of God wherein Adam was created was Christ, and so the loss of that image was the death of Christ, and the restoring of it in || regeneration || was Christ's resurrection, and so the death of him that was born of the Virgin Mary was but a manifestation of the former.<sup>1</sup> In their letters, etc., they condemned all ordinances in the church, calling baptism an abomination, and the Lord's supper the juice of a poor silly grape turned into the blood of Christ by the skill of our magicians, etc. Yet upon examination they would say they did allow them to be the ordinances of Christ; but their meaning was that they were to continue no longer than the infancy of the church lasted, (and but to novices then,) for after the revelation was written they were to cease, for there is no mention of them, say they, in that book.

They were all illiterate men, the ablest of them could not write true English, no not common words, yet they would take upon them the interpretation of the most difficult places of scripture, and wrest them any way to serve their own turns: as to give one instance for many. Mr. Cotton pressing them with that in Acts 10. "Who can forbid water why these should not be baptized? so he commanded them to be baptized" they interpret thus. Who can deny but these have been baptized, seeing they have received the Holy Ghost, etc., so he allowed them to have been baptized. This shift they were put to, that they might maintain their former opinion, That such as have been baptized with the Holy Ghost need not the outward baptism.<sup>2</sup>

|| generation ||

---

<sup>1</sup> This last sentence, representing some of the strange notions of the chief prisoner, which seem hardly worthy to be called jesuitical, is written in the margin. It is not very closely connected with the preceding, and indeed requires some critic illuminated with the spirit of Gortonism to bring it to the *rules of their own meaning*.

<sup>2</sup> The illiterate Gorton makes a very sensible justification against Morton's Memorial, Hutch. I appx. xx. There was a universal dread of having any ministers, who had not been regularly educated, and some allowance must be made for the opinions of Winthrop and the rest of the magistrates on this ac-

\*146 The court and the elders spent near a whole day in discovery of Gorton's deep mysteries which he had boasted of in his letters, and to bring him to conviction, but all was in vain. Much pains was also taken with the rest, but to as little effect. They would acknowledge no error or fault in their writings, and yet would seem sometimes to consent with us in the truth.

After all these examinations the court began to consult about their sentence. The judgment of the elders also had been demanded about their blasphemous speeches and opinions, what punishment was due by the word of God. Their answer was first in writing, that if they should maintain them as expressed in their writings, their offence deserved death by the law of God. The same some of them declared after in open court. But before the court would proceed to determine of their sentence, they agreed first upon their charge, and then calling them all publicly they declared to them what they had to charge them with, out of their letter and speeches. Their charge was this, viz. They were charged to be blasphemous enemies of the true religion of our Lord Jesus Christ, and of all his holy ordinances, and likewise of all civil government among his people, and particularly within this jurisdiction. Then they were demanded whether they did acknowledge this charge to be just, and did submit to it, or what exceptions they had against it. They answered they did not acknowledge it to be just, but they took no particular exceptions to it, but fell into some cavilling speeches, so they were returned to prison again. Being in prison they behaved insolently towards their keeper, and spake evil of the magistrates. Whereupon some of the magistrates were very earnest to have irons presently put upon them. Others thought it better to forbear all such severity till their sentence were passed. This latter opinion prevailed.

---

count. But men who have enjoyed great advantages of education may be led to employ language inconsistent with scripture and shocking to reason, without justly being liable to the charge of impious blasphemy. A few years since, in one of the largest churches of Boston, the teacher spoke of the crucifixion as Deicide, which in English must be rendered murder of God; yet neither he nor his hearers suffered, I believe, in any degree.

After divers means had been used both in public and private to reclaim them, and all proving fruitless, the court proceeded to consider of their sentence, in which the court was much divided. All the magistrates, save three, were of opinion that Gorton ought to die, but the greatest number of the deputies dissenting, that vote did not pass. In the end all agreed upon this sentence, for seven of them, viz., that they should be dispersed into seven several towns, and there kept to work for their living, and wear irons upon one leg, and not to de- \*147 part the limits of the town, nor by word or writing main- tain any of their blasphemous or wicked errors upon pain of death, only || with || exception for speech with any of the elders, or any other licensed by any magistrate to confer with them; this censure to continue during the pleasure of the court.<sup>1</sup>

|| the ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Silence might perhaps become the commentator on this lamentable delusion; for this narrative almost defies the power of comment to enhance or mitigate the injustice of our government. It is some consolation, however, that three of the magistrates and a majority of the deputies rejected the horrible judgment of the elders, that the offences deserved death. Ridicule they might have deserved, but neglect would have been the most appropriate sentence. We cannot doubt, that our fathers thought the prisoners were justly within our jurisdiction, and this first error led to the invasion of their humble colony, which ought to have been as secure from process as ours was from them. After the usurpation, the civil wrong, which was the first pretence of complaint, seems to have merged in their theological pravity. Our rulers assumed the right of proceeding against them as heretics, because we had injuriously acquired the power of inquiry into the title of their lands. If only this History remained, an exact measure of justice could now be rendered to these unfortunate mystics by one who reads only the tale of their persecutors. But the story of the sufferers written more than twenty years after, in a short petition to the royal commissioners, may be seen in 2 Hist. Coll. VIII. 68-70, and Governour Hopkins still later by a hundred years has embodied their wrongs in a very brief manner, 2 Hist. Coll. IX. 199-201. Both must be read by him who would know the whole truth. The consummation of the tyranny I extract from our records II. p. 39-41:

“Upon much examination and serious consideration of your writings, with your answers about them, we do charge you to be a blasphemous enemy of the true religion of our Lord Jesus Christ and his holy ordinances, and also of all civil authority among the people of God, and particularly in this jurisdiction.

There were three more taken in the house with them, but because they had not their hands to the letters, they were dismissed, two of them upon a small ransom, as captives taken in war, and the third freely, for that he was but in his master's house, etc. A fourth, being found to be an ignorant young man, was only enjoined to abide in Watertown upon pain of the court's displeasure only.

At the next court they were all sent away, because we

---

It is ordered that Samuel Gorton shall be confined to Charlestown, there to be set on work, and to wear such bolts or irons, as may hinder his escape, and to continue during the pleasure of the court, provided that if he shall break his said confinement, or shall in the mean time, either by speech or writing, publish, declare, or maintain any of the blasphemous or abominable heresies, wherewith he hath been charged by the general court, contained in either of the two books sent unto us by him, or Randall Houlden, or shall reproach or reprove the churches of our Lord Jesus Christ in these United Colonies, or the civil government or the public ordinances of God therein, (unless it be by answer to some question propounded to him, or conference with any elder, or with any other licensed to speak with him privately under the hand of one of the assistants,) that immediately upon accusation of any such writing or speech he shall, by such assistant to whom such accusation shall be brought, be committed to prison till the next court of assistants, then and there to be tried by a jury, whether he hath so spoken or written, and upon his conviction thereof shall be condemned to death and executed. Dated the 3d of the 9mo. 1643.

John Wicks, Randall Houlden, Robert Potter, Richard Carder, Francis Weston, and John Warner are confined upon the same conditions.

John Wicks to Ipswich,  
Randall Houlden to Salem,  
Robert Potter to Rowley,  
Richard Carder to Roxbury,  
Francis Weston to Dorchester,  
John Warner to Boston,

All these are upon same conditions that  
Samuel Gorton abovenamed is.

William Waddell is confined to Watertown during the pleasure of the court, and if he escape, to be punished, as this court or the court of assistants shall think meet.

Richard Waterman is dismissed for the present, so that what is taken of his is to go toward payment of the charge, and the rest of his estate is bound in an 100 pounds, that he shall appear at the general court the 3d mo. and not to depart without license, and to submit to the order of the court.

Nicholas Power appearing and denying that he set his hand to the first book, was dismissed with an admonition."

found that they did corrupt some of our people, especially the women, by their heresies.<sup>1</sup>¶

About a week after, we sent men to fetch so many of their cattle as might defray our charges, both of the soldiers and of the court, which spent many days about them, and for their expenses in prison. It came to in all about 160 pounds. There were three who escaped out of the house; these being sent for to come in, two of them did so, and one of them, because his hand was not to the letters, was freely discharged, the other was sent home upon his own bond to appear at the next court, (only some of his cattle were taken towards the charges). There was a fourth who had his hand to the first letter, but he died before our soldiers went, and we left his whole estate to his wife and children. Their arms were all taken from them, and of their guns the court gave one fowling piece to Pumham and another to Saconoco, and liberty granted them to have powder as being now within our jurisdiction:

The Lord Bartemore being owner of much land near Virginia, being himself a papist, and his brother Mr. Calvert <sup>\*149</sup> the governour there a papist also, but the colony consisted both of protestants and papists, he wrote a letter to Captain

---

<sup>1</sup> It is strange, that so important a part of the history, though given in the margin of the original MS. should have been omitted in the former edition, for it fills the measure of our ancestor's policy. Part of the truth is told indeed in a later paragraph, but the whole is better. Though death had been threatened for speaking, yet when they were known to have corrupted some of the flock, these misguided prisoners were liberated, because their keepers were in danger.

From the terms of the court's order, which I extract from Vol. II. 44, it should be inferred that no place but England was left for the unhappy schismatics. To England they went, and we must rejoice that they obtained justice. "It is ordered that Samuel Gorton and the rest of that company, who now stand confined, shall be set at liberty, provided that if they, or any of them, shall after fourteen days after such enlargement come within any part of our jurisdiction, either in the Massachusetts, or in or near Providence, or any of the lands of Pumham or Sachonoco, or elsewhere within our jurisdiction, then such person or persons shall be apprehended, wheresoever they may be taken, and shall suffer death by course of law, provided also that during all their continuance in our bounds inhabiting for the said time of fourteen days, they shall be still bound to the rest of the articles of their former confinement upon the penalty thereint expressed."

Gibbons of Boston, and sent him a commission, wherein he made tender of land in Maryland to any of ours that would transport themselves thither, with free liberty of religion, and all other privileges which the place afforded, paying such annual rent as should be agreed upon; but our captain had no mind to further his desire herein, nor had any of our people temptation that way.

5. 13.] One Captain John Chaddock, son of him that was governour of Bermuda, a godly gentleman, but late removing from them with his family and about 100 more to Trinidad, where himself and wife and most of his company died, arrived here in a man of war of about 100 tons, set forth by the Earl of Warwick. He came hither for planters for Trinidad, (Mr. Humfrey having told the Earl that he might be supplied from hence,) but here was not any that would enter upon that voyage, etc. So La Tour having a pinnace here at the same time, they hired Captain Chaddock for two months at 200 pounds the month, partly to convoy the pinnace home from the danger of D'Aulnay his vessels, and partly for other service against D'Aulnay there. But when they came, they found D'Aulnay gone into France, and a new fort raised at Port Royal, and a pinnace ready to go forth to trade, so they kept her in so long till the season was over and his two months out, and then he returned to Boston. When he was come in near the town, his men going up upon the main yard to hand in the sail, the main tie brake, and the yard falling down shook off five men into the sea, and though it were calm and smooth water, yet not having their boat out, three of them were drowned. One of these had taken some things out of the deserted castle; as they went out. Notwithstanding this sad accident, yet so soon as they came on shore, they fell to drinking, etc., and that evening, the captain and his master being at supper and having drank too

\*150 much, the captain began to speak evil of the country, swearing fearfully, that we were a base heathen people. His master answered that he had no reason to say so, for it was the best place that ever he came in. Upon these and other speeches the captain arose and drew his sword, and the master drew forth his pistol, but the company staying them from doing any mischief, the captain sware blood and wounds he would kill

him. For this they were brought before the court, and the captain fined 20 pounds and committed to the marshall till he gave security for it. The master for that he was in drink, as he ingenuously acknowledged, etc., was fined only 10 shillings, but was set at liberty from the captain, who had formerly misused other of his men, and was a very proud and intemperate man. But because the ship was the Earl of Warwick's, who had always been forward to do good to our colony, we wrote to him, that the fine should be reserved to be at his lordship's disposing, when he should please to command or call for it. See the next page.

10. 27.] By order of the general court all the magistrates and the teaching elders of the six nearest churches were appointed to be forever governours of the college, and this day they met at Cambridge and considered of the officers of the college, and chose a treasurer, H. Pelham, Esq., being the first in that office.

This day five ships set sail from Boston; three of them were built here, two of 300 tons and the other of 160. One of them was bound for London with many passengers, men of chief rank in the country, and great store of beaver. Their adventure was very great, considering the doubtful estate of the affairs of England, but many prayers of the churches went with them and followed after them.

11. 2.] Captain Chaddock having bought from the French a pinnace of about 30 tons, (which La Tour sold him for || a demiculverin || and was the same which was taken before from D'Aulnay,) he had manned and fitted her to go in her to Trinidad, and riding before Boston ready to depart, and eight men aboard her, one striking fire with a pistol, two barrels of powder took fire and blew her up: five of the men being in the cabin were destroyed, and the other three being in the other part were much scorched and hurt, but got into their boat and were saved. The captain himself was then on shore at Boston. It is observable that these men making no use of the sudden loss of three of their company, but falling to drinking, etc., that very evening this judgment came thus upon them. It is also to be observed that two vessels have thus been blown

[blank]

16

\*151 up in our harbor, and both belonging to such as despised us and the ordinance of God amongst us. See more, page [blank].

About this time Captain Daniel Patrick was killed at Stamford by a Dutchman, who shot him dead with a pistol. This captain was entertained by us out of Holland (where he was a common soldier of the Prince's guard) to exercise our men. We made him a captain, and maintained him. After, he was admitted a member of the church of Watertown and a freeman. But he grew very proud and vicious, for though he had a wife of his own, a good Dutch woman and comely, yet he despised her and followed after other women; and perceiving that he was discovered, and that such evil courses would not be endured here, and being withal of a vain and unsettled disposition, he went from us, and sat § down § within twenty miles of the Dutch, and put himself under their protection, and joined to their church, without being dismissed from Watertown: but when the Indians arose in those parts, he fled to Stamford and there was slain. The Dutchman who killed him was apprehended, but made an escape; and this was the ||fruit|| of his wicked course and breach of covenant with his wife, with the church, and with that state who had called him and maintained him, and he found his death from that hand where he sought protection. It is observable that he was killed upon the Lord's day in the time of afternoon exercise (for he seldom went to the public assemblies). It was in Captain Underhill's house. The Dutchman had charged him with treachery, for causing 120 men to come to him upon his promise to direct them to the Indians, etc., but deluded them. Whereupon the captain gave him ill language and spit in his face, and turning to go out, the Dutchman shot him behind in the head, so he fell down dead and never spake. The murderer escaped out of custody.

10. 3.] The Hopewell, a ship of Boston, about 60 tons, arrived; the freight was wines, pitch, sugar, ginger, etc. She had her lading at Palma an island near Teneriffe. The Spaniards used our people courteously, but put them to give security ||<sup>2</sup>by|| some English merchants residing there to discharge

||first||

||<sup>2</sup>to||

their cargoes at Boston, for they would not have the Portugals of the || Madeiras || to have any goods from them. She performed her voyage in four months. She went a second voyage thither soon after, but was never heard of. Her lading was corn in bulk.

At this time came over Thomas Morton, our professed old adversary, who had set forth a book against us, and written reproachful and menacing letters to some of us.

Some of Watertown began a plantation at Martin's \*152 Vineyard beyond Cape Cod, and divers families going thither, they procured a young man, one Mr. Green,<sup>1</sup> a scholar, to be their minister, in hopes soon to gather a church there. He went not.<sup>2</sup>

Others of the same town began also a plantation at Nashaway<sup>3</sup> some 15 miles N. W. from Sudbury.

||blank||

---

<sup>1</sup> Notice of his early death, after being settled as the first minister of Reading little more than three years, will be seen in the progress of this volume. Hubbard, 416, mentions his name of baptism, Henry, which Mather omitted. Johnson, lib. II. c. 25 honors him with verses, of which the first is, perhaps, the best in his book:—

On earth's bed thou at noon hast laid thy head.

<sup>2</sup> The church, however, was gathered, and Thomas Mayhew, jr., ordained its pastor. It is remarkable, that so great neglect of the early history of the Vineyard is found in the original historians. Thomas Mayhew, the father of that colony, had been admitted a freeman 14 May, 1634, at the same time with Governour Haynes, Cotton, Hooker, and Stone, whom I therefore presume he accompanied in the Griffin, which arrived in September preceding. He was a deputy from Watertown at the general court, 8 September, 1636, again in May, 1637, and in the eleven following courts. He lived to the mature age of ninety, governed Martha's vineyard many years, and is, with a numerous list of descendants, honored in the highest rank of benevolent laborers in the divine employment of civilizing the Indians. Very full memorials of him and his posterity are to be seen in 2 Hist. Coll. III. from the pen of a most accurate and judicious enquirer. Of this family was the Rev. Jona. Mayhew, of Boston, one of the most distinguished asserters of civil and religious liberty.

<sup>3</sup> Something more of this settlement will be found next year. Watertown was a hive, from which swarmed many new towns. It had been a matter of complaint, that towns were so thickly planted, as early as 1635, for the government at first permitted no man to live more than half a mile from the meeting

11. 18.] About midnight, three men, coming in a boat to Boston, saw two lights arise out of the water near the north point of the town cove, in form like a man, and went at a small distance to the town, and so to the south point, and there vanished away. They saw them about a quarter of an hour, being between the town and the governour's garden. The like was seen by many, a week after, arising about Castle Island and in one fifth of an hour came to John Gallop's point.

The country being weary of the charge of maintaining Castle Island, the last general court made an order to have it <sup>\*153</sup> deserted and the ordnance fetched away; but Boston and other towns in the bay finding that thereupon the masters of some ships which came from England took occasion to slight us and to offer injury to our people, having liberty to ride and go out under no command, and considering also how easily any of our towns in the bay might be surprised, we having no strength without to stop them or to give notice of an enemy, they chose certain men out of the several towns who met at Boston to consider of some course of repairing and maintaining it at their proper charge: but the difficulty was, how to do it without offence to the general court who had ordered the deserting of it, etc.

The 18th of this month two lights were seen near Boston, (as is before mentioned,) and a week after the like was seen again. A light like the moon arose about the N. E. point in Boston, and met the former at Nottles Island, and there they closed in one, and then parted, and closed and parted divers times, and so went over the hill in the island and vanished. Sometimes they shot out flames and sometimes sparkles. This

---

house in his town; and Watertown people seem to have felt more than others this density of population. See Pratt's Apology in 2 Hist. Coll. VII. 126. By Tyler Bigelow, Esquire, of that town, I am furnished with one of their votes of that date, which explains their views of the evil: "Agreed by the consent of the freemen (in consideration there be too many inhabitants in the town, and the town thereby in danger to be ruined) that no foreigner coming into the town, or any family arising among ourselves, shall have any benefit either of commonage, or land undivided, but what they shall purchase, except that they buy a man's right wholly in the town." Probably for two hundred years the fear has been removed.

was about eight of the clock in the evening, and was seen by many. About the same time a voice was heard upon the water between Boston and Dorchester, calling out in a most dreadful manner, boy, boy, come away, come away: and it suddenly shifted from one place to another a great distance, about twenty times. It was heard by divers godly persons. About 14 days after, the same voice in the same dreadful manner was heard by others on the other side of the town towards Nottles Island.

These || prodigies || having some reference to the place where Captain Chaddock's pinnace was blown up a little before, gave occasion of speech of that man who was the cause of it, who professed himself to have skill in necromancy, and to have done some strange things in his way from Virginia hither, and was suspected to have murdered his master there; but the magistrates here had no notice of him till after he was blown up. This is to be observed that his fellows were all found, and others who were blown up in the former ship were also found, and others also who have miscarried by drowning, etc., have usually been found, but this man was never found.

12. 5.] Cutshamekin, and Agawam, and Josias, Chickatabot his heir, came to the governour, and in their own name and the names of all the sachems of Watchusett, and all the Indians from Merrimack to Tecticutt, tendered themselves to our government, and gave the governour a present of 30 fathom of wampom, and offered to come to the next court to make <sup>\*154</sup> their acknowledgment, etc. The governour received their present to keep it till the court, etc., and if the court and they did agree, then to accept it. We now began to conceive hope that the Lord's time was at hand for opening a door of light and grace to those Indians, and some fruit appeared of our kind dealing with Pumham and Sacononoco, protecting them against the Narragansett, and righting them against Gorton, etc., who had taken away their land: for this example gave encouragement to all these Indians to come in and submit to our government, in expectation of the like protection and benefit.

16.] Pesacus, the Narragansett sachem, sent again a message to the governour with another present by Washose, a sachem

||proceedings||

16\*

who came before, and his errand was, that seeing they, at our request, had sitten still this year, that now this next year we would grant their request, and suffer them to fight with Onkus, with many arguments. The governour refused his present, and told him that if they sent us 1000 fathom of wampom and 1000 skins, yet we would not do that which we judged to be unjust, viz. to desert Onkus, but our resolution was, and that they must rest upon, that if they made war upon Onkus, the English would all fall upon them.

1. 23.] The Trial (the first ship built in Boston) being about 160 tons, Mr. Thomas Graves, an able and a godly man, master of her, was sent to Bilboa in the 4th month last, with fish, which she sold there at a good rate, and from thence she freighted to Malaga, and arrived here this day laden with wine, fruit, oil, iron, and wool, which was a great advantage to the country, and gave encouragement to trade. So soon as she was fitted (3.) she was set forth again to trade with La Tour, and so along the eastern coast towards Canada.

One Mr. Rigby, a lawyer and a parliament man, wealthy and religious, had purchased the Plough Patent lying at Sagadahock, and had given commission to one Mr. Cleaves, as his deputy, to govern the people there, etc. He, landing at Boston, and knowing how distasteful this would be to the governour of Sir Ferdinand Gorges' province of New Somersetshire, who challenged jurisdiction in a great part of Ligonie or the Plough patent, petitioned the general court to write to them on his behalf, but the court thought not fit so to do, but rather that the governour should write in his own name only, which he did accordingly. But when Mr. Cleaves came to set his commission on foot, and called a court at Casco, Mr. Richard Vines<sup>1</sup> and other of Sir Ferdinand Gorges' commissioners opposed, and called another court at Saco the same time: whereupon the inhabitants were divided; those of Casco, etc., wrote to Mr. Vines that they would stand to the judgment of

---

<sup>1</sup> The strange error of the press in Hubbard's Indian Wars, 206, of the modern edition, *Umes for Vines*, is corrected in his history by Sullivan, 312 et seq., but Hubbard's General History, 368, 9, faithfully copies Winthrop, as did the first Ed. of his Narrative of Troubles, p. 10.

the magistrates of the bay till it were decided in England, to which government they should belong, and sent this letter by one Tucker. Mr. Vines imprisoned him, and the next day took his bond for his appearance at Saco and his good behaviour. Upon this Mr. Cleaves and the rest, about thirty persons, wrote to our governour for assistance against Mr. Vines, and tendered themselves to the consociation of the United Colonies. § The governour returned answer, that he must first advise with the commissioners of the United Colonies §. And beside, they had an order not to receive any but such as were in a church way, etc.

Not long after, viz. (2.) 24, Mr. Vines came to Boston with a letter from himself and the other of Sir F. Gorges' commissioners, and other inhabitants of the province, between 20 and 30.

Three fishermen of a boat belonging to Isle of Shoals were very profane men, and scorers of religion, and were drinking all the Lord's day, and the next week their boat was cast upon the rocks at the Isle of Shoals, and they drowned.

There was little rain this winter, and no snow till the 3d of the 1st month, the wind continuing W. and N. W. near six weeks, which was an occasion that very many houses were burned down, and ||much chattells|| (in some of them) to a greater value than in 14 years before.

1. 7.] Boston, Charlestown, Roxbury, Dorchester, Cambridge, and Watertown, conceiving that the want of fortification at Castle Island would leave them open to an enemy, appointed a committee to consider how it might be fortified, and coming to some conclusion about it, they advised with the governour and some other of the magistrates, who encouraged them in it, as the elders also did in their sermons; but because the general court had given order for fetching off the ordnance, etc., it was thought fit not to attempt any thing without the advice of the same. It fell out also that five of the neighboring Indian sachems came at the same time to the governour with a present of wampom about 30 fathom, worth some 8 pounds, and desired to come under our government as Pumham and Sacononoco

||many cattle||

had done. For these two occasions the governour summoned \*156 a general court to be held at Boston this day, (the court of assistants being to begin the 5th day before,) where the committees of the said six towns exhibited a petition for fortifying of the said Island, craving help also from the country, though they had agreed to do it at their own charge rather than fail. The court refusing to undertake it, they gave in certain propositions whereby they craved some aid, at least for maintaining of the garrison, and some privileges and immunities. These coming to be debated in the court, some opposition there was, which had almost discouraged the committee. The arguments brought against it were chiefly these. 1. The great charge. 2. The little help it could afford against a strong enemy. 3. The opportunity left of another passage by Bird Island. But these objections were so far removed, as after much debate, the court voted for the fortification, and granted 100 pounds pay for the maintenance of it, when it should be in defence and a garrison of 20 men residing there; and 50 pounds towards the securing the other passage. And a committee was appointed to draw up a commission for him who should have command in chief, etc. But this allowance was yielded rather out of a willingness to gratify these six towns (being near one half of the commonwealth for number of people and substance) and to keep || loving || correspondency among all the towns, rather than out of any confidence of safety by it. Many also of good judgment did conceive that the fortifications would not be accomplished according to the dimensions propounded, nor so great a garrison maintained, for the people were known generally to be more willing and forward in such public engagements, than able, upon trial, to perform them: for in such cases, the major part, which carries the vote, is of such as can afford least help to the work.

The court finding that Gorton and his company did harm in the towns where they were confined, and not knowing what to do with them, at length agreed to set them at liberty, and gave them 14 days to depart out of our jurisdiction in all parts, and no more to come into it upon pain of death. This censure was

|| living ||

thought too light and favorable, but we knew not how in justice we could inflict any punishment upon them, the sentence of the court being already passed, etc.

At this court Cutshamekin and || squaw sachem,|| Masconono-co, Nashacowam, and Wassamagoin, two sachems near the great hill to the west called ||<sup>2</sup> Wachusett,|| came into the court, and according to their former tender to the governour, desired to be received under our protection and government upon the same terms that Pumham and Sacononoco were ; so we causing them to understand the articles, and all the ten commandments of \*157 God, and they freely assenting to all, they were solemnly received, and then presented the court with 26 fathom more of wampom, and the court gave each of them a coat of two yards of cloth, and their dinner ; and to them and their men every of them a cup of sack at their departure, so they took leave and went away very joyful.

At this court came letters from New Haven, and withal an answer from the Swedes and Dutch to the letters of the commissioners of the union, sent in the 7th month last. The Dutch still maintained their right to the land at Hartford, and their complaint of injuries. The Swedes denied what they had been charged with, and sent copies of divers examinations upon oath taken in the cause, with a copy of all the proceeding between them and our friends of New Haven from the first ; and in their letters used large expressions of their respect to the English, and particularly to our colony. And Mr. Eaton desired a copy of our patent to show the Swedish governour (at his request) and a new commission from the commissioners of the union, allowing them to go on with their plantation and trade in Delaware river and bay (for the governour had told their agent that upon such a commission they should have liberty, etc.) This coming at the sitting of the general court, the commissioners advised with the court about it, who granted both, but the commission with a salvo jure : we were then informed also of a Dutch ship lately arrived at Hudson's river sent to the free boors at Fort Orange, which brought them 4,000 weight of powder, and 700 pieces to trade with the na-

|| Squasuh'en ||

||<sup>2</sup> Warehassett ||

tives, which the Dutch governour having notice of, did seize and confiscate to the use of the company.<sup>1</sup>

We had the news also that the Dutch had entertained Captain Underhill, who with 120 men, Dutch and English, had killed 120 Indians upon Long Island, and 300 more upon the main, which was found to be a plot of the Dutch governour to engage the English in that quarrel with the Indians, which we had wholly declined, as doubting of the justice of the cause.

At this court of assistants one James Britton, a man ill affected both to our church discipline and civil government,<sup>2</sup> and one Mary Latham, a || proper || young woman about 18 years \*158 of age, whose father was a godly man and had brought her up well, were condemned to die for adultery, upon a law formerly made and published in print. It was thus occasioned and discovered. This woman, being rejected by a young man whom she had an affection unto, vowed she would marry the next that came to her, and accordingly, against her friends' minds, she matched with an ancient man who had neither honesty nor ability, and one whom she had no affection unto. Whereupon, soon after she was married, divers young men solicited her chastity, and drawing her into bad company, and giving her wine and other gifts, easily prevailed with her, and among others this Britton. But God smiting him with a deadly palsy and fearful horror of conscience withal, he could not keep secret, but discovered this, and other the like with other women, and was forced to acknowledge the justice of God in that having often called others fools, etc., for confessing

■ promp ■

---

<sup>1</sup> A paragraph next but one to this on the same page of our MS. repeats the story of the ship from Holland, but it was properly erased by the author, because it contained only two more circumstances, one that the ship was "*not sent by the company, but by some private men,*" and of the dwellers at Fort Orange, "*these have liberty to trade by themselves,*" which were probably both erroneous.

Mr. Brodhead, in his History, I. 390, doubts, that my construction of the erasure, by Winthrop, is erroneous. His knowledge is far superior to mine. It may be supposed, that the ship was despatched by the company, and the dangerous commodities unduly put on board of her.

<sup>2</sup> See Vol. I 289.

against themselves, he was now forced to do the like. The woman dwelt now in Plimouth patent, and one of the magistrates there, hearing she was detected, etc., sent her to us. Upon her examination, she confessed he did attempt the fact, but did not commit it, and witness was produced that testified (which they both confessed) that in the evening of a day of humiliation through the country for England, etc., a company met at Britton's and there continued drinking sack, etc., till late in the night, and then Britton and the woman were seen upon the ground together, a little from the house. It was reported also that she did frequently abuse her husband, setting a knife to his breast and threatening to kill him, calling him old rogue and cuckold, and said she would make him wear horns as big as a bull. And yet some of the magistrates thought the evidence not sufficient against her, because there were not two direct witnesses; but the jury cast her, and then she confessed the fact, and accused twelve others, whereof two were married men. Five of these were apprehended and committed, (the rest were gone,) but denying it, and there being no other witness against them than the testimony of a condemned person, there could be no proceeding against them. The woman proved very penitent, and had deep apprehension of the foulness of her sin, and at length attained to hope of pardon by the blood of Christ, and was willing to die in satisfaction to justice. The man also was very much cast down for his sins, but was loth to die, and petitioned the general court for his life, but they would not grant it, though some of the magistrates spake much for it, and questioned the letter, whether adultery was death by God's law now. This <sup>159</sup> Britton had been a professor in England, but coming hither he opposed our church government, etc., and grew dissolute, losing both power and profession of godliness.

1. 21.] They were both executed, they both died very penitently, especially the woman, who had some comfortable hope of pardon of her sin, and gave good exhortation to all young maids to be obedient to their parents, and to take heed of evil company, etc.

The Earl of Warwick and other lords, etc., being appointed by the parliament commissioners for regulating the West In-

dies and all other English plantations in America,<sup>1</sup> sent commission to Virginia to free them from all former taxations and all other charges but such as should be needful for their own occasions, and gave them liberty to choose their own governour; and sent command to all English ships there (which were then to the number of sixteen, most of them great ships) to assist them if need were. But the king sending a countermand to || Sir Robert || Berkley,<sup>2</sup> the governour he withstood

|| G. R. ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Under this supreme commission much control of our proceedings will appear in the progress of this volume. The document may be seen in Haz. I. 533. It empowers Robert, Earl of Warwick, Philip, Earl of Pembroke, Edward, Earl of Manchester, William, Viscount Say and Seal, Philip, Lord Wharton, John, Lord Roberts, of the peers, and Sir Gilbert Gerard, baronet, Sir Arthur Hazlerig, baronet, Sir Henry Vane, junr., knight, Sir Benjamin Radyer, knight, John Pym, Oliver Cromwell, Denis Bond, Miles Corbet, Cornelius Holland, Samuel Vassall, John Rolles, and William Spurstow, of the house of commons, to exercise unlimited authority. The members were occasionally changed.

<sup>2</sup> How Winthrop fell into the mistake, which he repeats a few passages onwards, of the baptismal name of the royal governour of Virginia, I am unable to explain. Of Sir William Berkeley a good account appears in Allen's Biog. Dict. But a remarkable error was followed by that author, in his first Ed. avoided afterwards, in making this governour successor to Sir John Harvey, on the authority of Sir William Keith's History of Virginia, 144. Beverly, from whom Keith abridges his narrative, has the same mistake, and the diffuse and declamatory writer on their history, John Burk, has not corrected it. The great historian of Washington, in his brief introduction, seems ignorant of any intervening governour; and the only notice of such an one is found in a modest duodecimo of J. W. Campbell, 61, the only writer known to me who takes notice of the administration of Sir Francis Wyatt from 1639, when Harvey was superseded, to 1641, when Berkeley was appointed. Even Chalmers, whose accuracy is usually wonderful, says, 119, "Sir William Berkeley was appointed governour of Virginis in the beginning of 1639." The scrupulous diligence of Holmes Ann. I. 812, which had found the commission of Harvey, overlooked that of Berkeley in the same volume. Such is the manner in which errors are perpetuated. Yet the elegant Notes on Virginia, in the large collection of papers, 357, refers to Rymer's Foedera, xx. 484, for a commission of the governour and council of Virginia, dated 9 Aug. 1641, which, had it been examined, would have cleared all difficulty. This commission, which is also given in Haz. I. 477, from Car. I. an. reg. 17, recites that the king had, January 11, an. reg. 14, i. e. 1639, appointed Sir Francis Wyatt to be his governour, whose commis-

the parliament's commissioners, and drew most of the <sup>\*160</sup> other magistrates to take oath upon the sacrament to maintain the king's authority, etc., so that the whole country was like to rise in parties, some for the king, and others for the parliament.

A proposition was made this court for all the English within the united colonies to enter into a civil ||agreement|| for the maintenance of religion and our civil liberties, and for yielding some more of the freeman's privileges to such as were no church members that should join in this government. But nothing was concluded, but referred to next court, and in the mean time, that letters should be written to the other colonies to advise with them about it. Nothing was effected for want of opportunity of meeting, etc.

At the same court in the first month, upon the motion of the deputies, it was ordered that the court should be divided in their consultations, the magistrates by themselves, and the deputies by themselves, what the one agreed upon they should send to the other, and if both agreed, then to pass, etc. This order determined the great contention about the negative voice.

Divers of the merchants of Boston being desirous to discover the great lake, supposing it to lie in the north-west part of our patent, and finding that the great trade of beaver, which came to all the eastern and southern parts, came from thence, petitioned the court to be a company for that design, and to have the trade which they should discover, to themselves for twenty-one years. The court was very unwilling to grant any mo-

||government||

---

sion he now revokes and makes him first member of the council. So that more than two and a half years, between the administrations of Harvey and Berkeley, was the period of Sir Francis Wyatt's government. Perhaps the authors of their annals considered it sufficient to honor Wyatt by reckoning him as governour many years before, when he was quite a young man. Berkeley's letter to England, in 1671, in which he says, "I thank God, there are no free schools, nor printing; and I hope we shall not have these hundred years," has been often subject of remark. No man in the world can differ from his reasons, more than the editor; and I regret to observe, that his hopes in regard to the first object have been so effectually satisfied.

nopoly, but perceiving that without it they would not proceed, granted their desire; whereupon, having also commission granted them under the public seal, (3) and letters from the governour to the Dutch and Swedish governours, they sent out a pinnace well manned and furnished with provisions and trading \*161 stuff, which was to sail up Delaware river so high as they could go, and then some of the company, under the conduct of Mr. William Aspenwall, a good artist, and one who had been in those parts, to pass by small skiffs or canoes up the river so far as they could.

Many of Watertown and other towns joined in the plantation at Nashaway, and having called a young man, an || university || scholar, one Mr. ||<sup>2</sup> Norcross ||, to be their minister, seven of them, who were no members of any churches, were desirous to gather into a church estate; but the magistrates and elders advised them first to go and build them habitations, etc., (for there was yet no house there,) and then to take some that were members of other churches, with the consent of such churches, as formerly had been done, and so proceed orderly. But the persons interested in this plantation, being most of them poor men, and some of them corrupt in judgment, and others profane, it went on very slowly, so as that in two years they had not three houses built there, and he whom they had called to be their minister left them for their delays.<sup>1</sup>

|| universal ||

||<sup>2</sup> Nocroff ||

---

<sup>1</sup> The last sentence is added, probably by the governour, at a later time. From our Col. Rec. II. 57, I find "the petition of Mr. Nathaniel Norcross, Robert Childe, Stephen Day, John Fisher, and others for a plantation at Nashawake is granted, provided that there shall not be more land allotted to the town, or particular men, (notwithstanding their purchase of land of the Indians,) than the general court shall allow." Day was the person, who first introduced printing in New England, see Vol. I. 289. He died in Cambridge, Dec. 22, 1668, aged about 58 years, see Thomas's History of Printing in America, I. 231. Of Norcross I know nothing more, than that he was of Catharine Hall, in the University of Cambridge, where he had his first degree in 1636, and therefore, as his education was so liberal, presume he returned home. Childe is, perhaps, the same, whose exertions for extension of privileges so much disturbed our colony two years after. The name of Fisher, I think, has prevailed in Lancaster from its settlement.

One Dalkin and his wife dwelling near Medford<sup>1</sup> coming from Cambridge, where they had spent their Sabbath, <sup>162</sup> and being to pass over the river at a ford, the tide not being fallen enough, the husband adventured over, and finding it too deep, persuaded his wife to stay a while, but it raining very sore, she would needs adventure over, and was carried away with the stream past her depth. Her husband not daring to go help her, cried out, and thereupon his dog, being at his house near by, came forth, and seeing something in the water, swam to her, and she caught hold on the dog's tail, so he drew her to the shore and saved her life.

At the general court (8.) 4. there came a letter to the governour from Mr. Wheelwright, (who was now || moved || from Exeter to Wells, near Cape Porpoise, where he was pastor of a church,) the contents whereof were as followeth:—

|| carried ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Of so flourishing a town as Medford, the settlement of which had been made as early as that of any other, except Charlestown, in the bay, it is remarkable, that the early history is very meagre. From several statements of its proportion of public charges in the colony rates, it must be concluded, that it was, within the first eight years, superior in wealth at different times to Newbury, Ipswich, Hingham, Weymouth, all ancient towns, furnished with regular ministers. Yet the number of people was certainly small, and the weight of the tax was, probably, borne by the property of Governour Cradock, there invested for fishing and other purposes. When that establishment was withdrawn, I suppose, the town languished many years. A most diligent inspection of the records had been made by its pastor, Rev. Andrew Bigelow, from which it appears that its first regularly ordained minister was Aaron Porter, in 1712. Some instruction had for several years preceding been regularly given by Benjamin Woodbridge, who died there 1710; and before him by Simon Bradstreet, H. C. 1693, whom Mather in his Hecatompolis makes the minister in 1696, but he was not settled, and left the place early in 1697, soon after which Woodbridge was employed. Yet it appears that the celebrated James Noyes, afterwards teacher at Newbury, preached near a year at this place, on first coming over in 1634. See *Magnalia* III. c. 25, an excellent letter of his nephew, Nicholas Noyes, minister at Salem. The consequences of this destitution of the best means of religion were very unhappy. The town was poorly inhabited, the people much divided, occasionally prosecuted for their deficiencies, and long in a miserable condition. A full period of happiness at last arrived in the times of Turell and Osgood, and for more than a century Medford has appeared one of the most thriving villages in the vicinity of Boston.

## RIGHT WORSHIPPUL.

Upon the long and mature consideration of things, I perceive that the main difference between yourselves and some of the reverend elders and me, in point of ||justification|| and the evidencing thereof, is not of that nature and consequence as was then presented to me in the false glass of satan's temptations and mine own distempered passions, which makes me unfeignedly sorry that I had such an hand in those sharp and vehement contentions raised thereabouts to the great disturbance of the churches of Christ. It is the ||<sup>2</sup>grief|| of my soul that I used such vehement censorious speeches in the application of my sermon, or in any other writing, whereby I reflected any dishonor upon your worships, the reverend elders, or any of contrary judgment to myself. It repents me that I did so much adhere to persons of corrupt judgment, to the countenancing of them in any of their errors or evil practices, though I intended no such thing; and that in the synod I used such unsafe and obscure expressions falling from me as a man dazzled with the buffetings of satan, and that I did appeal from misapprehension of things. I confess that herein I have done very sinfully, and do humbly crave pardon of this honored state.

\*163 If it shall appear to me, by scripture light, that in any carriage, word, writing, or action, I have walked contrary to rule, I shall be ready, by the grace of God, to give satisfaction: thus hoping that you will pardon my boldness, I humbly take leave of your worship, committing you to the good providence of the Almighty; and ever remain, your worship's in all service to be commanded in the Lord.

||<sup>2</sup>J.|| WHEELWRIGHT.

Wells, (7) 10-43.

Upon this letter the court was very well inclined to release his banishment; and thereupon ordered that he might have a safe conduct to come to the court, etc. Hereof the governour certified him by letter, and received this answer from him.

||jurisdiction||

||<sup>2</sup>gift||

||<sup>2</sup>F.||

## RIGHT WORSHIPFUL.

I have received the letter wherein you signify to me that you have imparted my letter to the honorable court, and that it finds good applause, for which I rejoice with much thankfulness. I am very thankful to your worship for the letter of safe conduct which I formerly received, as likewise for the late act of court, granting me the same liberty in case I desire letters to that end. I should very willingly, upon letters received, express by word of mouth openly in court, that which I did by writing, might I, without offence, explain my true intent and meaning more fully to this effect: that notwithstanding my failings, for which I humbly crave pardon, yet I cannot with a good conscience condemn myself for such capital crimes, dangerous revelations and gross errors, as have been charged upon me, the concurrence of which (as I take it) make up the very substance of the cause of all my sufferings. I do not see, but in so mixt a cause I am bound to use, may it be permitted, my just defence so far as I apprehend myself to be innocent, as to make my confession where I am convinced of any delinquency; otherwise I shall seemingly and in appearance fall under guilt of many heinous offences, for which my conscience doth acquit me. If I seem to make suit to the honorable court for relaxation to be granted, by an act of mercy, upon my sole confession, I must offend my conscience; if by an act of justice, upon mine apology and lawful defence, I fear lest I shall offend your worships. I leave all things to your wise and godly consideration, hoping that you will pardon my simplicity and plainness which I am forced unto by the power of an over-ruling con- \*164 science. I rest your worship's in the Lord.

|| J. || WHEELWRIGHT.<sup>1</sup>

Wells, (1) 1-43.

|| F. ||

<sup>1</sup> Some slight variations in Hubbard, 366, 7, show how differently he read the originals. Such was the language used by me, while the exact copy of Winthrop was in my power to give, but my recollection can furnish very little, however severely taxed.

To this the governour replied to this effect, viz., that though his liberty might be obtained without his personal appearance, yet that was doubtful, nor did he conceive that a wise and modest apology would prejudice the acceptance of his free and ingenuous confession, seeing the latter would justify the sentence of the court, which looked only at his action, and yet by the former, he might maintain the liberty of his conscience in clearing his intention from those ill deserving crimes which the court apprehended by his action: and withal (because there might want opportunity of conveyance before the court) he sent him inclosed a safe conduct, etc. The next court released his banishment without his appearance.

3. 20.] A ship coming from Virginia certified us of a great massacre lately committed by the natives upon the English there, to the number of 300<sup>1</sup> at least, and that an Indian whom they had since taken confessed, that they did it because they saw the English took up all their lands from them, and would drive them out of the country, and they took this season for that they understood that they were at war in England, and began to go to war among themselves, for they had seen a fight in the river between a London ship which was for the parliament and a Bristol ship which was for the king. He confessed further that all the Indians within 600 miles were confederate together to root all strangers out of the country.

It was very observable that this massacre came upon them soon after they had driven out the godly ministers we had sent to them, and had made an order that all such as would not conform to the discipline of the church of England should depart the country by a certain day, which the massacre now prevented: and the governour (one Sir Robert Berkeley, a courtier, and very malignant towards the way of our churches here) and council \*165 had appointed a fast to be kept through the country upon good Friday (as they call it) for the good success of the king, etc., and, the day before, this massacre began in the out-

---

<sup>1</sup> In the original M.S. the first figure has been changed from 3 to 5, or vice versa. The smaller number is more probable; but Beverley 49, and Keith 144, make it near five hundred.

parts of the country round about, and continued two days, for they killed all, by sudden surprisal, living amongst them, and as familiar in their houses as those of the family.<sup>1</sup> This massacre was accompanied with a great mortality. Upon these troubles divers godly disposed persons<sup>2</sup> came from thence to New England, and many of the rest were forced to give glory to God in acknowledging, that this evil was sent upon them from God for their reviling the gospel and those faithful ministers he had sent among them.

---

<sup>1</sup> No greater deficiency in the history of Virginia can be found than about the date and circumstances of this massacre ; and I shall now show how much the writers on their affairs may be indebted to Winthrop of Massachusetts for the knowledge of one of the most interesting events that ever befel their colony. Beverley and Keith both represent this shocking catastrophe as occurring during the unhappy administration of Harvey, which closed in 1639, or during the irregularities which immediately followed his removal. Burk in his copious history, II. 54, after discussing the uncertainty and finding cause to doubt the earlier date which had been assigned to the disaster, concludes, "there is reason to believe that this event took place in the winter of 1641, or the early part of the following year, before the colony had regained its tranquillity under the mild and able administration of Berkeley." In a place where we should not expect it, Holmes, Ann. I. 325, slightly mentions the event, with correct date, on authority of Hubbard, instead of Winthrop. From our author, who no doubt had his information directly from the puritans, who fled from the evil, it appears, the massacre began the day before Good Friday, which, that year, fell on 19 April. The massacre therefore began on 18 April, 1644.

<sup>2</sup> Among these was, I presume, Captain Daniel Gookins, afterwards so well known as the last major-general of our colony. He had, no doubt, showed kindness in Virginia to Tompson and the other missionaries, for his admission as a freeman was on 29 May of this year, and the ship reached here only 20 of the same month. It was not common for one to be allowed to take his oath so soon after arriving within the jurisdiction, without strong recommendation. His reputation in the present age stands justly higher than it did during a part of his life, when his benevolent attempts to serve and save the Indians were misinterpreted, much obloquy was uttered against him, and he said on the bench of justice, that he was afraid for his life in walking the streets. See a most curious pamphlet of 1675, called the State of New England, preserved in the Boston Atheneum. The memory of Gookin, or Gookins, or Gookings, is well preserved by Eliot and Allen, and especially in 1 Hist. Coll. I. 228. From the humble notice of Johnson, lib. I. c. 45, and lib. II. c. 26, to the highest authority of the records of our United Colonies, Haz. II. 474, 492, his great desert may be ascertained. Descendants have exhibited in several generations the same christian spirit, and the family name is still perpetuated in New Hampshire.

A letter came to the governour, under the marks of Pesecus and Canonicus, the sachem of Narragansett, but written by Gorton's company, to this effect: That they were purposed to make war upon Onkus in revenge of the death of \*166 || Onkus<sup>1</sup>|| and others of their people whom he had slain, and that they marvelled why we should be against it; that they had put themselves under the government and protection of the king of England, and so were now become our fellow-subjects, and therefore if any difference should fall between us and them, it ought to be referred to him; professing withal their willingness to continue all friendly correspondency with us.

The general court being assembled, when Mr. Endecott was chosen governour<sup>2</sup> and Mr. Winthrop deputy governour, they

||Miantonomoh||

---

<sup>1</sup> I have chosen to preserve the error of the original, which the former editor corrected. It can lead to no mistake.

<sup>2</sup> This is the first time of his election to the highest station, according to the charter. It may seem, that his turn to fill the first office had now arrived. By the royal grant of 4 March, 1629, he had been named one of the eighteen assistants, with Cradock for governour, and Goffe deputy governour. In that rank he was continued, by successive annual elections, except at the general elections in 1629, when he was "out of the land," and could not take the oath required, and in 1635, when he was left out for the offence of defacing the cross in the ensign, as on p. 158 of the former volume is related, or when chosen deputy governour.

An idle question, as it seems to me, was raised, a short time since, whether Endicott should not, instead of Winthrop, be entitled first Governour of Massachusetts. Mr. Felt, in his Annals of Salem, Vol. I. p. 106, offers his reasons for the affirmative; yet he very fairly states, that Roger Conant "may be truly said to have preceded both Endicott and Winthrop in such office for a part of this commonwealth." And certainly Conant was much longer in command, than Endicott, before his superior arrived. Parallel to Endicott's authority by the warrant to him from the governour and company in April and May, 1629, is found by Mr. F. in the choice of CARVER by our Plymouth brethren; but this appears to me widely diverse. Forty adult males at Plymouth make election of their civil head in 1620; and at the several plantations in Massachusetts in July, 1629, were, perhaps, three times forty males fit to vote, yet obliged to receive a head named for them at a distance of 3000 miles. The Pilgrims of the old colony acted well, without a charter either to secure or control their power. Our charter both controlled and secured the privileges of the corporation. It

took this letter into consideration, together with another from Gorton's company to the same effect, and sent two mes-

authorized the company "to elect and constitute such officers as they shall think fit and requisite for the ordering, managing, and despatching of the affairs," etc. "and for the government and ordering of the said lands and plantation, and the people inhabiting and to inhabit the same, as to them from time to time shall be thought meet." Of course, any such officers must be subordinate to the charter officers, Governour, Deputy, and eighteen Assistants, who were by the royal act required to be chosen, annually, on the last Wednesday of Easter term. The Charter proceeds to declare, that "immediately upon and after such election and elections made," not of subordinates, but "of such Governour, Deputy Governour, Assistant or Assistants, or any other officer of the said company in manner and form aforesaid, the authority, office, and power *before given to the former Governour, Deputy, etc., IN WHOSE STEAD AND PLACE* new shall be so chosen, shall as to him and them and every of them cease and determine." These last words settle the business. Mr. Felt, and every body else, will acknowledge that Capt. Endicott was NOT new chosen in the stead or place of any other man, whose office and power thereby ceased and determined. The act of the company in England in April, 1629, which was not all put into good shape before the oaths were drafted in May, and indeed additional instructions made 18 May, was designed only to authorize Endicott, *after* the charter, as long *before* the charter he had been by the patentees, under their grant of 19 March, 1628, from the Plymouth Council, when they sent him out in June following. If they had then called him governour, instead of captain, he would, by the hypothesis of Mr. Felt, have been just as much governour as after he received Cradock's commission in the latter part of July, 1629. But he could not be the governour which the charter required. Nor was any other part of the transaction likely to give scope to such assumption. The 30 April was Thursday, but the charter provided for election on the last Wednesday of Easter term, which fell that year on 18 May. The letter of Gov. Cradock, of 17 April before, informs Endicott, that for the "good opinion we have always had of you," they had "confirmed you Governour of our Plantation, and joined in commission with you" the three ministers, Higginson, Skelton, and Bright, also Mr. John and Mr. Samuel Brown, Mr. Thomas Greaves and Mr. Samuel Sharpe, "and for that we have ordered, that the body of the government shall consist of thirteen persons, we are content, etc." Last, and conclusive, comes the "Form of Government," from the Governour and Company of the Massachusetts Bay in New England, printed by Dr. Young, in his invaluable *Chronicles of Massachusetts*, p. 192, in which they say, we have ordered, "the said thirteen persons so appointed to be entitled by the name of THE GOVERNOUR AND COUNCIL OF LONDON'S PLANTATION IN THE MASSACHUSETTS BAY IN NEW ENGLAND."

Even this was, probably, never carried into effect by Endicott, for *before* the document came to his hands, he had thought it necessary to arrest and send home the two Browns, who formed so important a part of the administrative body; and we never have seen evidence that their places were filled, or indeed

sengers to the Narragansetts with instructions to this purpose, viz. to know whether they did own that letter, etc., and by

---

that the other members were, as directed, chosen out of the *old planters*. Before he and his associates could express to their creators in England either acceptance or rejection of the offices, those creators had resolved to transfer the government and the charter to New England; so that it enabled Cradock to resign, and John Winthrop to be chosen, in October, *in his stead and place*. Well then might Endicott think best to act alone, for his number of twelve in the council would be difficult to find; and we may presume, that when the new devolution of power to the "Governour and Council of London's Plantation," after the two Browns had carried home his charges against them, arrived, Endicott took the opinion of either Higginson, Skelton, Bright, Greaves, or Sharp, when it pleased him, or of any body else, without a formal council board. He was a military man, and knew what subordination meant. Nor is there a scrap of any record of proceedings ever had under this authority. But in London the Governour and company proceeded, on the last Wednesday of Easter term, 18 May, 1629, to new elections of governour, deputy, and eighteen assistants, as the charter directed, both John Endicott and John Brown being omitted, because they could not take the oath required, and others chosen "in their stead and place." See *Archæologia Americana*, Vol. III. Part I. p. 38.

The charter, in every part, contemplates one governour, and provides for his taking the oath for due and faithful, etc., and the same for one deputy governour, and the assistants; but farther provides for the governour, deputy, and such of the assistants and freemen of the said company, as shall be assembled in any of their [quarterly] general courts, or in any other courts to be specially, etc., "(whereof the governour or deputy governour and *six of the assistants* to be *ALWAYS* *seven*)" to make, ordain, and establish, etc., "as well for settling of the forms and ceremonies," etc., "fit and necessary for the said plantation, and the inhabitants there, and for naming and settling of all sorts of officers, both superior and inferior, which they shall find needful for that government and plantation, and the distinguishing and setting forth of the several duties, powers," etc., "and the forms of such oaths," etc., "as shall be respectively ministered unto them," etc. Now, if this do not imply subordination in this governour and council of London's plantation unto the governour and company of the Massachusetts Bay, I misunderstand the force of language. Under this grant, the governour and assistants directed the form of oaths for the several subordinates, governour, deputy, council, and secretary in this plantation; and yet I suppose no such oaths were ever administered here, in consequence of the failure of obtaining the presence of John and Samuel Brown, who had been sent home, before arrival of their commission from London. But, whether the men at Salem took oaths or not, the governour of Massachusetts continued in England until April, 1630.

So that the sum of the matter appears, that Endicott was head or governour of "London's Plantation," subordinate to the governour and company of the Massachusetts Bay; and that Cradock in England, and Winthrop, also, after

whose advice they had done as they wrote, and why they would countenance and take counsel from such evil men, and such as we had banished from us, and to persuade them to sit still, and to have more regard to us than such as Gorton, etc. When our messengers came to them, Canonicus would not admit them into his wigwam for two hours, but suffered them to stay in the rain. When he did admit them, he lay along upon his couch, and would not speak to them more than a few froward speeches, but referred them to Pesacus, who, coming after some four hours, carried them into an ordinary wigwam, and there had conference with them most part of the night. Their answers were witty and full to the questions ; and their conclusion was, that they would presently go to war upon Onkus, but not in such manner as Miantunnomoh had done, by a great army, but by sending out parties of 20 or more or less, to catch his men, and keep them from getting their living, etc.

At this court Passaconaway, the Merrimack sachem, came in and submitted to our government, as Pumham, etc. had done before.

4. 5.] Two of our ministers' sons, being students in the college, robbed two dwelling houses in the night of some 15 pounds. Being found out, they were ordered by the governors of the college to be there whipped, which was performed by the president himself— yet they were about 20 years of age ; and after they were brought into the court and ordered to two fold satisfaction, or to serve so long for it. We had yet no <sup>\*167</sup> particular punishment for burglary.<sup>1</sup>

---

him, but first in Massachusetts, Dudley second, Haynes third, Vane fourth, and Bellingham fifth, with some alternations between Winthrop and Dudley, as this history shows, from 1630 to 1644, preceded Endicott in this important function, according to the charter, in which office he served longer than any before or after him.

<sup>1</sup> The names of these offenders may be seen in Coffin's History of Newbury, p. 41, as also the names of the proprietors of the houses. As the perpetrators had castigation from the hands of the president of the college, though I grieve to differ from Coffin in his opinion, that this was "the first instance of such a punishment within the walls," but the law soon provided for *branding* such offender on the forehead, it may seem no more than justice to brand the rogues in print. One was James Ward, son of Rev. Nathaniel of Ipswich, and after gaining his A. B. here in 1645, followed or went with his father home, was

At this court there arose some troubles by this occasion. Those || of || Essex had procured at the court before, that the deputies of the several shires should meet before this court to prepare business, etc., which accordingly they did, and pro pounded divers things which they agitated and concluded among themselves, without communicating them to the other shires, who conceived they had been only such things as had concerned the commonwealth, but when they came now to be put to this court, it appeared that their chief intent was to advantage their own shire. As, 1. By drawing the government thither. 2. By drawing the courts thither. 3. By drawing a good part of the country stock thither. 4. By procuring four of those parts to be joined in commission with the magistrates. And for this end they had made so strong a party among the deputies of the smaller towns (being most of them mean men, and such as had small understanding in affairs of state) as they easily carried all these among the deputies. But when the two<sup>1</sup> bills came to the magistrates, they discerning the plot, and finding them hurtful to the commonwealth, refused to pass them, and a committee of both being appointed to consider the reasons of both sides, those of the magistrates prevailed.

But the great difference was about a commission, which the deputies sent up, whereby power was given to seven of the magistrates and three of the deputies and Mr. Ward<sup>2</sup> (some

at)

---

incorporated of Oxford University 1648, made a fellow, and had a degree in medicine. The other was a son of Rev. Thomas Welde of Roxbury; and one of the houses so robbed by the youthful burglar was that of his uncle Joseph, then gone to London. He gave up hope of the college honors, though his father, so often named in our former volume, was one of the overseers.

Certainly the sons of ministers have not become worse since the first generation. The punishment of burglary was, I think, fixed three years later; and the first date in Ancient Charters, 56, at the bottom of the page, is erroneous.

<sup>1</sup> Whether the numeral in our MS. were originally written 2 or 3, is now difficult to determine, for it has been altered. Hubbard, 892, wisely abbreviates our author, and omits the number.

<sup>2</sup> Ward went home in Dec. 1646, I believe, in company with Winslow. On the 10th of that month, he gave to the college 600 acres of land near Andover, that the government of the colony had given him; so showing his sense of the scoring bestowed on his son.

time pastor of Ipswich, and still a preacher) to order all affairs of the commonwealth in the vacancy of the general court, which the magistrates returned with this answer: That they conceived such commission did tend to the overthrow of the foundation of our government, and of the freemen's liberty, and therefore desired the deputies to consider of a way how this danger might be avoided, and the liberty of the freemen preserved inviolable, otherwise they could not comfortably proceed in other affairs.

Upon this return all the deputies came to confer with the magistrates. The exceptions the magistrates took were <sup>168</sup> these. 1. That this court should ||create|| general ||<sup>2</sup>officers|| which the freemen had reserved to the court of elections. 2. That they should put out four of the magistrates from that power and trust which the freemen had committed to them. 3. At the commission itself, seeing they ought not to accept that power by commission which did belong to them by the patent and by their election. They had little to answer to this, yet they alleged a precedent or two where this court had ordered some of the magistrates and some others to be a council of war, and that we had varied from our patent in some other things, and therefore were not bound to it in this.

But they chiefly stood upon this, that the governour and assistants had no power out of court but what was given them by the general court. To this the magistrates replied: 1. That such examples as were against rules or common right were errors and no precedents. 2. That council was for ||<sup>2</sup>one|| particular case only, and not of general extent. 3. In those things wherein we had varied from our patent we did not touch the foundation of our government. To the last it was said, that the governour and assistants had power of government before we had any written laws or had kept any courts; and to make a man a governour over a people, gives him, by necessary consequence, power to govern that people, otherwise there were no power in any commonwealth to order, dispose, or punish in any case ||<sup>4</sup>where it|| might fall out, that there were no positive law declared in.

It was consented to that this court had authority to order and direct the power of these magistrates for time, place,

||treat||      ||<sup>2</sup>affairs||      ||<sup>2</sup>our||      ||<sup>4</sup>which||  
VOL. II.      18

persons, etc., for the common good, but not wholly to deprive them of it, their office continuing: so as these being chosen by the people, by virtue of the patent to govern the people, a chief part whereof consists in counsel, they are the standing council of the commonwealth, and therefore in the vacancy of this court, may act in all the affairs thereof without any commission.

Upon this they withdrew, and after a few hours came again, and then they tendered a commission for war only, and ||none|| of the magistrates to be left out. But the magistrates refused to accept of any commission, but they would consent the same should pass by order so as the true power of the magistrates might be declared in it: or to a commission of association, to add three or four<sup>1</sup> others to the magistrates in that council: or \*169 to continue the court a week longer, and send for the elders to take their advice in it; but none of these would be accepted. But they then moved, that we would consent that nothing might be done till the court met again, which was before agreed<sup>2</sup> to be adjourned to the 28th of (8). To this was answered, that, if occasion required, they must act according to the power and trust committed to them; to which their speaker replied — You will not be obeyed.\*

||more||

---

<sup>1</sup> In Hubbard, 394, this is absurdly given nine.

<sup>2</sup> See page 204 post.

\* This language of Mr. Speaker Hathorne, however extraordinary it now may appear, in spite of the immaturity of our constitution, or did then to those, who had exercised unquestioned powers of government for fourteen years, shows the liberality and profoundness of the principles, by which the popular body was actuated. Undoubtedly Winthrop and the magistrates were correct in asserting their right to govern in the vacancy of the general court; and the representatives were wrong in attempting to give to a special commission what the people had confided to the whole body of the assistants. But how much had been thus confided, was matter of perpetual dispute; and could only be determined, in the absence of a written constitution, by the two branches, under the sober construction of the charter, in circumstances, for which the charter was never designed. One of these branches, being the assistants, was thus of necessity to construe its own powers; and its negative voice of course would be interposed to prevent any diminution. There was indeed no lasting danger to popular rights, because all the officers were subject to annual elections; and

4. 23.] Two days after the court was broken up, Pumham sent two men to Boston to tell us that the Narragansetts had taken and killed six of Onkus' men and five women, and had sent him two hands and a foot to engage him in the war, but he refused to receive them and sent to us for counsel, etc. This occasioned such of the magistrates and deputies as were at hand (advising also with some of the near elders) to meet to consult about calling the court, and agreed, both in regard of this news from the Indians, and especially for speedy reconciling the magistrates and deputies, to write to the governour that the court might be called the 28th following, which the governour assented unto.

The court being assembled, they took order for ten men to be sent to Pumham according to his desire, to help him make a fort of palisadoes, etc., but the men, being volunteers, <sup>\*170</sup> asked 10s. per week for each man, and such spoil as they should get, if they were put to fight, and arms fixed and powder and shot. Whereupon the court, fearing it would be an ill precedent, staid, and sent word to Pumham that the men were ready, but he must pay them, etc.

The commission also for the serjeant major general was agreed and sealed, and in it he was referred to receive his instructions, etc., from the council of the commonwealth, but who were this council was not agreed. Whereupon the magistrates (all save two) signed a declaration in maintenance of their authority, and to clear the aspersions cast upon them, as if they

---

from any adjudication in a particular case, by the magistrates, an appeal lay to the whole court. But the constant tendency of the claims of the representatives to absorb all power by compelling the magistrates to forego their independent existence, as an equal branch of the government, and submit to the consolidation of a single assembly, proves how little the deputies regarded the theory of a balance in the legislation. Without the assistants, the governour would have been nothing; and with them, his power seems to have been hardly more than that of primus inter pares. Having been so long unadjusted, the balance was so greatly agitated in this controversy between the branches, in which it was very deeply as well as warmly argued, and nearly all of the most experienced and venerated men of the colony were on one side, while causes wholly unconnected with abstract politics gave a momentary strength to the other, that when it was settled the latter part of the year, it appears to have been settled forever.

intended to bring in an arbitrary government, etc. This they sent first to the deputies, with intimation that they intended to publish it, whereupon the deputies sent to desire that it might not be published, and desired a committee might meet to state the difference between us, which was done, and the difference was brought under this question: ||whether|| the magistrates are by patent and election of the people the standing council of the commonwealth in the vacancy of the general court, and have power accordingly to act in all cases subject to government, according to the said patent and the laws of this jurisdiction; and when any necessary occasions call for action from authority, in cases where there is no particular express law provided, there to be guided by the word of God, till the general court give particular rules in such cases? This difference being thus stated, they drew up this following order and sent it to us, viz.

Whereas there is a difference between the governour, assistants, and deputies in this court, concerning the power of the magistrates in the vacancy of the general court,—we therefore (salvo jure) for the peace and safety of this colony do consent, that the governour and assistants shall take order for the welfare of this commonwealth in all sudden cases that may happen within our jurisdiction, until the next session of this court, when we desire this question may be determined.

This we accepted (with the salvo jure) but we had refused to accept of another they sent us before in these words,—we do authorize those three which are of the standing council to proceed, etc.

Upon this agreement the magistrates consented, that the declaration should remain with the secretary, and not be published without the consent of the major part of the magistrates, which we intended not to do, except we were necessitated thereto by the deputies' misreport of our proceedings. And \*171 indeed some of the magistrates did decline the publishing thereof, upon this apprehension, that it would cause a public breach throughout the country: and if it should come to that, the people would fall into factions, and the non-members would certainly take part with the magistrates, (we should

||where||

not be able to avoid it,) and this would make us and our cause, though never so just, obnoxious to the common sort of freemen, the issue whereof must needs have been very doubtful.<sup>1</sup>

5. 2.] Mr. George Phillips was buried.<sup>2</sup> He was the first pastor of the church of Watertown, a godly man, specially gifted, and very peaceful in his place, much lamented of his own people and others.

Another great error the deputies committed, which also arose out of the same false bottom, viz., the choosing one of the

---

<sup>1</sup> Here is disclosed a secret spring of policy, the force of which we can hardly by any reflection fully estimate, and with which we might have been wholly unacquainted, unless our author had given us the information. That no others than church members could choose, or be chosen, to any office, or even serve as jurymen, was generally known to students of our history; but this fact alone is barren. Hutchinson, who was more thoroughly imbued, than any of his age, or any subsequent one, with the spirit of our antiquities, has, I. 30, given a quotation from Lechford, that throws much light on the text of Winthrop: "the most of the persons at New England are not admitted of their church, and therefore are not freemen; and when they come to be tried there, be it for life or limb, name or estate, or whatsoever, they must be tried and judged too by those of the church who are in a sort their adversaries. How equal that hath been or may be, some by experience do know, others may judge." A very slight relaxation was admitted in a few years, by which non-members were empowered to serve as jurymen, and even to vote in laying town taxes and choosing selectmen. But the severe policy was obstinately retained until, in 1665, the royal injunction of 1662, having been long evaded, could no longer be disobeyed. To us it will appear strange, that, in this contest between the two branches, the magistrates feared to have *most of the persons*, as Lechford says, on their side, because the minority elected *all* the deputies as well as themselves.

<sup>2</sup> He died the day before. For some slight notice of him, the reader is referred to Eliot and Allen and my note Vol. I. 14. His will, in our Probate Rec. I. 38, mentions his son, Samuel, as being under age, and gives him a double portion of the estate. This Samuel, H. C. 1650, was minister of Rowley, and died 22 April, 1696, aet. 71. His son, George, H. C. 1686, became a minister at Brookhaven on Long Island, 1697, and died 1739. Another son, Samuel, was a goldsmith at Salem, who was father of Samuel, H. C. 1708, sufficiently commemorated in Allen's Dictionary. But this writer, in making him grandson of George, sinks one generation, in which error he is followed by the diligent author of the Sketch of Haverhill, 2 Hist. Coll. IV. 155. The late Hon. William Phillips of Boston, whose name is mentioned whenever christian munificence is honored, was great great great grandson of the first clergyman of Watertown.

younger magistrates, (though a very able man,) Mr. Bradstreet,<sup>1</sup> and one of the deputies, Mr. Hathorne, (the principal man in all these agitations,) a young man also, to be commissioners for the united colonies; both eastern<sup>2</sup> men,

---

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps the desert of none of our early rulers, except the two Winthrops, exceeds that of Governour Simon Bradstreet, whose labors equalled them both in duration. He was born 1603, had part of his education at Cambridge University, in Emanuel College, celebrated in those days as the Puritan College, and was chosen an assistant of Massachusetts, 18 March, 1629-30, at the same time with Sir Brian Janson and William Coddington. Having been elected fourteen times afterward to the same place, it hardly seems a fit objection of our author in the text, that he was one of the *younger* magistrates, when he was chosen a *commissioner* of the United Colonies. It is, indeed, added, that he and his colleague were *Eastern* men, and it was, probably, the undue influence of the Salem party that so early secured his elevation. But half of the magistrates, at least, were his juniors in the office. His epitaph, in 1 Hist. Coll. VI. 288, marks his death 27 March, 1697, and gives a character with justice and brevity. It has happened, that the talents of Governour Bradstreet have not been rated so highly, see Allen, as to me they seem to deserve, but the cause, probably, was his moderation in politics and religion. Our author calls him a very able man. His contemporaries, in 1662, designed to send one of the ablest men in the country, as companion with Norton, to effect the difficult purpose of conciliating the crown; and his success in that mission naturally dissatisfied some of the more eager spirits, whose disgust at the royal favor, thus obtained or promised, pursued Norton to the grave. The arguments about La Tour's business, and his defence of our titles to lands against Andros's pretences, give honorable evidence of talents. But the noblest title to our gratitude arises from his resistance to the unholy project of war in 1653 with the Dutch for conquest at New Netherlands, in which he stood alone against the other seven commissioners of the United Colonies, his own colleague Hathorne being ardent under dictation of Norris quoting the curse of Meroz. Massachusetts is much honored for her resolution to keep the peace on our side of the water, though Cromwell fought the poor republicans, as if he would extirpate them. See Brodhead's History, and North Am. Review for Dec. 1818, pp. 100-105. Several descendants of Bradstreet have been honored in church and state; but in the direct male line they are nearly extinct.

<sup>2</sup> Bradstreet then lived at Ipswich, and Hathorne at Salem. The error in state policy is not very great, for though, at the first meeting of the commissioners, each colony had chosen their principal men, yet Collier of Plimouth and Gregson of New Haven, who then served, cannot be reckoned superiors of these Massachusetts men for the second meeting. This meeting was to be held at Hartford, and, probably, the people would hardly have spared either of those who had served last year, nor would they have been willing to undertake such

quite out of the way of opportunity of correspondency with the other confederates; whereas all the rest had chosen either their governors or other chief magistrates; and ourselves had formerly chosen the governour and Mr. Dudley. Thus usual it is for one error in state to beget others.

This also was a failing in them, that, when the governour of Plimouth (our brethren and confederates) wrote earnestly to us, in their great want of powder, to supply them out of our store, and the magistrates had granted them two barrels, the deputies stopped it, and would not consent they might have liberty to buy for their money.

Those also of Aquiday Island, being in great fear of the Indians, wrote to us for some powder and other ammunition, but the court was then adjourned; and because the deputies had denied our confederates, the magistrates thought not fit <sup>\*173</sup> to supply them: but certainly it was an error (in state policy at least) not to support them, for though they were desperately erroneous and in such distraction among themselves as portended their ruin, yet if the Indians should prevail against them, it would be a great advantage to the Indians, and danger to the whole country by the arms, etc., that would there be had, and by the loss of so many persons and so much cattle and other substance belonging to above 120 families. Or, if they should be forced to seek protection from the Dutch; who would be ready to accept them, it would be a great inconvenience to all the English to have so considerable a place in the power of strangers so potent as they are.

Another error also was this, that, when by the articles of confederation we were bound, if any of our confederates upon any pressing occasion should send to us for aid, we should forthwith send them such a number of men as is agreed upon in the articles, yet the deputies would not consent, that upon any such occasion the magistrates should raise any man, without calling a general court, which would put the country to great charge, and might occasion the loss of the opportunity; and when they should be assembled, there would be no use of coun-

---

a journey. Two of the three other colonies did not choose for this year, till several weeks after our election.

cil, the thing being already determined by the articles of confederation.

5. 15.] Upon the earnest importunity of Pumham who feared the Narragansetts because of their threatenings, that it might really appear that we did own them and would protect them, we sent 10 men and an officer, a discreet man, to command them, and gave them commission to stay there one, two, or three days, as etc., with charge not to enter into the limits of the Narragansett, nor to provoke them, etc., and if they were forced, to defend themselves, yet they should not pursue the enemy, if he retired, etc.

Two new ships, one of 250 [tons], built at Cambridge, the other of 200, built at Boston, set sail towards the Canaries laden with pipe staves, fish, etc.

The court, breaking up in haste, (it being on the evening of the fast appointed,) gave order to the magistrates in the bay to return answer to the Dutch governour's letter of (12) 11. which accordingly was done, to this effect, viz., Gratulation of his respect and correspondency with us, manifestation of our good will to him, and desire of continuance of all friendly intercourse, etc., — acknowledging that he had largely and prudently discoursed of the matters in difference: but we are also to attend the allegations on the other part. But seeing proofs were not yet had on either side, he could expect no further answer <sup>•174</sup> than before: but if he would please to send commissioners to Hartford to treat with the commissioners for the colonies, it would be very acceptable, and a hopeful means to prepare for a good issue.

Anabaptistry increased and spread in the country, which occasioned the magistrates, at the last court, to draw an order for banishing such as continued obstinate after due conviction. This was sent to the elders, who approved of it with some mitigations, and being voted, and sent to the deputies, it was after published.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> With painful emotions is the history of the intolerance of our fathers read by their descendants, who hold them in the highest veneration. Nothing can be more unfair, as in our age it seems, than the preamble of this law, which Haz. I. 538 has given from our Col. Rec. as passed 13 November of this year:

A poor man of Hingham, one Painter,<sup>1</sup> who § had § lived at New Haven and at Rowley and Charlestown, and been scandalous and burdensome by his idle and troublesome behaviour to them all, was now on the sudden turned anabaptist, and having a child born, he would not suffer his wife to bring it to the ordinance of baptism, for she was a member of the \*175 church, though himself were not. Being presented for this, and enjoined to suffer the child to be baptized, he still refusing, and disturbing the church, he was again brought to the court not only for his former contempt, but also for saying that

---

"Incendiaries of the commonwealths, and the infectors of persons in main matters of religion, and the troublers of churches in all places where they have been," are part of the inflammatory accusations, by which is introduced the penal enactment, "that if any person within this jurisdiction shall either openly condemn or oppose the baptising of Infants, or go about secretly to seduce others from the approbation or use thereof, or shall purposely depart the congregation at the ministration of the ordinance, or etc., and shall appear to the court wilfully and obstinately to continue therein after due time and means of conviction, every such person shall be sentenced to banishment." Now these nursing fathers of the commonwealth knew very well, that some of the most sincere and orthodox christians, according with them even in "the mint, anise, and cummin" of all forms, or rejection of forms, except this single one of paedobaptism, had gathered separate churches in England; yet they level their battery of insinuations and assertions against the direful delusions and execrable fanaticism of the savage reformers of Munster. The amiable and learned Jacie, the friend and correspondent of Winthrop, jr., see 3 Hist. Coll. I. 235-246, had thus far departed from the faith, three or four years before. This fatal error of the rulers of Massachusetts was, undoubtedly, resisted by our author in his latter days, and it will be some mitigation of the reader's disgust, to learn from Hutch. I. 142, "that upon his death bed, when Mr. Dudley pressed him to sign an order of banishment of an heterodox person, he refused, saying, I HAVE DONE TOO MUCH OF THAT WORK ALREADY." Gladly would I adduce, were it in my power, the original authority for this golden commentary on the fatuitous legislation of the age. Hubbard and Mather are silent, perhaps from design. Unhappily Endecott and Dudley were less mild, and a very few years after Winthrop's death, Anabaptists were whipped and imprisoned in Boston for their religion. Passing from bad to worse, our rulers soon punished Quakers with death, the last extravagance to which sincere professors of our divine religion could proceed.

<sup>1</sup> Thomas Painter was the man thus severely dealt with, before the passage of the law, by which his conduct was declared or directed to be considered an offence. Land in Hingham had been granted him by the town, 8 October, 1637, and he probably was complained of by Rowley or Charlestown.'

our baptism was antichristian; and in the open court he affirmed the same. Whereupon after much patience and clear conviction of his error, etc., because he was very poor, so as no other but corporal punishment could be fastened upon him, he was ordered to be whipped, not for his opinion, but for reproaching the Lord's ordinance, and for his bold and evil behavior both at home and in the court. He endured his punishment with much obstinacy, and when he was loosed, he said boastingly, that God had marvellously assisted him. Whereupon two or three honest men, his neighbors, affirmed before all the company, that he was of very loose behavior at home, and given much to lying and idleness, etc. Nor had he any great occasion to gather God's assistance from his stillness under the punishment, which was but moderate, for divers notorious malefactors had showed the like, and one the same court.<sup>1</sup>

5. 15.] Here arrived Monsieur La Tour, who understood by letters from his lady, that Monsieur D'Aulnay had prevailed against him in France, and was coming with great strength to subdue him: whereupon he came to desire some aid, if need should be.

Natascott being formerly made a town, and having || now || twenty houses and a minister, was by the last general court named Hull.<sup>2</sup>

|| near ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Painter went, I suppose, to Providence, there to enjoy the sympathy of Williams.

<sup>2</sup> So called, I think, from Hull in Yorkshire, not in honor of Joseph Hull of Hingham, who was admitted to the freeman's oath 2 September 1635, and, with Edmond Hobart senr., was by the general court 6 September, 1638, chosen a commissioner to end small causes for that town. He was at the same time, and in March after, a deputy at the court.

Who was the minister referred to by our text in this secluded town of Hull, which has, I think, been never more populous than soon after 1644, must, probably, rest in conjecture. From the records of our general court we are authorized to suspect, that it was Mathews, for in Vol. III. 218, which contains the proceedings of the deputies, is found, at the May session, 1649: "Received a petition from the inhabitants of Hull for the encouraging Mr. Mathews to go to them and preach amongst them," and in Vol. II. 285, recording the acts of the magistrates, at the same session, the following: "The court judge it no way meet to grant the inhabitants of Hull their desire for Mr. Mathews returning

At this court Captain Jenyson, captain of the military company in Watertown, an able man who had been there from the first settling of that town, having a year before, (being then a deputy,) in private conference, questioned the lawfulness of the parliament's proceeding in England, was sent for by the deputies, and examined about it, and after before the magistrates. He ingenuously confessed his scruple, but took offence, that being a church member, and in public office, he should be openly produced merely for matter of judgment, not having been first dealt with in private, either in a church way or by some of the magistrates, which seemed to some of the court to have been a failing. The court was unwilling to turn

---

to them, nor residing with them, and do declare that they find several erroneous expressions, others weak, inconvenient, and unsafe, for which it judgeth it meet to order, that the said Mr. Mathews should be admonished by the governour in the name of this court." The then Gov. Endecott was a fit man to perform such a duty, but, I fear, the admonition was ineffectual. See the note in Vol. I. 278. I doubt, that this ancient town has never had more than one minister to reside in it through his life. That one was Zechariah Whitman, H. C. 1668, ordained 13 September, 1670, died 5 November, 1726, aged 82. In April 1753, Samuel Veazie, H. C. 1736, was ordained at Hull, but dismissed July, 1767, and, probably, the christian ordinances have never since been regularly administered for a continuous period.

Perhaps the interest felt by the reader in this ancient town, the least populous until lately of any in Massachusetts, and the smallest in extent, except Newburyport, may excuse the extension of this note. From twelve to eighteen votes were usually given at the elections, and the editor had the honor, some forty years since, of a seat in the same house of representatives, which contained a member from Hull. The following record is found in the doings of the general court 26 May, 1647: "There being now divers fishermen and men of good ability in Hull, who may comfortably carry on the affairs of a town, they are enabled by the authority of this court to order the prudential affairs of that town according to former orders of this court and course of other plantations, provided that according to former orders of court they endeavor the advancement of fishing, and that such fishermen as are there already and others which shall come thither may have all such reasonable privileges and encouragement as the place will afford, and that such places as are fit for fishermen may be reserved for that purpose, and with this caution also that William Parks, Mr. Glover, and Mr. Duncan, or any two of them, be appointed to see the order of court for advance of fishing duly observed." By the deputies III. 108; by the magistrates II. 168. Parks was of Roxbury, the two others of Dorchester.

him out of place, having been a very useful man, etc., yet not seeing how he might be trusted, being of that judgment, yet professing that he was assured that those of the parliament side were the more godly and honest part of the kingdom, and that though, if he were in England, he should be doubtful whether he might take their part against their prince, yet, if the king or any party from him should attempt any thing against this commonwealth, he should make no scruple to spend estate and life and all in our defence against them, he was dismissed to further consideration; and the court being broken up, he came soon after to some of the magistrates and told them, that this questioning in the court had occasioned him to search \*177 further into the point, and he was now satisfied that the parliament's cause was good, and if he were in England he would assist in defence of it.<sup>1</sup>

The contentions in Hampton were grown to a great height, the whole town was divided into two factions, one with Mr. Batchellor their late pastor, and the other with Mr. Dalton their teacher, both men very passionate, and wanting discretion and moderation. Their differences were not in matters of opinion, but of practice. Mr. Dalton's party being the most of the church, and so freemen, had great advantage of the other, though a considerable party, and some of them of the church also, whereby they carried all affairs both in church and town according to their own minds, and not with that respect to their brethren and neighbors which had been fit. Divers meetings had been both of magistrates and elders, and parties had been reconciled, but brake out presently again, each side being apt to take fire upon any provocation. Whereupon Mr. Batchellor was advised to remove, and was called to Exeter, whither he intended to go, but they being divided, and at great difference also, when one party had appointed a day of humiliation to gather a new church, and call Mr. Batchellor, the court sent order to stop it, for they considered they were not in a fit condition for such a work, and beside, Mr. Batchellor

---

<sup>1</sup> Happy would it have been for our infant commonwealth, if the same moderation on the subject of its own factions had prevailed, as here seems to be manifested to the parties in the civil discord of their mother country.

lor had been in three places before, and through his means, as was supposed, the churches fell to such divisions, as no peace could be till he was removed. And at this court there came petition against petition both from Hampton and Exeter; whereupon the court ordered two or three magistrates to be sent to Hampton with full power to hear and determine all differences there.

At Wenham also there was a public assembly for gathering a church, but the magistrates and elders present, finding upon trial, that the persons appointed were not fit for foundation stones, they advised them not to proceed, which they obeyed.

4. & 5.] About this time, Mr. Vines of Saco, Mr. Short of Pemaquid, and Mr. Wannerton<sup>1</sup> of Pascataquack, went to La Tour to call for some debts, etc. In their way they put in \*178 at Penobscott, and were there detained prisoners a few days; but after, for Mr. Short's sake, to whom D'Aulnay was in debt, they were dismissed: and going to La Tour, Mr. Wannerton and some other Englishmen of the eastern parts were entertained by him, and sent with some twenty of his men to try if they could not take Penobscott, for he understood the fort was weakly manned and in want of victual. They went first to a farm house of D'Aulnay's, about six miles off, and there Wannerton and two more went and knocked at the door,

---

<sup>1</sup> Wannerton seems to have died as he lived. Josselyn, in his Voyages, 26, mentions, that several of his friends at Pascataqua, or beyond, came to take leave of him 24 September, 1639, and particularly commemorates "among the rest Captain Thomas Wannerton, who drank to me a pint of kill-devil, alias Rhum, at a draught." Fuller evidence of his habits I find in our Col. Rec. at a court at Newtown, 4 August, 1635: "John Holland, being at the eastward, affirmeth, that Mr. Thomas Wannerton threatened to sink his boat, if he would not pay him a debt, that Henry Way ought him, and called him rogue and knave, and said they were all so in the bay, and that he hoped to see all their throats cut, and that he could find in his heart to begin with him, and thereupon struck him upon the head; and when the said Holland told him, if Way ought him any money, he might recover it by law, to which Wannerton answered, that they had no law for them but to starve them. The like Bray Wilkinson and Robert Elwell witnesseth against Wannerton. Whereupon it was ordered, that the said Wannerton should put in sufficient sureties for his good behavior, and in the mean time to remain in durance."

with their swords and pistols ready. One opens the door, and another presently shoots Wannerton dead, and a third shoots his second in the shoulder, but he withal discharged his pistol upon him that shot him, and killed him. Then other of Wannerton's company came in and took the house and the two men (for there were no more) prisoners, and they burnt the house and killed the cattle they found there, and so embarked themselves and came to Boston to La Tour. This Thomas Wannerton was a stout man, and had been a soldier many years: he had lived very wickedly in whoredom, drunkenness and quarrelling, so as he had kept the Pascataquack men under ||awe|| of him divers years, till they came under this government, and since that he was much restrained, and the people freed from his terror. He had of late come under some terrors, and motions of the spirit, by means of the preaching of the word, but he had shaken them off, and returned to his former dissolute course, and so continued till God cut him off by this sudden execution. But this hostile action being led on by an Englishman of our jurisdiction, it was like to provoke D'Aulnay the more against us.

3. 3.] There was mention made before of a pinnace sent by the company of discoverers to Delaware river, with letters from the governour to the Dutch and Swedish governours for liberty to pass. The Dutch promised to let them pass, but for maintaining their own interest he must protest against them. When they came to the Swedes, the fort shot at them, ere they came up: whereupon they cast forth anchor, and the next morning, \*179 being the Lord's day, the lieutenant came aboard them, and forced them to fall down lower; when Mr. Aspenwall came to the governour and complained of the lieutenant's ill dealing, both in shooting at them before he had hailed them, and in forcing them to weigh anchor on the Lord's day. The governour acknowledged he did ill in both, and promised all favor, but the Dutch agent, being come down to the Swedes' fort, showed express order from the Dutch governour not to let him pass, whereupon they returned. But before they came out of the river, the Swedish lieutenant made them pay 40 shillings

||care||

for that shot which he had unduly made. The pinnace arrived at Boston (5) 20.—44. See page.<sup>1</sup>

A Dutch ship came from the West Indies and brought to Monhatoes 200 soldiers from Curassou, which was taken by the Portugal and the Indians and 300 slain of the Dutch part, as was reported.

23.] La Tour having been with the governour at Salem, and made known his condition to him, he was moved with compassion towards him, and appointed a meeting of the magistrates and elders at Boston this day. In opening La Tour's case, it appeared that the place, where his fort was, had been purchased by his father of Sir William Alexander, and he had a free grant of it, and of all that part of New Scotland, under the great seal of Scotland, and another grant of a Scotch Baronetcy under the same seal; and that himself and his father had continued in possession, etc., about thirty years, and that Port Royal was their's also, until D'Aulnay had dispossessed him of it by force within these five years. Most of the magistrates and some of the elders were clear in the case that he was to be relieved, both in point of charity, as a distressed neighbor, and also in point of prudence, as thereby to root out, or at least weaken, an enemy or a dangerous neighbor. But because many of the elders were absent, and three or four of the magistrates dissented, it was agreed the rest of the elders should be called in, and that another meeting should be at Salem the next week.

When they were met, the governour propounded the case to them, and it was brought to the two former questions. 1. Whether it were lawful for true christians to aid an antichristian. 2. Whether it were safe for us in point of prudence. After <sup>180</sup> much disputation, some of the magistrates and elders remaining unsatisfied, and the rest not willing to conclude any thing in this case without a full consent, a third way was pro-

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 448, has committed a wretched mistake, after transcribing the above paragraph. He applies to the expedition of this pinnace a disaster that befel another, whose crew were cut off by the Indians, as related by Winthrop, from whom also Hubbard borrowed that narrative, in a very distant part of this history. No less skilful, than affluent, in materials, was the Ipswich chronicler.

pounded, which all assented to, which was this, that a letter should be sent to D'Aulnay to this effect, viz.: That by occasion of some commissions of his (which had come to our hands) to his captains to take our people, etc., and not knowing any just occasion we had given him, to know the reason thereof, and withal to demand satisfaction for the wrongs he had done us and our confederates in taking Penobscott, and our men and goods at Isle Sable, and threatening to make prize of our vessels if they came to Penobscott, etc., declaring withal that although our men, which went last year to aid La Tour, did it without any commission from us, or any counsel or act of permission of our state, yet if he made it appear to us that they had done him any wrong, (which yet we knew not of,) we should be ready to do him justice; and requiring his express answer by the bearer, and expecting that he should call in all such commissions, etc. We subscribed the letter with the hands of eight of the magistrates, and directed it to Monsieur D'Aulnay, Knight, General for the King of France in L'Acady at Port Royal. We sent it in English, because he had written to our governour in French, but understanding that he had been formerly scrupulous to answer letters in English, we therefore gave the messenger a copy of it in French. We sent also in the letter a copy of an order published by the governour and council, whereby we forbade all our people to use any act of hostility, otherwise than in their own defence, towards French or Dutch, etc., till the next general court, etc. In our letter we also mentioned a course of trade our merchants had entered into with La Tour, and our resolution to maintain them in it.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Very inadequate ideas of the obligation of neutrality, or very slight regard for its laws, must be observable in the management of affairs here, in which the rival French governours felt any interest. For La Tour the greater number had engaged in actual war upon D'Aulnay in the former year, and had met no better success than their cause deserved. But the acts of injury or violence done by one of those strangers would have been imputed to the other, perhaps, without hesitation, if reparation could by such course have been obtained. A curious document to illustrate this point was given me by the late Judge Davis:—

Whereas about two yeares since, Mouns'r D'Aulney under a pretence or color

Before this letter was sent, we had intelligence from the West Indies, that D'Aulnay was met at sea by some Biscayers and his ship sunk, yet being not certain hereof, when La Tour went home, we sent the letter by a vessel of our own which accompanied him, to be delivered if occasion were. This news proved false, and no such thing was; and indeed it was so usual to have false news brought from all parts, that we were very doubtful of the most probable reports.

---

of commerce, did violently and injuriously take a possession out of the Hands and custody of the Agents and servts. of Edward Winslow, William Bradford, Thomas Prence, and others their ptners at Matchebiguatus, in Penobscot, together with divers and sundry goods to their great losse, even to the valem of five hundred pounds, or thereabout; And forasmuch as no satisfacco' hath ever been made and tended by the sd Mouns'r D'Aulnay, for the sd Possession or goods or by any his Agents; The sd Edw. Winslow for himselfe and ptners hath and doth by these prnts fully surrender and make over his and their pp right and title, not onely to the said possession of lands in Machebiguatus aforesaid, but to their fortificon', hawsing, losse and damages, right and priviledges thereunto belonging, to Joh. Winthrop, junior, Esq. Serjant Major Edw. Gibbons, and Capt. Thomas Hawkins, all of Boston in New Engld, to them, their heires, associates, and assignes for ever. Allowing and investing them with all such lawfull power by force of Arms or otherwise to recover the said Possession, fortificacon, hawsing, lands, goods, etc., to them the said Edw., William, Thomas, and other their ptners at Machebiguatus aforesaid appтайning. And the same to have and to hold, occupy and enjoy, to them the said Joh. Winthrop, Esq., Serjant Major Gibbons, and Captaine Thomas Hawkins, their heires, Associates, and Assinges for ever, together with all such priviledges as appтайneth thereunto. In witnes whereof the said Edward Winslow hath put to his hand and seal the last of August, 1644.

Per me, EDWARD WINSLOW, *Gov'r at prnt of New Plym.*

Witnesses hereunto,

HERBERT PELHAM,

JOHN BROWNE.

{  
SEAL.  
A pel-  
ican.  
}

The seal is very perfect, the whole instrument in excellent preservation. One very remarkable thing about this transaction is, that the contemporary relation of the French act at Machias in 1633, by Gov. Winthrop, charges it as done by La Tour, and in the following year a reference to it uses the *same* commander's name.

We can construe this deed of Winslow, at this late day, only as his desire to hold D'Aulnay responsible for the wrong done, so many years before, by La Tour; and it might seem an unfair attempt to retaliate by force. Luckily, D'Aulnay was too strong, or we might have had to blush for the outrages, under such letters of marque, perpetrated by Major Gibbons or Capt. Hawkins.

At the same meeting there were three other questions on foot. The first was upon this occasion.

Captain Stagg<sup>1</sup> arriving at Boston in a ship of London, of 181 24 pieces of ordnance, and finding here a ship of Bristol of 100 tons, laden with fish for Bilboa, he made no speech of any commission he had, but having put on shore a good part of his lading, which was wine from Teneriffe, he suddenly weighed anchor, and with the || sea turn || sailed from before Boston to Charlestown, and placed his ship between Charlestown and the ||<sup>2</sup> Bristol || ship, and ||<sup>3</sup>moored || himself ||<sup>4</sup> abreast || her. Then he called the master of the ||<sup>5</sup> Bristol || ship, and showed him his commission, and told him, if he would yield, himself and all his should have what belonged to them and their wages to that day, and turning up the half hour glass, set him in his own ship again, requiring to have his answer by that time of half an hour. The master coming aboard acquainted his men with it, and demanded their resolution. Two or three would have fought, and rather have blown up § their § ship than have yielded; but the greater part prevailed, so she was quietly taken, and all the men save three sent to Boston, and there order was taken by the captain for their diet.

In this half hour's time much people gathered together upon Windmill hill to see the issue, and some who had interest in the ship, especially one Bristol merchant, (a very bold malignant person,) began to gather company and raise a tumult. But some of the people present laid hold of them and brought them to the deputy governour, who committed the merchant and some others who were strangers to a chamber in an ordinary, with a guard upon them, and others who were town dwellers he committed to prison, and sent the constable to require the people to depart to their houses; and then hearing that the ship was taken, he wrote to the captain to know by what au-

|| blank ||    ||<sup>6</sup>British ||    ||<sup>7</sup>moved ||    ||<sup>8</sup>aboard ||    ||<sup>9</sup>British ||

---

<sup>1</sup> By a probable conjecture, this is the same gentleman, who had a higher commission in a few years later. See Thurloe's State Papers, I. 197 or Haz. I. 556, for his authority, jointly with three others, dated 26 September, 1651, to subdue Virginia to the authority of the commonwealth of England.

thority he had done it in our harbor, who forthwith repaired to him with his commission, which was to this effect:

Robertus Comes Warwici, etc., magnus Admirallus Angliae, etc., || omnibus || cuiuscunque status honoris, etc., salutem. Sciatis quod in registro ||<sup>2</sup>curiae Admiraltatis, || etc., — and so recites the ordinance of parliament, in English, to this effect: That it should be lawful for all men, etc., to set forth ships and to take all vessels in or outward bound to or from Bristol, Barnstable, Dartmouth, etc., in hostility against the king and parliament, and to visit all ships in any port or creek, etc., by force, if they should refuse, etc., and they were to have \*182 the whole prize to themselves, paying the ||<sup>3</sup>tenth || to the admiral, provided, before they went forth, they should give security to the admiral to observe their commission, and that they should make a true invoice of all goods, and not break bulk, but bring the ship to the admiral and two or three of the officers, and that they should not rob or spoil any of the parliament's friends, and so concludes thus: Stagg Capitaneus obligavit se, etc., in bis mille libris, etc. In cuius rei testimonium sigillum Admiraltatis ||<sup>4</sup>presentibus || apponi feci.

Dat. March, 1644.

Upon sight of this commission, the deputy appointed Captain Stagg to bring or send it to the meeting at Salem; and the tumult being pacified, he took bond, with sureties, of the principal stirrers, to appear at the meeting and to keep the peace in the mean time. The captain brought his commission to Salem, and there it was read and considered. Some of the elders, the last Lord's day, had in their sermons reproved ||<sup>5</sup>this || proceeding, and exhorted the magistrates, etc., to maintain the people's liberties, which were, they said, violated by this act, and that a commission could not supersede a patent. And at this meeting some of the magistrates and some of the elders were of the same opinion, and that the captain should be forced to restore the ship. But the greater part of both were of a different judgment. — Their reasons were these.

1. Because this could be no precedent to bar us from opposing any commission or other foreign power that might indeed

||orb: et|| ||<sup>2</sup>cuj: Admiralis|| ||<sup>3</sup>10 pounds|| ||<sup>4</sup>blank|| ||<sup>5</sup>his||

tend to our hurt and violate our liberty ; for the parliament had taught us, that *salus populi is suprema lex*.

2. The king of England was enraged against us, and all that party, and all the popish states in Europe : and if we should now, by opposing the parliament, cause them to forsake us, we could have no protection or countenance from any, but should lie open as a prey to all men.

3. We might not deny the parliament's power in this case, unless we should deny the foundation of our government by our patent ; for the parliament's authority will take place in all peculiar and privileged places, where the king's writs or commissions will not be of force, as in the Dutchy of Lancaster, the Cinque ports, and in London itself, the parliament may fetch *|| out ||* any man, even the Lord Mayor himself, and the reason is, because what the parliament doth is done by themselves, for they have their burgesses, etc., there ; nor need they fear that the parliament will do any man wrong : and we have

\*183 consented to hold our land of the manor of E. Greenwich, and so such as are burgesses or knights for that manor, are our burgesses also. This only might help us, that the king giving us land which was none of his, but we were forced to purchase it of the natives, or subdue it as *vacuum domicilium*, we are not bound to hold that of him which was not his. But if we stand upon this plea, we must then renounce our patent and England's protection, which were a great weakness in us, seeing their care hath been to strengthen our liberties and not overthrow them : and if the parliament should hereafter be of a malignant spirit, etc., then if we have strength sufficient, we may make use of *salus populi* to withstand any authority from thence to our hurt.

4. Again, if we who have so openly declared our affection to the cause of the parliament by our prayers, fastings, etc., should now oppose their authority, or do any thing that might make such an appearance, it would be laid hold on by those in Virginia and the West Indies to confirm them in their rebellious course ; and it would grieve all our godly friends in England, or any other of the parliament's friends.

5. Lastly, if any of our people have any goods in the ship,

*[at]*

it is not to be questioned, but upon testimony the parliament will take order for their satisfaction.

It was objected by some, that our's is perfecta respublica and so not subject to appeals, and consequently to no other power but among ourselves. It was answered, that though our patent frees us from appeals in cases of judicature, yet not in point of state; for the king of England cannot erigere perfectam res-publicam in such a sense: for *nemo potest plus || juris || in alios transferre quam in se habet*; he hath not an absolute power without the parliament.

Upon these and other considerations, it was not thought fit to oppose the parliament's commission, but to suffer the captain to enjoy his prize. But because some of our merchants had put goods aboard her, wherein they claimed property, they desired to try their right by action, to which the captain consented to appear. So a court was called of purpose, the issue whereof follows after.

The third matter which fell into consideration, at the said meeting at Salem, was about one Franklin, who at the last court of assistants was found guilty of murder, but, some of the magistrates doubting of the justice of the case, he was reprieved till the next court of assistants. The case was this. He had taken to apprentice one Nathaniel Sewell, one of <sup>\*184</sup> those children<sup>1</sup> sent over the last year for the country; the boy had the scurvy, and was withal very noisome, and otherwise ill disposed. His master used him with continual rigor and unmerciful correction, and exposed him many times to much cold and wet in the winter season, and used divers acts of rigor towards him, as hanging him in the chimney, etc., and the boy being very poor and weak, he tied him upon an horse and so brought him (sometimes sitting and sometimes hanging down) to Boston, being five miles off, to the magistrates, and by the way the boy calling much for water, would give him none, though he came close by it, so as the boy was near dead when he came to Boston, and died within a few hours after.

||jure||

---

<sup>1</sup> For an account of these unfortunate settlers, the export of a land suffering by civil war, see p. 98 of this volume.

Those who doubted whether this were murder or not, did stick upon two reasons chiefly. 1. That it did not appear that the master's intention was to hurt him, but to reform him. 2. In that which was most likely to be the occasion or cause of his death, he was busied about an action which in itself was lawful, viz., the bringing of him before the magistrates; and murder cannot be committed but where the action and intention both are evil. To this it was answered, that this continual act of cruelty did bring him to death by degrees, and the last act was the consummation of it; and that this act, in regard to the subject, who, to the apprehension of all that saw him, was more fit to be kept in his bed than to be haled to correction, was apparently unlawful. As in case a man had a servant sick in bed of the small pox, newly come forth, and that his master knowing and seeing these upon his body should, against the physician's advice, hale him forth of his bed into the open air in frosty weather, upon pretence that he might ease nature, etc., this act, in regard of the state of the subject, were utterly unlawful, and if the servant should die under his hand, etc., it were murder in him. As for the intention, though *prima intentio* might be to reform him, yet *sure proxima intentio* was evil because it arose from distemper of passion; and if a man in a sudden passion kill his dear friend or child, it is murder, though his *prima intentio* were to instruct or admonish him: and in some cases where there appears no intention to hurt, as where a man knowing his ox to have used to push, shall not keep him in, so as he kills a man, he was to die for it, though to keep an ox were a lawful act, and he did not intend hurt, but because he did not what he reasonably ought to prevent, etc., therefore he was a murderer. And that in Exodus if a master \*185 strike his servant with a rod, which is a lawful action, and he die under his hand, (as this servant did,) he was to die for it:— And that in Deut. if a man strike with a weapon or with his hand, or any thing wherewith he may die, and he die, he is a murderer,— shows plainly, that let the means be what it may, if it be voluntarily applied to an evil intent, it is murder; according to that judgment given against her that gave a potion to one to procure his love, and it killed him, it was adjudged murder.

All the magistrates seeming to be satisfied upon this conference, warrant was signed by the governour for his execution a week after, which was not approved by some, in regard of his reprieval to the next court of assistants. But it was without any good reason, for a condemned man is in the power of the magistrate to be executed when he please, and the reprieval was no stipulation or covenant with him, but a determination among the magistrates for the satisfaction of some who were doubtful, which satisfaction being attained, *currat lex etc.* Pro. 22. He shall go to the pit, let no man hinder him.<sup>1</sup>

This man had been admitted into the church of Roxbury about a month before, and upon this he was cast out; but the church, in compassion to his soul, after his condemnation, procured license for him to come to Roxbury, intending to receive him in again before he died, if they might find him truly penitent. But though presently after his condemnation he judged himself, and justified God and the court, yet then he quarrelled with the witnesses, and justified himself, and so continued even to his execution, professing assurance of salvation, and that God would never lay the boy his death to his charge, but the guilt of his blood would lie upon the country. Only a little before he was turned off the ladder, he seemed to apprehend some hardness of heart, that he could not see himself guilty of that which others did.

A fourth matter then in consideration was upon a speech, which the governour made to this effect, viz. 1. That he could not but bewail the great differences and jarrings which were

---

<sup>1</sup> For disapproving the warrant then signed, we should now think, the reason was irrefragable. By the records of the court, Vol. II. p. 45, "William Franklin is referred to the magistrates; if they see cause, he may have a second trial for his life, the next quarter court." Now the same magistrates, by whom the first trial was holden, who had granted a reprieve to consider his case at another stated term, hold a meeting before the time of the next quarter court confessedly on other business, and yet act upon this case *against* the prisoner. No injustice was done, except by taking advisement, and passing sentence too soon. When irregularities in the administration of justice in capital causes occur, we may naturally expect other greater deviations from the rules which lie at the very foundation of society.

\*186 upon all occasions, among the magistrates, and between them and the deputies; that the ground of this was jealousies and misreports; and thereupon some elders siding, etc., but not dealing with any of them in a way of God; but hearing them reproached and passing it in silence: also their authority questioned, as if they had none out of court but what must be granted them by commission from the general court, etc.,—and the way to redress hereof was, that the place and power of magistrates and deputies might be known; and so the elders were desired (which they willingly assented to) to be mediators of a thorough reconciliation, and to go about it presently, and to meet at Boston two or three days before the next court to perfect the same. But indeed the magistrates did all agree very well together, except two only, viz., Mr. Bellingham and Mr. Saltonstall, who took part with the deputies against the other ten magistrates about their power, and in other cases where any difference was. And some of the elders had done no good offices in this matter, through their misapprehensions both of the intentions of the magistrates, and also of the matters themselves, being affairs of state, which did not belong to their calling.<sup>1</sup>

The merchants which had to do with the goods in the ship which was seized by Captain Stagg, being desirous to do their utmost to save their principals in England from damage, knowing them to be honest men and faithful to the parliament, intended to have a trial at law about it, and procured an attachment against the captain; but they were dissuaded from that course, and the deputy sent for Captain Stagg and acquainted him with it, and took his word for his appearance at the next court which was called of purpose. When the governour and six other of the magistrates were met, (for the gov-

---

<sup>1</sup> Yet their advice upon *affairs of state, which did not belong to their calling*, was asked by the same magistrates, in points connected with these very misapprehensions. No doubt the opinions of the clergy were sincere, and, as they appear a few pages onward, will approve themselves to the sober judgment of impartial posterity; but it may be feared, that those opinions, certainly so far as formed by the principal members of the body, were previously known to the party, in whose favor they were given.

ernour did not send for such as dwelt far off,) and the jury, the merchants were persuaded not to put it to a jury, for the jury could find no more but the matter of fact, viz., whose the goods were, whether the merchants' in England, or theirs who shipped them, in regard they had not yet made any consignment of them, nor taken any bills of lading: and this the magistrates could as well determine upon proof, and certify accordingly: for it was resolved not to use any force against the parliament's authority; and accordingly they certified the Lord Admiral of the true state of the case, as they found it upon examination and oath of the factors. \*187

The pinnace, which went to Delaware upon discovery, returned with loss of their voyage. The occasion was, the Dutch governour made a protest against them, yet promised them leave to pass, etc., provided they should not trade with the Indians: also the Swedish governour gave them leave to pass, but would not permit them to trade; and for that end each of them had appointed a pinnace to wait upon our pinnace, but withal the master of their vessel proved such a drunken sot, and so complied with the Dutch and Swedes, as they feared, when they should have left the vessel to have gone up to the lake in a small boat, he would in his drunkenness have betrayed their goods, etc., to the Dutch, whereupon they gave over and returned home; and bringing their action against the master both for his drunkenness and denial to proceed as they required, and as by charter party he was bound, they recovered 200 pounds of him, which was too much, though he did deal badly with them, for it was very probable they could not have proceeded.

There fell out a troublesome business at Boston, upon this occasion. There arrived here a Portugal ship with salt, having in it two Englishmen only. One of these happened to be drunk, and was carried to his lodging, and the constable, (a godly man, and zealous against such disorders,) hearing of it, found him out, being upon his bed asleep, so he awaked him, and led him to the stocks, there being no magistrate at home. He being in the stocks, one of La Tour's gentlemen lifted up the stocks and let him out. The constable, hearing of it, went

**[bade]**

20

to the Frenchman, (being then gone and quiet,) and would needs carry him to the stocks ; the Frenchman offered to yield himself to go to prison, but the constable, not understanding his language, pressed him to go to the stocks : the Frenchman resisted and drew his sword ; with that company came in and disarmed him, and carried him by force to the stocks, but soon after the constable took him out and carried him to prison, and presently after took him forth again and delivered him to La Tour. Much tumult there was about this : many Frenchmen were in town, and other strangers, which were not satisfied with this dealing of the constable, yet were quiet. In the morning the magistrates examined the cause and sent for La Tour, who was much grieved for his servant's miscarriage, and also for the disgrace put upon him, (for in France it is a most ignominious thing to be laid in the stocks,) but yet he complained not of any injury, but left him wholly to the magistrates to do with him what they pleased. The magistrates told him, they were sorry to have any such occasion against any of his servants, but they must do justice, and therefore they must commit him to prison, except he could find sureties to be forth coming, to answer, etc., and to keep the peace. La Tour's gentlemen offered to engage themselves for him. They answered, they might not take security of strangers in this case, otherwise they would have desired no more than La Tour's own word. Upon this two Englishmen, members of the church of Boston, standing by, offered to be his sureties, whereupon he was bailed till he should be called for, because La Tour was not like to stay till the court. This was thought too much favor for such an offence by many of the common people, but by our law bail could not be denied him ; and beside the constable was the occasion of all this in transgressing the bounds of his office, and that in six things. 1. In fetching a man out of his lodging that was asleep upon his bed, and without any warrant from authority. 2. In not putting a hook upon the stocks, nor setting some to guard them. 3. In laying hands upon the Frenchman that had opened the stocks, when he was gone and quiet, and no disturbance of the peace then appearing. 4. In carrying him to prison without getting

warrant. 5. In delivering him out of prison without warrant. 6. In putting such a reproach upon a stranger and a gentleman, when there was no need, for he knew he would be forthcoming, and the magistrate would be at home that evening; but such are the fruits of ignorant and misguided zeal. It might have caused much blood and no good done by it, and justice might have had a more fair and safe way, if the constable had kept within his own bounds, and had not interfered upon the authority of the magistrate. But the magistrates thought not convenient to lay these things to the constable's charge before the assembly, but rather to admonish him for it in private, lest they should have discouraged and disconcerted an honest officer, and given occasion to the offenders and their abettors to insult over him. The constable may restrain, and, if need be, imprison in the stocks, such as he sees disturbing the peace, but, when the affray is ended and the parties departed and in quiet, it is the office of the magistrate to make inquiry and to punish it, and the persons so wrongfully imprisoned by the constable might have had their action of false imprisonment against him.

6. 26.] About nine in the evening there fell a great flame of fire down into the water towards Pullen Point; it lighted the air far about: it was no lightning, for the sky was very clear.

At Stamford an Indian came into a poor man's house, none being at home but the wife, and a child in the cradle, and taking up a lathing hammer as if he would have bought it, the <sup>189</sup> woman stooping down to take her child out of the cradle, he struck her with the sharp edge upon the side of her head, wherewith she fell down, and then he gave her two cuts more which pierced into her brains, and so left her for dead, carrying away some clothes which lay at hand. This woman after a short time came to herself and got out to a neighbor's house, and told what had been done to her, and described the Indian by his person and clothes, etc. Whereupon many Indians of those parts were brought before her, and she charged one of them confidently to be the man, whereupon he was put in prison with intent to have put him to death, but he escaped, and the woman recovered, but lost her senses. A good time after the Indians brought another Indian whom they charged to have

committed that fact, and he, upon examination, confessed it, and gave the reason thereof, and brought forth some of the clothes which he had stolen. Upon this the magistrates of New Haven, taking advice of the elders in those parts, and some here, did put him to death. The executioner would strike off his head with a falchion, but he had eight blows at it before he could effect it, and the Indian sat upright and stirred not all the time.

7. 7.] Here came a pinnace from Virginia with letters from the governour and council there, for procuring powder and shot to prosecute their war against the Indians, but we were weakly provided ourselves, and so could not afford them any help in that kind.<sup>1</sup>

9.] Mr. La Tour departed from Boston; all our train bands (it being then the ordinary training day) made a guard for him to his boat; and the deputy governour and many others accompanied him to the wharf. When he was aboard his bark, he weighed, and set sail and shot off all his guns, which were six, and our small shot gave him a volley and one piece of ordnance, and all the ships, viz., four, saluted him, each of them with three pieces.

At the court of assistants, Thomas Morton was called forth presently after the lecture, that the country might be satisfied of the justice of our proceeding against him. There was laid to his charge his complaint against us at the council board, which he denied. Then we produced the copy of the bill exhibited by Sir Christopher Gardiner, etc., wherein we were charged with treason, rebellion, etc., wherein he was named as a party or witness. He denied that he had any hand in the \*190 information, only was called as a witness. To convince him to be the principal party, it was showed: 1. That Gardiner had no occasion to complain against us, for he was kindly used, and dismissed in peace, professing much engagement for the great courtesy he found here. 2. Morton had set

---

<sup>1</sup> Winthrop seems to have doubted, in the following winter, of the goodness of this excuse, for the refusal was visited, perhaps, by a judgment of heaven in the destruction of our magazine. See post, 211.

forth a book against us, and had threatened us, and had prosecuted a quo warranto against us, which he did not deny. 3. His letter was produced, written soon after to Mr. Jeffery, his old acquaintance and intimate friend, in these words.

MY VERY GOOD GOSSIP,

If I should commend myself to you, you reply with this proverb, *propria laus sordet in ore*: but to leave impertinent salute, and really to proceed.— You shall hereby understand, that, although, when I was first sent to England to make complaint against Ananias and the brethren, I effected the business but superficially, (through the brevity of time,) I have at this time taken more deliberation and brought the matter to a better pass. And it is thus brought about, that the king hath taken the business into his own hands. The Massachusetts Patent, by order of the council, was brought in view; the privileges there granted well scanned upon, and at the council board in public, and in the presence of Sir Richard Saltonstall and the rest, it was declared, for manifest abuses there discovered, to be void. The king hath reassumed the whole business into his own hands, appointed a committee of the board, and given order for a general ||governour|| of the whole territory to be sent over. The commission is passed the privy seal, I did see it, and the same was ||<sup>1</sup> mo. Maii|| sent to the Lord Keeper to have it pass the great seal for confirmation; and I now stay to return with the governour, by whom all complainants shall have relief: So that now Jonas being set ashore may safely cry, repent you cruel separatists, repent, there are as yet but forty days. If ||<sup>2</sup> Jove|| vouchsafe to thunder, the charter and kingdom of the separatists will fall asunder. Repent you cruel ||<sup>3</sup> schismatics||, repent. These things have happened, and I shall see (notwithstanding their boasting and false alarms in the Massachusetts, with feigned cause of thanksgiving) their merciless cruelty rewarded, according to the merit of the fact, with condign punishment for coming into those parts, like Sampson's foxes with fire-brands at their tails. The king and council are really possessed of their preposterous loyalty and

||government||   ||<sup>1</sup> 10th May||   ||<sup>2</sup> you||   ||<sup>3</sup> blank||  
20\*

irregular proceedings, and are incensed against them: and although they be so opposite to the catholic ||axioms||, yet they will be compelled to perform them, or at ||<sup>1</sup>leastwise|| suffer them to be put in practice to their sorrow. In matter of restitu-

\*191 tion and satisfaction, more than mystically, it must be performed visibly, and in such sort as may be subject to the senses in a very lively image. My Lord Canterbury having, with my Lord Privy Seal, caused all Mr. Cradock's letters to be viewed, and his apology in particular for the brethren here, protested against him and Mr. Humfrey, that they were a couple of imposterous knaves; so that, for all their great friends, they departed the council chamber in our view with a pair of cold shoulders. I have staid long, yet have not lost my labor, although the brethren have found their hopes frustrated; so that it follows by consequence, I shall see my desire upon mine enemies: and if John Grant had not betaken him to flight, I had taught him to sing clamavi in the Fleet before this time, and if he return before I depart, he will pay dear for his presumption. For here he finds me a second Perseus: I have uncased Medusa's head, and struck the brethren into astonishment. They find, and will yet more to their shame, that they abuse the word and are to blame to presume so much,—that they are but a word and a blow to them that are without. Of these particulars I thought good, by so convenient a messenger, to give you notice, lest you should think I had died in obscurity, as the brethren vainly intended I should, and basely practised, abusing justice by their sinister practices, as by the whole body of the committee, una voce, it was concluded to be done, to the dis-honor of his ||<sup>1</sup>majesty||. And as for Ratcliffe, he was comforted by their lordships with the cropping of Mr. Winthrop's ears: which shows what opinion is held amongst them of King Winthrop with all his inventions and his Amsterdam fantastical ordinances, his preachings, marriages, and other abusive ceremonies, which do exemplify his detestation to the church of England, and the contempt of his ||<sup>1</sup>majesty's|| authority and wholesome laws, which are and will be established in those parts, invita Minerva. With these I thought fit to salute you,

||opinions||      ||<sup>2</sup>least must||      ||<sup>3</sup>master||      ||<sup>4</sup>master's||

as a friend, by an epistle, because I am bound to love you, as a brother, by the gospel, resting your loving friend.<sup>1</sup>

THOMAS MORTON.

Dated 1. mo. Maii, 1634.

The patent was called for An. 1634, by order of council.

Having been kept in prison about a year, in expectation of further evidence out of England, he was again called before the court, and after some debate what to do with him, he was fined 100 pounds, and set at liberty. He was a charge to the country, for he had nothing, and we thought not fit to inflict corporal punishment upon him, being old and crazy, but thought better to fine him and give him his liberty, as if it had been to procure his fine, but indeed to leave him opportunity to go out of the jurisdiction, as he did soon after, and he went to Acomenticus, and living there poor and despised, he died within two years after.

7. 16.] Here arrived a ship from Dartmouth. She was impressed into the king's service, and sent to sea in the Earl of Marlborough's fleet, but she left the fleet, and took in wine and salt at the Spanish Islands, and went to Virginia, where he left his merchants and divers of his men; and not putting off his goods there, he came to Boston, where the London ship, Captain Bayley commander, having commission from the parliament, would have taken him, but he stood upon his defence, and was able to keep his ship against the other. But another question arose about her, upon this occasion; our merchants of Boston had set out a small ship worth 1500 pounds, which, being trading in Wales, was taken by the king's ships, whereupon the merchants desired leave to seize this ship for their satisfaction. On the other side, the master, being come under

<sup>1</sup> See Vol. I. 137, n. 4, and 138, n. 1. In the writer's tone of exultation over the brethren of New England we must remember the circumstances, that he had been more than three years and a half claiming redress for his fancied wrongs, and wrote on the same day that the royal commission, the evidence of his triumph, passed the privy seal. A comparison of my reading with that of Hubbard, 428-430, will show his transcript of this very curious document to be much more correct than that of the former edition. [Unhappily my corrections are lost.]

our command, desired our protection. Our answer was, that, if he would deliver his sailors on shore, we would protect him till the court, etc. See more next leaf.

17.] The lady La Tour arrived here from London in a ship commanded by Captain Bayley. They had been six months from London, having spent their time in trading about Canada, etc. They met with D'Aulnay near Cape Sable, and told him they were bound for the Bay, and had stowed the lady and her people under hatches, so he not knowing it was Captain Bayley, whom he earnestly sought for, to have taken or sunk him, he wrote by the master to the deputy governour to this effect: That his master the king of France, understanding that the aid La Tour had here the last year was upon the commission he showed from the Vice Admiral of France, gave him in charge not to molest us for it, but to hold all good correspondency with us and all the English, which he professed he was desirous of, so far as might stand with his duty to his master, and withal that he intended to send to us so soon as he had settled his affairs, to let us know what further commission he had, and his sincerity in the business of La Tour, etc.

\*193 Here arrived also Mr. Roger Williams of Providence, and with him two or three families. He brought with him a letter from divers lords and others of the parliament, the copy whereof ensueth.

#### OUR MUCH HONOURED FRIENDS :

Taking notice, some of us of long time, of Mr. Roger Williams his good affections and conscience, and of his sufferings by our common enemies and oppressors of God's people, the prelates, as also of his great industry and travail in his printed Indian labours in your parts, the like whereof we have not seen extant from any part of America, and in which respect it hath pleased both houses of parliament freely to grant unto him and friends with him a free and absolute || charter<sup>1</sup> || of civil government for

|| character ||

---

<sup>1</sup> It bears date 14 March preceding, and may be seen in Haz. I. 538, or 2 Hist. Coll. IX. 185. Of this very valuable tract, the account of Providence, printed in 1765, the writer is commonly thought to be that Stephen Hopkins,

those parts of his abode : and withal sorrowfully resenting, that amongst good men (our friends) driven to the ends of the world, exercised with the trials of a wilderness, and who mutually give good testimony each of other, as we observe you do of him, and he abundantly of you, there should be such a distance ; we thought it fit, upon divers considerations, to profess our great desires of both your utmost endeavours of nearer closing, and of ready expressing of those good affections, which we perceive you bear each to other, in the actual performance of all friendly offices ; the rather because of those bad neighbours you are like to find too near unto you in Virginia, and the unfriendly visits from the West of England and from Ireland : that how-

---

whose signature to the Declaration of Independence is the most interesting of the autographs. Backus, in his History, followed that authority for the date of the charter. Callender, 44, erroneously gives the date 17 March. But he had, we are told, adequate authority for his wrong. "A true copy of the original entered and compared 10 April, 1721," certified by Richard Ward, is given in Vol. IV. of the Rhode Island Historical Collections, pp. 221-5, as an article of the Appendix to Callender, therein reprinted under the editorial care of Professor Elton. The charter had, by the same society, been published in their Vol. II. p. 259, by Judge Staples, with the mistaken, and next in Vol. III. p. 300, with the right date. So the world might well suppose that the third time of trial, as it reaffirms the first result, and disagrees with the second, must be right at last ; especially as the editor referred to "*a slight error which had dropped*" from me, as to Calender's date. One acquainted with old gravestones, or other records, knows how easy is the perversion, interchangeably, of 14 and 17, in these Arabic numerals ; and such was, probably, the error of the blundering clerk in Rhode Island, transcribing the original instrument of the Earl of Warwick and others. Whether in Latin or English, no doubt can be felt, that the date of the patent, in letters, was fourteenth, or quarto decimo ; for we know, that the 17th of that month, O. S., was Sunday, not a legal time of date. So that to argue its possibility, implies the knocking out of one of the eyes of history. In those days our friends in England were always charging the king's party with violation of the sanctity of "the Lord's day ;" and the scrivener, or clerk of parliament, or of those *severe* puritan commissioners, who could have fallen into such a grievous fault, must have lost his office, and deserved higher punishment. King James, who was not a precisian, laid his hand heavy on that printer, who permitted his seventh commandment to read : Thou shalt commit adultery. Had Professor E. looked at his almanack for 1644, he could not fail to have avoided this correction. The copy of a copy, (from the record, which was, of course, a copy,) not the copy of the *charter* (which, however, is full as commonly met) was the deceiving medium. Williams probably came in the same ship with *Lady La Tour*.

soever it may please the Most High to shake our foundations, yet the report of your peaceable and prosperous plantations may be some refreshing to

Your true and faithful friends,

NORTHUMBERLAND,	P. WHARTON,
ROB. HARLEY,	THOS. BARRINGTON,
W.M. MASHAM,	OL. St. JOHN,
JOHN GURDON,	ISAAC PENNINGTON,
COR. HOLLAND,	GIL. PYKERING,
J. BLAKISTON,	MILES CORBET.

To the Right Worshipful the Goverour and Assistants and the rest of our worthy friends in the plantation of Massachusetts Bay, in New England.

\*194 19.] Two churches were appointed to be gathered, one at Haverhill and the other at Andover, both upon Merrimack river. They had given notice thereof to the magistrates and elders, who desired, in regard of their far remoteness and scarcity of housing there, the meeting might be at Rowley, which they assented unto, but being assembled, most of those who were to join, refused to declare how God had carried on the work of his grace in them, upon this reason, because they had declared it formerly in their admission into other churches; whereupon the assembly brake up without proceeding, etc.

The goverour and others of the magistrates met at Boston upon two special occasions; the one was for trial of an action between the Lady La Tour and Captain Bayley for not carrying her, etc., to her own place, and for some injuries done her aboard his ship. See more after.

The other was upon the request of some merchants of Boston, who, having a ship taken in Wales by the king's party, desired recompence by a ship of Dartmouth riding in our harbour. Whereupon we sent for the master of the Dartmouth ship, who delivered his ship into our hands till the cause should be tried, which he did the more willingly, for that some London ships of greater force, riding also in our harbour, had threatened to take him; and the next morning Captain Richardson (having commission from the Lord Admiral) fitted his ship to take her, notwithstanding that he had been forbidden over night by the

deputy governour to meddle with her, being under our protection, and lying so before Boston as their shot must needs do harm. Whereupon the governour and the other magistrates (sitting then in court) arose and went to take order about it, and having over night given commission to some to make seizure of the Dartmouth ship, they went aboard her with their commission, and an officer was sent with warrant to stay Captain Richardson, but he being then come to anchor close by the other ship, he could not (or would not) stay, but suffered his men to enter the other ship, and the master coming aboard him at his request, he detained him prisoner. Whereupon the governour, etc., sent two other masters of ships to him to command him ashore, but he seeing his men so unruly, and fearing they would fall to fight or pillage in his absence, (as he after told us,) excused himself for not coming upon that command. Upon which fire was given to a warning piece from the battery, which cut a rope in the head of his ship: and upon that one of his men, without any command, ran down hastily to fire upon our battery; but it pleased God that he hurt himself in the way, and so was not able to go on. A stranger also (unbidden) gave fire to another piece on the battery, which levelled at <sup>195</sup> the bow of his ship, but it struck against the head of a bolt in the cutwater of the Dartmouth ship, and went no further. Then we sent forty men armed aboard the Dartmouth ship, and upon that Captain Richardson came ashore and acknowledged his error, and his sorrow for what he had done, yet || withal || alleging some reasons for his excuse. So we only ordered him to pay a barrel of powder, and to satisfy the officers and soldiers we had employed, etc., and dismissed him. The reason was, because (through the Lord's special providence) there was no hurt done, nor had he made one shot; for if he had, we were resolved to have taken or sunk him, which we might easily have done, lying close under our battery, so as we could have played upon him with whole culverin or demi culverin six hours together, nor had he yet showed to us or to the master of the Dartmouth ship any commission. But after, he showed only an ordinary commission from the Lord Admiral, not under the great seal, nor grounded upon any ordinance of par-

|| with ||

liament, as Captain Stagg's was: therefore we forbade him to meddle with any ship in our harbor, for he could not by that commission take a ship in any place exempt from the Admiral's jurisdiction.

Having thus seized this ship, we were to consult what to do with her. Upon examination, we found that the master and company were Dartmouth men, and that the ship had formerly been employed in the parliament's service, but, Dartmouth being taken by the king, she had been employed for taking a vessel or two of the parliament's under the same master, but a captain put over him and many soldiers, and was since sold to a merchant of ||Christopher|| Island, and by his agent sent forth upon merchant affairs to divers places, and to repair at last to St. Maloes in France, where the agent dwelt, who was an Englishman and had used to trade at Dartmouth, whose letter of advice and the bill of sale of the ship were produced by the master. It appeared further to us, that Dartmouth had been cordial to the parliament, and stood out seven days against 12,000 men; and after it was surrendered did generally refuse to take the oath to the king, and the master among others, and that they had many better ships there which lay still at home, and such as they sent forth they were not to come home but by advice. Yet it appeared after by divers testimonies, that she belonged to Dartmouth, and the charter party also, and that the master was part owner. Divers of the elders, being called in for advice, agreed (near all) that she might be seized to satisfy for our two ships which the king's party had taken from us, \*196 and accordingly commission was given by the governour and council to the merchant to seize and use her, giving security to be responsible and 8 pounds per 100 if she should be lawfully recovered within thirteen months, but the company to have their wages and goods.<sup>1</sup>

||P——||

---

<sup>1</sup> Hardly any so awkward reprisal can be found, probably, in all the processes of all nations; yet a sort of apology is to be found in the circumstances. Dartmouth must have suffered whatever way the question was settled; if the ship and lading was confiscated on our side of the water, or dismissed here to fall into the hands of the king's party at home. Such is a natural concomitant of civil war.

While the governour and other of the magistrates were at Boston, a boat sent from Mr. D'Aulnay with ten men arrived at Salem, hearing that the governour dwelt there. There was in her one Marie, supposed to be a friar, but habited like a gentleman. He wrote a letter to our governour by a gentleman of his company to know where he should attend him: and upon our governour's answer to him, he came the next day to Boston, and with letters of credence and commission from Mr. D'Aulnay; he show'd us the king of France his commission under the great seal of France, with the privy seal annexed, wherein the proceedings against La Tour were verified, and he condemned as a rebel and traitor, etc., with command for the apprehension of himself and lady, who had fled out of France against special order, under, etc. He complained also of the wrong done by our men the  $\frac{1}{2}$  last  $\frac{1}{2}$  year in assisting of La Tour etc., and proffered terms of peace and amity. We answered to the 1. That divers of the ships and most of the men were strangers to us, and had no commission from us, nor any permission to use any hostility, and we were very sorry when we heard what had been done. This gave him satisfaction. To the other proposition we answered, that we could not conclude any league with him, without the advice of the commissioners of the united colonies; but if he would set down his propositions in writing, we would consider further of them: and withal we acquainted him with what we had lately written to Mr. D'Aulnay, and the injuries we had complained of to him. So he withdrew himself to his lodging at Mr. || Fowle's,|| and drew out both his propositions and answers to our complaints in French, and returned to us. He added two propositions more, one that we would aid him against La Tour, and the other that we would not assist him, and gave reasonable answer to our demands. Upon these things we<sup>1</sup> discoursed half the day, sometimes with our governour in French, and otherwhile with the rest of the magistrates in Latin. We urged much for

||Fowle's||

---

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps he would be more proper; but whether Winthrop so wrote the word, and my conjecture were verified by the original, or the Hartford edition of 1790 were right here, can never be known.

a reconciliation with La Tour, and that he would permit his lady to go to her husband. His answer was, that if La Tour would voluntarily submit and come in, he would assure him his life and liberty, but if he were taken, he were sure to lose his head in France ; and for his lady, she was known to be the cause of his contempt and rebellion, and therefore they could not let her go to him, but if we should send her in any of our \*197 vessels he must take her, and if we carried any goods to

La Tour he would take them also, but he would give us satisfaction for them. In the end we came to this agreement, which was drawn up in Latin in these words, and signed by the governour and six other magistrates, and Mr. Marie, whereof one copy we kept and the other he carried with him. He came to Boston the sixth day very late, and made great haste away, so he departed on the third day following. We furnished him with horses and sent him to Salem well accompanied, and offered him a bark to carry him home, but he refused it. We entertained him with all courteous respect, and he seemed to be surprised with his unexpected entertainment, and gave a very liberal testimony of his kind acceptance thereof, and assurance of Mr. D'Aulnay's engagement to us for it. The agreement between us was this.

The agreement between John Endecott, Esq., Governour of the Massachusetts in New England, and the rest of the magistrates there, and Mr. Marie, commissioner of Mr. D'Aulnay, Knight, Governour and Lieutenant General of his Majesty the king of France, in Acadie, a province of New France, made and ratified at Boston in the Massachusetts aforesaid, 8 die mensis 8, An. Dom. 1644.

The governour and the rest of the magistrates do promise to Mr. Marie, that they and all the English within the jurisdiction of the Massachusetts aforesaid shall observe and keep firm peace with Mr. D'Aulnay, etc., and all the French under his command in Acadie : and likewise the said Mr. Marie doth promise for Mr. D'Aulnay, that he and all his people shall also keep firm peace with the governour and magistrates aforesaid, and with all the inhabitants of the jurisdiction of the Massachusetts aforesaid ; and that it shall be lawful for all men, both French and English, to trade each with other : so that if any

occasion of offence shall happen, neither party shall attempt any thing against the other in any hostile manner before the wrong be first complained of, and due satisfaction not given. Provided always, the governour and magistrates aforesaid be not bound to restrain their merchants to trade with their ships with any persons, either French or other, wheresoever they dwell: provided also, that the full ratification and conclusion of this agreement be referred to the next meeting of the commissioners of the united colonies of New England, for the continuation or abrogation of the same; and in the mean time to remain firm and inviolate.<sup>1</sup>

By this agreement we were freed from the fear our <sup>198</sup> people were in, that Mr. D'Aulnay would take revenge of our small vessels or out plantations, for the harm he sustained by our means the last year; and also from any further question about that business.

We were now also freed from as great a fear of war with the Narragansetts. For the commissioners, meeting at Hartford, sent for Onkus and some from Narragansett, (a sachem and a chief captain were sent,) and whereas the Narragansett's plea against Onkus was, that he had put their sachem to death after he had received a ransom for his life, it was clearly proved otherwise, and that the things he received were part of them given him for his courteous usage of the said Miantonomoh and those sachems which were slain in the battle, and another part, that Miantonomoh might be given to the English. In the end it was agreed by all parties, that there should be peace on all sides till planting time were over the next year; and then neither of them should attempt any hostile act against the other, without first acquainting the English, etc. therewith.

---

<sup>1</sup> Copy of the original, with translation below, may be seen in Haz. I. 536, with a solemn confirmation, also in Latin, by the congress of commissioners of the United Colonies. It is given, also, by Hutch. Coll. 146, 7. Probably Winthrop wrote the treaty, as from what is said above it may reasonably be concluded, that Endecott was not sufficiently versed in the learned language. An original rough draft, by Winthrop, in Latin, of the act of ratification by the commissioners, 8th die 7bris Anno Domini 1645, is preserved in the Hutchinson MSS.

The lady La Tour, being arrived here, commenced her action against Captain Bayley and the merchant, (brother and factor to Alderman Berkley, who freighted the ship,) for not performing the charter party, having spent so much time upon the coast in trading, as they were near six months in coming, and had not carried her to her fort as they ought and might have done: and upon a full hearing in a special court four days, the jury gave her 2,000 pounds. For had they come in any reasonable time, it might have been much more to her advantage in her trade and safety against D'Aulnay: whereas now it was like to occasion her utter ruin: for she knew not how to get home without hiring two or three ships of force.

La Tour, and a vessel of ours in his company laden with provision, went hence with a fair wind, which if he had made use of, he had met with D'Aulnay, and after he had touched at divers places by the way, and staid there some time, he passed by Penobscott soon after D'Aulnay was gone into the harbor, and so escaped, whereas if he had passed any time many days before, he must needs have been taken. This vessel of ours in her return was met by D'Aulnay, who stayed her, and taking

\*199 the master aboard his ship, manned the other with Frenchmen, and telling the master his intention, and assuring him of all good usage and recompense for the stay of his vessel, (all which he really performed,) he brought her with him to the mouth of St. John's river; and then sent her boat with one gentleman of his own to La Tour to show his commission, and withal desired the master to write to La Tour to desire him to dismiss the messenger safely, for otherwise D'Aulnay would keep him for hostage (yet he assured him he would not do it). So La Tour dismissed the messenger in peace, which he professed he would not have done but for our master's sake. D'Aulnay carried our ketch with him to Port Royal, where he used the master very courteously and gave him credit for fish, etc., he bought of him, and recompense for keeping his vessel, and so dismissed him. Presently after their return, we sent another vessel to trade with D'Aulnay, and by it the deputy governour wrote to D'Aulnay to show the cause of sending her, with profession of our desire of holding good correspondence with him, etc., and withal persuading him by di-

vers arguments to entertain peace with La Tour. That vessel found courteous entertainment with him, and he took off all her commodities, but not at so good rates as they expected.

The lady La Tour having arrested the captain and merchant of the ship, they were forced to deliver their cargo on shore to free their persons, by which means she laid her execution upon them to the value of 1100 pounds; more could not be had without unfurnishing the ship, which must have been by force, for otherwise the master and seamen would deliver none. The master petitioned the general court for his freight and wages, for which the goods stood bound by charter party. The general court was much divided about it, but the || magistrates || voted that none was due || <sup>here</sup> || nor the goods bound for them; but the major part of the deputies being of another judgment, they made use of their negative vote, and so nothing was ordered. Whereupon the master brought his action at the next court of assistants. When it came to be tried, two of the assistants were of opinion that it ought not not to be put to trial, because the general court had the hearing and voting of it: but it was answered by the rest, (the governour being absent,) that, seeing the general court had made no order in it, this court might hear and determine it, as if the general court had never taken cognizance of it. Accordingly it was put to the jury upon this issue: Whether the goods were security for the freight, etc. And the jury found for the defendant, and yet in the charter party the merchants bound themselves, their executors, etc., and <sup>\*200</sup> goods, as the owners had bound their ship, etc., to the merchants.

This business caused much trouble and charge to the country, and made some difference between the merchants of Charlestown, (who took part with the merchants and master of the ship,) and the merchants of Boston, who assisted the lady, (some of them being deeply engaged for La Tour,) so as offers were made on both sides for an end between them. Those of Charlestown offered security for the goods, if upon a review within thirteen months the judgment were not reversed,

|| major part ||

21\*

|| <sup>her</sup> ||

or the parliament in England did not call the cause before themselves. This last clause was very ill taken by the court, as making way for appeals, etc., into England, which was not reserved in our charter. The other offered them all the goods save 150 pounds to defray the lady's expenses in town, and security for that, if the judgment was reversed, so as the other || would give || security to answer the whole 2,000 pounds if the judgment were not reversed, etc.

10. 8.] The parties not agreeing, the lady took the goods and hired three ships which lay in the harbor, belonging to strangers, which cost her near 800 pounds, and set sail for her fort. And the merchants, against whom she had execution for their bodies for satisfaction of the rest of the judgment, got into their ship and fell down beyond the castle, (where they were out of command,) and took aboard some thirty passengers, and so, (26,) in company of one of our own ships which carried about seventy passengers, they set sail for London.

When our ship, etc., arrived at London, Alderman Berkley arrested the goods of two of the passengers.<sup>1</sup>

|| would not give ||

---

<sup>1</sup> Here ends the narrative of our second volume of MS. and all that purported to be published from a *correct copy* at Hartford in 1790. If my labor has not seemed to myself of too great value, this new edition will not be less cherished by the admirers of Winthrop than the succeeding part of this volume, which had never before been seen by the public.

OF THE

HISTORY OF NEW ENGLAND.

---

1644.

17. 7.] THE Lady La Tour arrived here in a ship set forth from London by Alderman Berkley and Captain Bayley. They were bound for La Tour's fort, and set forth in the spring, but spent so much time in trading by the way, etc., as when they came at Cape Sable, Monsieur D'Aulnay came up to them in a ship from France, so as they durst not discover what they were, but stood along for Boston. The lady, being arrived, brought her action against them for delaying her so long at sea, whereby she lost the opportunity of relieving her fort, and must

---

<sup>1</sup> My emotions, on coming again to the true text of the original historian, where others may follow my footsteps in the original MS. and scrutinize the faithfulness of the track, are very gratifying. The common reader, who feels the difference between the text, from page 18 of this volume to the last preceding, wherein my memory and judgment only have contributed sometimes to its correctness, and that pure transcript extending from page 197 of the former volume to page 12 of this, ad fidem codicis, on which he may confidently rely, though the MS. is destroyed by fire, will rejoice in the new field that he now enters on, without participating my exultation in the hope that future scrupulous antiquaries will the more diligently, on account of the loss of the second, recur to the first and third volumes of Winthrop's autograph.

be at excessive charges to get thither. The cause was openly heard at a special court at Boston before all the magistrates, and a jury of principal men impanelled, (most merchants and seamen,) and the charter party being read, and witnesses produced, it appeared to the court, that they had broken charter \*202 party, so as the jury gave her 2000 pounds damages.

Whereupon the cargo of the ship was seized in execution, (so much of it as could be found,) and being meal, and peas, and trading stuff, etc., and being appraised by four men, sworn, etc., it was found to the value of about 1100 pounds. The defendants desired liberty till the next year to bring a review, pretending they had evidence in England, etc. It was granted them, and they were offered to have all their goods again, (except 100 pounds for defraying the lady's present charges in Boston, for which they should have good security, etc.) so as they would put in security to answer the whole 2000 pounds, if they did not reverse the judgment within the year. This they refused, and would give security for no more than what they should receive back; whereupon the execution proceeded. But the master of the ship brought his action upon the goods in execution for security for his freight and men's wages (which did amount to near the whole extended). The jury found against him, whereupon at the next general court he petitioned for redress. A great part of the court was of opinion, that the goods, being his security by charter party, ought not to be taken from him upon the execution, and most of the deputies, and the deputy governour, and some others of the magistrates voted that way; but the greater part of the magistrates being of the other side, he would not be relieved. The lady was forced to give 700 pounds to three ships to carry her home.<sup>1</sup>

It may be of use to mention a private matter or two, which fell out about this time, because the power and mercy of the Lord did appear in them in extraordinary manner. One of the

---

<sup>1</sup> In the margin is written, by Winthrop, "this is before in the other book," and diagonal cross lines are drawn from the top of the page to the bottom. But as some of this is not in the former book, I have thought the whole worth printing, at least as an abridged narrative.

deacons of Boston church, Jacob Eliot,<sup>1</sup> (a man of a very sincere heart and an humble frame of spirit,) had a daughter \*203 of eight years of age, who being playing with other children about a cart, the hinder end thereof fell upon the child's head, and an iron sticking out of it struck into the child's head, and drove a piece of the skull before it into the brain, so as the brains came out, and seven surgeons (some of the country, very experienced men, and others of the ships, which rode in the harbor) being called together for advice, etc., did all conclude, that it was the brains, (being about half a spoonful at one time, and more at other times,) and that there was no hope of the child's life, except the piece of skull could be drawn out. But one of the ruling elders of the church, an experienced and very skilful surgeon, liked not to take that course, but applied only plasters to it; and withal earnest prayers were made by the church to the Lord for it, and in six weeks it pleased God that

---

<sup>1</sup> He was elder brother of the great apostle of our American gentiles; both were admitted freemen on the same day, soon after arrival of John, and, in the list of our first church members, Jacob is numbered 114, only four after the distinguished divine. That he was carried away with the delusions of Mrs. Hutchinson, with the majority of Boston people, appears in our Vol. I. 248. In his will, made 28 April, 1651, proved 20 November after, in our Probate Rec. I. 58, he takes notice of only one son, Jacob, a minor, and of unmarried daughters, one of whom is, no doubt, referred to in our text. Mather, Lib. VI. c. 2, relates this disaster, and gives her name, Abigail, adding, that "she lived to be a mother of several children." The author of the *Magnalia* might have conversed with her, for she could be only twenty-four or five years older than himself. Without feeling the reasonable distrust of his authority, which experience would justify, I have ascertained, from the will of Margery, widow of Jacob, made 31 October, 1661, proved 7 November following, by Rev. J. Wilson, and elders Colbron, and Penn, that the children were Jacob and Asaph, Hannah Frary, Susannah, Mehitable, and Sarah, with five grand children, issue, probably, of Hannah. There was an Abigail Eliot, but she was daughter of Francis, whose will, made 20 October, 1677, proved 13 November after, is found in Rec. VI. 214. Jacob had a child of that name, born 7 April, 1639, of course now only five years old; but the casualty, I suppose, befel Hannah, born 29 January, 1636-7.

Another Eliot, Philip, a gentleman of some distinction at Roxbury, was, also, brother of Rev. John, a deacon of his church, and made freeman 25 March, 1636. In his will, made 21 October, 1657, proved 11 February next after, found in our Probate Rec. I. 298, he mentions three daughters, and no son.

the piece of skull consumed, and so came forth, and the child recovered perfectly; nor did it lose the senses at any time.

Another was a child of one Bumstead,<sup>1</sup> a member of the church, had a child of about the same age, that fell from a gallery in the meeting house about eighteen feet high, and brake the arm and shoulder, (and was also committed to the Lord in the prayers of the church, with earnest desires, that the place where his people assembled to his worship might not be defiled with blood,) and it pleased the Lord also that this child was soon perfectly recovered.

The differences which fell out in the court, and still continued [blank].

A bark was set out from Boston with seven men to trade at Delaware. They staid in the river near the English plantation all the winter, and in the spring they fell down, and traded \*204 three weeks, and had gotten five hundred skins, and some otter, etc., and being ready to come away, fifteen Indians came aboard, as if they would trade again, and suddenly they drew forth hatchets from under their coats, and killed the master<sup>2</sup> and three others, and rifled the bark, and carried away a boy, and another man, who was the interpreter; and when they came on shore, they gave him forty skins, and twenty fathom of wampom, and other things, and kept them till about six weeks after. The Swedish governour procured another sachem to fetch them to him, who sent them to New Haven by a bark of that place, and so they were brought to Boston (5) 14, 45, the man as a prisoner.

(8) 30.] The general court assembled again, and all the elders were sent for, to reconcile the differences between the magistrates and deputies. When they were come, the first

---

<sup>1</sup> Thomas Bumstead's will, made 25 May, 1677, proved 4 August after, which is contained in our Probate Rec. VI. 580, names a son, Jeremy, and three daughters, Hannah, wife of Thomas Sherwood, Mary, wife of Ambrose Dawes, and Mercy, wife of Samuel Bosworth. I know nothing more of him, but that he first lived at Roxbury.

<sup>2</sup> In the margin is written "*Luter killed by Indians,*" and a pen has been drawn twice across the paragraph, and "*See after 35*" is added.

question put to them was that which was stated by consent the last session, viz.

Whether the magistrates are, by patent and election of the people, the standing council of this commonwealth in the vacancy of the general court, and have power accordingly to act in all cases subject to government, according to the said patent and the laws of this jurisdiction; and when any necessary occasions call for action from authority, in cases where there is no particular express law provided, there to be guided by the word of God, till the general court give particular rules in such cases.

The elders, having received the question, withdrew themselves for consultation about it, and the next day sent to know, when we would appoint a time that they might attend the court with their answer. The magistrates and deputies agreed upon an hour, but the deputies came not all, but sent a committee of four (which was not well, nor respectively, that when all the elders had taken so much pains at their request, some having come thirty miles, they would not vouchsafe their presence to receive their answer). Their answer was affirmative on the magistrates' behalf, in the very words of the question, with some reasons thereof. It was delivered in writing by Mr. Cotton in the name of them all, they being all present, and not one dissentient.

Upon the return of this answer, the deputies prepared other questions to be propounded to the elders, and sent them to the magistrates to take view of. Likewise the magistrates prepared four questions, and sent them also to the deputies.

The magistrates' questions, with the elders' answers, were:—

1. Whether the deputies in the general court have judicial and magistratical authority? 205
2. Whether by patent the general court, consisting of magistrates and deputies, (as a general court) have judicial and magistratical authority?
3. Whether we may warrantably prescribe certain penalties to offences, which may probably admit variable degrees of guilt?
4. Whether a judge be bound to pronounce such sentence as

a positive law prescribes, in case it be apparently above or beneath the merit of the offence ?

The elders answer to the two first.

1. The patent, in express words, giveth full power and authority, as to the governour and assistants, so to the freemen also assembled in general court.

2. Whereas there is a threefold power of magistratical authority, viz., legislative, judicial, and consultative or directive of the public affairs of the country for provision and protection. The first of these, viz., legislative is expressly given to the freemen, jointly with the governour and assistants. Consultative or directive power, etc., is also granted by the patent as the other. But now for power of judicature, (if we speak of the constant and usual administration thereof,) we do not find that it is granted to the freemen, or deputies, in the general court, either by the patent, or the elections of the people, or by any law of the country. But if we speak of the occasional administration thereof, we find power of judicature administrable by the freemen, jointly with the governour and assistants upon a double occasion. 1, In case of defect or delinquency of a magistrate, the whole court, consisting, etc., may remove him. 2, If by the law of the country there lie any appeal to the general court, or any special causes be reserved to their judgment, it will necessarily infer, that, in such cases, by such laws, the freemen, jointly with the governour and assistants, have power of judicature, touching the appellant's cause of appeal and those reserved cases. What<sup>1</sup> we speak of the power of freemen by patent, the same may be said of the deputies, so far forth as the power of the freemen is delegated to them by order of law.

To the third and fourth questions the elders answer.

1. Certain penalties may and ought to be prescribed to capital crimes, although they may admit variable degrees of guilt; as in case of murder upon prepensed malice, and upon sudden provocation, there is prescribed the same death in both, \*206 though murder upon prepensed malice be of a far greater guilt than upon sudden provocation, Numb. 35. 16. 18

---

<sup>1</sup> The sense is quite changed by the punctuation in Hubbard, 897.

with 20. 21. Also in crimes of less guilt, as in theft, though some theft may be of greater guilt than other, (as for some man to steal a sheep, who hath less need, is of greater guilt, than for another, who hath more need,) the Lord prescribed the same measure of restitution to both.

2. In case that variable circumstances of an offence do so much vary the degrees of guilt, as that the offence is raised to an higher nature, there the penalty must be varied to an higher answerable proportion. The striking of a neighbor may be punished with some pecuniary mulct, when the striking of a father may be punished with death. So any sin committed with an high hand, as the gathering of sticks on the Sabbath day, may be punished with death, when a lesser punishment may serve for gathering sticks privily, and in some need.

3. In case circumstances do so vary a sin, as that many sins are complicated or wrapped up in it, the penalty is to be varied, according to the penalties of those several sins. A single lie may be punished with a less mulct, than if it be told before the judgment seat, or elsewhere, to the damage of any person, whether in his good name, by slander, or in his estate, by detriment in his commerce; in which case, a lie aggravated by circumstances is to be punished with respect both to a lie and to a slander and to the detriment which another sustaineth thereby.

4. In case that the circumstances, which vary the degrees of guilt, concern only the person of the offender, (as whether it were the first offence, or customary, whether he were enticed thereto, or the enticer, whether he were principal or accessory, whether unadvised, or witting or willing, etc.) there it were meet the penalty should be expressed with a latitude, whereof the lowest degree to be expressed (suppose five shillings, or, as the case may be, five stripes) and the highest degree, twenty shillings or, etc., or stripes more or less; within which compass or latitude it may be free to a magistrate to aggravate or mitigate the penalty, etc. Yet even here also care would be taken, that a magistrate attend, in his sentence, as much as may be, to a certain rule in these circumstances, lest some persons, whose sins be alike circumstanced with others, if their punish-

ment be not equal, etc., may think themselves more unequally dealt withal than others.

5. In those cases wherein the judge is persuaded in conscience, that a crime deserveth a greater punishment than the law inflicteth, he may lawfully pronounce sentence according to the prescript penalty, etc., because he hath no power committed to him by law to go higher. But where the law may seem to the conscience of the judge to inflict a greater <sup>207</sup> penalty than the offence deserveth, it is his part to suspend his sentence, till by conference with the lawgivers, he find liberty, either to inflict the sentence, or to mitigate it.

6. The penalties of great crimes may sometimes be mitigated by such as are in chief power, out of respect to the public good service which the delinquent hath done to the state in former times, as Solomon did by Abiathar, 1 Kings 2. 26. 27.

Questions propounded to the elders by the deputies.

1. Whether the governour and assistants have any power by patent to dispense justice in the vacancy of the general court, without some law or order of the same to declare the rule?

The elders' answer was negative; and further, they conceived it meet, the rule should be express for the regulating of all particulars, as far as may be, and where such cannot be had, to be supplied by general rules.

2. Quest. Whether any general court hath not power by patent, in particular cases, to choose any commissioners, (either assistants or freemen,) exempting all others, to give them commission, to set forth their power and places? By "any particular case" we mean in all things, and in the choice of all officers, that the commonwealth stands in need of between election and election; not taking away the people's liberty in elections, nor turning out any officer so elected by them, without showing cause.

The elders answer.

1. If the terms, "all things," imply or intend all cases of constant judicature and counsel, we answer negatively, etc., because then it would follow, that the magistrates might be excluded from all cases of constant judicature and counsel, which are their principal work, whereby also the end of the people's election would be made frustrate.

2. But if these terms, "all things," imply or intend cases (whether occasional or others) belonging neither to constant judicature nor counsel, we answer affirmatively, etc., which yet we understand with this distinction, viz., that if the affairs committed to such officers and commissioners be of general concernment, we conceive the freemen, according to patent, are to choose them, the general court to set forth their power and places; but if they be of merely particular concernment, then we conceive the general court may choose them, and set forth their power and places. Whereas we give cases of constant judicature and council to the magistrates, we thus interpret the word "counsel." Counsel consists of care and action. In respect of care, the magistrates are not limited; in respect of action, they are to be limited by the general court, or by the supreme council. Finally, it is our humble request, that <sup>208</sup> in case any difference grow in the general court, between magistrates and deputies, either in these, or any like weighty cases, which cannot be presently issued with mutual peace, that both parties will be pleased to defer the same to further deliberation for the honor of God and of the court.

Upon other propositions made by the deputies, the elders gave this further answer, viz.

That the general court, consisting of magistrates and deputies, is the chief civil power of this commonwealth, and may act in all things belonging to such a power, both concerning counsel, in consulting about the weighty affairs of the commonwealth, and concerning making of laws, also concerning judicatures, in orderly impeaching, removing, and sentencing any officers, even the highest, according to law, likewise in receiving appeals, whether touching civil or criminal causes, wherein appeals are or shall be allowed by the general court; provided that all such appeals proceed orderly from an inferior court to the court of assistants, and from thence to the general court; or if the case were first depending in the court of assistants, then to proceed from thence to the general court, in all such cases as are appealable, "as in cases judged evidently against law, or in cases wherein the subject is sentenced to banishment, loss of limb, or life, without an express law, or in cases weighty and difficult, (not admitting small matters, the pursuit whereof

"would be more burdensome to the court and country, than be-  
"hovful to the appellant, nor needlessly interrupting the ordi-  
"nary course of justice in the court of assistants, or other infe-  
"rior courts;) provided also, that if it do appear, that the appeal  
"proceed not out of regard of right, but from delay of justice,  
"or out of contention, that a due and just punishment be by law  
"ordained, and inflicted upon such appellant."

That no magistrate hath power to vary from the penalty of any law, etc., without consulting with the general court.

3. Quest. Whether the titles of governour, deputy, and assistants do necessarily imply magistratical authority, in the patent?

The elders' answer was affirmative.

4. Quest. Whether the magistratical power be not given by the patent to the people or general court, and by them to the governour, etc.

The elders answer, the magistratical power is given to the governour, etc., by the patent. To the people is given, by the same patent, to design the persons to those places of government; and to the general court power is given to make laws, as the rules of their administration.

These resolutions of the elders were after put to vote, and were all allowed to be received, except those in the last page marked in the margin thus, " ". Most of the deputies were now well satisfied concerning the authority of the magistrates, etc., but some few leading men (who had drawn on the rest) were still fixed upon their own opinions. So hard a matter it is, to draw men (even wise and godly) from the love of the fruit of their own inventions.

There fell out at this court another occasion of further trouble. The deputy governour having formerly, and from time to time, opposed the deputies' claim of judicial authority, and the prescribing of set penalties in cases which may admit variable degrees of guilt, which occasioned them to suspect, that he, and some others of the magistrates, did affect an arbitrary government, he now wrote a small treatise about these points, showing what arbitrary government was, and that our government (in the state it now stood) was not arbitrary, neither in the ground and foundation of it, nor in the exercise and adminis-

tration thereof. And because it is of public, and (for the most part) of general concernment, and being a subject not formerly handled by any that I have met with, so as it may be of use to stir up some of more experience and more able parts to bestow their pains herein, I have therefore made bold to set down the whole discourse, with the proceedings which happened about it, in a treatise by itself, with some small alterations and additions (not in the substance of the matter) for clearer evidence of the question. And I must apologize this to the reader, that I do not condemn all prescript penalties, although the argument seem to hold forth so much, but only so far as they cross with the rules of justice, and prudence, and mercy; also, in such cases of smaller concernment, as wherein there may be lawful liberty allowed to judges to use admonition, or to respite an offender to further trial of reformation, etc.

At this court Mr. Saltonstall moved very earnestly that he might be left out at the next election, and pursued his motion after to the towns. It could not appear what should move him to it; only Mr. Bellingham and he held together, and joined with the deputies against the rest of the magistrates, but not prevailing, and being oft opposed in public, might put some discouragement upon his spirit, to see all differ from him save one. And indeed it occasioned much grief to all the elders, and gave great offence through the country; and such as were acquainted with other states in the world, and had not well known the persons, would have concluded such a faction here as hath been usual in the council of England and other <sup>\*210</sup> states, who walk by politic principles only. But these gentlemen were such as feared God, and endeavored to walk by the rules of his word in all their proceedings, so as it might be conceived in charity, that they walked according to their judgments and conscience, and where they went aside, it was merely for want of light, or their eyes were held through some temptation for a time, that they could not make use of the light they had; for in all these differences and agitations about them, they continued in brotherly love, and in the exercise of all friendly offices each to other, as occasion required.

One Cornish, dwelling some time in Weymouth, removed to Acomenticus, for more outward accommodation, and in the

[blank] month last was taken up in the river, his head bruised, and a pole sticking in his side, and his canoe laden with clay found sunk. His wife (being a lewd woman, and suspected to have fellowship with one Footman) coming to her husband, he bled abundantly, and so he did also, when Footman was brought to him; but no evidence could be found against him. Then something was discovered against the son of Mr. Hull, their minister, and the woman was arraigned before the mayor, Mr. Roger Garde, and others of the province of Maine, and strong presumptions came in against her, whereupon she was condemned and executed. She persisted in the denial of the murder to the death, but confessed to have lived in adultery with divers. She charged two specially, the said Garde, the mayor, and one Edward Johnson, who confessed it openly at the time of her execution; but the mayor denied it, and it gave some likelihood that he was not guilty, because he had carried himself very zealously and impartially in discovery of the murder. But there might be skill in that; and he was but a carnal man, and had no wife in the country, and some witnesses came in against him of his acknowledgment to the woman, etc.

12. 17.] Mr. Allerton coming from New Haven in a ketch, with his wife and divers other persons, were taken in a great storm at northeast with much snow, and cast away at Scituate, but the persons all saved.

12. 16.] The winter was very mild hitherto, and no snow lay, so as ploughs might go most part of the winter, but now there fell so great a snow in several days, as the ways were unpassable for three weeks, so as the court of assistants held not (the magistrates and juries not coming to Boston (1) 4 being the usual day for that court). And withal the weather was cold, and the frost as fierce as is at any time of the winter; and the snow was not off the ground till the end of the first month.

\*211 1645.] 2. 6.] Two great fires happened this week, one at Salem; Mr. Downing having built a new house at his farm, he being gone to England, and his wife and family gone to the church meeting upon the Lord's day, the chimney took fire, and burnt down the house, and bedding, apparel, and household to the value of 200 pounds. The other was at Rox-

bury this day. John Johnson,<sup>1</sup> the surveyor general of the ammunition, a very industrious and faithful man in his place, having built a fair house in the midst of the town, with divers barns and other out houses, it fell on fire in the day time, (no man knowing by what occasion,) and there being in it seventeen barrels of the country's powder and many arms, all was suddenly burnt and blown up, to the value of 4 or 500 pounds, wherein a special providence of God appeared, for he being from home, the people came together to help, and many were in the house, no man thinking of the powder, till one of the company put them in mind of it, whereupon they all withdrew, and soon after the powder took fire, and blew up all about it, and shook the houses in Boston and Cambridge, so as men thought it had been an earthquake, and carried great pieces of timber a great way off and some rags and such light things beyond Boston meeting house. There being then a stiff gale at south, it drove the fire from the other houses in the town, (for this was the most northerly,) otherwise it had endangered the greatest part of the town. This loss of our powder was the more observable in two respects, 1. Because the court had not taken that care they ought to pay for it, having been owing for divers years; 2. In that, at the court before, they had refused to help our countrymen in Virginia, who had written to us for some for their defence against the Indians, and also to help our brethren of Plimouth in their want.

Mr. Wheelwright being removed from Exeter to Wells, the people remaining fell at variance among themselves. Some would gather a new church, and call old Mr. Batchellor from Hampton to be their pastor, and for that purpose appointed a

---

<sup>1</sup> As he was "chosen constable of *Roxbury*" so early as 19 October, 1630, testified by our Col. Rec. I. 62, we may confidently conclude he came with Winthrop. He was deputy in the first three, fifth, sixth, and seventh courts, and in the eighth came in place of Isaac Heath on 13 December, 1636, five days after opening, and continued to represent the town in all the courts to the fifteenth, except one, after which he is found only in the twenty-first. By his will, made 30 of 7th 1659, proved 15 of 8th in the same year, he gave a dwelling house and lands to wife during her life, and after "unto my five children, to be equally divided, my eldest son having a double portion therein, ACCORDING TO THE WORD OF GOD."

day, and gave notice thereof to the magistrates and churches, but the court, understanding of their divisions and present unfitness for so solemn and sacred a business, sent and <sup>\*212</sup> wrote to them (by way of direction only) to desist for that time, and not to proceed until upon satisfaction given to this court, or the court at Ipswich, of their reconciliation, they might proceed with allowance of authority, according to order. To this they submitted, and did not proceed.

The question about Seacunk, now Rehoboth, being revived this court, whether it should belong to this jurisdiction (upon the submission of the purchasers, etc.) or to Plimouth by right of their patent, the court (by order) referred it to the judgment of the commissioners of the union, who decreed it for Plimouth, with reservation, if better evidence should appear by the next meeting.

Some malignant spirits began to stir, and declare themselves for the king, etc., whereupon an order was made to restrain such courses, and to prevent all such turbulent practices, either by action, word, or writing.

The court ordered letters of thanks to be sent to Mr. Richard Andrews of London, haberdasher, for his gift of 500 pounds, and to the Lady Armine<sup>1</sup> for her gift of 20 pounds per annum, and to the Lady Moulson<sup>2</sup> for her gift, which was done accordingly by the committee appointed.

Upon advice from Mr. Weld, remaining still at London, a commission was sent under the public seal to Mr. Pocock<sup>3</sup> and divers other our friends in London to this effect, 1. To answer for us upon all such occasions as may be presented to the parliament or any other court or officer, concerning us or our affairs, but not to engage us, without our consent, 2. To receive all letters and other despatches of public nature or concern-

<sup>1</sup> She was wife of Sir William Armine, of Osgodby in co. Lincoln, Mary, gr. daughter of George, sixth Earl of Shrewsbury, of the illustrious house of Talbot.

<sup>2</sup> With this lady I have no acquaintance; but the amount of her benefaction, solely by her directed to the college, was a hundred pounds. The government, both of Colony and Province, held it for a great length of years. See Quincy's Hist.

<sup>3</sup> No doubt this is the gentleman, who had been chosen an assistant in May, 1629, but he never came to our country.

ment from us, 3. To advise us of all occurrents as may happen touching our colony, 4. To receive all moneys or other things due to us from any person in England, by gift or otherwise, and to dispose of them by direction under our public seal.

Mr. John Winthrop, the younger, coming from England two years since, brought with him 1000 pounds stock and divers workmen to begin an iron work, and had moved the court for some encouragement to be given the undertakers, and for the court to join in carrying on the work, etc. The business was well approved by the court, as a thing much conduced to the good of the country, but we had no stock in the treasury to give furtherance to it, only some two or three private persons joined in it, and the court granted the adventurers near all their demands, as a monopoly of it for twenty-one years, liberty to make use of any six places not already granted, and to have three miles square in every place to them and their heirs, and freedom from public charges, trainings, etc., and this was now sent them over under the public seal this year.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> In searching for information on this important topic, the earliest I find is, in Boston Rec. I. 68, "at a general towns meeting upon public warning, 19th of 11 mo. 1643.

There is granted unto Mr. John Winthrop, junr., and his partners, and to their heirs and assigns forever, three thousand acres of the common land at Braintry, for the encouragement of an iron-work to be set up about Monocot river: the said 3000 acres to be laid out in the land next adjoining and most convenient for their said iron-work, by the direction of the select townsmen."

Ib. 82. "31 of 11mo. 1647. At a meeting this day of Wm. Colburn, Jacob Eliot, Anthony Stoddard, Thomas Marshall, James Everell, Wm. Davis, James Penn, the three thousand acres of land given by the town towards the encouragement of the iron-works at Braintry is laid out according to order."

Hubbard seems to have known very little of this great undertaking, for he treats the subject, 374-5, in a very slight way. But our colony records, at the court 7 March, 1643-4, contain at great length the orders, which in our text are compressed into few lines. Again, on the 18th of 9th following, very encouraging resolutions were passed by the court, in which notice is taken of the 1000 pounds being "*already disbursed*," and ending with the following: "Mr. John Winthrop is granted the hill at Tantousque about 60 miles westward, in which the black lead is, with liberty to purchase some land there of the Indians." In May following, we find this remarkable order: "Whereas it is now found by sufficient proof, that the iron-work is very successful (both in the richness of

\*214 The court, finding that the over number of deputies drew out the courts into great length, and put the coun-

the ore and the goodness of the iron) and like to be of great benefit to the whole country, especially if the inhabitants here should be interested therein in some good proportion, (one half at the least,) and whereas the time limited for adventurers to come in will be expired in the ninth month next, this court taking the same into serious consideration, and being careful that such an opportunity for so great advantage to the commonwealth might not be let slip, have taken order, that speedy notice thereof shall be given to every town within this jurisdiction, expecting that all such persons, as are of sufficient ability and *intend their own benefit* with the common good, will forthwith appear to come in to share in the work according to their abilities; and for their better instruction and direction herein, they are hereby to understand, that there is already disbursed between 1200 and 1500 pounds, with which the furnace is built with that which belongeth to it and good quantity of mine, coal, and wood provided, and some tuns of sow iron cast, and some other things in readiness for the forge, etc. They are also to know, that no adventurer is to put in less than 100 pounds, but divers may join together to make up that sum, so it come all under one name. There will be need of some 1500 pounds to finish the forge, etc., which will be accepted in money, beaver, wheat, coal, or any such commodities as will satisfy the workmen, and these are to be paid in to Mr. Henry Webb of Boston by such direction as they may receive from the undertakers, Mr. John Winthrop, junr., Major Sedgwick, Mr. Henry Webb aforesaid, and Mr. Joshua Hewes. The new adventurers are also to know, that they must bear their part in such loss as is befallen the first stock by forbearance or otherwise to the time of the new adventurers paying in their adventures; and all such as will adventure are desired to hasten their resolutions, that the work may go on speedily." With this last extract, and a mass of other documents about the iron works at Lynn, I am furnished by my friend, the Rev. Dr. Jenks, whose lineal ancestor, the grandfather of Governour Joseph Jenks of Rhode Island, was probably one of the workmen brought over by Winthrop, junr., as mentioned in the text. From 1646, for several years, honorable mention and encouragement of Joseph Jenks are seen in our colony records, by grants of exclusive privilege of seven and of fourteen years for his ingenious inventions. But my knowledge is inadequate to determine the question, whether the forge alluded to in the court's order of 1645 were at Braintree, or Lynn, or at any other of the six places, with which the adventurers were to be rewarded. It might be hoped that a history of the town of Lynn, for which large preparation was made by A. Lewis, Esquire, would establish the right. Johnson, Lib. III. c. 6. takes notice of the investment by the English undertakers in the work at Braintree; but though more full, he is little more satisfactory than Hubbard. Neither of these writers mention but one place, so that from Hubbard we should learn nothing of Braintree forge, nor from Johnson of Lynn. From some powers of attorney given by the London undertakers, preserved in the Suffolk registry, Vol. III. 155, I

try to excessive charges, so as some one court hath expended more [than] 200 pounds, etc., did think fit to have fewer deputies, and so to have only five or six out of each shire; and because the deputies were still unsatisfied with the magistrates' negative vote, the magistrates consented to lay it down, so as the deputies might not exceed them in number, and those to be the prime men of the country, to be chosen by the whole shires; but they agreed first to know the mind of the country. But upon trial, the greater number of towns refused it, so it was left for this time.<sup>1</sup>

At this court in the third month Passaconaway, the chief sachem of Merimack, and his sons came and submitted themselves and their people and lands under our jurisdiction, as Pumham and others had done before.

Mr. Shepherd, the pastor of the church in Cambridge, being at Connecticut when the commissioners met there for the United Colonies, moved them for some contribution of help towards the maintenance of poor scholars in the college, whereupon the commissioners ordered that it should be commended to the deputies of the general courts and the elders within the several colonies to raise (by way of voluntary contribution) one peck of corn or twelve pence money, or other commodity, of every family, which those of Connecticut presently performed.

\*215

---

find the interest was the same at both places. In the elder colony of Plimouth the celebrated iron works of the family of Leonard were established in 1652, as appears 1 Hist. Coll. III. 170.

<sup>1</sup> And for all succeeding time to the present. The complaint of too numerous a body of representatives was not kindly entertained by the people, who are wisely careful of preserving the right and often indifferent to the use of it. The restriction of each towns' privilege to two, instead of three deputies, had begun in May, 1639, and it is not probable that the whole were more than three dozen, or about one twentieth of the congregation in 1811, or 1812, of which the Editor has strong and sad recollection. The next court after Winthrop's above remarks, we learn from him, the amount was only 33. Perhaps sevenfold that number may hardly be thought too many in our day. However, there seems manifestly a disposition to compare the phalanx of deputies with the upper house; and if the assistants counted less than half, the towns were content. Political arithmetic has a difficult problem to solve: given the number of senators, how many other representatives of the people should be chosen for the co-equal branch.

5. 3.] By order of the general court, upon advice with the elders, a general fast was kept. The occasions were, the miseries of England, and our own differences in the general court, and also for the great drought. In this latter the Lord prevented our prayers in sending us rain soon after, and before the day of humiliation came.

Divers free schools were erected, as at Roxbury (for maintenance whereof every inhabitant bound some house or land for a yearly allowance forever) and at Boston (where they made an order to allow forever 50 pounds to the master and an house, and 30 pounds to an usher, who should also teach to read and write and cipher, and Indians' children were to be taught freely, and the charge to be by yearly contribution, either by voluntary allowance, or by rate of such as refused, etc., and this order was confirmed by the general court [blank]). Other towns did the like, providing maintenance by several means.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> This is not the place to publish, had I leisure, patience, and ability to write, a historical account in an hundred pages of the admirable system of free schools, in which, or I greatly mistake, Massachusetts is superior to all the rest of the world, unless those states, neighboring or remote, who have borrowed from her, may divide the honor. Provision is made by law for the education of every child in the state, and the obligation is as extensively felt by the several towns in their respective districts, as that for the maintenance of roads, or support of the poor, and generally is better obeyed than either of the others. Legal enactment was, I think, first made effectually in 1647, and how precise and comprehensive it was may be seen in *Ancient Charters*, 186. Our fathers probably attempted, without coercion of law, to secure instruction for their children equal to that which themselves had enjoyed in England, and soon perceived the necessity of a sanction for this duty. In the voluntary support of schools perhaps Boston led the way; at least from the *third* surviving page of our records, we find 13 of 2, 1635 a vote "that our brother Philemon Pormort shall be intrusted to become schoolmaster for the teaching and nurturing of children with us." In the admirable history of Boston, by Dr. Caleb H. Snow, p. 348, and in the Prize Book, No. IV. of the Public Latin School in Boston, very full memorials of the origin, course, and progress of these invaluable institutions may be seen. The latter tract is given anew in that interesting periodical work, begun 1826, called "American Journal of Education," p. 209. Pormort was an adherent of Wheelwright, and accompanied him to Exeter in 1638; Maude, who succeeded, was called to Dover, 1642. I shall attempt to add nothing to such labors but this subscription from our town records:

At the end of the first volume is a list with an introduction, of which the first

\*By agreement of the commissioners, and the motions of the elders in their several churches, every family in each colony gave one peck of corn or twelve pence to the college at Cambridge.\*

1. 25.] Another strange accident happened by fire about this time. One Mr. Peck<sup>1</sup> and three others of Hingham, being about with others to remove to Seaconk, (which was concluded by the commissioners of the United Colonies to belong to Plimouth,) riding thither, they sheltered themselves and their horses in an Indian wigwam, which by some occasion took fire, and (although they were all four in it, and labored to their utmost, etc.) burnt three of their horses to death, and all their goods to the value of 50 pounds.

Also some children were killed, and others sore scorched with wearing cloaths of cotton, which was very apt to take fire, and hard to be quenched; so as one man of Watertown being so cloathed, and taking fire by endeavoring to save his house being on fire, was forced to run into a well to save his life.

2. 13.] Mr. Hopkins, the governour of Hartford upon Connecticut, came to Boston, and brought his wife with him, (a

---

line is worn off, but the second is "towards the maintenance of free-schoolmaster for Mr. Daniel Maude, being now also chosen thereunto." In the margin is "12th of the 6th Aug. 1636." "The Governour Mr. Henry Vane, Esq., 10 pounds. The deputy governour Mr. John Winthrop, 10 pounds. Mr. Richard Bellingham 10 pounds. Mr. Wm. Coddington 30 shillings. Mr. Winthrop, junr, 20. Mr. Wm. Hutchinson 20. Mr. Robert Keayne 20. Mr. Thomas Oliver 10. Thomas Leverett 10. Wm. Colborne 10. John Coggeshall 4. John Coggan 20. Robt. Harding 8. 4. John Newgate 10. Richard Tuttle 10. Wm. Aspenwall 8. John Sampford 8. Samuel Cole 10. Wm. Balstone 6. 8. Wm. Brenton —. James Penn 6. 8. Jacob Eliot 6. 8. Nicholas Willys —. Ralph Hudson 10. Wm. Hudson —. Wm. Peirce 20. John Audley 4. John Button 6. Edward Bendall 5. Isaac Grosse 5. Zach. Bosworth 4. Wm. Salter 4. James Penniman 5. John Pemberton 3. John Bigge 4. Sam. Wilbore 10. Mr. Cotton —. Mr. Wilson 20. Richd. Wright 6. 8. Thomas Marshall 6. 8. Wm. Talmage 4. Richard Gridley 4. Thomas Savage 5. Edward Rainsford 5. Edward Hutchinson 4." Several of the subscribers had not been over a year in the country.

<sup>1</sup> An ancient memorandum at Hingham mentions, that Mr. Joseph Peck died at Rehoboth, 22 Dec. 1662, but the very exact contemporary journal of Hobart makes it Thursday, 22 Dec. 1663. But Thursday was the 24th.

godly young woman, and of special parts,) who was fallen into a sad infirmity, the loss of her understanding and reason, which had been growing upon her divers years, by occasion of her giving herself wholly to reading and writing, and had written many books. Her husband, being very loving and tender of her, was loath to grieve her; but he saw his error, when it was too late. For if she had attended her household affairs, and such things as belong to women, and not gone out of her way and calling to meddle in such things as are proper for men, whose minds are stronger, etc., she had kept her wits, and might have improved them usefully and honorably in the place God had set her. He brought her to Boston, and left her with \*217 her brother, one Mr. Yale,<sup>1</sup> a merchant, to try what means might be had here for her. But no help could be had.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Much will be found, in the progress of this volume, of David Yale of Boston, brother of Thomas, who lived at New Haven. This latter was father of Governor Elihu, the great benefactor of the celebrated college, which is honored with his name. President Stiles has commemorated this patron of that flourishing institution in these terms, "Governour Yale descended from an ancient and wealthy family in Wales, which, for many generations, possessed the manor of Plas Grannow, and several other messuages, near the city of Wrexham, of the yearly value of 500 pounds. Thomas Yale, Esquire, the governour's father, for the sake of religion, came over to America, with the first settlers of New Haven, in 1638. Here the governour was born in 1648. He went to England, at the age of about ten years; to the East Indies, at about thirty; acquired a very great estate, was made governour of Fort St. George, and married an Indian lady of fortune, the relict of Governour Hinmers, his predecessor. After his return to London, he was chosen governour of the East India Company, and made liberal donations to the newly founded college at Connecticut. He journeyed into Wales, and died July 8, 1721, at or near the seat of his ancestors." His eldest daughter, Catherine, was married to Dudley North, Esquire, whose only son, Mr. Dudley North, was, in 1789, a member of the parliament, and in possession of the ancestral seat at Glemham. See Holmes's Life of Stiles, 386. David was, probably, driven from Massachusetts by the intolerance of the age, for his estate here was sold by his attorneys; and it has been asserted in Connecticut, since the day of Dr. Stiles, that David was the father of the honored Governour.

<sup>2</sup> Her life was prolonged to December, 1698. See Vol. I. 280, in note. I had intended here to introduce the advice of John Winthrop, jr., on the lady's case, in answer to her husband's application, and extracts from two letters of Governour Hopkins in which he mentions it, that were found in Vol. XIX. of the Trumbull MS. belonging to the Massachusetts Historical Society, but that

The governour and assistants met at Boston, to consider what might lawfully be done for saving La Tour and his fort out of the hands of D'Aulnay, who was now before it with all his strength both of men and vessels. So soon as we were met, word was brought us, that a vessel<sup>1</sup> sent by some merchants to carry provisions to La Tour was fallen into the hands of D'Aulnay, who had made prize of her, and turned the men upon an island, and kept them there ten days, and then gave them an old shallop (not above two tons) and some provisions to bring them home, but denied them their clothes, etc. (which at first he had promised them) and any gun or compass, whereby \*218 it was justly conceived that he intended they should perish, either at sea, or by the Indians (who were at hand, and chased them next day, etc). Upon this news we presently despatched away a vessel to D'Aulnay with letters, wherein we expostulated with him about this act of his, complaining of it as a breach of the articles of our peace, and required the vessel and goods to be restored, or satisfaction for them. We gave answer also to some charges he laid upon us in a letter lately written to our governour, carried on in very high language, as if we had hired the ships, which carried home the lady La Tour, and had broken our articles by a bare sufferance of it, etc., which caused us to answer him accordingly, that he might see we took notice of his proud terms, and were not afraid of him. And whereas he oft threatened us with the king of France his power, etc., we answered that we did acknowledge him to be a mighty prince, but we conceived withal he would continue to be just, and would not break out against us, without hearing our answer, or if he should, yet New England had a God, who was able to save us, and did not use to forsake his servants, etc. So soon as he had set our men upon an island, in a deep snow, without fire, and only a sorry wigwam for their shelter, he carried his ship up close to La Tour's fort (supposing they would have yielded it up to him, for the friars

---

volume perished, with many other treasures, in the sad conflagration of 10 November, 1825.

<sup>1</sup> From the margin of our original MS. we are informed, that it was Grafton's ketch. See also the Commissioners' Acts, in Haz. II. 52.

and other their confederates whom the lady presently upon her arrival had sent away, had persuaded him that he might easily gain the place, La Tour being come into the Bay, and not above fifty men left in it, and little powder, and that decayed also;) but after they had moored their ship, and began to let fly at the fort with their ordnance, they within behaved themselves so well with their ordnance, that they tare his ship so as he was forced to warp her on shore behind a point of land, to save her from sinking, (for the wind coming easterly, they could not bring her forth,) and they killed (as one of his own men reported) twenty of his men, and wounded thirteen more.

[Large blank.]

The governour and assistants had used for ten or eleven years at least to appoint one to preach on the day of election, but about three or four years since the deputies challenged it as their right, and accordingly had twice made the choice, (the magistrates still professing it to be a mere intrusion, etc.,) and now at the last general court in October they had given order to call Mr. Norton to that service, (never acquainting the magistrates therewith,) and about some two months before the time, the governour and divers other of the magistrates (not knowing \*219 any thing of what the deputies had done) agreed upon Mr. Norris of Salem, and gave him notice of it. But at this meeting of the magistrates it grew a question, whether of these two should be employed, seeing both had been invited, and both were prepared. At last it was put to vote, and that determined it upon Mr. Norton. The reason was, the unwillingness of the magistrates to have any fresh occasion of contestation with the deputies. But some judged it a failing (especially in one or two who had already joined in calling Mr. Norris) and a betraying, or at least weakening the power of the magistrates, and a countenancing of an unjust usurpation. For the deputies could do no such act, as an act of court, without the concurrence of the magistrates; and out of court they had no power at all, (but only for regulating their own body,) and it was resolved and voted at last court, (according to the elders' advice,) that all occurrents out of court belong to the

magistrates to take care of, being the standing council of the commonwealth.

One of our ships, which went to the Canaries with pimestaves in the beginning of November last, returned now, and brought wine, and sugar, and salt, and some tobacco, which she had at Barbadoes, in exchange for Africoes, which she carried from the Isle of Maio. She brought us news, that a ship of ours of about 260 tons, set out from Cambridge before winter, was set upon, near the Canaries, by an Irish man-of-war, which had seventy men and twenty pieces of ordnance, whereas ours had but fourteen pieces and not above thirty men, and the Irishman grappled with our ship, and boarded her, and fought with her, side by side, near a whole day, but falling off, a shot of ours had taken off their steerage,<sup>1</sup> so as they could not bring their ship to ours again, but we received a shot under water, which had near sunk our ship, but the Lord preserved her and our men, so as we had but two slain in all that time and some four wounded; but the damage of the ship and her merchandise was between 2 and 300 pounds.

We had tidings also of another of our ships of the like force, set out from Boston, which the Earl of Marlborough had lain in wait for at the Madeiras a good time, and with a ship of great force, but it pleased the Lord to send him away the very day before our ship arrived there.<sup>2</sup>

The wars in England kept servants from coming to us, so as those we had could not be hired, when their times were out, but upon unreasonable terms, and we found it very difficult to pay their wages to their content, (for money was very scarce). I may upon this occasion report a passage<sup>3</sup> between one <sup>220</sup> of Rowley and his servant. The master, being forced to sell a pair of his oxen to pay his servant his wages, told his servant he could keep him no longer, not knowing how

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Holmes, Ann. I. 336, has here, I think, mistaken the meaning of Hubbard, 526, whose transcript from our text is not perfect.

<sup>2</sup> It may be seen, in Vol. I. 229, that the Earl of M. who, of course, was now of the king's party, was father of the Lord Ley, the friend of Gov. Vane.

<sup>3</sup> The word "insolent," being written in the margin, shows us, that the relation between master and servant was in those times such, that a witty reply to an inquiry by the superior was offensive, however appropriate.

to pay him the next year. The servant answered, he would serve him for more of his cattle. But how shall I do (saith the master) when all my cattle are gone? The servant replied, you shall then serve me, and so you may have your cattle again.

A village was erected near Lynn, and called Reading; another village erected between Salem and Gloucester, and called Manchester.

Among other benefactors to this colony, one Union Butcher, a clothier, near Cranbrook in Kent, did (for divers years together, in a private way) send over a good quantity of cloth, to be disposed of to some godly poor people.

The government of Plimouth sent one of their magistrates, Mr. Brown,<sup>1</sup> to Aquiday Island to forbid Mr. Williams, etc., to exercise any of their pretended authority upon the Island, claiming it to be within their jurisdiction.<sup>2</sup>

Our court also sent to forbid them to exercise any authority within that part of our jurisdiction at Patuxent and Mishoomet; and although they had boasted to do great matters there by virtue of their charter, yet they dared not to attempt any thing.

3. 14.] The court of elections was held at Boston. Mr. Thomas Dudley was chosen governour, Mr. Winthrop, deputy governour again, and Mr. Endecott, serjeant major general. Mr. Israel Stoughton,<sup>3</sup> having been in England the year before, and now gone again about his private occasions, was by vote left out, and Herbert Pelham, Esquire, chosen an assistant.

---

<sup>1</sup> John Brown is very honorably mentioned in Morton's Memorial, as having been acquainted with the desert of the pilgrims before they left Leyden. He became an assistant in 1636, and continued to serve in that station, with exception of the year 1646, until 1650. He was often a commissioner of the United Colonies from 1644 to 1655, and died in 1662. A son, James, who lived at Swanzee, was an assistant in 1665.

<sup>2</sup> I rejoice in the defeat of this futile claim by Plimouth, and equally rejoice in the ill success of the attempt by our own people mentioned in the next paragraph.

<sup>3</sup> He had made his will, which appears in Vol. I p. 50 to 57, at great length, when in London, 17 July, 1644. By it he gave 300 acres of land to Harvard College.

This court fell out a troublesome business, which took <sup>221</sup> up much time. The town of Hingham, having one Emes<sup>1</sup> their lieutenant seven or eight years, had lately chosen him to be their captain, and had presented him to the standing council for allowance; but before it was accomplished, the greater part of the town took some light occasion of offence against him, and chose one Allen<sup>2</sup> to be their captain, and presented him to the magistrates (in the time of the last general court) to be allowed. But the magistrates, considering the injury that would hereby accrue to Emes, (who had been their chief commander so many years, and had deserved well in his place, and that Allen had no other skill, but what he learned from Emes,) refused to allow of Allen, but willed both sides to return home, and every officer to keep his place, until the court should take further order. Upon their return home, the messengers, who came for Allen, called a private meeting of those of their own party, and told them truly, what answer they received from the magistrates, and soon after they appointed a training day, (without their lieutenant's knowledge,) and being assembled, the lieutenant hearing of it came to them, and would have exercised them, as he was wont to do, but those of the other party refused to follow him, except he would show them some order for it. He told them of the magistrates' order about it; the others replied, that authority had advised him to go home and lay down his place honorably. Another asked, what the magistrates had to do with them? Another, that it was but three or

---

<sup>1</sup> Eames, whose baptismal name was Anthony, lived at Hingham, I presume, not long after this controversy, in which a great majority revolted from his authority. He had been representative of that town in the general court, 18 April, 1637, as also in the two next courts, and three times afterwards, but not later than March, 1638-9.

<sup>2</sup> Bozoun Allen, some few years after, removed to Boston, where he was engaged in trade. His will is preserved in our Prob. Rec. L 88, made 9 Sept. 1652, he died 14, and his inventory is sworn to 22 of same month. The controversy seems not to have lessened his influence, for he was several times a representative, and next year was, with Eames, chosen a commissioner to end small causes in Hingham. In 1700, his son, Besoun Allen, born 13 February, 1658, was a representative of Boston. But a peculiar interest was at work, which brought in the whole delegation, and this person served only that year.

four of the magistrates, and if they had been all there, it had been nothing, for Mr. Allen had brought more for them from the deputies, than the lieutenant had from the magistrates. Another of them professeth he will die at the sword's point, if he might not have the choice of his own officers. Another (viz. the clerk of the band) stands up above the people, and \*222 requires them to vote, whether they would bear them out in what was past and what was to come. This being assented unto, and the tumult continuing, one of the officers (he who had told them that authority had advised the lieutenant to go home and lay down his place) required Allen to take the captain's place; but he not then accepting it, they put it to the vote, whether he should be their captain. The vote passing for it, he then told the company, it was now past question, and thereupon Allen accepted it, and exercised the company two or three days, only about a third part of them followed the lieutenant. He, having denied in the open field, that authority had advised him to lay down his place, and putting (in some sort) the lie upon those who had so reported, was the next Lord's day called to answer it before the church, and he standing to maintain what he had said, five witnesses were produced to convince him. Some of them affirmed the words, the others explained their meaning to be, that one magistrate had so advised him. He denied both. Whereupon the pastor, one \*223 Mr. Hubbert,<sup>1</sup> (brother to three of the principal in this sedition,) was very forward to have excommunicated the

---

<sup>1</sup> Peter Hobart, near forty-five years the pastor, and for the larger part of the same time pastor and teacher of the church of Hingham, where he settled in September, 1635, says in his diary he arrived with his wife and four children in New England 8 June of that year. No doubt his voyage was in one of those seven ships mentioned Vol. I. 161. He was bred at Magdalen Coll. in the university at Cambridge, had his degrees in 1625 and 1629, and happily outlived the evils that for a period darkened his reputation. He had a celebrated progeny of divines, viz. Joshua, born in England, H. C. 1650, settled as a minister at Southold, Long Island, died February, 1717, aged 89, Jeremiah, born in England, H. C. 1650, first settled as a minister at Topsfield, Massachusetts, afterwards at Haddam, in Connecticut, 14 November, 1700, died 6 November, 1715; Gershom, born at Hingham, December, 1645, H. C. 1667, settled as a minister at Groton, Massachusetts, 26 November, 1679, died 19 December, 1707; Japhet, born April, 1647, H. C. 1667, was em-

lieutenant presently, but, upon some opposition, it was put off to the next day. Thereupon the lieutenant and some three or four more of the chief men of the town inform four of the next magistrates of these proceedings, who forthwith met at Boston about it, (viz. the deputy governour, the serjeant major general, the secretary, and Mr. Hibbins). These, considering the case, sent warrant to the constable to attach some of the principal offenders (viz. three of the Hubbards and two more) to appear before them at Boston, to find sureties for their appearance at the next court, etc. Upon the day they came to Boston, but their said brother the minister came before them, and fell to expostulate with the said magistrates about the said cause, complaining against the complainants, as talebearers, etc., taking it very disdainfully that his brethren should be sent for by a constable, with other high speeches, which were so

---

ployed, it is said, as surgeon of a ship bound to England, and was lost at sea; Nehemiah, born November, 1648, H. C. 1667, was settled as a minister at Newtown, Massachusetts, 28 December, 1674, died 25 August, 1712. Their influence perhaps secured the father honorable mention in the *Magnalia*, Lib. III. c. 27. As usual, Mather proves his kindness more than his accuracy, for he speaks of Hobart as having been a minister at Haverhill in England, and without hesitation affirms, that he was earnestly invited to return thither, after he had been here some years. Hobart's own journal does not encourage such a representation, and all other old writings in our Hingham uniformly claim the derivation of the pastor and flock from the village of the same name in Norfolk. This is, probably, a mere blunder, for the ecclesiastical historian, as he has sometimes been absurdly called, has repeated correctly some things, as that he was born 1604, and died 20 January, 1678-9. Mather says, he took ship in the summer of 1635, when we know it was in April, and he adds, that on arriving at Charlestown, "he found his desired relations got safe there before him." But his father had been here nearly two years, and two of his brothers, at least, not less than one year, so that he, no doubt, had letters from them before leaving home. From Mather too we might be in doubt, whether he had "four or five" sons in the ministry, though the author had certainly inquired of one of them. Such is the customary laxness of the *Magnalia*. Descendants of this pilgrim father are very numerous. A grandson, Nehemiah, born 27 April, 1697, H. C. 1714, was ordained first pastor of the second church in Hingham, now Cohasset, 13 December, 1721, died 31 May, 1740. Another grandson, brother of the last named, Noah, born 2 January, 1705, H. C. 1724, was settled as a minister at Fairfield, Connecticut, 7 February, 1732, died 6 December, 1773. He married, 22 September, 1735, Ellen Sloss, and was father of the late Judge Hobart of New York.

provoking, as some of the magistrates told him, that, were it not for respect to his ministry, they would commit him. When his brethren and the rest were come in, the matters of the information were laid to their charge, which they denied for the most part. So they were bound over (each for other) to the next court of assistants. After this five others were sent for by summons (these were only for speaking untruths of the magistrates in the church). They came before the deputy governour, when he was alone, and demanded the cause of their sending for, and to know their accusers. The deputy told them so much of the cause as he could remember, and referred them to the secretary for a copy, and for their accusers he told them they knew both the men and the matter, neither was a judge bound to let a criminal offender know his accusers before the day of trial, but only in his own discretion, least the accuser might be taken off or perverted, etc. Being required to give bond for their appearance, etc., they refused. The deputy labored to let them see their error, and gave them time to consider of it. About fourteen days after, seeing two of them in the court, (which was kept by those four magistrates for smaller causes,) \*224 the deputy required them again to enter bond for their appearance, etc., and upon their second refusal committed them in that open court.

The general court falling out before the court of assistants, the Hubborts and the two which were committed, and others of Hingham, about ninety, (whereof Mr. Hubbert their minister was the first,) presented a petition to the general court, to this effect, that whereas some of them had been bound over, and others committed by some of the magistrates for words spoken concerning the power of the general court, and their liberties, and the liberties of the church, etc., they craved that the court would hear the cause, etc. This was first presented to the deputies, who sent it to the magistrates, desiring their concurrence with them, that the cause might be heard, etc. The magistrates, marvelling that they would grant such a petition, without desiring conference first with themselves, whom it so much concerned, returned answer, that they were willing the cause should be heard, so as the petitioners would name the magistrates whom they intended, and the matters they would

lay to their charge, etc. Upon this the deputies demanded of the petitioners' agents (who were then deputies of the court) to have satisfaction in those points, thereupon they singled out the deputy governour, and two of the petitioners undertook the prosecution. Then the petition was returned again to the magistrates for their consent, etc., who being desirous that the deputies might take notice, how prejudicial to authority and the honor of the court it would be to call a magistrate to answer criminally in a cause, wherein nothing of that nature could be laid to his charge, and that without any private examination preceding, did intimate so much to the deputies, (though not directly, yet plainly enough,) showing them that nothing criminal, etc. was laid to his charge, and that the things objected were the act of the court, etc., yet if they would needs have a hearing, they would join in it. And indeed it was the desire of the deputy, (knowing well how much himself and the other magistrates did suffer in the cause, through the slanderous reports wherewith the deputies and the country about had been possessed,) that the cause might receive a public hearing.

The day appointed being come, the court assembled in the meeting house at Boston. Divers of the elders were present, and a great assembly of people. The deputy governour, coming in with the rest of the magistrates, placed himself beneath within the bar, and so sate uncovered. Some question was in the court about his being in that place (for many both of the court and the assembly were grieved at it). But the deputy telling them, that, being criminally accused, he might not sit as a judge in that cause, and if he were upon the bench, it \*225 would be a great disadvantage to him, for he could not take that liberty to plead the cause, which he ought to be allowed at the bar, upon this the court was satisfied.

The petitioners having declared their grievances, etc., the deputy craved leave to make answer, which was to this effect, viz., that he accounted it no disgrace, but rather an honor put upon him, to be singled out from his brethren in the defence of a cause so just (as he hoped to make that appear) and of so public concernment. And although he might have pleaded to the petition, and so have demurred in law, upon three points,

1, In that there is nothing laid to his charge, that is either criminal or unjust; 2, if he had been mistaken either in the law or in the state of the case, yet whether it were such as a judge is to be called in question for as a delinquent, where it doth not appear to be wickedness or wilfulness; for in England many erroneous judgments are reversed, and errors in proceedings rectified, and yet the judges not called in question about them; 3, in that being thus singled out from three other of the magistrates, and to answer by himself for some things, which were the act of a court, he is deprived of the just means of his defence, for many things may be justified as done by four, which are not warrantable if done by one alone, and the records of a court are a full justification of any act, while such record stands in force. But he was willing to waive this plea, and to make answer to the particular charges, to the end that the truth of the case, and of all proceedings thereupon might appear to all men.

Hereupon the court proceeded to examine the whole cause. The deputy justified all the particulars laid to his charge, as that upon credible information of such a mutinous practice, and open disturbance of the peace, and slighting of authority, the offenders were sent for, the principal by warrant to the constable to bring them, and others by summons, and that some were bound over to the next court of assistants, and others that refused to be bound were committed; and all this according to the equity of laws here established, and the custom and laws of England, and our constant practice here these fifteen years. And for some speeches he was charged with as spoken to the delinquents, when they came before him at his house, when none were present with him but themselves, first, he appealed to the judgment of the court, whether delinquents may be received as competent witnesses against a magistrate in such a case; then, for the words themselves, some he justified, some he explained so as no advantage could be taken of them, as that he should say, that the magistrates could try some criminal causes without a jury, that he knew no law of God or man, which required a judge to make known to the party his accusers (or rather witnesses) before the cause came to hearing. But two of them charged him to have said, that it

was against the law of God and man so to do, which had been absurd, for the deputy professed he knew no law against it, only a judge may sometimes, in discretion, conceal their names, etc., least they should be tampered with, or conveyed out of the way, etc.

Two of the magistrates and many of the deputies were of opinion that the magistrates exercised too much power, and that the people's liberty was thereby in danger; and other of the deputies (being about half) and all the rest of the magistrates were of a different judgment, and that authority was overmuch slighted, which, if not timely remedied, would endanger the commonwealth, and bring us to a mere democracy. By occasion of this difference, there was not so orderly carriage at the hearing, as was meet, each side striving unseasonably to enforce the evidence, and declaring their judgments thereupon, which should have been reserved to a more private debate, (as after it was,) so as the best part of two days was spent in this public agitation and examination of witnesses, etc. This being ended, a committee was chosen of magistrates and deputies, who stated the case, as it appeared upon the whole pleading and evidence, though it cost much time, and with great difficulty did the committee come to accord upon it.

The case being stated and agreed, the magistrates and deputies considered it apart, first the deputies, having spent a whole day, and not attaining to any issue, sent up to the magistrates to have their thoughts about it, who taking it into consideration, (the deputy always withdrawing when that matter came into debate,) agreed upon these four points chiefly; 1. That the petition was false and scandalous, 2. That those who were bound over, etc., and others that were parties to the disturbance at Hingham, were all offenders, though in different degrees, 3. That they and the petitioners were to be censured, 4. That the deputy governour ought to be acquit and righted, etc. This being sent down to the deputies, they spent divers days about it, and made two or three returns to the magistrates, and though they found the petition false and scandalous, and so voted it, yet they would not agree to any censure. The magistrates, on the other side, were resolved for censure, and for the deputy's full acquittal. The deputies being thus hard held to it, and

growing weary of the court, for it began (3) 14, and brake not up (save one week) till (5) 5, were content they should pay the <sup>227</sup> charges of the court. After, they were drawn to consent

to some small fines, but in this they would have drawn in lieutenant Emes to have been fined deeply, he being neither plaintiff nor defendant, but an informer only, and had made good all the points of his information, and no offence found in him, other than that which was after adjudged worthy admonition only; and they would have imposed the charges of the court upon the whole trained band at Hingham, when it was apparent, that divers were innocent, and had no hand in any of these proceedings. The magistrates not consenting to so manifest injustice, they sent to the deputies to desire them to join with them in calling in the help of the elders, (for they were now assembled at Cambridge from all parts of the United Colonies, and divers of them were present when the cause was publicly heard, and declared themselves much grieved to see that the deputy governour should be called forth to answer as a delinquent in such a case as this was, and one of them, in the name of the rest, had written to him to that effect, fearing least he should apprehend over deeply of the injury, etc.) but the deputies would by no means consent thereto, for they knew that many of the elders understood the cause, and were more careful to uphold the honor and power of the magistrates than themselves well liked of, and many of them (at the request of the elder and others of the church of Hingham during this court) had been at Hingham, to see if they could settle peace in the church there, and found the elder and others the petitioners in great fault, etc. After this (upon motion of the deputies) it was agreed to refer the cause to arbitrators, according to an order of court, when the magistrates and deputies cannot agree, etc. The magistrates named six of the elders of the next towns, and left it to them to choose any three or four of them, and required them to name six others. The deputies finding themselves now at the wall, and not daring to trust the elders with the cause, they sent to desire that six of themselves might come and confer with the magistrates, which being granted, they came, and at last came to this agreement, viz., the chief petitioners and the rest of the offenders were severally

fined, (all their fines not amounting to 50 pounds,) the rest of the petitioners to bear equal share to 50 pounds more towards the charges of the court, (two of the principal offenders were the deputies of the town, Joshua Hubbert and Bozone Allen, the first was fined 20 pounds, and the other 5 pounds,) lieutenant Emes to be under admonition, the deputy governour to be legally and publicly acquit of all that was laid to his charge.

According to this agreement, (5) 3, presently after the lecture the magistrates and deputies took their places in the <sup>\*228</sup> meeting house, and the people being come together, and the deputy governour placing himself within the bar, as at the time of the hearing, etc., the governour read the sentence of the court, without speaking any more, for the deputies had (by importunity) obtained a promise of silence from the magistrates. Then was the deputy governour desired by the court to go up and take his place again upon the bench, which he did accordingly, and the court being about to arise, he desired leave for a little speech, which was to this effect.

*"I suppose something may be expected from me, upon this charge that is befallen me, which moves me to speak now to you; yet I intend not to intermeddle in the proceedings of the court, or with any of the persons concerned therein. Only I bless God, that I see an issue of this troublesome business. I also acknowledge the justice of the court, and, for mine own part, I am well satisfied, I was publicly charged, and I am publicly and legally acquitted, which is all I did expect or desire. And though this be sufficient for my justification before men, yet not so before the God, who hath seen so much amiss in my dispensations (and even in this affair) as calls me to be humble. For to be publicly and criminally charged in this court, is matter of humiliation, (and I desire to make a right use of it,) notwithstanding I be thus acquitted. If her father had spit in her face, (saith the Lord concerning Miriam,) should she not have been ashamed seven days? Shame had lien upon her, whatever the occasion had been. I am unwilling to stay you from your urgent affairs, yet give me leave (upon this special occasion) to speak a little more to this assembly. It may be of some good use, to inform and rectify the judgments of some of the people, and may prevent such distempers as have arisen*

amongst us. [ The great questions that have troubled the country, are about the authority of the magistrates and the liberty of the people. It is yourselves who have called us to this office, and being called by you, we have our authority from God, in way of an ordinance, such as hath the image of God eminently stamped upon it, the contempt and violation whereof hath been vindicated with examples of divine vengeance. I entreat you to consider, that when you choose magistrates, you take them from among yourselves, men subject to like passions as you are. Therefore when you see infirmities in us, you should reflect upon your own, and that would make you bear the more with us, and not be severe censurers of the failings of your magistrates, when you have continual experience of the like infirmities in yourselves and others. We account him a <sup>229</sup> good servant, who breaks not his covenant. The covenant between you and us is the oath you have taken of us, which is to this purpose, that we shall govern you and judge your causes by the rules of God's laws and our own, according to our best skill. When you agree with a workman to build you a ship or house, etc., he undertakes as well for his skill as for his faithfulness, for it is his profession, and you pay him for both. But when you call one to be a magistrate, he doth not profess nor undertake to have sufficient skill for that office, nor can you furnish him with gifts, etc., therefore you must run the hazard of his skill and ability. But if he fail in faithfulness, which by his oath he is bound unto, that he must answer for. If it fall out that the case be clear to common apprehension, and the rule clear also, if he transgress here, the error is not in the skill, but in the evil of the will: it must be required of him. But if the case be doubtful, or the rule doubtful, to men of such understanding and parts as your magistrates are, if your magistrates should err here, yourselves must bear it.

For the other point concerning liberty, I observe a great mistake in the country about that. There is a twofold liberty, natural (I mean as our nature is now corrupt) and civil or federal. The first is common to man with beasts and other creatures. By this, man, as he stands in relation to man simply, hath liberty to do what he lists; it is a liberty to evil as well as to good. This liberty is incompatible and incon-

sistent with authority, and cannot endure the least restraint of the most just authority. The exercise and maintaining of this liberty makes men grow more evil, and in time to be worse than brute beasts : *omnes sumus licentia deteriores.* This is that great enemy of truth and peace, that wild beast, which all the ordinances of God are bent against, to restrain and subdue it. The other kind of liberty I call civil or federal, it may also be termed moral, in reference to the covenant between God and man, in the moral law, and the politic covenants and constitutions, amongst men themselves. This liberty is the proper end and object of authority, and cannot subsist without it ; and it is a liberty to that only which is good, just, and honest. This liberty you are to stand for, with the hazard (not only of your goods, but) of your lives, if need be. Whatsoever crosseth this, is not authority, but a distemper thereof. This liberty is maintained and exercised in a way of subjection to authority ; it is of the same kind of liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free. The woman's own choice makes such a man her husband ; yet being so chosen, he is her lord, and she is to be subject to him, yet in a way of liberty, not of bondage ; and a true wife accounts her subjection her honor and freedom, and would not think her condition safe and free, but in her subjection to <sup>230</sup> her husband's authority. Such is the liberty of the church under the authority of Christ, her king and husband ; his yoke is so easy and sweet to her as a bride's ornaments ; and if through frowardness or wantonness, etc., she shake it off, at any time, she is at no rest in her spirit, until she take it up again ; and whether her lord smiles upon her, and embraceth her in his arms, or whether he frowns, or rebukes, or smites her, she apprehends the sweetness of his love in all, and is refreshed, supported, and instructed by every such dispensation of his authority over her. On the other side, ye know who they are that complain of this yoke and say, let us break their bands, etc., we will not have this man to rule over us. Even so, brethren, it will be between you and your magistrates. If you stand for your natural corrupt liberties, and will do what is good in your own eyes, you will not endure the least weight of authority, but will murmur, and oppose, and be always striving to shake off that yoke ; but if you will be satisfied to enjoy

such civil and lawful liberties, such as Christ allows you, then will you quietly and cheerfully submit unto that authority which is set over you, in all the administrations of it, for your good. Wherein, if we fail at any time, we hope we shall be willing (by God's assistance) to hearken to good advice from any of you, or in any other way of God; so shall your liberties be preserved, in upholding the honor and power of authority amongst you.<sup>1</sup>

The deputy governour having ended his speech, the court arose, and the magistrates and deputies retired to attend their other affairs. Many things were observable in the agitation and proceedings about this case. It may be of use to leave a memorial of some of the most material, that our posterity and others may behold the workings of satan to ruin the colonies and churches of Christ in New England, and into what distempers a wise and godly people may fall in times of temptation; and when such have entertained some false and plausible principles, what deformed superstructures they will raise there-upon, and with what unreasonable obstinacy they will maintain them.

Some of the deputies had seriously conceived, that the magistrates affected an arbitrary government, and that they had (or sought to have) an unlimited power to do what they pleased without control, and that, for this end, they did strive so much to keep their negative power in the general court. This caused them to interpret all the magistrates' actions and speeches (not complying exactly with their own principles) as tending that way, by which occasions their fears and jealousies increased daily. For prevention whereof they judged it not

<sup>\*231</sup> unlawful to use even extrema remedia, as if salus populi had been now the transcendant rule to walk by, and that magistracy must be no other, in effect, than a ministerial office, and all authority, both legislative, consultative, and judicial, must be exercised by the people in their body representative. Hereupon they labored, equis et velis, to take away the negative vote. Failing of that, they pleaded that the magistrates had

---

<sup>1</sup> Mather had this speech before him in the author's writing, and how miserably he copied it, is seen in the Magn. II. 13.

no power out of the general court, but what must be derived from the general court; and so they would have put upon them commissions, for what was to be done in the vacancy of the general court, and some of themselves to be joined with the magistrates, and some of the magistrates left out. This not being yielded unto, recourse was had to the elders for advice, and the case stated, with incredible wariness; but the elders casting the cause against them, (as is before declared,) they yet believed, (or at least would that others should,) that the elders' advice was as much for them in their sense as for the magistrates, (and if it were, they had no cause to shun the advice of the elders, as they have seemed to do ever since). This project not prevailing, the next is, for such a body of laws, with pre-scrip<sup>t</sup> penalties in all cases, as nothing might be left to the discretion of the magistrates, (while in the mean time there is no fear of any danger in reserving a liberty for their own discretion in every case,) many laws are agreed upon, some are not assented unto by the magistrates not finding them just. Then is it given out, that the magistrates would have no laws, etc. This gave occasion to the deputy governour to write that treatise about arbitrary government, which he first tendered to the deputies in a model, and finding it approved by some, and silence in others, he drew it up more at large, and having advised with most of the magistrates and elders about it, he intended to have presented it orderly to the court. But to prevent that, the first day of the court, the deputies had gotten a copy, which was presently read amongst them as a dangerous libel of some unknown author, and a committee was presently appointed to examine it, many false and dangerous things were collected out of it, all agreed and voted by them, and sent up to the magistrates for their assent, not seeming all this time to take any notice of the author, nor once moving to have his answer about it, for they feared that his place in the council would have excused him from censure, as well as the like had done Mr. Saltonstall for his book against the standing council not long before. But if they could have prevailed to have had the book censured, this would have weakened his reputation with the people; and so if one of their opposite had been removed, it would somewhat have facilitated their way to what they intended; but

•232 this not succeeding as they expected, they kept it in de-  
posito till some fitter season. In this time divers occasions  
falling out, wherein the magistrates had to do in the vacancy  
of the general court, as the French business, the seizure of the  
Bristol ship by Captain Stagg, and of the Dartmouth ship by  
ourselves, as is before related, and other affairs, they would still  
declare their judgments contrary to the magistrates' practice ;  
and if the event did not answer the counsel, (though it had  
been interrupted by themselves or others,) there needed no  
other ground to condemn the counsel ; all which tended still to  
weaken the authority of the magistrates, and their reputation  
with the people.

Then fell out the Hingham case, which they eagerly laid  
hold on, and pursued to the utmost, for they doubted not but  
they could now make it appear, either that the magistrates  
had abused their authority, or else that their authority was too  
great to consist with the people's liberty, and therefore ought to  
be reduced within narrower bounds. In pursuit whereof it may  
be observed,

1. That a cause, orderly referred to a trial, at a court of as-  
sistants, should be taken into the general court, before it had  
received a due proceeding in the proper court ; the like having  
never been done before, nor any law or order directing thereto,  
but rather the contrary.

2. That a scandalous petition against some of the magistrates  
should be received by the deputies, and the magistrates often  
pressed to consent to a judicial hearing, and to give way that  
the deputy governour should be called to answer thereupon, as  
a delinquent, before any examination were first privately had,  
about the justice of the cause.

3. That the testimony, in writing, of the three chiefest officers  
of the commonwealth (in a case properly committed to their  
trust) should be rejected, by a considerable part of the court, as  
a thing of no credit.

4. That the same part of the court should vote manifest con-  
tradictions, and require assent to both.

5. That being clearly convinced, that the petition was false  
and scandalous, and so voted, they should yet professedly refuse  
to assent to any due censure.

6. That they should receive the testimony of two of those whom themselves judged delinquents and false accusers, and thereupon judge him, the deputy governour, an offender in words, against his own protestation, and other testimony concurring, and that in a matter of no moment, and against common reason, to be either spoken by him, or believed by others, in such sense as they were charged upon him.

7. That a mutinous and seditious practice, carried on <sup>\*233</sup> with an high hand, to the open contempt of authority, attempting to make division in the town, and a dangerous rent in the highest court of the jurisdiction, should (by such a considerable part of the same court, looked at by others as the choice of the country for piety, prudence, and justice) be accounted as worthy of no censure, and in the conclusion not valued at so high a rate, as some offences have been of private concernment arising of common infirmity.

8. That this practice should hold forth an apprehension, that liberty and authority are incompatible, in some degrees; so as no other way can be found to preserve the one, but by abasing and abating the honor and power of the other.

9. That being entrusted with the care and means of the country's prosperity, we should waste our time and their estates and our own (for the charges of this court came to 300 pounds) in such agitations as tend only to the discountenancing and interrupting the ordinary means of our welfare.

10. That while we sympathize with our native country in their calamities, and confess our own compliance with them in the provocations of God's wrath, (as in many days of humiliation, and one even in the time of this court,) we should be hastening by all our skill and power to bring the like miseries upon ourselves.

11. That Bozon Allen, one of the deputies of Hingham, and a delinquent in that common cause, should be publicly convict of divers false and reproachful speechēs published by him concerning the deputy governour, and the book he wrote about arbitrary government, as that it was worse than Gorton's letters, that it should be burnt under the gallows, that if some other of the magistrates had written it, it would have cost him his ears, if not his head, and other like speeches, and no cen-

sure set upon him for this, only he was fined 5 pounds among others, for their offences in general.

12. It is observable, that the deputies, being so divided, (for of thirty-three there was only the odd man who carried it in most of their votes,) remembered at length a law they had agreed to in such cases, viz., that in causes of judicature they would not proceed without taking an oath, etc., whereupon the most of them took it among themselves, (quaere, quo jure?) but five of them came to the magistrates, who administered the oath to them.<sup>1</sup>

\*234 We had intelligence from Pascataquack of a French ship of 200 tons, full of men, which hovered up and down, and would not take harbor, though a pilot had been offered

---

<sup>1</sup> An unusual fairness, for a party, whose feelings had been so much engaged in the controversy, and who had been accused of permitting those feelings to give force to an erroneous judgment, is here shown by our author. The narrative is, I am satisfied, as nearly impartial as can ever be expected from the most honest and enlightened contemporary, were he an observer only, instead of a mover, of the occurrences. I have turned, however, to the records, especially the volume of the deputies' proceedings, and for some forms and some details, I trust, the following extracts will be considered worth transcription.

Vol. III. p. 10. "Several inhabitants of the town of Hingham, to the number of 81, petitioning this court for a redress of several evils which in their petition they complained of, as of their liberties being infringed, etc., amongst them, Joshua Hubbard, John Faulsham, and John Tour, laying a charge on the deputy governour for illegal imprisoning of some of them, and forcing the first with others to give in bond with sureties to appear and answer at the next quarter court what should be laid against him and them. The petition was read and their request granted, that the whole cause should be heard, and tried. The parties above named laid their charge above mentioned on the day of trial on John Winthrop, Esq., deputy governour, who gave his answer, whereon the court proceeded to judgment."

Ib. p. 16-28 June. "The house of deputies did voluntarily enter into an oath of God, verbatim to the oath in the court's records, to deal uprightly in Hingham case, except Captain Keayne, Lieutenant Atherton, John Johnson, Thomas Lyne, and William Parkes, who did take their oaths in this case before the magistrates. Witness Increase Nowell, Secretary."

The *first* order of the magistrates is, as follows: "fined the persons after named at such sums as hereafter are expressed, having been as moderate and gone as low as they any ways could with the holding up of authority in any measure, and the maintenance of justice, desiring the concurrence of the deputies herein, that at length an end may be put to this long and tedious business.

them by a fisher's boat of Isle of Shoals; whereupon all concluded it was Monsieur D'Aulnay lying in wait for La <sup>235</sup> Tour, and the wind continuing easterly, we had intelligence from Plimouth, that she was imbayed near Sandwich

Joshua Hubbard is fined	L.20.00.00	} L.155.10
Edmond Hubbard,	5.00.00	
Thomas Hubbard,	2.00.00	
Edmond Gold,	1.00.00	
John Faulshame,	20.00.00	
John Towers,	5.00.00	
Daniel Cushing,	2.10.00	
William Hersey,	10.00.00	
Mr. Bozon Allen,	10.00.00	
Mr. Peter Hubbard, that first subscribed the petition,	2.00.00	

All the rest of the petitioners, being 81, out of which number, are excepted three, viz., Mr. Peter Hubbard, John Foulshame and John Towers, the rest making 78, are fined 20 shillings a piece, the sum of which is

We have also voted, that according to the order of the general court, for so long time as their cause hath been in handling, the petitioners shall bear the charge of the general court, the sum of which costs is to be cast up and agreed by the court, when the cause is finished."

"The house of deputies having issued the Hingham business before the judgment of our honored magistrates upon the case came down, they have hereunder expressed, their determinate censures upon such as they find delinquent in the case, viz.

Joshua Hubbard is fined	L.20.00.00	} L.50
Anthony Eames,	5.00.00	
Thomas Hubbard,	4.00.00	
Edmond Hubbard,	10.00.00	
Daniel Cushan,	4.00.00	
William Hersey,	4.00.00	
Mr. Allen, beside his proportion with the train, band,	1.00.00	
Edmond Gold,	2.00.00	

The rest of the train band of Hingham, that have an equal vote allowed them by law for the choice of their military officers, are fined 55 pounds to be paid by equal proportion, the which said sums of 50 and 55 pounds are laid upon the said delinquents for the satisfying of the charge of the court occasioned by the hearing of the cause, in case the said charge shall arise to the sum of 105 pounds. The deputies desire the consent of the magistrates herein."

Several discordant votes passed each branch, before the business was brought to its close, as related in our text.

Joshua, Edmond, and Thomas Hobart were brothers of Rev. Peter, and the first, then and often afterwards, till 1681, a representative. He died 28 July, 1682. Daniel Cushing was a person of distinguished service in the town, was often representative before 1695, and especially deserving of regard for the manner in which he filled the office of town clerk from 1669 to 1700.

among the Shoals. The court consulted what was to be done. Some advised to take no notice of her, lest, if we should send out to her, we should be necessitated (in common courtesy) to invite him to Boston, and so put ourselves to a needless charge and interruption in our business; for being but one ship, there was no fear of any danger, etc. But the major part prevailed to send out two shallopss and the letter which we had ready to send to him; but before the shallopss could get out, she was gone, and it was found after to be a fishing ship, which had lost her way, by contrary winds, etc.

I should have mentioned in the Hingham case, what care and pains many of the elders had taken to reconcile the differences which were grown in that church. Mr. Hubbert, the pastor there, being of a Presbyterial spirit, did manage all affairs without the church's advice, which divers of the congregation not liking of, they were divided in two parts. Lieutenant Emes, etc., having complained to the magistrates, as is before expressed, Mr. Hubbert, etc., would have cast him out of the church, pretending that he had told a lie, whereupon they procured the elders to write to the church, and so did some of the magistrates also, whereupon they stayed proceeding against the lieutenant for a day or two. But he and some twelve more of them, perceiving he was resolved to proceed, and finding no way of reconciliation, they withdrew from the church, and openly declared it in the congregation. This course the elders

\*236 did not approve of. But being present in the court, when their petition against the deputy governour was heard, Mr. Hubbert, perceiving the cause was like to go against him and his party, desired the elders to go to Hingham to mediate a reconciliation (which he would never hearken to before, being earnestly sought by the other party, and offered by the elders) in the interim of the court's adjournment for one week. They readily accepted the motion, and went to Hingham, and spent two or three days there, and found the pastor and his party in great fault, but could not bring him to any acknowledgment. In their return by water, they were kept twenty-four hours in the boat, and were in great danger by occasion of a tempest which arose in the night; but the Lord preserved them.

This year the Trial of Boston arrived from London, and

brought many useful commodities from thence and from Holland. She had been preserved in divers most desperate dangers, having been on ground upon the sands by Flushing, and again by Dover, and in great tempests; but the Lord delivered him beyond expectation. Here arrived about ten ships more, (one of our own called the Endeavor of Cambridge,) which brought store of linen, woollen, shoes, stockings, and other useful commodities, so as we had plenty of all things, and divers of the ships took pay in wheat, rye, peas, etc., so as there went out of the country this year about 20,000 bushels of corn. Yet it was feared no ships would have come to us, because we had suffered the Bristol and Dartmouth ships to be taken in our harbor.

The parliament also had made an ordinance to free all goods from custom, which came to New England, which caused the magistrates to dispense with an order, made the last general court, for all ships to pay sixpence the ton, which we freed all parliament ships from; and good reason, for by that order we might have gotten 20 or 30 pounds this year, and by the ordinance of parliament we saved 3 or 400 pounds.

When one of the ships came near Cape Ann, 20 (6) 45, an hour and a half before night, there appeared to all the company a sun near the horizon, more bright than the true sun, (which was seen above it,) which continued near an hour, there being a small cloud between the true sun and that. This was affirmed by divers persons of credit, who were of this country and then in the ship. But it was not seen by any upon the shore. Captain Wall was master of the ship.

The merchants of Boston sent a pinnace the last winter to trade in Delaware Bay. She traded upon Maryland side, and had gotten a good parcel of beaver; at last the Indians came aboard, and while the English (who were about five and <sup>237</sup> a boy) were trading with some of them, others drew out hatchets from under their coats, and killed the master and three others, and took the other and the boy, and carried them on shore, and rifled the pinnace of all her goods and sails, etc. Soon after, other Indians came upon these and slew the sachem, and took away all their goods they had stolen. There was one Redman suspected to have betrayed their pinnace, for he being

linkister, (because he could speak the language,) and being put out of that employment for his evil carriage, did bear ill will to the master, and the Indians spared him, and gave him a good part of the spoil, and he lived amongst them five or six weeks, till the Swedish governour procured other Indians to go fetch him and the boy to his fort, from whence they were brought to Boston, and the said Redman was tried for his life, and being found guilty by the grand jury, was deferred his farther trial in expectation of more evidence to come from Delaware.<sup>1</sup>

The governour, Mr. Endecott, having received a letter from Monsieur D'Aulnay in the spring, wherein he slighted us very much, and charged us with breach of covenant in entertaining La Tour, in sending home his lady, etc., we returned a sharp answer to him by Mr. Allen,<sup>2</sup> declaring our innocence, in that we sent not the lady home, but she hired three London ships, etc., as is before related, page 218. When he had received this letter, he was in a great rage, and told Mr. Allen that he would return no answer; nor would he permit him to come within his fort, but lodged him in his gunner's house without the gate, and himself came daily, and dined and supped with him, but at last he wrote to our governour in very high language, requiring satisfaction for burning his mill, etc., and threatening revenge, etc. So the matter rested till the meeting of the commissioners in the seventh month next, and then their agreement to the peace was sent to him by a special messenger, Captain Robert Bridges,<sup>3</sup> as is hereafter declared.

---

<sup>1</sup> From our court records it may be known, that he was ultimately acquitted. The carelessness of Hubbard in misappropriation of this calamity has been remarked in a former note, p. 179. A petition for charity to the widow and orphans of Luter, or Luther, was offered in the general court.

<sup>2</sup> Did not the admirable index to 2 Hist. Coll. identify this messenger with the delegate from Hingham, it might be unnecessary here to warn against such a conclusion. Our records show the carrier of these despatches to have been a shipmaster. He was of Charlestown.

<sup>3</sup> Of this gentleman I have learned little more than Winthrop has told, except from Alonzo Lewis, Esq. of Lynn. Johnson, lib. II. c. 26, speaks of his ability and good disposition to serve the public. He was freeman, 2 June, 1641, went home next year, but came again, I find, in 1643, with J. Winthrop, jr., and in

We understood for certain afterward that Monsieur La <sup>238</sup> Tour's fort was taken by assault and scalado, that Monsieur D'Aulnay lost in the attempt twelve men, and had many wounded, and that he had put to death all the men (both French and English) and had taken the lady, who died within three weeks after, and her little child and her gentlewoman were sent into France.<sup>1</sup> La Tour valued his jewels, plate, household, ordnance, and other moveables, at 10,000 pounds. The more was his folly to leave so much substance in so great danger, when he might have brought the most of it to Boston, whereby he might have discharged his engagements of more than 2500 pounds to Major Edward Gibbons, (who by this loss was now quite undone,) and might have had somewhat to have maintained himself and his men; for want whereof his servants were forced to go out of the country, some to the Dutch, and others to France, and he himself to lie at other men's charge. But in the spring he went to Newfoundland, and there was courteously entertained by Sir David Kirk, the governour, who promised him assistance, etc. But he returned to Boston again by the vessel which carried him, and all the next winter was entertained by Mr. Samuel Maverick at Nottles Island.

Some of our merchants of Boston and Charlestown sent forth a ship and other vessels to Newfoundland upon a fishing

---

the three following years was a deputy for Lynn. Having served in 1646 as speaker, he was elevated to the rank of assistant next year, and continued in the office till his death in 1656. Probably the interest in the iron works, with which he was inspired by Winthrop, was the cause of his returning to our country. By his intervention was caused the first punishment inflicted on the baptists, Clark, Crandall, and Holmes. Having come from Rhode Island, they attempted, on a Sunday, to preach at Lynn, and by order of Bridges they were taken by two constables to hear Mr. Whiting preach in the established church in the afternoon, and next day sent to prison at Boston.

<sup>1</sup> La Tour had his turn of good fortune in a few years after. His enemy D'Aulnay died early in 1650; and a letter from his widow is printed in 3 Hist. Coll. I. 28, and again in VII. 115, but with thirty pages of other documents relative to our Nova Scotia unfriends, furnished by the diligence of Mr. Felt Hutchinson, I. 127-135, has judiciously abbreviated them. La Tour married D'Aulnay's widow, and by this union recovered a large part of his former property.

voyage. They went not to Ferryland, (where they might have been in safety,) but to the Bay of Bulls, and when they had near made their voyage, Captain Firnes's ships (being of the king's party) came and took their ship and most of their fish; so the men returned safe, but lost their voyage. Firnes was hereby five ships strong, and so went to the Terceras, and there fought with two ships of London and a Scotch ship, who sunk two of Firnes's ships, and made him fly with the rest.

[Large blank.]

Captain Thomas Hawkins,<sup>1</sup> a shipwright of London, who had ~~239~~ lived here divers years, had built at Boston a ship of 400 tons and upward, and had set her out with much strength of ordnance, and ornament of carving, painting, etc., and called her the Seafort, and the last year 23 (9) he set sail from Boston, accompanied with another ship of London, Mr. Kerman, master, laden with bolts, tobacco, etc. for Malago. When they came near the coast of Spain, in the evening, some of the company supposed they saw land, yet they sailed on all the night, with a fair gale, and towards the morning they saw a light or two, which they conceiving to have been in some ships, either Turks or others, they prepared their ships and stood on towards them. But some three hours before day [blank] (10ber.) both ships struck aground, and presently brake. Nineteen were drowned, whereof Mr. Kerman was one, and one Mr. Thomas Coytmore of Charlestown (a right godly man, and an expert seaman) was another, and Mr. Pratt and his wife. This man was above sixty years old, an experienced surgeon, who had lived in New England many years, and was of the first church at Cambridge

---

<sup>1</sup> It cannot be doubted, that he was distinguished for other valuable qualities as well as his enterprising spirit, for he had been in 1644 a representative of Boston. I suppose he died abroad. His inventory, taken 26 July, 1654, presented by his wife Mary, is contained in our Probate Rec. III. 101. A house, barn, and 180 acres of land at Dorchester, (over the water, which is an unknown site to me,) are valued at 257 pounds, the house and land at Boston, 200 pounds, one half of ship Perigrine, in England, 75 pounds. The whole makes 900 pounds, and was divided between the widow, one son, Thomas, and four daughters.

in Mr. Hooker's time, and had good practice, and wanted nothing. But he had been long discontented, because his employment was not so profitable to himself as he desired, and it is like he feared lest he should fall into want in his old age, and therefore he would needs go back into England, (for surgeons were then in great request there by occasion of the wars,) but God took him away childless. The rest of the company (both women and children, who went passengers that way into England, choosing to go in that ship, because of her strength and conveniency, rather than in another ship, which went right for England, and arrived safe there) were all saved, upon pieces of the ships, and by the help of a rope which one of the seamen swam on shore with; and although the ships at first grounded two or three miles from the shore, yet (through the Lord's great mercy) they were heaved by the seas near to the dry land before they fell in pieces. This was five miles from Cales. In the morning the poor people of the island came down, and pillaged all they could come by, yea they took away some pieces of plate, which the passengers had saved. But when they came to the city, (naked and barefoot as they went frighted out of their cabins,) the Spaniards used them kindly, especially <sup>\*240</sup> the women, and clothed them, and took them into their houses. There was an English ship then in the roads, whereof one Mr. Mariot was master: he entertained as many as his ship could stow, and clothed many of them with his own clothes, (the Lord reward him). The governour of the island gave Captain Hawkins 500 pounds for the wreck of his ship.

The same Captain Hawkins going for London, found much favor with his creditors and others his friends there, so as the next year they employed him to Malago, to meet a New England ship called [blank,] built at Cambridge, and freight for Malago with pipe staves, fish, and other commodities, which he was to freight thence with wine, etc., for London, but as she was on her voyage, (Captain Hawkins being in her, and twelve other ships in company) being come out of the Streight's mouth, they were taken with such a violent tempest at south, as they were (five of them, whereof Captain Hawkins's ship was one) cast upon the same place at Cales, where his ship was wrecked the year before, and there all their ships were cast away, but all

the men in Captain Hawkins's ship were saved, and most of the rest. This was 2 (12) 45.

The scarcity of good ministers in England, and want of employment for our new graduates here, occasioned some of them to look abroad. Three honest young men, good scholars, and very hopeful, viz. a younger son<sup>1</sup> of Mr. Higginson, to England, and so to Holland, and after to the East Indies, a younger son<sup>2</sup> of Mr. Buckley, a Batchellor of Arts to England, and Mr. George Downing,<sup>3</sup> son of Mr. Emanuel Downing of Salem, Batchellor of

---

<sup>1</sup> The college catalogue does not contain the name of this young man, who must not be included in the author's term of graduates. Further information of this son, whose name is not known, is beyond our hope. Two elder sons of the first minister of Salem, who left eight children, one having died on his passage, are commemorated by Eliot.

<sup>2</sup> Hutchinson's note, I. 107, gives all the knowledge, I suppose, that any one can have, though very slight.

<sup>3</sup> Every printed book has, I believe, hitherto called this most distinguished of the early children of Harvard College, the son of Calibute Downing, a puritan divine. This error is probably chargeable to honest Anthony Wood's *Athenæ Oxonienses*.

Another mistake is found in the famous Andrew Marvell. Among his works is "A seasonable argument to persuade all the grand juries of England to petition for a new parliament; or a list of the principal labourers in the great design of popery and arbitrary power, who have betrayed their country to the conspirators, and bargained with them to maintain a standing army in England, under the command of the bigotted popish D[uke] who by the assistance of the L. L's. [Lord Lauderdale's] Scotch army, the forces in Ireland, and those in France, hopes to bring all back to Rome." Amsterdam, 1677. This curious tract contains a list of the members of the commons, arranged under the several counties in alphabetical order, with some account of the rewards to each for his service to the court. Our son of the first days at Harvard College is thus mentioned: "Northumberland. Morpeth. Sir George Downing, a poor child, bred upon charity; like Judas, betrayed his master. What then can his country expect? He drew and advised the oath of renouncing the king's family, and took it first himself. For his honesty, fidelity, etc., rewarded by his majesty with 80,000 pounds at least, and is a commissioner of the customs, the house bell to call the courtiers to vote at six o'clock at night, an Exchequer teller."

Wood's *Athenæ Oxonienses*, Vol. II. 27, in the account of Calibute Downing, L. L. D. who died 1644, says, "This Dr. Cal. Downing was father to a son of his own temper named George, a sider with all times and changes, well skilled in the common cant, and a preacher some times to boot, a man of note in Oliver's days, as having been by him sent resident to the Lord's States General of

Arts also, about twenty years of age, went in a ship to the West Indies to instruct the seamen. He went by

\*241

the United Provinces, a soldier in Scotland, and at length Scout Master General there, and a burgess for several corporations in that Kingdom, in parliaments that began there in 1654 and 56. Upon a foresight of his majesty K. Ch. 2. his restoration, he wheeled about, took all opportunities to show his loyalty, was elected burgess for Morpeth in Northumberland, to serve in that parliament begun at Westminster, 8 May, 1661, was about that time sent envoy extraordinary into Holland, where to show his zeal and love for his majesty, he seized on three Regicides at Delft named John Barkstead, John Okey, and Miles Corbet, whom he forthwith sent into England to receive the reward of the gallows. Afterwards being made secretary to the treasury and one of his majesty's commissioners of the customs, was by the name of Sir George Downing of East Hatley in Cambridgeshire, Knight, created a baronet on the first of July, 1663." In the same vol. p. 758-9, Wood repeats the story of Sir George's service in seizing the regicides at an alehouse at Delft in the beginning of March, 1661, and in conclusion quotes the passage above from Marvel.

Now we know, that, being son of Emanuel Downing, and nephew of governor Winthrop, he was not a *poor child*, bred upon charity, as the indignation of Marvell represents. However undeserving of approbation his political character may be, which is fairly represented, I imagine, by Hutchinson I. 111, in the most amusing note of his history, his powers of rendering himself useful by aptitude for affairs of state and great assiduity in business could alone gain him the high employments which Oliver Cromwell bestowed. He was employed in negotiations with the Duke of Savoy in 1653, and at home in business of the army, and was specially chosen member of parliament in 1656 for the protector's purposes from the Scotch borough of Haddington in Scotland under Monk's instructions. But his great services for Oliver and the succeeding brief administrations was as minister in Holland, 1657-8, very abundant evidence of which is in Thurloe's State papers, especially Vol. VII.

It is very observable, how early Downing became a man of importance. His despatch, of 3 September, 1651, the day of Worcester fight, which Cromwell, foreseeing its consequences, called his *crowning* mercy, is far more perspicuous than that of his great commander. He was, probably, 27 or 8 years old. Cary's Memorials of the Civil War, Vol. II. contains them and other letters of equal dates, from the regicide Parliamentary commissioners with the army.

I saw, in 1842, at the house of Dawson Turner, Esquire, F. A. S. in Great Yarmouth, among a mass of Downing papers in two folios, an original letter from John Liale, sometimes called Lord Liale, President of the Council of State at Whitehall, of 18 April, 1652, addressed to "Mr. Downing, Scout Master General to the army in Scotland," followed by a copy of the reply, directed to "My Lords," dated at Dalkeith, the splendid seat of the Duke of Buccleuch, 24 April. It contained, also, an original from Andrew Marvell, the sturdy

\*242 Newfoundland, and so to Christophers, and Barbados, and Nevis, and being requested to preach in all these

republican in the corrupt Parliaments of Charles II. superscribed "For George Downing, Esquire, Resident for his Highness with the States General of the United Provinces at the Hague." What diverse principles, what opposite fortunes, were enjoyed in three or four years by the politicians!

By the recently published Memoirs of Pepys, who was in Downing's office, at first, as a clerk, it appears that in the anarchy of 1659 the crafty politician was at home, near the Exchequer; and went over, in the most important juncture, end of January, 1660, to wait for events at the Hague. He was knighted a week before the restoration. Of the arrest of the regicides Pepys writes in his Diary, 1662, March 12, "this morning we had news, that Sir G. Downing (like a perfidious rogue, though the action is good and of service to the king, yet he cannot with a good conscience do it) hath taken Okey, Corbet, and Barkstead at Delft in Holland, and sent them home in the Blackmore. Sir W. Penn talking to me this afternoon of what a strange thing it is for Downing to do this, he told me of a speech he made to the Lord's States of Holland, telling them to their faces that he observed that he was not received with the respect and observance, that he was when he came from the traitor and rebel Cromwell; by whom, I am sure, he hath got all he hath in the world, and they know it too." Vol. I. 134-5. He adds, under the date of 17th, mentioning the arrival of the prisoners, "the captain tells me, the Dutch were a good while before they could be persuaded to let them go, they being taken prisoners in their land. But Sir G. Downing would not be answered so; though all the world takes notice of him for a most ungrateful villain for his pains."

Pepys, probably, knew Downing's origin, though his noble editor, Lord Braybrooke, repeats the story about Cal. Downing being his father. We need not be surprised at this, for Hutchinson had not given any genealogy; and a relative of Downing, the late William Winthrop of Cambridge, in his catalogue of Harvard College, MS. belonging to the Historical Society, prolongs the delusion of Wood. But his lordship, in the fifth edition, 1848, has corrected Wood by the statement, that Sir George was son of our Emanuel of Salem; yet he calls the father a London merchant, when we know he was of the middle temple. Another error the noble editor falls into, when he says: Gov. Hutchinson, in his Hist. of Mass., "gives the true account of Downing's affiliation."

I may be excused for extending this note by farther extracts from one who knew him so well, as Pepys: "1667, May 27. The new commissioners of the treasury have chosen Sir G. Downing for their secretary; and I think in my conscience they have done a great thing in it; for he is active and a man of business, and values himself upon having of things do well under his hand; so that I am mightily pleased in their choice." Vol. II. 58.

"1668, December 27. Met with Sir G. Downing, and walked with him an hour talking of business, and how the late war was managed, there being nobody to take care of it; and he telling, when he was in Holland, what he offered

places, he gave such content, as he had large offers made to stay with them. But he continued in the ship to Eng- \*243 land, and being a very able scholar, and of a ready wit and fluent utterance, he was soon taken notice of, and called to be a preacher in Sir Thomas Fairfax his army, to Colonel Okye his régiment.

[Blank.]

---

the king to do if he might have power, and then upon the least word, perhaps of a woman, to the king, he was contradicted again, and particularly to the loss of all that we lost in Guinea. He told me that he had so good spies, that he hath had the keys taken out of De Witt's pocket when he was abed, and his closet opened and papers brought to him and left in his hands for an hour, and carried back and laid in the place again, and the keys put into his pocket again. He says he hath always had their most private debates, that have been but between two or three of the chief of them, brought to him in an hour after, and an hour after that hath sent word thereof to the king." Vol. II. 291.

A note to Bliss's edition of Wood's *Athenæ* mentions an epithalamium in 1654, in nuptias viri vere honoratissimi Georgii Downingi, campo exploratoris generalissimi, etc., et vere nobilissimae Franciscæ Howardi equitis aurati et sororis illustrissimi Caroli Howardi de Naworth in Com. Cumbraei, etc. This branch of the illustrious family of Howard is derived, I think, from the second son of the fourth Duke of Norfolk, who was beheaded in 1572 by Elizabeth for treason in favoring Mary, Queen of Scots. Charles Howard, brother-in-law of our George Downing, was the *first*, out of only three, that O. Cromwell attempted to create Peers of England, in giving him the title of Viscount Morpeth. Soon after the restoration, the weakness of that honor was corroborated, 20 April, 1661, by his creation as first Earl of Carlisle, which rank is still enjoyed by his lineal descendants. This marriage, probably, extended Downing's influence very much. His son, Sir George, married Catharine, eldest daughter of James, third Earl of Salisbury, of the great stock of Cecil, the illustrious statesman of Elizabeth. Their son, Sir George, grandson of the youth mentioned in our text, was in three different parliaments, 1710, 1713, and 1727, and dying in 1747 without issue, left the most splendid perpetuation of his name, by a bequest for the foundation of a college at Cambridge, incorporated in 1800, by the name of Downing College, on a more liberal foundation than any other at that renowned university. See Dyer's *History of the University of Cambridge*, Vol. II. 440-447. The amount of the bequest might be now valued at more than 150,000 pounds.

Governour Bradstreet married a sister of Downing, and kept up a correspondence with him. But in our country, which harbored and favored three of the regicides so many years, he, who betrayed, or at least seized in a foreign land, three others, with one of whom he had served as chaplain in his regiment, could hardly expect greater favor than such conduct deserves.

The inhabitants of Boston, Charlestown, Cambridge, Roxbury, and Dorchester, conceiving that the fortification at Castle Island (which by a late order of court was deserted) would be of great use for their defence against a foreign enemy, agreed among themselves (with leave of the court) to repair and fortify the same; and accordingly they chose a committee out of the several towns to raise means, and to get the work done. Whereupon the old earthwork was slighted, and a new work of pine trees, [blank] foot square, fourteen foot high, and [blank] foot thick, was reared, with four bulwarks, which cost in all [blank]. But finding the charge of the work and the maintenance of a garrison to be over heavy for them, they petitioned the general court in [blank] to afford assistance, which with much difficulty was at length obtained to this effect.

[Large blank.]

In the beginning of the winter a Portugal ship lying at Natasco, (now called Hull,) the seamen stole divers goats off the islands there. Complaint thereof being made to the governour and council, they gave warrant to one Mr. Smith, who then lay with his ship in the same place, to require the Portugal to give satisfaction, or else to bring his ship up to Boston. Mr. Smith (who was a member of the church of Boston) sent one Thomas Keyser his mate with his long boat well manned, to require satisfaction, who coming to the Portugal did not reason the case with him, nor give him any time to consider, but presently boarded him, and took possession of his ship, and brought her up, and his men fell to rifling his ship, as if she had been a prize. The Portugal being brought to the magistrates, and the theft proved, he was ordered to make double restitution, (as our manner was,) and the seamen were made to restore what they had taken out of the ship. So the Portugal departed well satisfied.

The said Mr. James Smith with his mate Keyser were bound to Guinea to trade for negroes. But when they arrived there, \*244 they met with some Londoners, with whom they consorted, and the Londoners having been formerly injured

by the natives (or at least pretending the same,) they invited them aboard one of their ships upon the Lord's day, and such as came they kept prisoners, then they landed men, and a murderer, and assaulted one of their towns and killed many of the people, but the country coming down, they were forced to retire without any booty, divers of their men being wounded with the negroes' arrows, and one killed. Mr. Smith, having taken in wine at Madeiras, sailed to Barbados to put off his wine. But being engaged there, and his wife being there also unprovided of maintenance, and his ship and cargo bound over to the said Keyser his mate and others of Boston who set out the ship, Keyser refused to let any of the wines go on shore, except he might have security for the proceeds to be returned on ship board. So the ship lay a week in the roads, and then Keyser fearing that the master would use some means by other ships which rode there to deprive him of the cargo, told him plainly that if he would not come aboard, and return to Boston, (which was the last port they were bound to,) he would carry away the ship, and leave him behind, which accordingly he did; and arriving at Boston about midsummer, he repaired to the magistrates and told them how he was come away, and tendered the cargo to them, who finding that it was engaged to himself and others, and that there would be great loss in the wines if they were not presently disposed, delivered them to the merchants and himself, taking bond of them to be responsible to Mr. Smith, etc. A short time after, Mr. Smith came, and brought his action against Keyser and the other mariners for bringing away the ship, and by a jury of searñen and merchants recovered three or four times the value of what he was damnified, and the mate Keyser to lose not only his wages, but he and the rest of the merchants to lose the proceed or interest agreed for their stock and adventure, which was forty per cent. and all the mariners to lose their wages. But divers of the magistrates being unsatisfied with this verdict, (perceiving that the jury in their displeasure against Keyser, etc., did not only regard Smith's satisfaction for his damages, but also the punishment of Keyser, etc.) the defendants at the next court brought a review, and then another jury abated much of the

former damages; whereupon the plaintiff Smith preferred a petition to the next general court.

[Large blank.]

For the matter of the negroes, whereof two were brought home in the ship, and near one hundred slain by the confession \*245 of some of the mariners, the magistrates took order to have these two set at liberty, and to be sent home; but for the slaughter committed, they were in great doubt what to do in it, seeing it was in another country, and the Londoners pretended a just revenge. So they called the elders; and desired their advice.<sup>1</sup>

Mr. Israel Stoughton, one of the magistrates, having been in England about merchandize, and returned with good advantage, went for England again the last winter, with divers other of our best military men, and entered into the parliament's service. Mr. Stoughton was made lieutenant colonel to colonel Rainsborow,<sup>2</sup> Mr. Nehemiah Bourne, a ship carpenter, was major of his regiment, and Mr. John Leverett,<sup>3</sup> son of one of the elders

---

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix M. By our Col. Rec. III. 45, at the session in October, I find this proceeding was had: "Upon a petition of Richard Saltonstall, Esq., for justice to be done on Captain Smith and Mr. Keyser for their injurious dealing with the negroes at Guinea, the petition was granted and ordered that Captain Smith and Mr. Keyser be laid hold on and committed to give answer in convenient time thereabouts."

<sup>2</sup> William Rainsborow was, in 1639, of Charlestown, where Budington informs us, p. 195, that he bought the old meeting house, 26 November of that year. He is called brother of Stephen Winthrop, son of the Gov. Why he did not unite with one of our churches, and become freeman of the colony, is matter of conjecture. Probably his residence here was of few years; and when his eager patriotism carried him home, he was indebted to Coytemore in a large sum, not reimbursed at the end of 1647.

<sup>3</sup> So much is generally known of this distinguished man, afterwards governour of Massachusetts, that I would willingly have permitted his name to pass without a note, had not a fact come to my knowledge, of which no mention is to be found in any place, and which probably was concealed by design. An original letter, "given at our court at Whitehall the 22d day of August, 1676, in the 28th year of our reign," with the royal sign manual and the royal seal appendant, signed by secretary Williamson by his majesty's command, is preserved by one of the descendants, addressed "to our trusty and well beloved Sir John Leverett, Knight, governour of Massachusetts bay in New England."

of the church of Boston, a captain of a foot company, and one William Hudson, ensign of the same company, Lioll, surgeon of the Earl of Manchester's life guard. These did good <sup>246</sup> service, and were well approved, but Mr. Stoughton falling sick and dying at Lincoln, the rest all returned to their wives and families. But three of them went to England again about the end of this year, but came back again and settled themselves here, all save the surgeon.

[Blank.]

The Narragansetts having begun war upon Uncus, the Monheagan sachem, notwithstanding their covenant to the contrary and divers messages sent to them from the commissioners to require them to forbear, until a meeting might be had, and the cause heard, it was thought fit by the general court in the third month, that though the next meeting was in course to be at New Haven in the beginning of September, yet in regard of the danger Uncus was in, and our engagement to save him harmless from any damage from Miantonomo his death, as also in regard of the distressed condition of Monsieur La Tour, (who earnestly petitioned the court for relief, etc.) the commissioners should be written to to meet at Boston in the 28 of

---

Whether this honor of knighthood were kept secret by the puritan, because he doubted of the stability of the government at home, from which it emanated, or because he was too nearly advanced to the other world to regard the vanities of this, or feared its publicity might render him less acceptable to his constituents, by whose suffrages he was annually elected, is perhaps not unworthy of conjecture. The letter is marked "received 2 June, 1677," of course after the election for that year.

Leverett was a representative for Boston in 1651, 2, and 3, then went to England again, and was employed by that government or ours chiefly till 1662. Next year he was chosen for Boston and served three years, being also speaker part of the time, then he became an assistant, and in 1671 deputy governour. He became governour in 1673, and was annually rechosen, until 1678, after which Bradstreet succeeded. He died in office 16 March, 1679. Great military talents fitted him for the place of sergeant major general several years, and in the higher station of governour, in the most perilous period Massachusetts ever knew, Philip's war, they were fully exerted. His son, Hudson, did not, says Hutchinson, support the reputation of his father; but his grandson, John, in his presidency of Harvard College, gave a character to that institution which it had never before attained.

the fifth month, which was done accordingly. The names of the commissioners and all their proceedings are at large set down in the books of their records, whereof every colony hath one.<sup>1</sup>

[Blank.]

At this general court, which continued from 14 (3), to 5 (5), the military officers prevailed with much importunity to have the whole power of those affairs committed to them; which was thought by divers of the court to be very unfit, and not so safe in times of peace; but a great part of the court being military officers, and others not willing to contend any further about it, the order passed, the inconvenience whereof appeared soon after, and will more in future time.<sup>2</sup>

The taking of the Bristol ship in our harbor by Captain <sup>\*247</sup> Stagg occasioned much debate in the court. The deputies drew up a bill to give protection to all ships in our harbor, coming as friends. The magistrates foreseeing that this might put us upon a necessity of fight with some parliaments, (which we were very unwilling to be engaged in,) and so might weaken that interest we had in the parliament, they refused the bill; and so divers bills passed from one to the other, before they could agree. At length (few of the magistrates being then in the court) a bill passed to that effect, but not so full as was desired. But to strengthen the same, and to secure all ships which should come as friends into our harbor, commission was given to major Gibbons for Boston, and major Sedgwick<sup>3</sup> for Charlestown to keep the peace in the said towns,

---

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix N.

<sup>2</sup> At this court in July, our Records III. 21, contain an order of "a rate of £616,15, one half to be paid in three months, and one half by the end of the first month next, in cattle, corn, beaver, or money, as towns please." It was assessed in the proportions following:—Boston, £100.00.0; Ipswich, 61.10.0; Charlestown, 55.00.0; Salem, 45.00.0; Cambridge, 45.00.0; Dorchester, 43.17.6; Watertown, 41.05.0; Roxbury, 37.10.0; Lynn, 25.00.0; Newbury, 23.00.0; Dedham, 20.00.0; Concord, 15.00.0; Rowley, 15.00.0; Hingham, 15.00.0; Sudbury, 11.05.0; Weymouth, 10.10.0; Braintree, 10.10.0; Salisbury, 10.00.0; Hampton, 10.00.0; Medford, 7.00.0; Wooburn, 7.00.0; Gloucester, 4.17.6; Wenham, 8.10.0.

<sup>3</sup> My earliest notice of Robert Sedgwick is 9 March, 1636-7 when he was

and not to permit any ships to fight in the harbor without license from authority.<sup>1</sup>

14. 5.] A new watch house set up on the fort hill at Boston was smote with lightning, and the boards and timber at one end of it torn in pieces, and many of the shingles of the covering torn off.

25.] Monsieur La Tour having stayed here all the winter and thus far of the summer, and having petitioned the court for aid against Monsieur D'Aulnay, and finding no hope to obtain help that way, took shipping in one of our vessels which went on fishing to Newfoundland, hoping by means of Sir David Kirk, governour there, and some friends he might procure in England to obtain aid from thence, intending for that end to go from thence to England. Sir David entertained him courteously, and promised to do much for him; but no means of help appearing to answer his ends, he returned hither before winter, Sir David giving him passage in a vessel of his which came hither.

[Large blank.]

Captain Bayley being returned into England, and informing Alderman Barkly of the proceedings here against him and Mr. Barkly his brother in the business of the Lady La Tour, withal he carried a certificate of the proceedings of the court under the hands of divers persons of good credit here, who \*248 although they reported truth for the most part, yet not the whole truth, (being somewhat prejudiced in the case; they were called in question about it after, for the offence was great, and they had been censured for it, if proof could have been had for a legal conviction,) whereby the alderman was so in-

---

made free. The next month he appears among the deputies, and very often afterwards. He was employed by Cromwell in 1654, and afterwards in his great expedition against the Spanish West Indies, when Jamaica was taken. There he died 24 May, 1656, having, as appears from Thurloe's State Papers V. 138, 154, been just advanced to the rank of Major General by the Protector.

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps the scope of the policy was, to favor the parliament, without giving in the language of the enactment any offence to the king's party.

censed as he attached a ship<sup>1</sup> of ours being then arrived at London; but being persuaded to release the ship, he attached two of New England, viz., Mr. Stephen Winthrop, who was recorder of the court when the cause was tried, and Captain Joseph Weld, who was one of the jury, so as they were forced to find sureties in a bond of 4000 pounds to answer him in the court of admiralty. But it pleased God to stir them up such friends, viz., Sir Henry Vane, (who had sometime lived at Boston, and though he might have taken occasion against us for some dishonor which he apprehended to have been unjustly put upon him here, yet both now and at other times he showed himself a true friend to New England, and a man of a noble and generous mind, etc.) and some others by Mr. Peter's means, so as (although he spared for no costs) yet he was forced to give over his suit in the admiralty, and then procured out of Chancery a ne exeat regno against them. But the cause being heard there, and they discharged, he petitioned the lords of the parliament (pretending great injuries, which he was not able to prove) for letters of reprisal. After he had tried all means in vain, he was brought at length to sit down and lose his charges, and they theirs.

[Large blank.]

1. 5.] Many books coming out of England, some in defence of anabaptism and other errors, and for liberty of conscience as a shelter for their toleration, etc., others in maintenance of the Presbyterian government (agreed upon by the assembly of divines in England) against the congregational way, which was practised here, the elders of the churches through all the United Colonies agreed upon a meeting at Cambridge this day, where they conferred their councils and examined the writings which some of them had prepared in answer to the said books, which being agreed and perfected were sent over into England to be printed. The several answers were these; Mr. Hooker in answer to Mr. Rutherford the Scotch minister about Presbyterian

---

<sup>1</sup> Fowle, of whom much will be seen a few pages onward, was owner of the ship, as I learn from the records. He, Winthrop and Weld, all petitioned our court for indemnity in their expences, but in vain.

government, (which being sent in the New Haven ship \*249 was lost). While<sup>1</sup> Mr. Hooker lived, he could not be persuaded to let another copy go over, but after his death, a copy was sent, and returned in print (3) 48.

[Blank.]

A sad business fell out this year in Boston. One<sup>2</sup> of the brethren of the church there, being in England in the parliament service about two years, had committed the care of his family and business to another of the same church, (a young man of good esteem for piety and sincerity, but his wife was in England,) who in time grew over familiar with his master's wife, (a young woman no member of the church,) so as she would be with him oft in his chamber, etc., and one night two of the servants, being up, perceived him to go up into their dame's chamber, which coming to the magistrates' knowledge, they were both sent for and examined, (but it was not discovered till about a quarter of a year after, her husband being then come home,) and confessed not only that he was in the chamber with her in such a suspicious manner, but also that he was in bed with her, but both denied any carnal knowledge; and being tried by a jury upon their lives by our law, which makes adultery death, the jury acquitted them of the adultery, but found them guilty of adulterous behavior.<sup>3</sup> This was much against the minds of many, both of the magistrates and elders, who judged them worthy of death; but the jury attending what was spoken by others of the magistrates, 1. that seeing the main evidence against them was their own confession of being in bed together, their whole confession must be taken, and not a part of it; 2. the law requires two witnesses, but here was no witness at all, for although circumstances may amount to a testimony against the person, where the fact is evident, yet it is otherwise where no fact is apparent; 3. all that the evidence could evince was but suspicion of adultery, but neither

<sup>1</sup> This sentence was, of course, added long after the principal matter.

<sup>2</sup> The margin, in Winthrop's hand-writing, informs us his name was Hudson; no doubt the ensign mentioned before.

<sup>3</sup> Henry Dawson was the member, cast out of the first church, as the record shows, for this offence.

God's law nor ours doth make suspicion of adultery (thoough never so strong) to be death; whereupon the case seeming doubtful to the jury, they judged it safest in case of life to find as they did. So the court adjudged them to stand upon the ladder at the place of execution with halters about their necks one hour, and then to be whipped, or each of them to pay 20 pounds. The husband (although he condemned his wife's <sup>250</sup> immodest behavior, yet) was so confident of her innocence in point of adultery, as he would have paid 20 pounds rather than she should have been whipped; but their estate being but mean, she chose rather to submit to the rest of her punishment than that her husband should suffer so much for her folly. So he received her again, and they lived lovingly together. All that she had to say for herself upon her trial was the same which she had revealed to her husband as soon as he came home, before the matter had been discovered, viz. that he did indeed come into bed to her, which so soon as she perceived, she used the best arguments she could to dissuade him from so foul a sin, so as he lay still, and did not touch her, but went away again as he came; and the reason why she did not cry out, was because he had been very faithful and helpful to her in her husband's absence, which made her very unwilling to bring him to punishment or disgrace.

This punishment of standing upon the gallows was not so well approved by some of the magistrates; because the law of God appoints in case of whipping, that they should not exceed forty stripes, and the reason given is, lest thy brother should seem despised in thine eyes, and why this reason should not hold in all cases and punishments not capital doth not appear.

[Large blank.]

29. 8.] The wind E. N. E. with rain, so great a tempest as it drove three ships upon the shore, and did very much harm besides in bilging boats, and breaking down wharfs; and the night after for the space of two hours the tempest arose again at S. with more wind and rain than before. In which tempest one of our vessels coming from Bermuda had two men fetched overboard with the sea, and the vessel was in great danger of being foundered.

At the general court held at Boston the first of this month, there was a petition preferred by divers merchants and others about two laws, the one forbidding the entertaining of any strangers above three weeks, except such as should be allowed by two magistrates, etc., (this was made in Mrs. Hutchinson's time;) the other for banishing anabaptists, made the last year. The petitioners complained to the court of the offence taken thereat by many godly in England, and that some churches there did thereupon profess to deny to hold communion with such of our churches as should resort thither. Whereupon they entreated the court that they would please to take the said laws into further consideration, and to provide as far as they might for the indemnity of such of ours as were to go into England. Many of the court well inclined for these and other considerations to have had the execution of those laws to have <sup>251</sup> been suspended for a season. But many of the elders, hearing of it, went first to the deputies and after to the magistrates, and laying before them what advantage it would give to the anabaptists, (who began to increase very fast through the country here, and much more in England, where they had gathered divers churches and taught openly, and had published a confession of their faith,) entreated that the law might continue still in force, and the execution of it not suspended, though they disliked not that all lenity and patience should be used for convincing and reclaiming such erroneous persons. Whereupon the court refused to make any farther order about the petition. See 60 a counter petition.

There came hither to Boston at the same time out of England one Captain Partridge, who had served the parliament, but in the ship he broached and zealously maintained divers points of antinomianism and familism, for which he was called before the magistrates and charged with the said opinions, to which he refused to give any answer. But before he departed, he was willing to confer with Mr. Cotton, which accordingly he did, and Mr. Cotton reported to the magistrates, that he found him corrupt in his judgment, but ignorant of those points which he had maintained, so as he perceived he had been but lately taken with them, and that upon argument he was come off from some of the worst of them, and he had good hope to

reclaim him wholly; but some of the magistrates requiring a present renouncing of all under his hand, he the said captain was not willing to that before he were clearly convinced of his error in them. It was moved by some of the magistrates, in regard he had made so hopeful a beginning, and that winter was now at hand, and it would be very hard to expose his wife and family to such hardships, etc., to permit him to stay here till the spring, but the major part (by one or two) voting the contrary, he was forced to depart, and so went to Rhode Island. This strictness was offensive to many, though approved of by others. But sure the rule of hospitality to strangers, and of seeking to pluck out of the fire such as there may be hope of to be reduced out of error and the snare of the devil, do seem to require more moderation and indulgence of human infirmity where there appears not obstinacy against the clear truth.

This year about twenty families (most of them of the church of Braintree) petitioned the court for allowance to begin a plantation at the place where Gorton and his company had erected three or four small houses upon the land of Pumham, the Indian sachem by Narragansett, who had submitted himself and country to this jurisdiction. The court readily granted

\*252 their petition, promising all encouragement, etc., (for it was of great concernment to all the English in these parts, that a strong plantation should be there as a bulwark, etc. against the Narragansetts). But Mr. John Browne, one of the magistrates of Plimouth, and then one of their commissioners for the United Colonies, dwelling at Rehoboth, and intending to drive a trade with the Indians in those parts, meeting with some of ours when they went to view the place and to take the bounds of it, forbade them in the name of the government of Plimouth to proceed in the said plantation, telling them that it belonged to Plimouth, and that it should be restored to the right owners, (meaning Gorton and his company). Whereupon the planters (not willing to run any hazard of contention for place in a country where there was room enough) gave over their purpose, and disposed themselves otherwise; some removed more southward, and others staid where they were. This practice of Mr. Browne being complained of to the governour of the Massachusetts, Mr. Dudley, he informed the magistrates of

Plimouth thereof by letter, who returned answer, that Mr. Browne had no order from their court to forbid the proceedings, etc., for they should have been glad to have had the place planted by us, though the right of it were (as they conceived) in themselves, and for that end referred themselves to an order of the commissioners, wherein liberty is given to the Massachusetts to take course with Gorton and the lands they had possessed, etc., and therein is a proviso, that it should not prejudice the right of Plimouth, etc. But they took not the rest of the order, wherein it follows, that all such lands of English or Indians, as had submitted themselves to the government of the Massachusetts, should not be comprised in that proviso. Now this land where the plantation should have been erected was part of Pumham's land. And our general court wrote to the governour and council of Plimouth to the same effect, with desire to have their further answer about the same, and for satisfaction about Mr. Browne's carriage herein. The governour and three magistrates returned answer, that Mr. Browne had commission in general to forbid any to plant upon their jurisdiction within the Narragansett river without their leave, which, if any of ours would seek, they might have. But the case being after put to the commissioners for explanation of their said order, they resolved for the Massachusetts.

[Large blank.]

8.] A church was gathered at Haverhill upon the north side of Merrimack, and Mr. John Ward chosen and ordained pastor. About the same time a church was also gathered at Andover upon the south side of Merrimack, and Mr. Woodbridge<sup>1</sup> ~~253~~ ordained pastor.

---

<sup>1</sup> John Woodbridge married a daughter of Governour Dudley, from whom a letter affords so much information, that I have thought it worth transcribing:

**SON WOODBRIDGE,**

On your last going from Roxbury, I thought you would have returned again before your departure hence, and therefore neither bade you farewell, nor sent any remembrance to your wife. Since which time I have often thought of you, and of the course of your life, doubting you are not in the way wherein you may do God best service. Every man ought (as I take it) to serve God in

5. 9.] A church was gathered at Reading, and Mr. Greene ordained pastor. He was a very godly man, and died (8) 48.

The village at Jeffry's creek was named Manchester, and the people there (not being yet in church state) had procured Mr. Smith (sometimes pastor of the church of Plimouth) to preach to them.

At the last general court it was ordered, that divers farmers belonging to Ipswich and Salem (but so far distant from either

---

such a way whereto he hath best fitted him by nature, education, or gifts, or graces acquired. Now in all these respects I conceive you to be better fitted for the ministry, or teaching a school, than for husbandry. And I have been lately stirred up the rather to think hereof by occasion of Mr. Carter's calling to be pastor at Woburn the last week, and Mr. Parker's calling to preach at Pascattaway, whose abilities and piety (for aught I know) surmount not yours. There is a want of schoolmasters hereabouts, and ministers are, or in likelihood will be, wanting ere long. I desire that you would seriously consider of what I say, and take advice of your uncle, Mr. Noyse, or whom you think meetest, about it; withal considering that no man's opinion in a case wherein he is interested by reason of your departure from your present habitation is absolutely to be allowed without comparing his reason with others. And if you find encouragement, I think you were best redeem what time you may without hurt of your estate in perfecting your former studies. Above all commend the case in prayer to God, that you may look before you with a sincere eye, upon his service, not upon filthy lucre, which I speak not so much for any doubt I have of you, but to clear myself from that suspicion in respect of the interest I have in you. I need say no more. The Lord direct and bless you, your wife and children, whom I would fain see, and have again some thoughts of it, if I live till next summer.

Your very loving father,

THOS. DUDLEY.

Rocksbury, November 28, 1642.

To my very loving son, Mr. John Woodbridge, at his house in Newbury.

Woodbridge followed the advice in this letter, and probably had the advantage of instruction from the celebrated Thomas Parker. For some time he taught the school in Boston. He was the first settled minister at Andover in Essex county, as above appears. There he remained not long, being induced to return to England. I have seen a letter from his father Dudley, 8 July, 1648, to him, "preacher of the word of God at Andover in Wiltshire," advising of the means he would follow to send his wife and children. On the expulsion of the nonconformists in 1662 he returned to our country, and a satisfactory account of him is seen in Allen's Biog. Dict.

town as they could not duly repair to the public ordinances there) should erect a village and have liberty to gather a church. This was much opposed by those of the town of Ipswich, pleading their interest in the land, etc. But it was answered, that, when the land was granted to the town, it was not intended only for the benefit of the near inhabitants, or for the maintenance of the officers of that one church only, but of all the inhabitants and of any other church which should be there gathered; and a principal motive which led the court to grant them and other towns such vast bounds was, that (when the towns should be increased by their children and servants growing up, etc.) they might have place to erect villages, where they might be planted, and so the land improved to the more common benefit.

15. 10.] There appeared about noon, upon the north side of the sun, a great part of a circle like a rainbow, with the horns reversed, and upon each side of the sun, east and west, a bright light. And about a month after were seen three suns, about the sun-setting; and about a month after that two suns at sun-rising, the one continued close to the horizon, while the other (which was the true sun) arose about half an hour. This was the earliest and sharpest winter we had since we arrived in the country, and it was as vehement cold to the southward as here. Divers of our ships were put from their anchors with the ice and driven on shore 25 (10), and one ketch carried out to sea, and wrecked upon Lovell's Island. At New Haven a ship bound for England was forced to be cut out of the ice three miles. And in Virginia the ships were frozen up six weeks.

At Ipswich there was a calf brought forth with one head, and three mouths, three noses, and six eyes. What these prodigies portended the Lord only knows, which in his due time he will manifest.

There was beside so sudden a thaw in the spring, (the snow lying very deep,) and much rain withal, that it bare down the bridge at Hartford upon Connecticut, and brake down divers mills to the southward about New Haven, and did much other harm.

This winter also the Swedes' fort upon Delaware river and

all the buildings in it were burnt down, and all their powder and goods blown up. It happened in the night, through the negligence of a servant who fell on sleep leaving a candle burning. Some houses at Hartford, and a barn with corn, were burnt also; and two houses at Hingham in the Massachusetts.<sup>1</sup>

\*255 1646. 26. (1.)] The governour and council met at

Boston to take order about a rescue which they were informed of to have been committed at Hingham upon the marshal, when he went to levy the fines imposed upon Mr. Hubberd their pastor and many others who joined with him in the petition against the magistrates, etc., and having taken the information of the marshal and others, they sent out summons for their appearance at another day, at which time Mr. Hubberd came not, nor sent any excuse, though it was proved that he was at home, and that the summons was left at his house. Whereupon he was sent for by attachment directed to the constable, who brought him at the day of the return. And being then charged with joining in the said rescue by animating the offenders, and discouraging the officer, questioning the authority of his warrant because it was not in the king's name, and standing upon his allegiance to the crown of England, and exemption from such laws as were not agreeable to the laws of England, saying to the marshal that he could never know wherefore he was fined, except it were for petitioning, and if they were so waspish that they might not be petitioned, he knew not what to say to it, etc. All the answer he would give was, that if he had broken any wholesome law not repugnant to the laws of England, he was ready to submit to censure. So he was bound over to the next court of assistants.

The court being at Boston, Mr. Hubberd appeared, and the marshal's information and other concurrent testimony being read to him, and his answer demanded, he desired to know in what state he stood, and what offence he should be charged with, or what wholesome law of the land, not repugnant to the law of England, he had broken. The court told him, that the

---

<sup>1</sup> Hobart's Diary mentions the disaster thus: "1646, March 15. All the houses of Thomas Loring and John Pratt burnt to the ground, being the Sabbath day in the morning."

matters he was charged with amounted to a seditious practice and derogation and contempt of authority. He still pressed to know what law, etc. He was told that the oath which he had taken was a law to him; and beside the law of God which we were to judge by in case of a defect of an express law. He said that the law of God admitted various interpretations, etc. Then he desired to see his accusers. Upon that the marshal was called, who justified his information. Then he desired to be tried by a jury, and to have the witnesses produced *viva voce*. The secretary told him that two were present, and the third was sworn to his examination, (but in that he was mistaken, for he had not been sworn,) but to satisfy him, he was sent for and sworn in court. The matters testified against him were his speeches to the marshal before thirty persons, against \*256 our authority and government, etc. 1. That we were but as a corporation in England; 2. That by our patent (as he understood it) we could not put any man to death, nor do divers other things which we did; 3. That he knew not wherefore the general court had fined them, except it were for petitioning, and if they were so waspish (or captious) as they might not be petitioned, etc., and other speeches tending to disparage our authority and proceedings. Accordingly a bill was drawn up, etc., and the jury found that he seemed to be ill affected to this government, and that his speeches tended to sedition and contempt of authority. Whereupon the whole court (except Mr. Bellingham, who judged him to deserve no censure, and desired in open court to have his dissent recorded) adjudged him to pay 20 pounds fine, and to be bound to his good behaviour, till the next court of assistants, and then farther if the court should see cause. At this sentence his spirit rose, and he would know what the good behaviour was, and desired the names of the jury, and a copy of all the proceedings, which was granted him, and so he was dismissed at present.

The contention continuing between Mr. Cleves, deputy president of Ligonia for Mr. Rigby, and Mr. Jocelin<sup>1</sup> and other

---

<sup>1</sup> Henry Jocelin, or Josselyn, brother of John, the gentleman, voyager, poet, and naturalist, lived at Black Point, now Scarborough. For his long acquaintance in the country, he was well selected, 21 June, 1664, with others, as

commissioners of Sir Ferdinando Gorge, they both wrote letters to the governour and council of the Massachusetts, complaining of injuries from each other, and Mr. Cleves desiring aid for his defence against open force threatened by the other part; the governour and magistrates returned answer to them severally, to this effect, to persuade them both to continue in peace, and to forbear all violent courses until some London ships should arrive here, by which it was expected that order would come from the commissioners for the colonies, etc., to settle their differences. These letters prevailed so far with them, as they agreed to refer the cause to the determination of the court of assistants at Boston, which was to be held 3 (4), next. For Mr. Rigby came Mr. Cleves and Mr. Tucker; for the province of Maine came Mr. Jocelin and Mr. Roberts. The court appointed them a day for hearing their cause, and caused a

\*257 special jury to be empannelled. Mr. Cleves was plaintiff, and delivered in a declaration in writing. The defendants (though they had a copy thereof before) pleaded to it by word only. Some of the magistrates advised not to intermeddle in it, seeing it was not within our jurisdiction, and that the agents had no commission to bind the interest of the gentlemen in England. Others (and the most) thought fit to give them a trial, both for that it was a usual practice in Europe for two states being at odds to make a third judge between them, and though the principal parties could not be bound by any sentence of this court, (for having no jurisdiction, we had no coercion, and therefore whatever we should conclude was but advice,) yet it might settle peace for the present, etc. Upon a full hearing, both parties failed in their proof. The plaintiff could not prove the place in question to be within his patent, nor could derive a good title of the patent itself to Mr. Rigby, (there being six or eight patentees, and the assignment only from two of them). Also the defendant had no patent of the province, but only a copy thereof attested by witnesses, which was not pleadable in law. Which so perplexed the jury, as they could find for neither, but gave in a non liquet. And because the

---

deputies of Ferdinando Gorges, son of John, son of Sir Ferdinando. He was chief of a commission, under authority of the royal commissioners in 1666, to hold a court at Casco.

parties would have it tried by a jury, the magistrates forbore to deal any further in it. Only they persuaded the parties to live in peace, etc., till the matter might be determined by authority out of England.

This spring was more early and seasonable than many before it, yet many were taken with a malignant fever, whereof some died in five or six days, but if they escaped the eighth they recovered; and divers of the churches sought the Lord by public humiliation, and the Lord was entreated, so as about the middle of the third month it ceased. It swept away some precious ones amongst us, especially one Mr. John Oliver, a gracious young man, not full thirty years of age, an expert soldier, an excellent surveyor of land, and one who, for the sweetness of his disposition and usefulness through a public spirit, was generally beloved, and greatly lamented. For some few years past he had given up himself to the ministry of the gospel, and was become very hopeful that way, (being a good scholar and of able gifts otherwise, and had exercised publicly for two years).

There fell out also a loathsome disease at Boston, which raised a scandal upon the town and country, though without just cause. One of the town (blank) having gone cooper in a ship into (blank), at his return his wife was infected with lues venerea,<sup>1</sup> which appeared thus: being delivered of a child, \*258 and nothing then appearing, but the midwife, a skilful woman, finding her body as sound as any other, after her delivery, she had a sore breast, whereupon divers neighbors resorting to her, some of them drew her breast, and others suffered their children to draw her, and others let her child suck them, (no such disease being suspected by any,) by occasion whereof about sixteen persons, men, women, and children, were

---

<sup>1</sup> The two first blanks in this paragraph were once filled, the first probably with the person's name, the second with that of the place, but they have been effectually erased. In such an unimportant narrative, it may be of little consequence, that it was first written, the man "infected his wife, and leaving her with child, went to sea again; the woman knew all, but knew not what she ailed." So that reports were various. Whether the result, as stated in the text, be the truth or not, is of less consequence than to observe, how the ignorance of our fathers on this topic gives confirmation to the general opinion of their blameless manners.

infected, whereby it came at length to be discovered by such in the town as had skill in physic and surgery, but there was not any in the country who had been practised in that cure. But (see the good providence of God) at that very season there came by accident a young surgeon out of the West Indies, who had had experience of the right way of the cure of that disease. He took them in hand, and through the Lord's blessing recovered them all (blank) in a short time. And it was observed that although many did eat and drink and lodge in bed with those who were infected and had sores, etc., yet none took it of them, but by copulation or sucking. It was very doubtful how this disease came at first. The magistrates examined the husband and wife, but could find no dishonesty in either, nor any probable occasion how they should take it by any other, (and the husband was found to be free of it). So as it was concluded by some, that the woman was infected by the mixture of so many spirits of men and women as drew her breast, (for thence it began). But this is a question to be decided by physicians.<sup>1</sup>

6. 3.]<sup>2</sup> The court of elections was at Boston. Mr. Norris of Salem preached. Mr. Winthrop was chosen governour, Mr. Dudley, (the last governour,) deputy governour, Mr. Endecott, serjeant major general, and he and Mr. Pelham commissioners for the United Colonies. The magistrates and deputies had formerly chosen the commissioners, but the freemen, looking at them as general officers, would now choose them themselves, and the rather because some of the deputies had formerly been chosen to that office, which gave offence to our confederates and to many among ourselves. This court lasted near three weeks, and was carried on with much peace and good correspondence; and when the business was near ended, the magistrates and deputies met, and concluded what remained, \*259 and so departed in much love. The several committees for laws made return of their commissions, and brought in

---

<sup>1</sup> See Hutch. Coll. 213, for the mode in which our general court noticed the matter.

<sup>2</sup> In this place, the numeral for the month, usually standing first, is last. The reader may easily avoid mistake in the few other cases, where the same change occurs.

many laws which were read over, and some of them scanned, but finding much difficulty in digesting and agreeing them, and the court having much other business, another committee was chosen out of several parts of the jurisdiction in the vacancy of the court, which was adjourned to 7 (8,) to extract out of the whole such as should be thought fit to be established, and so to reduce them into one volume, to agree with such as were already in force, etc.

\*The last year the court had imposed ten shillings upon every butt of sack, etc., to be landed in our jurisdiction, and this spring there came in four ships with sack, and landed about 800 butts, but the merchants being much offended at the impost, (having no intelligence of it before, for indeed there had not been a due course taken to give notice thereof to foreign parts,) after much debate, etc., the court remitted the one half thereof for the present. See after, four leaves.\*

Captain Bridges was sent by the commissioners the last year to Monsieur D'Aulnay with the articles of peace ratified by them, and with order to demand his confirmation of them under his hand, wherein also was expressed our readiness that all injuries, etc., of either part might be heard and composed in due time and place, and the peace to be kept at the same time, so as he would subscribe the same. Monsieur D'Aulnay entertained our messenger with all state and courtesy that he possibly could; but refused to subscribe the articles, until differences were composed, and accordingly wrote back, that he perceived our drift was to gain time, etc., whereas if our messenger had been furnished with power to have treated with him, and conclude about the differences, he doubted not but all had been agreed; for we should find, that it was more his honor which he stood upon, than his benefit, therefore he would sit still till the spring, expecting our answer herein, and would attempt nothing against us until he heard from us.<sup>1</sup>

The general court, taking this answer into consideration, (and there not being opportunity for the commissioners to meet in season, only they had been certified by letters of Monsieur

---

<sup>1</sup> For a very valuable paper relative to our injurious violation of all regard to neutrality, in 1644, between these French combatants, see Appendix O.

D'Aulnay's propositions, etc., and consented to a course for hearing, etc.,) agreed to send the deputy goyernour, Mr. Dudley, Mr. Hawthorne, and Major Denison,<sup>1</sup> with full power to treat and determine, etc., and wrote a letter to him to that end, (assenting to his desire for the place, viz. Penobscot which they call Pentagoet) and referring the time also to him, so it were in September. Some thought it would be dishonorable for us to go to him, and therefore would have had the place to have been Pemaquid. But others were of a different judgment, 1. for that he was lieutenant general to a great prince ; 2. being a man of a generous disposition, and valuing his reputation above his profit, it was considered, that it would be much to our advantage to treat with him in his own house. This being agreed, a private committee was chosen to draw up instructions, which were not to be imparted to the court, in regard of secrecy, (for we had found that D'Aulnay had intelli-

---

<sup>1</sup> Daniel Denison, who is commemorated with fondness by Hubbard, under whose spiritual guidance he lived at Ipswich, was a person of great distinction in our colony. He had come over early, and lived first, I believe, at Newtown, now Cambridge. See 1 Hist. Coll. VII. 10, and 2 Hist. Coll. VIII. 229. By the military rank, serjeant major general, or commander of all the troops, which he obtained, I suppose, after the death of Atherton, we may judge of his talents for this line of public duty ; and by his long continuance in the office of assistant, to which he rose in 1654, we must conclude that his services in civil life were not less acceptable. In 1651, he had a commission with others in the difficult duty of bringing the people of Maine to the allegiance of Massachusetts. For several years he was one of the two commissioners of the United Colonies, and in their proceedings in Hazard II. we may see how important regard was paid to his judgment in the agitations between New Haven and the Dutch in 1658, and in the less arduous concern of united proceedings against the Quakers in 1657. Danforth, in 2 Hist. Coll. VIII. 109, informs us of his polities in the distracted councils of 1666, and Randolph, in 1673, enumerates Denison among the few " popular and well principled men in the magistracy," in Hutch. Coll. 500, also Chalmers, Pol. Ann. 434. The moderate spirit, by which he was usually actuated, had not a general spread, yet the continuance of his election to the same rank, for many years, where his sympathy was not, in relation to the controversy with the crown, in unison with that of the people, is evidence of the strong hold his virtues and public labors had acquired. He died 20 September, 1682, and was one of the few authors of that early period, having left "Irenicon, or salve for New England's sore," a curious tract, which those who are not satisfied with Eliot, in Biog. Dict. may see in the Historical Society's Library.

gence of all our proceedings,) and the same committee had orders to provide all things for the commissioners' voyage, and to draw up their commission, etc., and it was ordered, that if the deputy governour (in regard of his age, being above 70) should not be fit for the voyage, then Mr. Bradstreet should supply his place.

One Mr. William Vassall,<sup>1</sup> sometimes one of the assistants of the Massachusetts, but now of Scituate in Plimouth jurisdiction, a man of a busy and factious spirit, and always opposite to the civil governments of this country and the way of our churches, had practised with such as were not members of our churches to take some course, first by petitioning the courts of the Massachusetts and of Plimouth, and (if that succeeded not) then to the parliament of England, that the distinctions which were maintained here, both in civil and church estate, might be taken away, and that we might be wholly governed by the laws of England; and accordingly a petition was drawn up to the parliament, pretending that they being freeborn subjects of England, were denied the liberty of subjects, both in church and commonwealth, themselves and their children debarred from the seals of the covenant, except they would

<sup>1</sup> He had been one of the first assistants, chosen in England, 1629, and came over probably in the fleet with Winthrop, but returned in the Lyon with Revell. See Dudley's letter, 1 Hist. Coll. VIII. 40. After his return to our country, which Hubbard, 500, says was in 1635, he settled himself at Scituate in Plimouth colony, where he was much respected, though of a less strait sect than most of the New England people. See the account of Scituate in 2 Hist. Coll. IV. For his engagement in this famous controversy, Hubbard charged him with publishing the strange tract, *New England's Jonas* cast up at London, which was, however, according to the title page, by Major John Child, brother of Dr. Robert. An amusing anecdote of Vassall's readiness of wit is there told, 2 Hist. Coll. IV. 107. Perhaps, as he returned home with Fowle and other petitioners, Vassall abandoned his hopes of success, or at least of comfort and quiet, in New England, and, as Winthrop says in later page, turned his views to the West Indies. Mr. S. Davis says he died in Barbadoes, 1655. But Hutchinson, I 17, says, "when Jamaica was taken by Cromwell, he laid the foundation of several estates there, enjoyed by his posterity to the present time." The present Lord Holland has part of his property, and his name, Vassall, from his brother Samuel, another of our assistants, who never came over to us. This latter had great influence in parliament, of which he was a member for London, and deservedly became one of the commissioners for foreign plantations.

submit to such a way of entrance and church covenant, as their consciences could not admit, and take such a civil oath as would not stand with their oath of allegiance, or else they must be deprived of all power and interest in civil affairs, and were subjected to an arbitrary government and extrajudicial proceedings, etc. And now at this court at Boston a petition to the same effect, much enlarged, was delivered in to the deputies under the hands of Doctor Childe,<sup>1</sup> Mr. Thomas Fowle,<sup>2</sup> Mr. Samuel Maverick, Mr. Thomas Burton, Mr. John Smith,<sup>3</sup> Mr. David Yale,<sup>4</sup> and Mr. John

<sup>1</sup> Hutch. I. 145, says, "Child was a young gentleman just before come from Padua, where he studied physic, and, as was reported, had taken the degree of Doctor." From a curious letter of William White, in 2 Hist. Coll. IV. 198, it may be presumed, that Child had in view the exploring of mines in our country; but probably after the long vexation and arbitrary imprisonment suffered in consequence of this petition, his affection for our country was sufficiently cooled to induce him to remain at home, after his return in safety. His baptismal name was Robert.

<sup>2</sup> Fowle was, it seems, a merchant. By the tyranny of our rulers he must have been convinced, that this was no place for a man of his opinions. The judgment of God fell upon him, as well as Child, according to the opinion of their opponents.

<sup>3</sup> Smith was one of the church of Providence, associated with Roger Williams, probably, more than ten years before. See Governour Hopkins's History, 2 Hist. Coll. IX. 170. One of the same name, and, probably, the same person, was chief magistrate of the colony, under its first charter, in 1649. 1 Hist. Coll. VI. 144. My inquiries about Burton have been unsuccessful.

<sup>4</sup> It may be mentioned, that our town records verify the birth of David, son of David Yale and Ursula his wife, 18 September, 1645, and of Theophilus 14 January, 1651. From our county records, Lib. II. p. 47, I extract the conveyance of one of the most beautiful estates in this or any other city, being the same formerly owned and occupied by my friend, Gardiner Greene, Esquire: "Be it known by these presents, that I, Edward Bendall, of Boston, planter, for good and valuable considerations by me in hand received, have given, granted, bargained, and sold, and by these presents do give, etc., unto David Yeale, of Boston aforesaid, merchant, a certain house and garden, with other lands thereunto belonging, be it in quantity two acres more or less, being bounded with Mr. John Cotton on the south, Sudbury street on the east, [blank] on the north, to have and to hold the said land to him and his heirs forever, with warranty against all manner of persons. In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 23 (6) 1645. Edward Bendall. Sealed and delivered in the presence of Robert Loveland, Thomas Graves. Entered and recorded 8 September, 1654, pr. Edward Rawson, recorder.

Dand,<sup>1</sup> in the name of themselves and many others in the country, whereto they pressed to have present answer. But the court being then near at an end, and the matter being very weighty, they referred the further consideration thereof to the next session. And whereas a law was drawn up, and ready to pass, for allowing non-freemen equal power with the freemen in all town affairs, and to some freemen of such estate, etc., their votes in election of magistrates, it was thought fit to defer this also to the next session.

4.] The Narragansetts having broken their covenants with us in three days of payment, so as there was now due to us above 1300 fathom of wampom, they now sent us to Boston <sup>\*263</sup> to the value of 100 fathom, (the *most* in old kettles,) excusing themselves by their poverty and by the Nianticks and others failing to contribute their parts. But the commissioners (who were then two of them at Boston) refused to accept so small a sum, and rebuking them sharply for breaking their covenants both in their payments [and] other acts, told them that if they were forced to fetch the rest, they could as well fetch this. So they sold their kettles to a brazier in Boston, and left the pay in his hands for us, if we would accept it, when they should bring the rest.<sup>2</sup>

---

Endorsed, We, Thomas Clarke and Thomas Lake of Boston, in New England, merchants, being attorneys to Mr. David Yeale, late of the same place, have bargained, sold, granted, delivered, and assigned all that his house and ground mentioned in this deed of sale, unto Mr. Hezekiah Usher for the use of Captain John Wall of London, mariner. In witness whereof we have put to our hands September 8, 1653. Thomas Clarke and Thomas Lake. Entered and recorded as above."

1 Dand was, we may presume, a gentleman of some education, both from his association with these petitioners, and from the searching of his *study* in the violent proceedings against him. He probably remained not long, where his treatment was so ungentle, and we might think he went home; but Farmer says, he was, eight years after, at Dover.

2 In Haz. II. 67, and following, may be seen much of the dealing with these unhappy tributaries. Since Uncas, in whose cause we had involved ourselves in the irksome duty of restraining the more powerful Indians, was ungrateful to his benefactors, and the whole spirit of his adversaries was broken, I wish the Connecticut people had been more moderate in their assertion of the full terms of the contract. The records of the United Colonies speak of the Indians' tribute "as if they would put a scorn upon" us; but their poverty was real. A

One Captain Cromwell (about ten years since a common seaman in the Massachusetts) had been out with Captain Jackson in a man of war by commission from the Earl of Warwick divers years, and having a commission of deputation from his said captain, had taken four or five Spanish vessels, and in some of them great riches, and being bound hither with three ships, and about eighty men, (they were frigates of cedar wood of about sixty and eighty tons,) by a strong northwest wind they were forced into Plimouth, (divine providence so directing for the comfort and help of that town, which was now almost deserted,) where they continued about fourteen days or more, and spent liberally and gave freely to many of the poorer sort. It fell out, while they were there, that a desperate drunken fellow, one Voysye, (who had been in continual quarrels all the voyage,) on being reproved by his captain, offered to draw his rapier at him, whereupon the captain took it from him, and giving him some blows with it, as it was in the scabbard, he threw it away; Voysye gate it again, and came up to his captain, who taking it from him again, and throwing it away, when he could not make him to leave his weapon, nor forbear his insolent behavior, he gave him a blow on the forehead with the hilt of it, which made a small wound, which the captain would presently to have been searched and dressed, but Voysye refused, and the next day went into the field to fight with another of his fellows, but their weapons being taken from them, no hurt was done; and the next day after, his wound putrifying immediately, he died. It was then the general court <sup>264</sup> at Plimouth, and a jury being empanelled, they found that he died of the wound received from the captain, whereupon the captain was sent for on shore. He offered to put himself upon trial, so as he might not be imprisoned, and that he might be tried by a council of war, both which were granted him, and one of Plimouth, one of their chief men, but no magistrate, undertook for him, body for body, and some of the magistrates and other military officers were chosen a council of war, who, upon the evidence, and sight of his commission,

---

few years after, great expense was incurred, Roger Williams thought, needlessly, to convince the Narragansetts of the English superiority.

by which he had power of martial law, etc., acquitted him. The trained band accompanied the body to the grave, and the captain gave every one of them an eln of black taffeta for a mourning robe. After this he came 10 (4,) with his three ships to Boston, and presented the governour with a sedan, which (as he said) was sent by the viceroy of Mexico to his sister. It was a very fair one, and could not be less worth than 50 pounds. He and all his men had much money, and great store of plate and jewels of great value; yet he took up his lodging in a poor thatched house, and when he was offered the best in the town, his answer was, that in his mean estate that poor man entertained him, when others would not, and therefore he would not leave him now, when he might do him good. He was ripped out of his mother's belly, and never sucked, nor saw father nor mother, nor they him.

At the last general court a bill was presented by some of the elders for a synod to be held in the end of the summer. The magistrates passed it, but the deputies sending some of themselves to confer with the magistrates about it, their objections were these, first, because therein civil authority did require the churches to send their messengers to it, and divers among them were not satisfied of any such power given by Christ to the civil magistrate over the churches in such cases; secondly, whereas the main end of the synod was propounded to be, an agreement upon one uniform practice in all the churches, the same to be commended to the general court, etc., this seemed to give power either to the synod or the court to compel the churches to practise what should so be established. To these it was answered, 1. that the civil magistrate had power upon just occasion to require the churches to send their messengers to advise in such ecclesiastical matters, either of doctrine or discipline, as the magistrate was bound by God to maintain the churches in purity and truth in (which was assented unto;) 2. that the end of the synod was not to proceed by way of power, but only of counsel from the word of God, and the court was at liberty either to establish or disannul such agreement of the synod, as they should see cause, which could put no more power into the court's hands than it had by the word <sup>265</sup> of God and our own Laws and Liberties established in

that case. Whereupon it was ordered, that howsoever the civil magistrate had authority to call a synod when they saw it needful, yet in tender respect of such as were not yet fully satisfied in that point, the ensuing synod should be convened by way of motion only to the churches, and not by any words of command.

Mr. Eaton, the governour of New Haven, wrote to the governour of the Bay, to desire the advice of the magistrates and elders in a special case, which was this: one Plain of Guilford being discovered to have used some unclean practices, upon examination and testimony, it was found, that being a married man, he had committed sodomy with two persons in England, and that he had corrupted a great part of the youth of Guilford by masturbations, which he had committed, and provoked others to the like above a hundred times; and to some who questioned the lawfulness of such a filthy practice, he did insinuate seeds of atheism, questioning whether there were a God, etc. The magistrates and elders (so many as were at hand) did all agree, that he ought to die, and gave divers reasons from the word of God.<sup>1</sup> And indeed it was horrendum facinus, and he a monster in human shape, exceeding all human rules and examples that ever had been heard of, and it tended to the frustrating of the ordinance of marriage and the hindering the generation of mankind.

A petition was presented to the court under many hands for the continuance of the two laws against anabaptists and other heretics, which was done in reference to a petition presented at the former court concerning the same laws.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The margin informs us "he was executed at New Haven."

<sup>2</sup> A few pages back, it may be remembered, the reasonable petition was mentioned, but, I fear, this counter petition had more signers. Persons going to England, with hopes of employment in the parliament service, were probably desirous of recommending themselves by carrying evidence of a relaxation of the rigor of our laws, or at least of their own exertions to obtain it. But the erroneous policy of our court, encouraged by the support of the multitude, showed its strength in the abrupt and positive report on the subject, which is here extracted from our Col. Rec. Vol. III. p. 50. "In answer to the petition of Em. Downing, Nehem. Bourne, Robert Sedgwick, Thomas Foule, with others, for the abrogation or alteration of the laws against the anabaptists and the

A plantation was this year begun at Pequod river by Mr. <sup>266</sup> John Winthrop, junr., Mr. Thomas Peter,<sup>1</sup> a minister, (brother to Mr. Peter of Salem,) and this court power was given to them two for ordering and governing the plantation till further order, etc., although it was uncertain whether it would fall wjthin our jurisdiction or not, because they of Connecticut challenged it by virtue of a patent from the king, which was never showed us, so it was done *de bene esse, quousque, etc.*, for it mattered not much to which jurisdiction it did belong, seeing the confederation made all as one; but it was of great concernment to have it planted, to be a curb to the Indians, etc.

Monsieur La Tour being returned from Newfoundland in a pinnace of Sir David Kirk, was (by some merchants of Boston) set forth in the same pinnace to the eastward with trading commodities to the value of 400 pounds. When he came at Cape Sable, (which was in the heart of winter,) he conspired with the master (being a stranger) and his own Frenchmen, being five, to go away with the vessel, and so forced out the other five English, (himself shooting one of them in the face with a pistol,) who, through special providence, having wandered up and down fifteen days, found some Indians who gave them a shallop, and victuals, and an Indian pilot. So they arrived safe at Boston in the third month. Whereby it appeared (as the scripture saith) that there is no confidence in an unfaithful or carnal man. Though tied with many strong bonds of courtesy, etc., he turned pirate, etc.

Mr. Lamberton, Mr. Grigson,<sup>2</sup> and divers other godly per-

---

law that requires special allowance for new comers residing here, it is ordered, that the laws in their petition mentioned shall not be altered or explained at all." Bourne had lived in Boston, as I find record of two children born to him here; but after his return from the wars, before mentioned by Winthrop, I presume he removed. Parliament gave him command of a ship of war.

<sup>1</sup> He is said, in a book of no authority, Peter's Hist. of Connecticut, to have come over in 1634 and settled at Saybrook with Fenwick. There, also, Mather places him. Very little is known of him, but that he was of a less sanguine temperament than Hugh.

<sup>2</sup> Grigson's children were Richard and Mary, who resided in London, Anna, who married Stephen Daniels, Susan, who married Abraham Crittenden, Sarah,

sons, men and women, went from New Haven in the eleventh month last in a ship of 80 tons, laden with wheat for London; but the ship was never heard of after. The loss was very great, to the value of some 1000 pounds; but the loss of the persons was very deplorable.

Monsieur D'Aulney, having received our letter, returned answer, that he saw now that we seriously desired peace, which he (for his part) did also, and that he accounted himself so highly honored, that we would send such principal men of ours home to him, etc., that he desired this favor of us, that he might \*267 spare us that labor, for which purpose he would send two

or three of his to us to Boston about the end of August, to treat and determine, etc. Upon receipt of this letter, the governour thought it expedient to call the general court (if it were but for one day) to have considered of commissioners to treat with his here, for he conceived that those who were *invited* to treat at Penobscot had not power to treat at home, and besides the court had declared their mind not to have chosen all these three, if they had been to have treated at home. But some other of the magistrates differing, he deferred it, and the harvest coming on, it was thought better to let it alone.

One Smith of Watertown had a son about five years old, who fell into the river near the mill gate, and was carried by the stream under the wheel, and taken up on the other side, without any harm. One of the boards of the wheel was fallen off, and it seems (by special providence) he was carried through under that gap, for otherwise if an eel pass through, it is cut asunder. The miller perceived his wheel to check on the sudden, which made him look out, and so he found the child sitting up to the waist in the shallow water beneath the mill.

[Blank.]

5.] Three of our elders, viz., Mr. Mather, Mr. Allen<sup>1</sup> and

---

who married John Gilbert, or, as Dodd says, Whitehead, Phebe, who married Rev. John Whiting of Hartford, and three more daughters. His widow, Jane, lived to a great age.

<sup>1</sup> From his proximity to the residence of the Indian sovereign, I doubt not that this was John Allen of Dedham, of whom, as usual in Mather, *parvum in multo* may be seen in the *Magnalia* III. c. 22. Eliot and Allen have added very little to the original. Another Allen, Thomas, was minister at Charles-

Mr. Eliot, took with them an interpreter, and went to the place where Cutshamekin, the Indian sachem [blank].

A daughter of Mrs. Hutchinson was carried away by the Indians near the Dutch, when her mother and others were killed by them; and upon the peace concluded between the Dutch and the same Indians, she was returned to the Dutch governour, who restored her to her friends here. She was about eight years old, when she was taken, and continued with them about four years, and she had forgot her own language, and all her friends, and was loath to have come from the Indians.<sup>1</sup>

Great harm was done in corn (especially wheat and barley) in this month by a caterpillar, like a black worm about an inch and a half long. They eat up first the blades of the stalk, then they eat up the *tassels*, whereupon the ear withered. It was believed by divers good observers, that they fell in a great <sup>268</sup> thunder shower, for divers yards and other bare places, where not one of them was to be seen an hour before, were presently after the shower almost covered with them, besides grass places where they were not so easily discerned. They did the most harm in the southern parts, as Rhode Island, etc., and in the eastern parts in their Indian corn. In divers places the churches kept a day of humiliation, and presently after the caterpillars vanished away.<sup>2</sup>

[Large blank.]

The court had made an order in (8) last, for ten shillings to be paid upon every butt of Spanish wine landed, etc., and now this spring arrived divers English ships, which brought about

---

town as colleague with Symmes part of the time, but returned home in a few years.

<sup>1</sup> Strangely has Brodhead, I. 419, made this captive to be granddaughter, instead of daughter of Mrs. H., and yet for authority refers to Winthrop. Susanna, named for her grandmother, the mother of William, father of the prisoner, married 30 December, 1651, John Cole of Boston, was, beyond doubt, the child described in the text. She bore her husband seven children, of whom the last was William, whose birth, by our town records, was on 13 July, 1671.

<sup>2</sup> Vexation of many days' labor was necessary for a satisfactory transcription of this paragraph, the ink having spread through the paper, probably by injury from damp, so as it appears almost a perfect blot. On the word in italics I spent more study than in many pages of any other part of this work, and consulted more friends than in the whole of the residue.

800 butts; but having lost much by leakage, and coming to a bad market, they were very unwilling to pay the impost, and refused to give in an invoice of such wines as they had landed, whereupon they were forfeited by the order. But upon their petition the general court remitted the forfeiture and half the impost, (in regard the order was made so lately as they could not have notice of it in those parts from whence the wines came,) but this notwithstanding, they would not submit to the order, so as the auditor who had the charge of receiving the said impost was forced to break open the cellar doors where their wines lay, and took out of the best wines for the impost, which by the order he might do. But this also they took as a great injury, because their best wines being gone, the sale of the rest was much hindered, and they threatened to get recompence some other way.

The merchants of New Haven had purchased some land of the Indians about thirty miles to the northwest of them upon Pautucket<sup>1</sup> river, and had set up a trading house. The Dutch governour made a protest against it, and sent it to Mr. Eaton, claiming the place to be theirs, and within ten Dutch miles of Fort Orange. Mr. Eaton answered the protest, acknowledging no right in the Dutch, but alleging their *purchase* and offering to refer the cause, etc. The Dutch governour by letter complained of it to the governour of Massachusetts, and also of Mr. Whiting<sup>2</sup> for saying that the English were fools in suffering the Dutch in the centre, etc. The governour of

\*269 Massachusetts informed Mr. Eaton hereof, (the commis-

---

<sup>1</sup> Though this name is very plainly written, we can hardly doubt, that Winthrop was mistaken. I presume the word was Pequusset, or Pegusset. Indian geography is exceedingly indefinite. Probably the place was the same, soon after called Derby, where the Naugatuck unites with the Housatonic. No doubt some points of resemblance caused the naming of the Connecticut plantation and that of our neighboring town of Watertown, whose Indian title was Pequusset, vulgarly Pigsgusset.

<sup>2</sup> William Whiting was one of the early settlers of Hartford, and was a member of the first general court of deputies of Connecticut, but not, as Mather says, a magistrate in 1637. To that honor he rose in 1642, and next year was treasurer of that colony, but after 1647 Trumbull gives no light upon his situation. He died, and in the Col. Rec. of J. Hammond Trumbull, I. 495, we may see administration given of his estate, his will, and codicils.

sioners being then to meet at New Haven,) and tendered it to their consideration, if it would not be expedient to call Mr. Whiting (then a magistrate at Hartford) to give account of these speeches, seeing the Dutch would expect satisfaction, etc.

When the time of the synod drew near, it was propounded to the churches. The order was sent to the churches within this jurisdiction; and to the churches in other jurisdictions a letter was sent withal.

All the churches in this jurisdiction sent their messengers, except Boston, Salem, Hingham, Concord [blank]. Concord would have sent, if their elder had been able to come, or if they had had any other whom they had judged fit, etc. Boston and Salem took offence at the order of court, 1. Because by a grant in the Liberties the elders had liberty to assemble without the compliance of the civil authority, 2. It was reported, that this motion came originally from some of the elders, and not from the court, 3. In the order was expressed, that what the major part of the assembly should agree upon should be presented to the court, that they might give such allowance to it as should be meet, hence was inferred that this synod was appointed by the elders, to the intent to make ecclesiastical laws to bind the churches, and to have the sanction of the civil authority put upon them, whereby men should be forced under penalty to submit to them, whereupon they concluded that they should betray the liberty of the churches, if they should consent to such a synod. The principal men who raised these objections were some of Boston, who came lately from England, where such a vast liberty was allowed, and sought for by all that went under the name of Independents, not only the anabaptists, antinomians, familists, seekers, etc., but even the most godly and orthodox, as Mr. Goodwin, Mr. Nye, Mr. Burrows, etc., who in the assembly there had stood in opposition to the presbytery, and also the greater part of the house of commons, who by their commissioners had sent order to all English plantations in the West Indies and Summers Islands, that all men should enjoy their liberty of conscience, and had by letters intimated the same to us. To these did some others of the church of Boston adhere, but not above thirty or forty in all.

1. To the particular objections, it was thus answered, viz., to the first, that that liberty was granted only for a help in case of extremity, if, in time to come, the civil authority should either grow opposite to the churches, or neglect the care of them, and not with any intent to practise the same, while the civil authority were nursing fathers to the churches. For the second, that it was not for the churches to inquire, what or who gave the court occasion to call the synod, but if they thought fit to desire the churches to afford them help of council in any matters which concerned religion and conscience, it was the churches' duty to yield it to them; for so far as it concerns their command or request it is an ordinance of man, which we are to submit unto for the Lord's sake, without troubling ourselves with the occasion or success. Ex malis moribus bonae leges: the laws are not the worse by being occasioned by evil men and evil manners. 3. Where the order speaks of the major part of the assembly, it speaks in its own language, and according to the court's practice, where the act of the major part is the act of the court; but it never intended thereby to restrain or direct the synod in the manner of their proceeding, nor to hinder them but that they might first acquaint the churches with their conclusions, and have their assent to them before they did present them to the court, for that is their care; the court's care was only to provide for their own cognizance. And for that inference which is drawn from that clause, that the court might give them such allowance as should be meet, it is without rule, and against the rule of charity, to infer from thence any such sanction of the court as is supposed. For if they say only they will give them such allowance as is meet, it cannot be inferred, that they will put any such sanction or stamp of authority upon them, as should be unmeet.

Two Lord's days the agitation was in Boston, and no conclusion made, by reason of the opposite party. So the elders sat down much grieved in spirit, yet told the congregation, that they thought it their duty to go notwithstanding, not as sent by the church, but as specially called by the order of court.

The assembly or synod being met at Cambridge, 1 (7), they wrote letters to the elders and brethren of the church of Bos-

ton, inviting them and pressing them also by arguments to send their elders and other messengers. Upon this, the ruling elders, being at home, assembled so many of the church, as they could upon the sudden, but the greater part being from home, and divers of those who were met still opposing, nothing could be done.

The next day was Boston lecture, to which most of the synod repaired, and Mr. Norton, teacher of the church of Ipswich, being procured to supply the place, took his text \*271 suitable to the occasion, viz., of Moses and Aaron meeting in the mount and kissing each other, where he laid down the nature and power of the synod, as only consultative, decisive, and declarative, not coercive, etc. He showed also the power of the civil magistrate in calling such assemblies, and the duty of the churches in yielding obedience to the same. He showed also the great offence and scandal which would be given in refusing, etc. The next Lord's day the matter was moved again, in three propositions; 1. Whether the church would hold communion with the other churches, etc., and desired them to express it by holding up their hands, which most of the church did, but some of the opposite party resisted and gave this reason, that though they did assent to the proposition, yet they could not vote it, because they knew not what would be inferred upon it; upon this the second proposition was mentioned, viz., whether they would exercise this communion in sending messengers to the synod, and if not, then the third proposition was, whether the church would then go themselves.

Exception was taken at this way of doing a church act by the major part, which had not been our practice in former times. To this it was answered, that in some cases (as the choice of officers, etc.) it is needful to have every man's consent but in other cases, as admission of a member, etc., it was sufficient, if the major part assented; and for this practice of proceeding by erection of hands that in [2] Cor. [viii. 19] was alleged, where the Greek word *χειροτονει* signifies the same. And in the present case, it was necessary, because the order of court, and the letters of the synod to us, required (both in duty and civility) that the church should return answer, which the

minor part could not do, therefore the major part (of necessity) must.

Then it was moved by some, that the third proposition might rather be intended and the church agree to go to the synod, rather than to send. To this it was answered, 1. That it would not be convenient nor of good report, to go in a singular way; 2. It would savor of disorder and tumult; 3. It might produce an impossibility, for if one man's conscience should bind him to attend, so might another man's, and then as well might every man's, and if all (or but the major part of our church) should go thither, it were almost impossible any business could proceed in due order. In the end it was agreed by vote of the major part, that the elders and three of the brethren should be sent as messengers, etc.

The synod brake up and was adjourned to 8 (4), having continued but about fourteen days, in regard of winter drawing on, and few of the elders of other colonies were present.

\*272 Gorton and two others of his company, viz., John Greene and Randall Holden,<sup>1</sup> going into England, complained to the commissioners for Plantations, etc., against us, etc., who gave order, that some of ours then in England should be summoned to answer their petition; whereupon some appeared, but they having no instructions about the case, and the writings sent over to Mr. Welde the year before being either lost or forgotten, so as a full answer could not be given in the particular, and the petitioners being favored by some of the commissioners, partly for private respects, and partly for their adhering to some of their corrupt tenets, and generally out of their dislike of us for our late law for banishing anabaptists, they seemed to be much offended with us for our rigorous proceeding (as they called it) against them, and thereupon (without sending to us to hear our answer, etc.) they gave them this order following:—

---

<sup>1</sup> I rejoice to find, that he lived long enough after these abuses to make them known to the royal commissioners in 1665. He and Greene were by them appointed justices of the peace within the Narragansett country, the object for which they had so many years toiled and suffered, 2 Hist. Coll. VII. 93.

By the governour in chief Lord high admiral and commissioners appointed by parliament for the English plantations in America.

Seal  
Warwick  
Governour  
&  
Admiral.

Whereas we have thought fit to give an order for Mr. Samuel Gorton, Mr. Randall Holden, Mr. John Greene, and others, late inhabitants of a tract of land called the Narragansett Bay, near the Massachusetts Bay in New England, to return with freedom to the said tract of land, and there to inhabit and abide without interruption, these are therefore to pray and require you, and all others whom this may concern, to permit and suffer the said Samuel Gorton, etc., with their company, goods and necessaries carried with them out of England, to land at any port in New England, where the ship wherein they do embark themselves shall arrive, and from thence to pass, without any of your lets or molestations, through any part of the continent of America, within your jurisdiction, to the said tract of land called Narragansett Bay, or any part thereof, they carrying themselves without offence, and paying according to the custom of the country, and their contract, for all things they shall make use of in their way, for victuals, carriage, or other accommodation. Hereof you may not fail; and this shall be your warrant. Dated at West-  
minster this 15 of May,

To the governour and assistants of the English plantation in the Massachusetts Bay in New England, and to all other governours and other inhabitants of New England, and all others whom this may concern.

Nottingham,  
Fra. Dacre,  
Fer. Rigby,  
Cor. Holland,  
Sam. Vassall,  
Geo. Fenwick,  
Fran. Allein,  
Wm. Purefoy,  
Geo. Snelling.

13. (7.)] Randall Holden arrived here in a London ship, Captain Wall master, and sent this order to the governour to desire leave to land, etc. Accordingly the governour answered,

that he could not give him leave of himself, nor dispense with an order of the general court; but the council were to meet within two or three days, and he would impart it unto them, etc., and in the mean time he would not seek after him, etc.

The council being met, they were of different judgments in the case, so as they agreed to take the advice of such of the elders as were then met at the lecture at Boston (being about ten). The elders also differed, some were very earnest for his commitment till the general court, etc. But the greater part, both of magistrates and elders, thought it better to give so much respect to the protection which the parliament had given him, (and whereupon he adventured his life, etc.,) as to suffer him to pass quietly away, and when the general court should be assembled, (which would be within a month,) then to consider further about their repossessing the land they claimed.

20. (7.)] Being the Lord's day, and the people ready to go to the assembly after dinner, Monsieur Marie and Monsieur Louis, with Monsieur D'Aulnay his secretary, arrived at Boston in a small pinnace, and major Gibbons sent two of his chief officers to meet them at the water side, who conducted them to their lodgings *sine strepitu*. The public worship being ended, the governour repaired home, and sent major Gibbons, with other gentlemen, with a guard of musketeers to attend them to the governour's house, who, meeting them without his door, carried them into his house, where they were entertained with wine and sweetmeats, and after a while he accompanied them to their lodgings (being the house of major Gibbons, where they were entertained that night). The next morning they repaired to the governour, and delivered him their commission, which was in form of a letter directed to the governour and <sup>\*274</sup> magistrates. It was open, but had a seal only let into the paper with a label. Their diet was provided at the ordinary, where the magistrates use to diet in court times; and the governour accompanied them always at meals. Their manner was to repair to the governour's house every morning about eight of the clock, who accompanied them to the place of meeting; and at night either himself or some of the commissioners accompanied them to their lodging. It was the third day at noon before our commissioners could come together.

When they were met, they propounded great injuries and damages, sustained by Captain Hawkins and our men, in assistance of La Tour, and would have engaged our government therein. We denied that we had any hand, either by commission or permission, in that action. We only gave way to La Tour to hire assistance to conduct his ship home, according to the request made to us in the commission of the vice admiral of France. And for that which was done by our men beyond our commission, we showed Monsieur D'Aulnay's letter to our governour, by Captain Bayley, wherein he writes, that the king of France had laid all the blame upon the vice admiral, and commanded him not to break with us, upon that occasion. We also alleged the peace formerly concluded without any reservation of those things. They replied, that howsoever the king of France had remitted his own interest, yet he had not nor intended to deprive Monsieur D'Aulnay of his private satisfaction. Here they did stick two days. Their commissioners alleged damages to the value of 8000 pounds, but did not stand upon the value. They would have accepted of very small satisfaction, if we would have acknowledged any guilt in our government. In the end they came to this conclusion: we accepted their commissioner's answer, in satisfaction of those things we had charged upon Monsieur D'Aulnay, and they accepted our answer for clearing our government of what he had charged upon us; and because we could not free Captain Hawkins and the other voluntaries of what they had done, we were to send a small present to Monsieur D'Aulnay in satisfaction of that, and so all injuries and demands to be remitted, and so a final peace to be concluded. Accordingly we sent Monsieur D'Aulnay by his commissioners a very fair new sedan, (worth forty or fifty pounds where it was made, but of no use to us,) sent by the viceroy of Mexico to a lady his sister, and taken in the West Indies by Captain Cromwell, and by him given to our governour.<sup>1</sup> This the commissioners very well accepted; and so the agreement being signed in several instruments, by the commissioners of both parts, on 28 day of the same month, they took leave and departed to their pinnace, the

---

<sup>1</sup> See p. 264 ante.

\*275 governour and our commissioners accompanying them to their boat, attended with a guard of musketeers, and gave them five guns from Boston, three from Charlestown, and five from Castle Island, and we sent them aboard a quarter cask of sack and some mutton. They answered all our salutations with such small pieces as they had, and so set sail, major Sedgwick and some other gentlemen accompanying them as far as Castle Island. The Lord's day they were here, the governour, acquainting them with our manner, that all men either come to our public meetings, or keep themselves quiet in their houses, and finding that the place where they lodged would not be convenient for them that day, invited them home to his house, where they continued private all that day until sunset, and made use of such books, Latin and French, as he had, and the liberty of a private walk in his garden, and so gave no offence, etc. The two first days after their arrival their pinnace kept up her flag in the main top, which gave offence both to the Londoners who rode in the harbor and also to our own people, whereupon Monsieur Marie was put in mind of it. At first he excused it by a general custom for the king's ships, both French, English, and Dutch, etc., to use it in all places; but being now under our government, if we would so command, he would cause [it] to be taken down. We desired him not [to] put us to that, but seeing he knew our minds he would do it of himself. Whereupon he gave order to have it taken down.

[Blank.]

There fell a sad affliction upon the country this year, though it more particularly concerned New Haven and those parts.<sup>1</sup> A

<sup>1</sup> A strange error in date, the cause of which I shall hereafter explain, has been most commonly applied to this melancholy event. That Mather, Lib. I. c. 6. § 6, committed the mistake, though he had Winthrop's history by him, is not very remarkable, for he quotes Pierpont's letter for authority, and the correspondent was born only about sixteen or seventeen years after the event, and wrote the relation not more than fifty-three or four years later than the fact, and the author of the *Magnalia* could suppose such evidence better than a contemporary notice of the occurrence. But in our days, Trumbull, I. 161, and the East Haven Register, published in 1824, assign the event to 1647. Johnson, Lib. III. c. 8, has taken notice of the loss, but without exact reference to time. Hubbard, 322, follows correctly his master Winthrop, and gives it 1645 in the old style. Pierpont, and Mather after him, had a reason for making it, as will

small ship of about 100 tons set out from New Haven in the middle of the eleventh month last (the harbor there being so frozen, as they were forced to hew her through the ice near three miles). She was laden with pease and some wheat, all in bulk, with about 200 West India hides, and store of <sup>\*276</sup> beaver, and plate, so as it was estimated in all at 5000<sup>1</sup> pounds. There were in her about seventy persons, whereof divers were of very precious account, as Mr. Grigson, one of their magistrates, the wife of Mr. Goodyear,<sup>2</sup> another of their magistrates, (a right godly woman,) Captain Turner,<sup>3</sup> Mr. Lamberton, master of the ship, and some seven or eight others, members of the church there. The ship never went voyage before, and was very crank-sided, so as it was conceived, she was overset in a great tempest, which happened soon after she put to sea, for she was never heard of after.

[Blank.]

7.] Some few families being gone to the new plantation at Pequod, some of them kept in the Indians' wigwams there, while their own houses were building. Some of these Indians, accompanied with some English, went to hunt deer, Unkas, the Moheagen sachem, pretending they had hunted in his limits, came with 300 men, and set upon them, and beat some of the Indians, and took away some of their goods, putting them by force out of their wigwams, where the English kept. Complaint being made hereof to the commissioners, (who were then

---

be seen, January, 1648, according to our reckoning. On page 254 the difficulty of getting the ship out of the harbor was mentioned by our author.

<sup>1</sup> This figure has been changed to a 6, perhaps by John Winthrop, jr.

<sup>2</sup> Stephen Goodyear was one of the chief men at New Haven, but not, as Mather says, a magistrate in 1637, when that place was not settled; in 1641 was chosen deputy governour, and was generally continued in that office until 1656, in 1645 was one of the commissioners of the United Colonies. He married the widow of Lamberton; and in 1657 he died in London. Trumbull says, "he left a respectable family."

<sup>3</sup> Nathaniel Turner was one of the principal among the first settlers of New Haven, says Trumbull. No doubt he is the same person, who was very early a freeman of Massachusetts, a captain in the Pequot war, and deputy from Sagamore. I had less acquaintance with him in Vol. I 192. He acquired a desire for New Haven in Stoughton's expedition, before that quarter of our country was settled by the English. See Vol. I 400.

met at New Haven,) they sent for Unkas, and charged him with this outrage, etc. He confessed he had done very ill, and said, he thought he was mad; so he promised to go to the English there, and acknowledge his offence, and make full satisfaction, and for time to come, would live peaceably with them, etc.

[Large blank.]

The merchants of New Haven had set up a trading house upon a small river some thirty miles up into the country, and some fifty miles from fort Orange.<sup>1</sup> The Dutch governour <sup>277</sup> hearing thereof, sent a protest there against it, claiming the place to be in New Netherland. Mr. Eaton returned answer by the same messenger.

[Large blank.]

A woman of the church of Weymouth being cast out for some distempered speeches, by a major party, (the ruling elder and a minor party being unsatisfied therein,) her husband complained to the synod, which being then ready to break up, could do nothing in it, but only acquainted the pastor therewith privately. Whereupon complaint was made to the elders of the neighboring churches, and request made to them to come to Weymouth and to mediate a reconciliation. The elders acquainted their churches with it. Some scrupled the warrantableness of the course, seeing the major party of the church did not send to the churches for advice. It was answered, that it was not to be expected, that the major party would complain of their own act, and if the minor party, or the party grieved, should not be heard, then God should have left no means of redress in such a case, which could not be. Some of the churches approved their going; the rest permitted it. So they went, and the church of Weymouth, having notice before hand, gave them a meeting, and first demanded, whether they were sent by their churches or not. Being certified, as before, they objected this, that except they had been

---

<sup>1</sup> Mention was made of this subject of complaint, on p. 268. It is obvious, that the mensuration of either party was not very accurate, for the modern miles would be much more than double between New Haven and Albany.

sent by their churches, they should never know when they had done, for others might come still, and require like satisfaction, etc. It was answered, the like objection would lie, if the churches had sent, for other churches might yet have required, etc., but they came not in way of authority, but only of brotherly communion, and therefore impose nothing upon them, but only to give their advice as occasion should require. This and some other scruples being removed, the church consented to have the cause heard, and opened from the beginning, whereupon some failing was found in both parties, the woman had not given so full satisfaction as she ought to have done, and the major party of the church had proceeded too hastily against a considerable party of the dissenting brethren, whereupon the woman who had offended was convinced of her failing, and bewailed it with many tears, the major party also acknowledged their error, and gave the elders thanks for their care and pains.

[Blank.]

7.] One William Waldron, a member of the church <sup>278</sup> of Dover upon Pascataquack, (received into the church in the corrupt beginning of it,) a man given to drunkenness and contention, being after cast out, and upon some formal repentance received in again, being also a good clerk, and a subtle man, was made their recorder,<sup>1</sup> and also recorder of the province of Maine under Sir Ferdinando Gorge, and returning from Saco about the end of September alone, passing over a small river at Kennebunk, was there drowned, and his body not found until near a month after.

(8.) 17.] A ship of 300 tons, built at Boston, was this day launched.

(9.) 4.] The general court (being adjourned from (8) began again, and that night was a most dreadful tempest at northeast with wind and rain, in which the lady Moodye her house at

---

<sup>1</sup> By our own general court, as the records prove. Richard Waldron, probably a brother of William, was a gentleman of high character, and employed in important business by our government. The family is perpetuated in Portsmouth and its neighborhood, and has always been distinguished for public service.

Salem, being but one story in height, and a flat roof with a brick chimney in the midst, had the roof taken off in two parts (with the top of the chimney) and carried six or seven rods off. Also one Cross of Connecticut had his pinnace cast away in Narragansett Bay, but the men and goods saved. Mr. Haines, etc., taken in this tempest half way from Connecticut, and by providence brought casually in the night to an empty wigwam, where they found fire kindled, and room for themselves and horses, else had perished.

This court the business of Gorton, etc., and of the petitioners, Dr. Child, etc., were taken into consideration, and it was thought needful to send some able man into England, with commission and instructions, to satisfy the commissioners for plantations about those complaints; and because it was a matter of so great and general concernment, such of the elders as could be had were sent for, to have their advice in the matter. Mr. Hubbard of Hingham came with the rest, but the court being informed that he had an hand in a petition, which Mr. Vassall carried into England against the country in general, the governour propounded, that if any elder present had any such hand, etc., he would withdraw himself. Mr. Hubbard sitting still a good space, and no man speaking, one of the deputies informed the court, that Mr. Hubbard was the man suspected, whereupon he arose, and said, that he knew nothing of any such petition. The governour replied, that seeing he was now named, he must needs deliver his mind about him, which <sup>279</sup> was, that although they had no proof present about the matter of the petition, and therefore his denial was a sufficient clearing, etc., yet in regard he had so much opposed authority, and offered such contempt to it, as for which he had been lately bound to his good behavior, he thought he would (in discretion) withdraw himself, etc., whereupon he went out. Then the governour put the court in mind of a great miscarriage, in that our secretest counsels were presently known abroad, which could not be but by some among ourselves, and desired them to look at it as a matter of great unfaithfulness, and that our present consultations might be kept in the breast of the court, and not be divulged abroad, as others had been.

Then it was propounded to consideration, in what relation we

stood to the state of England; whether our government was founded upon our charter, or not; if so, then what subjection we owed to that state. The magistrates delivered their minds first, that the elders might have the better light for their advice. All agreed that our charter was the foundation of our government, and thereupon some thought, that we were so subordinate to the parliament, as they might countermand our orders and judgments, etc., and therefore advised, that we should petition the parliament for enlargement of power, etc. Others conceived otherwise, and that though we owed allegiance and subjection to them, as we had always professed, and by a copy of a petition which we presented to the lords of the privy council when they sent for our charter anno [blank] then read in the court, did appear, yet by our charter we had absolute power of government; for thereby we have power to make laws, to erect all sorts of magistracy, to correct, punish, pardon, govern, and rule the people absolutely, which word implies two things, 1. a perfection of parts, so as we are thereby furnished with all parts of government, 2. it implies a self-sufficiency, quoad subjectam materiam, and ergo should not need the help of any superior power, either general governour, or, etc., to complete our government; yet we did owe allegiance and subjection, 1. because our commonwealth was founded upon the power of that state, and so had been always carried on, 2. in regard of the tenure of our lands, of the manor of East Greenwich, 3. we depended upon them for protection, etc. 4. for advice and counsel, when in great occasions we should crave it, 5. in the continuance of naturalization and free liegeance of ourselves and our posterity. Yet we might be still independent in respect of government, as Normandy, Gascoyne, etc., were, though they had dependence upon the crown of France, and the kings of England did homage, etc., yet in point of government they were not dependent upon France. So likewise <sup>280</sup> Burgundy, Flanders, etc. So the Hanse Towns in Germany, which have dependence upon the empire, etc. And such as are subject to the imperial chamber, in some great and general causes, they had their deputies there, and so were parties to all orders there.

And for that motion of petitioning, etc., it was answered,

1. that if we receive a new charter, that will be (ipso facto) a surrender of the old, 2. the parliament can grant none now, but by way of ordinance, and it may be questioned, whether the king will give his royal assent, considering how he hath taken displeasure against us, 3. if we take a charter from the parliament, we can expect no other than such as they have granted to us at Narragansett, and to others in other places, wherein they reserve a supreme power in all things.

The court having delivered their opinions, the elders desired time of consideration, and the next day they presented their advice, which was delivered by Mr. Allen, pastor of the church in Dedham, in divers articles, which (upon request) they delivered in writing as followeth. But first I should have mentioned the order of the commissioners, sent to us in the behalf of Gorton, which, together with their petition and declaration, were sent over to us by the commissioners. The order was in these words.

After our hearty commendations, we being specially entrusted by both houses of parliament with ordering the affairs and government of the English plantations in America, have some months since received a complaint from Mr. Gorton and Mr. Holden, in the name of themselves and divers others English, who have transported themselves into New England, and now are or lately were inhabitants of a tract of land called by the name of the Narragansett Bay, (a copy of which complaint the inclosed petition and narrative will represent to your knowledge,) we could not forthwith proceed to a full hearing and determination of the matter, it not appearing unto us, that you were acquainted with the particular charge, or that you had furnished any person with power to make defence in your behalf, nor could we conveniently respite some kind of resolution therein without a great prejudice to the petitioners, who would have lain under much inconvenience, if we had detained them from their families till all the formality and circumstances of proceeding (necessary at this distance) had regularly prepared the cause for a hearing. We shall therefore let you know in the first place, that our present resolution is not grounded upon an admittance of the truth of what is charged, we knowing well how much God hath honored your government, and be-

lieving that your spirits and affairs are acted by principles of justice, prudence and zeal to God, and therefore cannot easily receive any evil impressions concerning your proceedings. In the next place, you may take notice, that we found the petitioners' aim and desire, in the result of it, was not so much a reparation for what past, as a settling their habitation for the future under that government by a charter of civil incorporation which was heretofore granted them by ourselves. We find withal that the tract of land, called the Narragansett Bay, (concerning which the question is arisen,) was divers years since inhabited by those of Providence, Portsmouth, and Newport, who are interested in the complaint, and that the same is wholly without the bounds of the Massachusetts patent granted by his majesty. We have considered that they be English, and that the forcing of them to find out new places of residence will be very chargeable, difficult, and uncertain.

And therefore upon the whole matter do hereby pray and require you to permit and suffer the petitioners and all the late inhabitants of Narragansett Bay, with their families and such as shall hereafter join with them, freely and quietly to live and plant upon Shawomett and such other parts of the said tract of land within the bounds mentioned in our said charter, on which they have formerly planted and lived, without extending your jurisdiction to any part thereof, or otherwise disquieting them in their consciences or civil peace, or interrupting them in their possession until such time as we shall have received your answer to their claim in point of title, and you shall thereupon have received our further order therein.

And in case any others, since the petitioners' address to England, have taken possession of any part of the lands heretofore enjoyed by the petitioners or any their associates, you are to cause them which are newly possessed, as aforesaid, to be removed, that this order may be fully performed. And till our further order neither the petitioners are to enlarge their plantations, nor are any others to be suffered to intrude upon any part of the Narragansett Bay.

And if they shall be found hereafter to abuse this favor by any act tending to disturb your right, we shall express a due

sense thereof, so as to testify a care of your honor, protection, and encouragement.

In order to the effecting of this resolution, we do also require, that you do suffer the said Mr. Gorton, Mr. Holden, Mr. Greene, and their company, with their goods and necessaries, to pass through any part of that territory which is under your jurisdiction, toward the said tract of land, without molestation, \*282 they demeaning themselves civilly, any former sentence of expulsion or otherwise notwithstanding.

We shall only add that to these orders of ours we shall expect a conformity, not only from yourselves, but from all other governours and plantations in New England whom it may concern. And so commanding you to God's gracious protection, we rest, your very loving friends.

From the governour in Warwick, Governour and Admi. Jud. chief, Lord Admiral and Northumberland, Commissioners for foreign Plantations, sitting Pembroke and Montgomery, at Westminster, 15 May, Nottingham, Manchester, 1646.

Fra. Dacre,  
Sam. Vassall,  
Corn. Holland,  
Wm. Waller,  
Wm. Purefoy,  
Dennis Bond,  
Geo. Snelling,  
Ben. Rudyer.

Upon this order one question was, whether we should give the commissioners their title, least thereby we should acknowledge all that power they claimed in our jurisdiction as well as in other plantations, which had not so large a charter as we. It was considered withal, that whatever answer or remonstrance we presented to them, if their stile were not observed, it was doubted they would not receive it.

The advice of the elders was as follows.

Concerning the question of our dependence upon England, we conceive,

1. That as we stand in near relation, so also in dependence

upon that state; in divers respects, viz. 1. We have received the power of our government and other privileges, derived from thence by our charter. 2. We owe allegiance and fidelity to that state. 3. Erecting such a government as the patent prescribes and subjecting ourselves to the laws here ordained by that government, we therein yield subjection to the state of England. 4. We owe unto that state the fifth part of gold and silver ore that shall, etc. 5. We depend upon the state of England for protection and immunities of Englishmen, as free denization, etc.

2. We conceive, that in point of government we have granted by patent such full and ample power of choosing all officers that shall command and rule over us, of making all laws and rules of our obedience, and of a full and final determination of all cases in the administration of justice, that no appeals or other ways of interrupting our proceedings do lie against us. \*283

3. Concerning our way of answering complaints against us in England, we conceive, that it doth not well suit with us, nor are we directly called thereto, to profess and plead our right and power, further than in a way of justification of our proceedings questioned, from the words of the patent. In which agitations and the issues thereof our agents shall discern the mind of the parliament towards us, which if it be propense and favorable, there may be a fit season to procure such countenance of our proceedings, and confirmation of our just power, as may prevent such unjust complaints and interruptions, as now disturb our administrations. But if the parliament should be less inclinable to us, we must wait upon providence for the preservation of our just liberties.

4. Furthermore we do not clearly discern, but that we may give the Earl of Warwick and the rest such titles as the parliament hath given them, without subjecting to them in point of our government.

5. Lastly we conceive that as the hazardous state of England, the case of the church of Bermuda, and so this weighty case of our liberties do call the churches to a solemn seeking of the Lord for the upholding of our state and disappointment of our adversaries.

The court had made choice of Mr. Edward Winslow, (one of the magistrates of Plimouth,) as a fit man to be employed in our present affairs in England, both in regard of his abilities of presence, speech, courage, and understanding, as also being well known to the commissioners, having suffered a few years before divers months imprisonment, by means of the last arch prelate, in the cause of New England. But it was now moved by one of the elders, to send one of our own magistrates and one of our elders. The motion and the reasons of it were well apprehended, so as the governour and Mr. Norton, teacher of the church in Ipswich, were named, and in a manner agreed upon; but upon second thoughts it was let fall, chiefly for these two reasons, 1. it was feared, in regard that Mr. Peter had written to the governour to come over and assist in the parliament's cause, etc., that if he were there, he would be called into the parliament, and so detained, 2. many were upon the wing, and his departure would occasion more new thoughts and apprehensions, etc. 3. it was feared what changes his absence might produce, etc.

The governour was very averse to a voyage into England, yet he declared himself ready to accept the service, if he should be called to it, though he were then fifty-nine years of age, wanting one month; but he was very glad when he saw the mind of the Lord to be otherwise.<sup>1</sup>

\*284 The court conferred with the elders about the petition of Dr. Child, etc., also, for it had given great offence to many godly in the country, both elders and others, and some answers had been made to it, and presented to the court, out of which one entire answer had been framed, in way of declaration of the court's apprehension thereof, not by way of answer, because it was adjudged a contempt, which declaration was after pub-

---

<sup>1</sup> Almost any other man, than Winthrop, whose public spirit would have sustained him through any sufferings for New England, might have discerned the mind of the Lord to be adverse to his embassy, because there was so little money in the public chest, that it became necessary to borrow 100 pounds, as will appear in a few pages onward. The country was, besides, so poor that no tax was assessed for the year, and I regret the deficiency the more, since it served perhaps to inflame the fines which tyrannical rulers inflicted on such as differed from them but slightly in their notions of policy.

lished. The elders declared their opinion about it, but gave no advice for censure, etc., leaving that to the court.

There was a ship then ready to set sail for England, wherein Mr. Fowle (one of the petitioners) was to go, etc. The court therefore sent for him, and required an account of him about it, before his departure, and also Mr. John Smith of Rhode Island, being then in town, and they were both required to find sureties to be responsal, etc., whereupon they were troubled, and desired they might answer presently, in regard they were to depart, taking exception also, that the rest of the petitioners were not called as well as they. Whereupon Dr. Child, etc., were sent for, and all appeared, save Mr. Maverick; and the Dr. (being the chief speaker) demanded what should be laid to their charge, seeing it was no offence to prefer a petition, etc. It was answered, that they were not questioned for petitioning, but for such miscarriages, etc., as appeared in their petition and remonstrance. The Doctor replied, desiring that they might know their charge. The court answered, they should have it in due time; it was not ready at present, nor had they called them then, had it not been, that some of them were upon their departure, and therefore the court required sureties for their forth coming, etc. The Doctor, etc., still demanded what offence they had committed, for which they should find sureties, etc. Upon this pressing, one clause in their petition was read to them, which was this, our brethren of England's just indignation against us, so as they fly from us as from a pest, etc., whereby they lay a great scandal upon the country, etc. This was so clear as they could not evade it, but <sup>285</sup> quarrelled with the court, with high terms. The Doctor said, they did beneath themselves in petitioning to us, etc., and in conclusion appealed to the commissioners in England. The governour told them, he would admit no appeal, nor was it allowed by our charter, but by this it appeared what their aim was in their petition; they complained of fear of perpetual slavery, etc., but their intent was, to make us slaves to them and such as themselves were, and that by the parliament and commissioners, (meaning, by threatening us with their authority, or calumniating us to them, etc). For ourselves, it was well known, we did ever honor the parliament, and were ready to

perform all due obedience, etc., to them according to our charter, etc. The court let them know, that they did take notice of their contemptuous speeches and behavior, as should further appear in due time. In conclusion Mr. Fowle and Mr. Smith were committed to the marshal for want of sureties, and the rest were enjoined to attend the court when they should be called. So they were dismissed, and Mr. Fowle, etc. found sureties before night, and were set at liberty.

A committee was appointed to examine the petition, and out of it to draw a charge, which was done, as followeth :

The court doth charge Dr. Child, etc., with divers false and scandalous passages in a certain paper, entitled a remonstrance and petition (exhibited by them to this court in the third month last) against the churches of Christ and the civil government here established, derogating from the honor and authority of the same, and tending to sedition, as in the particulars following will appear :

1. They take upon them to defame our government, and to control both the wisdom of the state of England in the frame of our charter, and also the wisdom and integrity of this court, in charging our government to be an ill-compact vessel.

2. They lay open the afflictions, which God hath pleased to exercise us with, and that to the worst appearance, and impute it to the evil of our government.

3. They charge us with manifest injury to a great part of the people here, persuading them, that the liberties and privileges in our charter belong to all freeborn Englishmen, inhabitants here ; whereas they are granted only to such as the governour and company shall think fit to receive into that fellowship.

4. They closely insinuate into the minds of the people, that those now in authority do intend to exercise unwarranted dominion and an arbitrary government, such as is abominable to the parliament and that party in England, thereby to make them slaves ; and (to hide themselves) they pretend it to

286 be the jealousies of others, and (which tends to stir up commotion) they foretel them of intolerable bondage to ensue.

5. They go about to weaken the authority of our laws, and the reverence and esteem of them, and consequently their obedience to them, by persuading the people, that partly through

want of the body of English laws, and partly through the insufficiency or ill frame of those we have, they can expect no sure enjoyment of their lives and liberties under them.

6. They falsely charge us with denying liberty of votes in such cases where we allow them, as in choice of military officers, which is common to the non-freemen with such as are free.

7. Their speeches tend to sedition, by insinuating into the people's minds, that there are many thousands secretly discontented at the government, etc., whereby those who indeed were so might be emboldened to discover themselves, and to attempt some innovation, in confidence of so many thousands to join with them, and so to kindle a great flame, the foretelling whereof is a chief means to kindle it.

8. They raise a false report and foul slander upon the discipline of our churches, and upon the civil government, by inferring that the frame and dispensation thereof are such, as godly, sober, peaceable, etc., men cannot live here like christians, which they seem to conclude from hence, that they desire liberty to remove where they may live like christians.

9. They do (in effect) charge this government with tyranny, in impressing their persons into the wars, committing them to prison, fining, rating, etc., and all unjustly and illegally.

10. They falsely charge and slander the people of God, in affirming that christian vigilancy is no way exercised towards such as are not in church fellowship, whereas themselves know, and have had experience to the contrary. And if they had discerned any such failing, they ought first to have complained of it in private to the elders, or brethren of such churches where they have been so neglected, which (we may well think) they have not done, nor had any just cause thereof.

11. Having thrown all this dirt and shame upon our churches and government, etc., they endeavor to set it on, that it might stick fast, so as all men might undoubtedly be persuaded of the reality thereof, by proclaiming it in their conclusion, that our own brethren in England have just indignation against us for the same, which they labor to confirm by the effect thereof, viz. that for these evils amongst us, these our own brethren do fly from us as from a pest.

\*287 12. Lastly, that it may yet more clearly appear, that these evils and obliquities, which they charge upon our government, are not the mere jealousies of others, but their own apprehensions, (or pretences rather,) they have publicly declared their disaffection thereto, in that, being called by the court to render account of their misapprehensions and evil expressions in the premises, they refused to answer; but, by appealing from this government, they disclaimed the jurisdiction thereof, before they knew whether the court would give any sentence against them, or not.

Their petition being read, and this charge laid upon them, in the open court, before a great assembly, they desired time to make answer to it, which was granted. And giving the court notice that their answer was ready, they assembled again, and before all the people caused their answer to be read, which was large, and to little purpose, and the court replied to the particulars extempore, as they were read. The substance both of the answer and reply was, as followeth, with some little addition, which for want of time was then omitted.

Answer. To the first they answer, that they termed these plantations an ill-compacted vessel, 1. comparatively, in respect of our native country, 2. in regard of the paucity of people, scattered, etc., 3. for diversity of judgments amongst us, many being for presbyterian government, according to the reformation in England, others opposing it; some freemen, others not. Differences there are also about bounds of colonies, patents, privileges, etc.

Reply. To this was replied, 1. that the being of a thing, talis, etc., lies in the perfection of parts, not degrees; a child of a year old is as truly a man, and as well compact, as one of sixty; a ship of forty tons may be as well compact a vessel, as the Royal Sovereign. And for the differences which are amongst us, (through the Lord's mercy,) they are not either in number or degree suitable to those in England, nor do they concern our esse or non esse; and those which are, are raised by such discontented and unquiet spirits as these petitioners.

To the second they answer negatively, which needed no reply, it being evident in their petition, that (though they speak

of our sins in general, yet) they chiefly impute them to our evil government, etc.

Answer. To the third, they deny the charge, but grant that the governour and company may have some peculiar privileges, as other corporations of England have, which corporation privileges, made for the most part for advancing mechanical professions, in some places are much slighted by the English gentry, unless in London and some great cities, because <sup>288</sup> freeborn privileges are far greater and more honorable, etc.

Reply. To this it was replied, that we could not but take this as a scorn and slighting of us, (according to their former carriage,) allowing us no more than any ordinary corporation, and such privileges only as belong to mechanic men ; but for greater and more gentile privileges, (as they term them,) those they would share in ; and (which they impudently deny against the plain words of their petition) they would have all freeborn English to have as much right to them as the governour and company.

Answer. To the fourth they answer as in their petition, and a reason they give of their fear of arbitrary government is, that some speeches and papers have been spread abroad for maintenance thereof, etc., and that a body of English laws have not been here established, nor any other not repugnant thereto.

Reply. To this it was replied, 1. that the constant care and pains the court hath taken for establishing a body of laws, and that which hath been effected herein beyond any other plantation, will sufficiently clear our government from being arbitrary, and our intentions from any such disposition, 2. for the laws of England (though by our charter we are not bound to them, yet) our fundamentals are framed according to them, as will appear by our declaration, which is to be published upon this occasion, and the government of England itself is more arbitrary in their chancery and other courts than ours is, 3. because they would make men believe, that the want of the laws of England was such a grievance to them, they were pressed to show, what laws of England they wanted, and it was offered them, (before all the assembly, who were desired to bear witness of it,) that if they could produce any one law of England, the want whereof was a just grievance to them, the court would

quit the cause, whereupon one of them instanced in a law used in London, (where he had been a citizen,) but that was easily taken away, by showing that that was only a bye-law, or peculiar custom of the city, and none of the common or general laws of England.

Answer. They answer negatively to the fifth, alleging that they only commend the laws of England as those they are best accustomed unto, etc., and therein they impudently and falsely affirm, that we are obliged to those laws by our general charter and oath of allegiance, and that without those laws, or others no way repugnant to them, they could not clearly see a certainty of enjoying their lives, liberties, and estates, etc., according to their due natural rights, as freeborn English, etc.

Reply. To this it was replied, that they charge us with breach of our charter and of our oaths of allegiance, \*289 whereas our allegiance binds us not to the laws of England any longer than while we live in England, for the laws of the parliament of England reach no further, nor do the king's writs under the great seal go any further; what the orders of state may, belongs not in us to determine. And whereas they seem to admit of laws not repugnant, etc., if by repugnant they mean, as the word truly imports, and as by the charter must needs be intended, they have no cause to complain, for we have no laws diametrically opposite to those of England, for then they must be contrary to the law of God and of right reason, which the learned in those laws have anciently and still do hold forth as the fundamental basis of their laws, and that if any thing hath been otherwise established, it was an error, and not a law, being against the intent of the law-makers, however it may bear the form of a law (in regard of the stamp of authority set upon it) until it be revoked.

Answer. To the sixth they confess, that non-freemen have a vote in choice of military officers, but they justify their assertion, in regard they must first take an oath of fidelity, which, they say, is not (as they conceive) warranted by our charter, and seems not to concur with the oath of allegiance and the later covenants, but detracts from our native country and laws, so as they cannot take it, etc.

Reply. This needs no reply. An absolute denial, and a denial sub modo are not the same.

Answer. To the seventh they answer negatively only, which their petition will sufficiently clear, for (reply) the inference is so plain, as is obvious to any reasonable understanding.

Answer and reply. The like for the eighth.

Answer. To the ninth they confess the words in their petition, viz., that divers of the English subjects have been impressed for the wars, that rates are many and grievous, but charge them not with tyranny, or injustice, or illegal proceeding.

Reply. See what a manifest contradiction they have run themselves into. They complain of these impresses and rates as an unsupportable grievance, and yet neither tyrannical, unjust, nor illegal; so as we must then conclude (as the very truth is indeed) that the exercise of lawful authority, justice and law, are a grievance to these men, if it come not in their own way.

Answer. To the tenth, they would shift off that slander upon our churches and brethren, by this distinction of christian vigilancy, properly and improperly so called; properly is in three respects, 1. of the church covenant, 2. of the term, brethren, 3. church censure. And all other christian vigilancy they account improper; and so this is not to be intended or <sup>\*290</sup> comprised in this proposition, viz., christian vigilancy is no way exercised towards non-members.

Reply. This is so gross a fallacy, as needs no skill to discover it.

Answer. To the eleventh they answer by confessing the words, save that they say, they spake of their brethren, not our brethren. Reply. Who they challenge for their brethren peculiarly we know not, for all such there as in judgment of charity go for true christians in England, we do and have always accounted brethren, and in a common sense all of that nation we have accounted brethren; and further they justify that speech, that they have just indignation against us, etc., for three reasons, 1. for not establishing the laws of England, 2. not admitting them to civil liberties, 3. not admitting them to the sacraments; and yet they dare affirm that they do not

charge this upon the court, etc. They also justify that speech, of flying from us as from a pest, by the like speeches some of them have heard from godly men in England, and by so many going from us, and so few coming to us. But admit all this to be true, yet what calling have these men to publish this to our reproach? And beside they know well, that as some speak evil of us, because we conform not to their opinions, in allowing liberty to every erroneous judgment, so there are many, no less godly and judicious, who do approve our practice, and continue their good affection to us.

Answer. To the twelfth (professing their ignorance of the meaning of the word, obliquities, to which was replied, that then they did not know rather what rectum was, for whatsoever is not rectum is obliquum) they make an apology for their appeal, as conceiving it lawful to appeal to the parliament, to which they were necessitated, some of them being hindered from their necessary occasions, and accounting it no offence to petition, etc., nor had the parliament ever censured any for the like, etc. And if this will not satisfy the court, etc., some few queries to the parliament (the best arbiters in these cases) will (we hope) end all controversies, etc., concluding that they hope we will censure all things candidly and in the best sense.

To which it was replied, that appeals did not lie from us, by our charter; and to appeal, before any sentence, was to disclaim our jurisdiction, etc.

I should also have noted the Doctor's logic, who undertook to prove, that we were subject to the laws of England. His argument was this, every corporation of England is subject to the laws of England; but this was a corporation of England, ergo, etc.

To which it was answered, 1. that there is a difference \*291 between subjection to the laws in general, as all that dwell in England are, and subjection to some laws of state, proper to foreign plantations, 2. we must distinguish between corporations within England and corporations of but not within England; the first are subject to the laws of England in general, yet not to every general law, as the city of London and other corporations have divers customs and by-laws differing from the common and statute laws of England. Again,

though plantations be bodies corporate, (and so is every city and commonwealth,) yet they are also above the rank of an ordinary corporation. If one of London should say before the mayor and aldermen, or before the common council, you are but a corporation, this would be taken as a contempt. And among the Romans, Grecians, and other nations, colonies have been esteemed other than towns, yea than many cities, for they have been the foundations of great commonwealths. And it was a fruit of much pride and folly in these petitioners to despise the day of small things.

These petitioners persisting thus obstinately and proudly in their evil practice, the court proceeded to consider of their censure, and agreed, that the Doctor (in regard he had no cause to complain, and yet was a leader to the rest, and had carried himself proudly, etc., in the court) should be fined fifty pounds, Mr. Smith (being also a stranger) forty pounds, Mr. Maverick (because he had not as yet appealed) ten pounds, and the other four thirty pounds each.<sup>1</sup> So being again called before the court, they were exhorted to consider better of their proceedings, and take knowledge of their miscarriage, which was great, and that they had transgressed the rule of the Apostle [blank], study to be quiet and to meddle with your own business. They were put in mind also of that sin of Corah, etc., and of the near resemblance between theirs and that; they only told Moses and Aaron, that they took too much upon

---

<sup>1</sup> Surprise almost equals our indignation at this exorbitant imposition, for in this very year Fowle was associated with Winthrop as one of the selectmen of Boston, and Maverick was so much interested in the great work of fortifying Castle Island, that he advanced a large part of the outlay, and the metropolis engaged to save him harmless to a certain extent. Union of the good spirit of the civilians, that dreaded all appeals to England for correction of any error in our administration, with the evil spirit of the clergy, that would enforce uniformity in ceremonies and belief, produced the effect of preventing many from coming to Massachusetts, and drove away many who had already established here their domestic altars. All these petitioners, but Maverick, left the country, I believe. He had long experience enough of the habits of our rulers to know, that their intolerance sometimes yielded to interest, and that humanity often overpowered the perversity of their zeal for God's house, by which they might seem to be eaten up. How far the petitioners offended, the student of our history may learn from New England's Jonas cast up at London, printed in 2. Hist. Coll. IV. 107 et seq.

them; seeing all were the Lord's people, etc., so these say, that the magistrates and freemen take too much upon them, seeing all the people are Englishmen, etc., and others are wise, holy, etc. They were offered also, if they would ingenuously acknowledge their miscarriage, etc., it should be freely remitted. But they remaining obstinate, the court declared their sentence, as is before expressed.

Upon which they all appealed to the parliament, etc., and tendered their appeal in writing. The court received the paper; but refused to accept it, or to read it in the court.<sup>1</sup>

Three of the magistrates, viz., Mr. Bellingham, Mr. Saltonstall, and Mr. Bradstreet dissented, and desired to be entered contradicentes in all the proceedings (only Mr. Bradstreet went home before the sentence). Two or three of the deputies did the like. So the court was dissolved.

Dr. Child prepared now in all haste to go for England in the ship which was to go about a week after, to prosecute their appeal, and to get a petition from the non-freemen to the parliament, and many high and menacing words were given forth by them against us, which gave occasion to the governour and council (so many of them as were then assembled to hold the court of assistants) to consider what was fit to be done. Neither thought they fit to impart their counsel to such of the magistrates as had declared their dissent; but the rest of them agreed to stay the Doctor for his fine, and to search his trunk and Mr. Dand's study, but spake not of it till the evening before the Doctor was to depart. Then it was propounded in council, and Mr. Bellingham dissented, as before, (yet the day before he moved for stopping the Doctor, which was conceived to be to feel if there were any such intention,) and presently went aside, and spake privately with one, who we were sure would prevent our purpose, if it were possible. Whereupon (whereas we had agreed to defer it till he had been on shipboard) now perceiving

---

<sup>1</sup> Hutchinson I. 147, misled by Mather, confounds, in his note, the controversy raised by Child and others, about enlargement of privileges, in which the court was almost unanimous against the petitioners, with that of the Hingham military sedition, the preceding year, for which the majority of the deputies were with great difficulty brought to sustain Winthrop and inflict any fines.

our counsel was discovered, we sent the officers presently to fetch the Doctor, and to search his study and Dand's both at one instant, which was done accordingly, and the Doctor was brought, and his trunk, that was to be carried on ship- \*293 board (but there was nothing in that, which concerned the business). But at Dand's they found Mr. Smith, who catched up some papers, and when the officer took them from him, he brake out into these speeches, viz. we hope shortly we shall have commission to search the governour's closet. There were found the copies of two petitions and twenty-three queries, which were to be sent to England to the commissioners for plantations. The one from Dr. Child and the other six petitioners, wherein they declare, how they had formerly petitioned our general court, and had been fined for the same, and forced to appeal, and that the ministers of our churches did revile them, etc., as far as the wit or malice of man could, etc., and that they meddled in civil affairs beyond their calling, and were masters rather than ministers, and oftentimes judges, and that they had stirred up the magistrates against them, and that a day of humiliation was appointed, wherein they were to pray against them, etc. Then they mention (as passing by them) what affronts, jeers, and despiteful speeches were cast upon them by some of the court, etc. Then they petition, 1. for settled churches according to the reformation of England, 2. that the laws of England may be established here, and that arbitrary power may be banished, 3. for liberties for English freeholders here as in England, etc., 4. that a general governour or some honorable commissioners be appointed for settling, etc.; 5. that the oath of allegiance may be commanded to be taken by all, and other covenants which the parliament shall think most convenient, to be as a touchstone to try our affections to the state of England and true restored protestant religion, 6. to resolve their queries, etc., 7. to take into consideration their remonstrance and petition exhibited to the general court.

Their queries were chiefly about the validity of our patent, and how it might be forfeited, and whether such and such acts or speeches in the pulpits or in the court, etc., were not high treason; concerning the power of our court and laws in divers particular cases; and whether they may be hindered by the

order of this court from settling in a church way according to the reformation of England, etc.

The other petition was from some non-freemen (pretending to be in the name, and upon the sighs and tears of many thousands). In the preamble they show how they were driven out of their native country by the tyranny of the bishops, etc. Then they petition for liberty of conscience, etc., and for a general governour, etc. They sent their agents up and down the country to get hands to this petition. But of the many thousands they spake of, we could hear but of twenty-five to

\*294 the chief petition, and those were (for the most part) either young men who came over servants, and never had any show of religion in them, or fishermen of Marblehead, profane persons, divers of them brought the last year from Newfoundland to fish a season, and so to return again; others were such as were drawn in by their relations, men of no *reason* neither, as a barber of Boston, who, being demanded by the governour, what moved him to set his hand, made answer, that the gentlemen were his customers, etc.; and these are the men, who must be held forth to the parliament, as driven out of England by the bishops, etc., and whose tears and sighs must move compassion.

Dr. Child, being upon this apprehended and brought before the governour and council, fell into a great passion, and gave big words, but being told, that they considered he was a person of quality, and therefore he should be used with such respect as was meet to be showed to a gentleman and a scholar, but if he would behave himself no better, he should be committed to the common prison and clapped in irons. Upon this he grew more calm; so he was committed to the marshal, with Smith and Dand, for two or three days, till the ships were gone. For he was very much troubled to be hindered from his voyage, and offered to pay his fine; but that would not be accepted for his discharge, seeing we had now new matter and worse against him (for the writings were of his hand). Yet, upon tender of sufficient bail, he was set at liberty, but confined to his house, and to appear at the next court of assistants. His confinement he took grievously, but he could not help it. The other two were committed to prison, yet lodged in the keeper's house,

and had what diet they pleased, and none of their friends forbidden to come to them. There was also one Thomas Joy,<sup>1</sup> a young fellow, a carpenter, whom they had employed to get hands to the petition; he began to be very busy, and would know of the marshal, when he went to search Dand's study, if his warrant were in the king's name, etc. He was laid hold on, and kept in irons about four or five days, and then he humbled himself, confessed what he knew, and blamed himself for meddling in matters belonging not to him, and blessed God for these irons upon his legs, hoping they should do him good while he lived. So he was let out upon reasonable bail. But Smith and Dand would not be examined, and therefore were not bailed; but their offence being in nature capital, etc., bail might be refused in that regard.

For their trial at the general court in (4) 47, and the sentence against them, etc., it is set down at large in the records of that court, with their petitions and queries intended for England, and all proceedings. Mr. Dand not being able to pay his fine of two hundred pounds, nor willing to acknowledge his offence, was kept in prison; but at the general court (3) 48, upon his humble submission, he was freely discharged.

Mr. Winslow being now to go for England, etc., the court was troubled how to furnish him with money or beaver, (for there was nothing in the treasury, the country being in debt one thousand pounds, and what comes in by levies is corn or cattle,) but the Lord stirred up the hearts of some few persons to lend one hundred pounds, to be repaid by the next levy. Next we went in hand to draw up his commission and instruc-

---

<sup>1</sup> Experience of the peril, from which so humble an individual could not escape, as easily as his superiors, by voluntary exile, nor buy off so quietly as the wealthy Maverick, perhaps made Joy a more quiet subject for time to come, but I doubt he was not thoroughly converted by being *kept in irons* so few days. The "young fellow" had a wife and four children. He removed from Boston, I believe, to Hingham, where he could enjoy more sympathy of neighbors. There he built and owned the town mill. In our Probate Registry, VI. 281, his will, made 8 July, 1677, proved 31 October, 1678, is signed with a *mark*. It remembers his sons, Joseph and Ephraim, daughters, Sarah, Elizabeth, and Ruth.

tions, and a remonstrance and a petition to the commissioners in England, which were as follows :

To the right honorable Robert, Earl of Warwick, governour in chief, lord admiral, and other the lords and gentlemen, commissioners for foreign plantations, the humble remonstrance and petition of the governour and company of the Massachusetts Bay in New England in America.

In way of answer to the petition and declaration of Samuel Gorton, etc.

Whereas by virtue of his majesty's charter, granted to your petitioners in the fourth year of his highness's reign, we were incorporated into a body politic with divers liberties and privileges extending to that part of New England where we now inhabit, we do acknowledge (as we have always done, and as in duty we are bound) that, although we are removed out of our native country, yet we still have dependence upon that state, and owe allegiance and subjection thereunto, according to our charter, and accordingly we have mourned and rejoiced therewith, and have held friends and enemies in common with it, in all the changes which have befallen it. Our care and endeavor also hath been to frame our government and administrations to the fundamental rules thereof, so far as the different condition of this place and people, and the best light we have from the word of God, will allow. And whereas, by order from your honors, dated May 15, 1646, we find that your honors have

\*296 still that good opinion of us, as not to credit what hath been informed against us before we be heard, we render humble thanks to your honors for the same; yet forasmuch as our answer to the information of the said Gorton, etc., is expected, and something also required of us, which (in all humble submission) we conceive may be prejudicial to the liberties granted us by the said charter, and to our well being in this remote part of the world, (under the comfort whereof, through the blessing of the Lord, his majesty's favor, and the special care and bounty of the high court of parliament, we have lived in peace and prosperity these seventeen years,) our humble petition (in the first place) is, that our present and future conformity to your orders and directions may be accepted with a salvo jure, that when times may be changed, (for all things

here below are subject to vanity,) and other princes or parliaments may arise, the generations succeeding may not have cause to lament, and say, England sent our fathers forth with happy liberties, which they enjoyed many years, notwithstanding all the enmity and opposition of the prelacy, and other potent adversaries, how came we then to lose them, under the favor and protection of that state, in such a season, when England itself recovered its own ? In freto viximus, in portu morimur. But we confide in your honors' justice, wisdom, and goodness, that our posterity shall have cause to rejoice under the fruit and shelter thereof, as ourselves and many others do; and therefore we are bold to represent to your honors our apprehensions, whereupon we have thus presumed to petition you in this behalf.

It appears to us, by the said order, that we are conceived, 1. to have transgressed our limits, by sending soldiers to fetch in Gorton, etc., out of Shaomett in the Narragansett Bay, 2. that we have either exceeded or abused our authority, in banishing them out of our jurisdiction, when they were in our power. For the first we humbly crave (for your better satisfaction) that your honors will be pleased to peruse what we have delivered to the care of Mr. Edward Winslow, our agent or commissioner, (whom we have sent on purpose to attend your honors,) concerning our proceedings in that affair and the grounds thereof, which are truly and faithfully reported, and the letters of the said Gorton and his company, and other letters concerning them, faithfully copied out (not verbatim only, but even literatim, according to their own bad English). The originals we have by us, and had sent them, but for casualty of the seas. Thereby it will appear what the men are, and how unworthy your favor. Thereby also will appear the wrongs and provocations we received from them, and our long patience towards them, till they became our professed enemies, wrought us disturbance, and attempted our ruin. In <sup>297</sup> which case, our charter (as we conceive) gives us full power to deal with them as enemies by force of arms, they being then in such place where we could have no right from them by civil justice; which the commissioners for the United

Colonies finding, and the necessity of calling them to account, left the business [to us] to do.

For the other particular in your honor's order, viz., the banishment of Gorton, etc., as we are assured, upon good grounds, that our sentence upon them was less than their deserving, so (as we conceive) we had sufficient authority, by our charter, to inflict the same, having full and absolute power and authority to punish, pardon, rule, govern, etc., granted us therein.

Now, by occasion of the said order, those of Gorton's company begin to lift up their heads and speak their pleasures of us, threatening the poor Indians also, who (to avoid their tyranny) had submitted themselves and their lands under our protection and government; and divers other sachems, following their example, have done the like, and some of them brought (by the labor of one of our elders, Mr. John Eliot, who hath obtained to preach to them in their own language) to good forwardness in embracing the gospel of God in Christ Jesus. All which hopeful beginnings are like to be dashed, if Gorton, etc., shall be countenanced and upheld against them and us, which also will endanger our peace here at home. For some among ourselves (men of unquiet spirits, affecting rule and innovation) have taken boldness to prefer scandalous and seditious petitions for such liberties as neither our charter, nor reason or religion will allow; and being called before us in open court to give account of their miscarriage therein, they have threatened us with your honor's authority, and (before they knew whether we would proceed to any sentence against them, or not) have refused to answer, but appealed to your honors. The copy of their petition, and our declaration thereupon, our said commissioner hath ready to present to you, when your leisure shall permit to hear them. Their appeals we have not admitted, being assured, that they cannot stand with the liberty and power granted us by our charter, nor will be allowed by your honors, who well know it would be destructive to all government, both in the honor and also in the power of it, if it should be in the liberty of delinquents to evade the sentence of justice, and force us, by appeal, to follow them into England, where the evidence and circumstances of facts cannot be so clearly held forth as in their proper place; besides the insup-

portable charges we must be at in the prosecution thereof. These considerations are not new to your honors and the \*298 high court of parliament, the records whereof bear witness of the wisdom and faithfulness of our ancestors in that great council, who, in those times of darkness, when they acknowledged a supremacy in the bishops of Rome in all causes ecclesiastical, yet would not allow appeals to Rome, etc., to remove causes out of the courts in England.

Beside, (though we shall readily admit, that the wisdom and experience of that great council, and of your honors, as a part thereof, are far more able to prescribe rules of government, and to judge of causes, than such poor rustics as a wilderness can breed up, yet,) considering the vast distance between England and these parts, (which usually abates the virtue of the strongest influences,) your counsels and judgments could neither be so well grounded, nor so seasonably applied, as might either be so useful to us, or so safe for yourselves, in your discharge, in the great day of account, for any miscarriage which might befall us, while we depended upon your counsel and help, which could not seasonably be administered to us. Whereas if any such should befall us, when we have the government in our own hands, the state of England shall not answer for it. In consideration of the premises, our humble petition to your honors (in the next place) is, that you will be pleased to continue your favorable aspect upon these poor infant plantations, that we may still rejoice and bless our God under your shadow, and be there still nourished (*tanquam calore et rore coelesti;*) and while God owns us for a people of his, he will own our poor prayers for you, and your goodness towards us, for an abundant recompence. And this in special, if you shall please to pass by any failings you may have observed in our course, to confirm our liberties, granted to us by charter, by leaving delinquents to our just proceedings, and discountenancing our enemies and disturbers of our peace, or such as molest our people there, upon pretence of injustice. Thus craving pardon, if we have presumed too far upon your honors' patience, and expecting a gracious testimony of your wonted favor by this our agent, which shall further oblige us and our posterity in all humble and faithful service to the high court of parliament and

to your honors, we continue our earnest prayers for your prosperity forever. By order of the general court.

(10) 46. INCREASE NOWELL, *Secretary.*

John Winthrop, *Governour.*

*The copy of the commission to Mr. Winslow.*

**Mattachusetts in New England in America.**

Whereas Samuel Gorton, John Greene, and Randall Holden,  
•299 by petition and declaration exhibited to the right honora-  
ble the Earl of Warwick, governour in chief, and com-  
missioners for foreign plantations, as members of the high  
court of parliament, have charged divers false and scandalous  
matters against us, whereof their honors have been pleased to  
give us notice, and do expect our answer for clearing the same,  
we therefore the governour and company of the Mattachusetts  
aforesaid, assembled in our general court, being careful to give  
all due respect to his lordship and the honorable commis-  
sioners, and having good assurance of the wisdom and faithfulness  
of you, our worthy and loving friend, Mr. Edward Winslow,  
do hereby give power and commission to you to appear before  
his lordship and commissioners, and presenting our most hum-  
ble duty and service to their honors, for us and in our name to  
exhibit our humble remonstrance and petition, in way of an-  
swer to the said false and unjust charge of the said Gorton,  
etc., and by the same and other writings and instructions deliv-  
ered to you under the hand of Mr. Increase Nowell our secre-  
tary, to inform their honors of the truth and reason of all our  
proceedings with the said Gorton, etc., so as our innocence and  
the justice of our proceedings may appear to their honors' sat-  
isfaction. And if any other complaints, in any kind, have  
been, or shall be, made against us before the said commis-  
sioners, or before the high court of parliament, you have hereby  
like power and commission to answer on our behalf according  
to your instructions. And we humbly crave of the high court  
of parliament and of the honorable commissioners, that they  
will vouchsafe our said commissioner free liberty of seasonable  
access, as occasion shall require, and a favorable hearing, with  
such credit to such writings as he shall present in our name,  
under the hand of our said secretary, as if we had presented

them in person, upon that faith and credit, which we would not wittingly violate, for all worldly advantages; and that our said commissioner may find such speed and despatch, and may be under such safe protection, in his stay and return, as that honorable court useth to afford to their humble subjects and servants in like cases. In testimony hereof we have caused our common seal to be hereunto affixed, dated this 4 (10) 1646.

By order of the court.

INCREASE NOWELL, *Secretary.*

John Winthrop, *Governour.*

Mr. Winslow his instructions were of two sorts; the one (which he might publish, etc.) were only directions, according to his commission, and remonstrance and other writings delivered him. The other were more secret, which were these following.

If you shall be demanded about these particulars:—

Obj. 1. Why we make not out our process in the king's name? you shall answer:—

1. That we should thereby waive the power of our government granted to us, for we claim not as by commission, but by a free donation of absolute government, 2. for avoiding appeals, etc.

Obj. 2. That our government is arbitrary.

Answer. We have four or five hundred express laws, as near the laws of England as may be; and yearly we make more, and where we have no law, we judge by the word of God, as near as we can.

Obj. 3. About enlarging our limits, etc.

Answer. Such Indians as are willing to come under our government, we know no reason to refuse. Some Indians we have subdued by just war, as the Pequids. Some English also, having purchased lands of the Indians, have submitted to our government.

Obj. 4. About our subjection to England.

Answer 1. We are to pay the one fifth part of ore of gold and silver.

2. In being faithful and firm to the state of England, endeavoring to walk with God in upholding his truth, etc., and praying for it.

3. In framing our government according to our patent, so near as we may.

Obj. 5. About exercising admirall jurisdiction.

Answer 1. We are not restrained by our charter.

2. We have power given us to rule, punish, pardon, etc., in all cases, ergo in maritime.

3. We have power granted us to defend ourselves and offend our enemies, as well by sea as by land, ergo we must needs have power to judge of such cases.

4. Without this, neither our own people nor strangers could have justice from us in such cases.

Obj. 6. About our independency upon that state.

Answer. Our dependency is in these points: 1. we have received our government and other privileges by our charter, 2. we owe allegiance and fidelity to that state, 3. in erecting a government here accordingly and subjecting thereto, we therein yield subjection to that state, 4. in rendering the one fifth part <sup>\*301</sup> of ore, etc., 5. we depend upon that state for protection, and immunities as freeborn Englishmen.

Obj. 7. Seeing we hold of East Greenwich, etc., why every freeholder of forty shillings per annum have not votes in elections, etc., as in England.

Answer. Our charter gives that liberty expressly to the free-men only.

Obj. 8. By your charter, such as we transport are to live under his majesty's allegiance.

Answer. So they all do, and so intended, so far as we know.

Obj. 9. About a general governour.

Answer 1. Our charter gives us absolute power of govern-ment.

2. On the terms above specified, we conceive, the patent hath no such thing in it, neither expressed, nor implied.

3. We had not transported ourselves and families upon such terms.

4. Other plantations have been undertaken at the charge of others in England, and the planters have their dependence upon

the companies there, and those planters go and come chiefly for matter of profit; but we came to abide here, and to plant the gospel, and people the country, and herein God hath marvellously blessed us.

(1.) At the court of assistants, three or four were sent for, who had been very active about the petition to the commissioners in procuring hands to it, (it being thought fit to pass by such as being drawn in had only subscribed the petition,) especially Mr. Samuel Maverick and Mr. Clerk of Salem, the keeper of the ordinary there and a church member. These having taken an oath of fidelity to the government, and enjoying all liberties of freemen, their offence was far the greater. So they were bound over to answer it at the next general court.

Mr. Smith and Mr. Dand (giving security to pay their fines, assessed upon the former petition, within two months) were bailed to the general court.

Dr. Child also was offered his liberty, upon bail to the general court, and to be confined to Boston; but he chose rather to go to prison, and so he was committed.

The reason of referring these and others to the general court was, both in regard the cause was of so great concernment, as the very life and foundation of our government, and also because the general court had cognizance thereof already upon the first petition.<sup>1</sup>

[Large blank.]

Mr. Burton,<sup>2</sup> one of the petitioners, being in the town \*302 meeting, when the court's declaration was read, was much moved, and spake in high language, and would needs have a copy of it, which so soon as he had, he went with it (as was undoubtedly believed) to Dr. Child, and in the way fell down, and lay there in the cold near half an hour, till company was gotten to carry him home in a chair, and after he continued in great pain, and lame divers months.

<sup>1</sup> On taking leave of this subject, which is more fully treated in this history than any where else, I ought perhaps to refer to Johnson, Lib. III. c. 3, who is very severe upon the petitioners.

<sup>2</sup> See ante, p. 262. Burton's wife was Margaret, daughter of John Otis of Hingham, ancestor of the distinguished family of that name.

It is observable that this man had gathered some providences about such as were against them, as that Mr. Winslow's horse died, as he came riding to Boston; that his brother's son (a child of eight years old) had killed his own sister (being ten years of age) with his father's piece, etc., and his great trouble was, least this providence which now befel him, should be imputed to their cause.

[Large blank.]

There fell out at this time a very sad occasion. A merchant of Plimouth in England, (whose father had been mayor there,) called [blank] Martin,<sup>1</sup> being fallen into decay, came to Casco Bay, and after some time, having occasion to return into England, he left behind him two daughters, (very proper maidens and of modest behavior,) but took not that course for their safe bestowing in his absence, as the care and wisdom of a father should have done, so as the eldest of them, called Mary, twenty-two years of age, being in [the] house with one Mr. Mitton, a married man of Casco, within one quarter of a year, he was taken with her, and soliciting her chastity, obtained his desire, and having divers times committed sin with her, in the space of three months, she then removed to Boston, and put herself in service to Mrs. Bourne; and finding herself to be with child, and not able to bear the shame of it, she concealed it, and though divers did suspect it, and some told her mistress their fears, yet her behavior was so modest, and so faithful she was in her service, as her mistress would not give ear to any such report, but blamed such as told her of it. But, her time being come, she was delivered of a woman child in a back room by herself upon the 13 (10) in the night, and the child was born alive, but she kneeled upon the head of it, till she thought it had been dead, and having laid it by, the child, being strong, \*303 recovered, and cried again. Then she took it again, and used violence to it till it was quite dead. Then she put it into her chest, and having cleansed the room, she went to bed, and arose again the next day about noon, and went about her business, and so continued till the nineteenth day, that her

---

<sup>1</sup> Willis, I. 134, calls him Richard.

master and mistress went on shipboard to go for England. They being gone, and she removed to another house, a midwife in the town, having formerly suspected her, and now coming to her again, found she had been delivered of a child, which, upon examination, she confessed, but said it was still-born, and so she put it into the fire. But, search being made, it was found in her chest, and when she was brought before the jury, they caused her to touch the face of it, whereupon the blood came fresh into it.<sup>1</sup> Whereupon she confessed the whole truth, and a surgeon, being called to search the body of the child, found a fracture in the skull. Before she was condemned, she confessed, that she had prostituted her body to another also, one Sears. She behaved herself very penitently while she was in prison, and at her death, 18 (1,) complaining much of the hardness of her heart. She confessed, that the first and second time she committed fornication, she prayed for pardon, and promised to commit it no more; and the third time she prayed God, that if she did fall into it again, he would make her an example, and therein she justified God, as she did in the rest. Yet all the comfort God would afford her, was only trust (as she said) in his mercy through Christ. After she was turned off and had

---

<sup>1</sup> Some unreasonably imagine, even in our day, that touching the face by any body else, in a similar manner, could not have the same result. In the case of Cornish's wife, ante 210, the blood of her husband testified against her and the paramour, we presume, as the incident is not stated, without the ceremony of touching. For the highest *human* authority, (divine sanction not being claimed for near two centuries,) reference should be had to the Demonologie of King James, sometimes denominated the British Solomon, sometimes the most learned fool in Europe. One of the two processes for security of *innocent* persons, accused as witches, i. e. *the fleeting on the water*, by which we know the *guilty*, he illustrates in this happy parallel: "For, as in a secret murther, if the dead carkas be at any time thereafter handled by the murtherer, it will gush out of blood, as if the blood were crying to the heaven for revenge of the murtherer; God having appointed that secret supernatural sign for trial of that secret unnatural crime;— so that it appears that God hath appointed (for a supernatural sign of the monstrous impiety of witches) that the water shall refuse to receive them in her bosom, that have shaken off them the sacred water of baptism, and wilfully refused the benefit thereof." Yet the guilt of not a single person, executed for that horrid crime in New England, was ever proved by his or her floating; and it is possible, that some might have proved their innocence by drowning.

hung a space, she spake, and asked what they did mean to do. Then some stepped up, and turned the knot of the rope backward, and then she soon died.

Mention was make before of some beginning to instruct the Indians, etc., Mr. John Eliot, teacher of the church of Roxbury, found such encouragement, as he took great pains to get their language, and in a few months could speak of the things of God to their understanding; and God prospered his endeavors, so as he kept a constant lecture to them in two places, one week at the wigwam of one Wabon, a *new* sachem near Watertown mill, and the other the next week in the wigwam of Cutshamekin near Dorchester mill. And for the furtherance of the work of God, divers of the English resorted to his lecture, and the governour and other of the magistrates and elders sometimes; and the Indians began to repair thither from other parts. His manner of proceeding was thus; he would persuade one of the other elders or some magistrate to begin the exercise with prayer in English; then he took a text, and read it first in the Indian language, and after in English; then he preached to them in Indian about an hour; (but first I should have spoke \*304 of the catechising their children, who were soon brought to answer him some short questions, whereupon he gave each of them an apple or a cake) then he demanded of some of the chiefs, if they understood him; if they answered, yea, then he asked of them if they had any questions to propound. And they had usually two or three or more questions, which he did resolve. At one time (when the governour was there and about two hundred people, Indian and English, in one wigwam of Cutshamekin's) an old man asked him, if God would receive such an old man as he was; to whom he answered by opening the parable of the workmen that were hired into the vineyard; and when he had opened it, he asked the old man, if he did believe it, who answered he did, and was ready to *weep*. A second question was, what was the reason, that when all Englishmen did know God, yet some of them were poor. His answer was, 1. that God knows it is better for his children to be good than to be rich; he knows withal, that if some of them had riches, they would abuse them, and wax proud and wanton, etc., therefore he gives them no more riches than may be need-

ful for them, that they may be kept from pride, etc., to depend upon him, 2. he would hereby have men know, that he hath better blessings to bestow upon good men than riches, etc., and that their best portion is in heaven, etc. A third question was, if a man had two wives, (which was ordinary with them,) seeing he must put away one, which he should put away. To this it was answered, that by the law of God the first is the true wife, and the other is no wife; but if such a case fell out, they should then repair to the magistrates, and they would direct them what to do, for it might be, that the first wife might be an adulteress, etc., and then she was to be put away. When all their questions were resolved, he concluded with prayer in the Indian language.

The Indians were usually very attentive, and kept their children so quiet as caused no disturbance. Some of them began to be seriously affected, and to understand the things of God, and they were generally ready to reform whatsoever they were told to be against the word of God, as their sorcery, (which they call powwowing,) their whoredoms, etc., idleness, etc. The Indians grew very inquisitive after knowledge both in things divine and also human, so as one of them, meeting with an honest plain Englishman, would needs know of him, what were the first beginnings (which we call principles) of a commonwealth. The Englishman, being far short in the knowledge of such matters, yet ashamed that an Indian should find an Englishman ignorant of any thing, bethought himself what answer to give him, at last resolved upon this, viz. that the first principle of a commonwealth was salt, for (saith he) by means of salt we can keep our flesh and fish, to have it ready when we need it, whereas you lose much for want of it, and are sometimes ready to starve. A second principle is iron, for thereby we fell trees, build houses, till our land, etc. A third is, ships, by which we carry forth such commodities as we have to spare, and fetch in such as we need, as cloth, wine, etc. Alas! (saith the Indian) then I fear, we shall never be a commonwealth, for we can neither make salt, nor iron, nor ships.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Eliot's memory is embalmed in these benevolent deeds, the full develop-

It pleased God so to prosper our fishing this season, as that at Marblehead only they had taken by the midst of the (11) month about four thousand pounds worth of fish.

(10.) But the Lord was still pleased to afflict us in our shipping, for Major Gibbons and Captain Leverett having sent a new ship of about one hundred tons to Virginia, and having there freighted her with tobacco, going out of the river, by a sudden storm was forced on shore from her anchor, and much of the goods spoiled, to the loss (as was estimated) of above two thousand pounds.

I must here observe a special providence of God, pointing out his displeasure against some profane persons, who took part with Dr. Child, etc., against the government and churches here. The court had appointed a general fast, to seek God (as for some other occasions, so) in the trouble which threatened us by the petitioners, etc. The pastor of Hingham, and others of his church (being of their party) made light of it, and some said they would not fast against Dr. Child and against themselves; and there were two of them (one Pitt and Johnson) who, having a great raft of masts and planks (worth forty or fifty pounds) to tow to Boston, would needs set forth about noon the day before (it being impossible they could get to Boston before the fast;) but when they came at Castle Island, \*306 there arose such a tempest, as carried away their raft, and forced them to cut their mast to save their lives. Some of their masts and planks they recovered after, where it had been cast on shore; but when they came with it to the Castle, they

---

ment of which, with their consequences, deserve and have produced several volumes. The earliest notice, except an order in September, 1646, for giving instruction in our laws to the Indians, if *times be safe*, once a year by interpreters, is in the records, Vol. III. 108, general court, 26 May, 1647:

“It is ordered that ten pounds be given Mr. Eliot as a gratuity from this court in respect of his pains in instructing the Indians in the knowledge of God, and that order be taken that the twenty pounds per annum given by the lady Armine for that purpose may be called for and employed accordingly.”

Waban is said to have been of the same age, 42, as Eliot. The first day of the apostle's instruction was 28 October. See 1 Hist. Coll. V. 256, et seq., and 1 Hist. Coll. VIII. 12, but the fullest account of the labors in preaching christianity to the natives is by Gookin, in 1 Hist. Coll. I. 168, et seq., and a large series of London publications on the subject is in 3 Mass. Hist. Coll. IV.

were forced back again, and were so oft put back with contrary winds, etc., as it was above a month before they could bring all the remainder to Boston.<sup>1</sup>

Prescott, another favorer of the petitioners, lost a horse and his lading in Sudbury river; and a week after, his wife and children, being upon another horse, were hardly saved from drowning.

A woman of Charlestown having two daughters, aged under fourteen, sent them to the tide-mill near by with a little corn. They delivered their corn at the mill, and returning back (they dwelt towards Cambridge) they were not seen till three months after, supposed to be carried away by the tide, which was then above the marsh. This was 13 (11).

(1.) In the midst of this month a small pinnace was set out for Barbados with [blank] persons and store of provisions. It was her first voyage, and 2 (3) after she was put on shore at Scituate, the goods in her, but not a man, nor any of their clothes.

\* The merchants of Boston had set forth a small ship to trade about the Gulf of Canada, and they had certificate under the public seal to that end. They set sail from Boston the midst of the (1) month, and by tempest were forced into an harbor near Cape Sable, and having lost their boat, and forced to let slip their cables, were driven on ground, and having staid there about four days, Mr. D'Aulney having intelligence of them, sent eighteen men by land, who finding eleven of ours on shore,

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless we be careful always to consider the cause of any special providence, we may fail in our views of the displeasure of God. No doubt our author was satisfied of the ill desert of the Hingham people, who would not fast against Dr. Child and against themselves; but on page 236 of this volume, it may be seen, that the clergy, going to the same town to reduce the church members to sobriety, "were kept twenty-four hours in the boat, and were in great danger by occasion of a tempest." A sentence is worth extracting from Hubbard, 648, though the pastor sometimes "recks not his own rede;" "Let men take heed how they pass rash censures upon others, lest unawares they read their own destiny in pronouncing sentence upon their neighbors, and not be too forward, with the men of Miletum, to give an interpretation of the acts of providence, the beginnings of which we may see, but cannot foresee the issue and intend-  
ment thereof."

without weapons, surprised them, and after the ship, having but six men in her; and being carried to Port Royal; he \*307 examined them upon oath, whether they had traded, which they had not done, only the merchant had received two beaver skins, given him by the sachem; for which, (notwithstanding he allowed their commission,) after he had kept them three weeks prisoners, he kept their ship and goods to the value of one thousand pounds, and sent them home in two shallops, meanly provided, and without any *lead*, etc. This is more fully set down after, fol. 99.<sup>1</sup>

One [blank] of Windsor arraigned and executed at Hartford for a witch.<sup>2</sup>

[Large blank.]

1647.] 30 (3.) In the evening there was heard the report as of a great piece of ordnance. It was heard all over the Bay, and all along to Yarmouth, etc., and there it seemed as if it had been to the southward of them.

[Blank.]

26.] The court of elections was at Boston. Great laboring there had been by the friends of the petitioners to have one chosen governour, who favored their cause, and some new mag-

---

<sup>1</sup> Diagonal lines are drawn across this paragraph in our original MS.; but I have preferred to retain it, as, if good for nothing else, it affords, in connexion with a subsequent one, two very different reports of the same transaction.

<sup>2</sup> Nothing of this is found in the History of Connecticut by Dr. Trumbull, yet it is deserving of melancholy commemoration, as the FIRST instance of delusion in New England, too soon infectious. We may presume the unhappy woman was *tried*, as well as arraigned before execution, if the wretched ceremonies in such cases deserve the name of trial. See Trumb. I. 8, in pref. where he says: "after the most careful researches, no indictment of any person for that crime, nor any process relative to that affair can be found." Perhaps there was sense enough early in the Colony to destroy the record; but at least we know, in 1670, the court, after conviction of Catharine Harrison of Wethersfield for that capital crime, had firmness and cunning in their opinion to "dismiss her from her imprisonment she paying her just fees; willing her to mind the fulfilment of removing from Wethersfield, which is *that will tend most to her own safety, and the contentment of the people who are her neighbors.*" The Connecticut law, Dec. 1642, may be read in three lines of Trumbull, Col. Rec. I. 77, including the authorities from Exodus, Leviticus, and Deuteronomy. Massachusetts borrowed every letter and figure of the text and comment.

istrates to have been chosen of their side; but the mind of the country appeared clearly, for the old governour was chosen again, with two or three hundred votes more than any other, and no one new magistrate was chosen but only captain Robert Bridges.

Captain Welde<sup>1</sup> of Roxbury being dead, the young men of the town agreed together to choose one George Denison,<sup>2</sup> a young soldier come lately out of the wars in England, \*308 which the ancient and chief men of the town understanding, they came together at the time appointed, and chose one Mr. Prichard,<sup>3</sup> a godly man and one of the chief in the town, passing by their lieutenant, fearing least the young Denison would have carried it from him, whereupon much discontent and murmuring arose in the town. The young men were over strongly bent to have their will, although their election was void in law, (George Denison not being then a freeman,) and the ancient men over-voted them above twenty, and the lieutenant was discontented because he was neglected, etc. The cause coming to the court, and all parties being heard, Mr.

---

<sup>1</sup> It seems he was a merchant, not long before returned from England; and the Roxbury records say he was buried 7 Oct. 1646. The inventory of his estate, returned by his wife, Barbara, 4 (12) 1646 in our Prob. Rec. II. 29, amounts to *L.2028.11.3*, as appraised by Isaac Heath, Wm. Denison, John Johnson, and William Parke. He appears as a deputy, September, 1636, and several times afterwards.

<sup>2</sup> Son of William, one of the Roxbury antinomians mentioned in the note, Vol. I. 248, who was a representative of that town in the second court, March, 1635. Nothing more is known of George by me, except that the Roxbury records mention the birth of a daughter, 20 (1) 1641, and another, 20 (3) 1643, and the death of Bridget, his wife, in August, 1643. He certainly was not very young, but had, perhaps, received a taint of toleration in Oliver's army, that in the opinion of our rulers might render him unfit to be a captain of more orthodox soldiers. He was among the most active and successful officers in the great war of 1675-6.

<sup>3</sup> Of this gentleman, whose name of baptism was Hugh, I have learned nothing, but his employment next year in a mission mentioned by Winthrop, and from the records of his church, that a son, Abiel, was baptized 26 Dec. 1641; Zebadiah, 22 (8) 1643, and Phebe, 20 (8) 1644. He and his competitor for the military distinction removed from Roxbury. The estate of Prichard was sold in 1657 to John Pierpoint by his attorneys, who describe him as of Broughton in the county of Denbigh.

Prichard was allowed, and the young men were pacified, and the lieutenant.

[Large blank.]

4 (4.) Canonicus, the great sachem of Narragansett, died, a very old man.<sup>1</sup>

8. (4.) The synod began again at Cambridge. The next day Mr. Ezekiel Rogers of Rowley preached in the forenoon, and the magistrates and deputies were present, and in the afternoon Mr. Eliot preached to the Indians in their own language before all the assembly. Mr. Rogers in his sermon took occasion to speak of the petitioners, (then in question before the court,) and exhorted the court to do justice upon them, yet with desire of favor to such as had been drawn in, etc., and should submit. He reproved also the practice of private members making speeches in the church assemblies to the disturbance and hindrance of the ordinances, also the call for the reviving the ancient practice in England of children asking their parents' blessing upon their knees, etc. Also he reproved the great oppression in the country, etc., and other things amiss, as long hair, etc. Divers were offended at his zeal in some of these passages. Mr. Bradford, the governour of Plimouth, was there as a messenger of the church of Plimouth. But the sickness (mentioned here in the next leaf) prevailed so as divers of the members of the synod were taken with it, whereupon they were forced to break up on the sudden.

[Very large blank.]

The success of Mr. Eliot's labors in preaching to the Indians appears in a small book set forth by Mr. Shepherd and by other observations in the country.

1646. 19, (1.) One captain Dobson in a ship of eighty tons, double manned and fitted for a man of war, was set forth from

---

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 464, adds to our author's paragraph these words: "still leaving the hereditary quarrel entailed upon his successor. But Uncas was alive and well in the year 1680, and probably may live to see all his enemies buried before him." Canonicus deserved as well of the English, at least, as the Mohegan sachem. Hubbard makes the event a year too late, and misled Dr. Holmes in his first edition, who recovered his path by abandoning the guide.

Boston to trade to the eastward. Their testimonial was for the gulf of Canada. But being taken with foul weather, and having lost their boat, they put into harbor at Cape Sable, and there shooting off five or six pieces of ordnance, the Indians came aboard them, and traded some skins; and withal Mr. D'Aulney had notice, and presently sent away twenty men over land, (being about thirty miles from Port Royal,) who lurking in the woods for their advantage, providence offered them a very fair one. For the ship, having bought a shallop of the Indians, and being under sail, in the mouth of the harbor, the wind came about southerly with such violence, as forced them to an anchor; and having lost all their anchors, they were forced on shore, yet without danger of shipwreck. Whereupon the master and merchant and most of the company went on shore (leaving but six men aboard) and carried no weapons with them, which the French perceiving, they came upon them and bound them, and carried the master to the ship's side, who commanded the men aboard to yield up the ship. The French being possessed of the ship, carried her to Port Royal, and left some of their company to conduct the rest by land. When they came there, they were all imprisoned, and examined apart upon oath, and having confessed that they had traded, etc., the ship and cargo (being worth in all one thousand pounds) was kept as confiscated, and the men were put into two old shallops and sent home, and arrived at Boston 6 (3) 47. The merchants complained to the court for redress, and offered to set forth a good ship, to deal with some of D'Aulney's vessels, but the court thought it not safe nor expedient for us to begin a war with the French; nor could we charge any manifest wrong upon D'Aulney, seeing we had told him, that if ours did trade within his liberties, they should do it at their own peril. And though we judged it an injury to restrain the natives and others from trading, etc., (they being a free people,) yet, it being a common practice of all civil nations, his seizure of our ship would be accounted lawful, and our letters of reprisal unjust. And besides there appeared an over-ruling providence in \*310 it, otherwise he could not have seized a ship so well fitted, nor could wise men have lost her so foolishly.

At Concord a bullock was killed which had in his maw a

ten shilling piece of English gold, and yet it could not be known that any had lost it.

A barn at Salem was set on fire with lightning, and all the corn and hay consumed suddenly. It fell upon the thatch in the breadth of a sheet, in the view of people.

(4.) An epidemical sickness was through the country among Indians and English, French and Dutch. It took them like a cold, and a light fever with it. Such as bled or used cooling drinks died; those who took comfortable things, for most part recovered, and that in few days. Wherein a special providence of God appeared, for not a family, nor but few persons escaping it, had it brought all so weak as it did some, and continued so long, our hay and corn had been lost for want of help; but such was the mercy of God to his people, as few died, not above forty or fifty in the Massachusetts, and near as many at Connecticut. But that which made the stroke more sensible and grievous, both to them and to all the country, was the death of that faithful servant of the Lord, Mr. Thomas Hooker, pastor of the church in Hartford, who, for piety, prudence, wisdom, zeal, learning, and what else might make him serviceable in the place and time he lived in, might be compared with men of greatest note; and he shall need no other praise: the fruits of his labors in both Englands shall preserve an honorable and happy remembrance of him forever.<sup>1</sup>

14, (4.) In this sickness the governour's wife, daughter of Sir John Tindal, Knight, left this world for a better, being about fifty-six years of age: a woman of singular virtue, pru-

---

<sup>1</sup> An exact date of so important an event would perhaps have been given by our author, but for his private grief, as the next paragraph explains. Hubbard, 541, says it was 7 July. He was born, Mather tells us, about 1586, and as he adopts Hubbard's authority for date of death, we might infer that he was in the sixty-first year of his age; consistent with which would be the dates of his degrees at the University, 1607-8 and 1611. Yet, in the perpetual quarrel with chronology that deforms the *Magnalia*, the writer quotes old master Corlet to show, that he was 75 years old: *Lustra per HOOKERUS ter quinque viator erat*. If the schoolmaster should reckon his *lustres* as only four years, instead of five, he would make the sum correct; but I can never impute such barbarism to Corlet. See *Magnalia*, III. 68.

dence, modesty, and piety, and specially beloved and honored of all the country.<sup>1</sup>

The meeting of the commissioners of the colonies should, in course, have been at Plimouth in the sixth month next, \*311 but upon special occasion of the Indians there was a meeting appointed at Boston [blank] which continued to the 17 (6) next. The chief occasion was, that Ninicraft,<sup>2</sup> the sachem of Niantick, had professed his desire to be reconciled to the English, etc., and that many Indians would complain of Uncas and his brother their falsehood and cruelty, etc., if they might come to Boston to be heard there..

[Large blank.]

The general court made an order, that all elections of governour, etc., should be by papers delivered in to the deputies before the court, as it was before permitted. This was disliked by the freemen, and divers of the new towns petitioned for the repeal of it, as an infringement of their liberties; for when they consented to send their deputies with full power, etc., they reserved to themselves matter of election, as appears by the record of the court [blank]. Upon these petitions the said order was repealed, and it was referred to the next court of elections to consider of a meet way for ordering elections, to the satisfaction of the petitioners and the rest of the free-men. But that court being full of business, and breaking up suddenly, it was put off farther.

[Large blank.]

---

<sup>1</sup> The reader would not forgive me, if I attempted to add any thing to this character, equally observable for its brevity and elegance. Her own letters with those of her husband, in the appendix to the former volume, are ample and curious memorials of their mutual affection, with which any stranger can intermeddle only to disadvantage. By the modesty of the author, the paragraph is in the margin. For this extract from a MS. note in an almanac of 1647 belonging to S. Danforth, then probably a resident of Cambridge, with which J. Farmer, Esq., supplied me, no excuse can be necessary: JUNE, "15th day, Mrs. Winthrop, the governour his wife, was buried, who fell sick on the 13th day in afternoon and died the next morning."

<sup>2</sup> Of this sachem, whose name is as frequently spelt Ninegret, I am told, that a portrait is preserved at New York by the descendants of governour John Winthrop, jr., with the interesting tradition, that the life of their ancestor was once saved by him.

In the depth of winter, in a very tempestuous night, the fort at Saybrook was set on fire, and all the buildings within the palisado, with all the goods, etc., were burnt down, captain Mason, his wife, and children, hardly saved. The loss was estimated at one thousand pounds, and not known how the fire came.

Captain Bridges<sup>1</sup> house at Lynn burnt down 27 (2) 48.

At Newfoundland, towards the end of the fishing season, there was a great hiracano in the night, which caused a great wreck of ships and boats, and much fish blown off the shore into the sea. Some small vessels we had there, but through mercy none of them miscarried.

The United Colonies having made strict orders to restrain all trade of powder and guns to the Indians, by occasion whereof

\*312 the greatest part of the beaver trade was drawn to the

French and Dutch, by whom the Indians were constantly furnished with those things, though they also made profession of like restraint, but connived at the practice, so as our means of returns for English commodities were grown very short. It pleased the Lord to open to us a trade with Barbados and other Islands in the West Indies, which as it proved gainful, so the commodities we had in exchange there for our cattle and provisions, as sugar, cotton, tobacco, and indigo, were a good help to discharge our engagements in England. And this summer there was so great a drouth, as their potatoes and corn, etc., were burnt up; and divers London ships which rode there were so short of provisions as, if our vessels had not supplied them, they could not have returned home; which was an observable providence, that whereas many of the London seamen were wont to despise New England as a poor, barren country, should now be relieved by our plenty.

After the great dearth of victuals in these islands followed presently a great mortality, (whether it were the plague, or pestilient fever, it killed in three days,) that in Barbados there died six thousand, and in Christophers, of English and French, near

---

<sup>1</sup> Blank space having been left after the last paragraph, probably for insertion of the cause of the disaster at Saybrook, this misfortune of Capt. Bridges, though it occurred above a year later, is by the author thrown in here.

as many, and in other islands proportionable.<sup>1</sup> The report of this coming to us, by a vessel which came from Fayal, the court published an order, that all vessels, which should come from the West Indies, should stay at the castle, and not come on shore, nor put any goods on shore, without license of three of the council, on pain of one hundred pounds, nor any to go aboard, etc., except they continued there, etc., on like penalty. The like order was sent to Salem and other haven towns.

But one goodman Dell<sup>2</sup> of Boston, coming from Christophers in a small pinnace, and being put in to Gloucester, and there forbidden to land, and informed of the order of court, yet coming into the Bay, and being hailed by the Castle boat, and after by the captain of the Castle, denied that he came from the West Indies, and having taken in three fishermen (whom the captain knew) who joined with him in the same lie, they were let pass, and so came on shore at Boston, before it was known. But such of the council as were near assembled the next day, and sent for some of the company, and upon examination finding that the sickness had been ceased at Christophers three months before they came forth, so as there could be no danger of infection in their persons, they gave them liberty to continue on shore; but for cotton and such goods as might

---

<sup>1</sup> In two or three histories of Barbados, into which I have looked, no mention of this epidemic is found. Hubbard, 582, has carelessly made the pestilence to accompany the drought, and Dr. Holmes in quoting him, Ann. I. 343, with a judicious abridgment, increased the perversion of the order of events.

<sup>2</sup> The goodman Dell, who told the lie, to secure himself from the effect of our earliest quarantine regulation, left a will, made 3 November, 1653. It is found in our registry of Probate, Vol. I. 110, and was made, without advice, probably abroad, since it recites, that he was "bound on a voyage to sea, from England to Ireland, and from Ireland to Virginia, and from Virginia to New England." He gives to his wife during her life one half of his estate, "moveables and unmoveables," and "one fourth to his two youngest sons, to be divided equally betwixt them." Difficulty in settling the residue might have arisen, but as the instrument had no witnesses, the court, 6 August, 1655, granted administration to his wife Abigail, with an order to act "in reference to this imperfect will." The inventory of his estate, dated 6 September next following, amounts to £. 1506,14.7 1-2, including no small sum in silver and gold, and one tenth of the ship Goodfellow, and one tenth of the ship Starr. Our registry of births gives his sons, John, born October, 1645, Samuel, 31 August, 1647, Joseph, February, 1649, Benjamin, 27 April, 1652.

retain the infection, they ordered them to be laid in an house remote, and for Dell, he was bound over to the next court to answer his contempt.

About fourteen days after a ship came from Malago, which had staid nine days at Barbados. She was stopped at the Castle. The captain brought the master and two others to Boston (which he ought not to have done). Four magistrates examined them upon oath, and finding they were all well, save two, (who had the flux,) and no goods from Barbados but three bags of cotton, which were ordered to be landed, etc., at an island, the ship was suffered to come up, but none to come on shore for a week after, etc.<sup>1</sup>

4. (6). There was a great marriage to be solemnized at Boston. The bridegroom being of Hingham, Mr. Hubbard's church, he was procured to preach, and came to Boston to that end. But the magistrates, hearing of it, sent to him to forbear. The reasons were, 1. for that his spirit had been discovered to be averse to our ecclesiastical and civil government, and he was a bold man, and would speak his mind, 2. we were not willing to bring in the English custom of ministers performing the solemnity of marriage, which sermons at such times might induce,<sup>2</sup> but if any ministers were present, and would bestow a word of exhortation, etc., it was permitted.

The new governour of the Dutch, called Peter Stevesant, being arrived at the Monados, sent his secretary to Boston with letters to the governour, with tender of all courtesy and good correspondency, but withal taking notice of the differences between them and Connecticut, and offering to have them referred to friends here, not to determine, but to prepare for a hearing and determination in Europe ; in which letter he lays claim to

---

<sup>1</sup> This paragraph is in the margin.

<sup>2</sup> Fear of this evil seems to have arisen, I think, more from the person who officiated in the present instance, than from abstract considerations of propriety. My opinion is confirmed by Mather, though his statement, that it was a custom in 1651, is made with his usual looseness : In his Life of Sam. Danforth, Magn. IV. c. 3, § 6, he says, "after his contraction, according to the *old usage* of New England, unto the virtuous daughter of Mr. Wilson, (whereat Mr. Cotton preached the sermon,) he was married unto that gentlewoman in the year 1651."

all between Connecticut and Delaware. The commissioners being assembled at Boston, the governour acquainted them with the letter; and it was put to consideration what answer to return. Some advised, that seeing he made profession of much good will and desire of all neighborly correspondency, we should seek to gain upon him by courtesy, and therefore to accept his offer, and to tender him a visit at his own home, or a meeting at any of our towns where he should choose. But the commissioners of those parts thought otherwise, supposing it would be more to their advantage to stand upon terms of distance, etc. And answer was returned accordingly, only taking notice of his offer, and showing our readiness to give him a meeting in time and place convenient. So matters continued as they were.

26. (7). But it appeared, that a Dutch ship from Holland, being in the harbor at New Haven, (where they had traded about a month,) was surprised by the Dutch governour and carried to the Monhados. The manner was thus: The merchants of New Haven had bought a ship at the Monhados, which was to be delivered at New Haven. In her the Dutch governour put a company of soldiers, who, being under decks when the ship came into New Haven, took their opportunity afterward, upon the Lord's day, to seize the Dutch ship, and having the wind fair, carried her away. The governour of New Haven complained of the injury to the Dutch governour, and made a protest, etc. The Dutch governour justified the act by examples of the like in Europe, etc., but especially by claiming the place and so all along the seacoast to Cape Codd. He pretended to seize the ship as forfeit to the West India Company, by trading in their limits without leave or recognition. It fell out at the same time, that three of the Dutch governour's servants fled from him and came to New Haven, and being pursued, were there apprehended and put in prison. The Dutch governour writes to have them delivered to him, but directs his letter to New Haven in New Netherlands. Upon this the governour of New Haven refused to deliver them, and writes back to the Dutch, maintaining their right to the place, both by patent \*315 from King James, and also by purchase from the natives, and by quiet possession and improvement many years. He

wrote also to the governour of the Massachusetts, acquainting him with all that had passed, and desired advice. These letters coming to Boston about the time of the general court, he acquainted the court with them, and a letter was drawn and sent (as from the court) to this purpose, to the Dutch governour, viz. that we were very sorry for the difference which was fallen out between him and our confederates of New Haven ; that we might not withhold assistance from them, in case of any injurious violence offered to them ; that we accounted their title to the place they possessed to be as good as the Dutch had to the Monhados ; that we would willingly interpose for a friendly reconciliation ; and that we would write to New Haven to persuade the delivery of the fugitives, etc. We wrote also to the governour of New Haven to the same purpose, intimating to him that our request he might deliver the fugitives without prejudice to their right or reputation. But this notwithstanding, they detained the fugitives still, nor would send our letter to the Dutch governour ; whereupon he made proclamation of free liberty for all servants, etc., of New Haven within his jurisdiction, and wrote to the governour of the Massachusetts, blaming the practice in the general, but excusing it in his particular case, as being enforced thereto, etc. This course not prevailing, about the end of winter he wrote privately to the fugitives, and the minister of their church wrote also, whereby he gave such assurance to the fugitives, both of pardon of what was passed, and satisfaction otherwise, as they made an escape and returned home. So that it then appeared, that the advice sent from Boston had been better to have been put in practice in season, than their own judgment, in pursuit whereof this reproach and damage befel them.

(1.) After this the Dutch governour writes to our governour in Dutch, complaining of injuries from the governour of New Haven, (calling him the pretended governour, etc.,) particularly for wronging his reputation by slanderous reports, and proffers to refer all differences (as formerly he had done) to the two governours of the Massachusetts and Plimouth, Mr. Winthrop and Mr. Bradford, by name, and professing all good neighborhood to all the rest of the colonies, with some kind of retractation of his former claim to New Haven, etc., as if all claim by

word or writing, protests, etc., were of no value, so long as there is no invasion by force.

The governour of New Haven, Mr. Theophilus Eaton, he writes also about the same time, complaining of the Dutch governour, and informing of Indian intelligence of the Dutch his animating the natives to war upon the English, and of the excessive customs and other ill usage of our vessels arriving there, propounding withal a prohibition of all trade with the Dutch until satisfaction were given. These letters being imparted 15 (1) to the general court at Boston, they thought the matter more weighty and general to the concernment of all the country, than that any thing should then be determined about it, and more fit for the commissioners first to consider of, etc., and returned answer to New Haven accordingly. See after 115.<sup>1</sup>

About this time we had intelligence of an observable hand of God against the Dutch at New Netherlands, which though it were sadly to be lamented in regard of the calamity, yet there appeared in it so much of God in favor of his poor people here, and displeasure towards such as have opposed and injured them, as is not to be passed by without due observation and acknowledgment.<sup>2</sup> The late governour, Mr. William Kieft, (a sober and prudent man,) though he abstained from outward force, yet had continually molested the colonies of Hartford and New Haven, and used menacings and protests against them, upon all occasions, and had burnt down a trading house which New Haven had built upon Delaware river, and went for Holland in a ship of 400 tons, well manned and richly laden, to the value

---

<sup>1</sup> For this reference, the page of the original MS. must answer. See eight pages forward.

<sup>2</sup> Hubbard, 444-5, against whose carelessness in transcribing this relation and making the event fall in 1648 we must protest, though, in 546, he correctly remembers the date of Kieft's return, has enlarged Winthrop's construction of this disaster: "For though indeed God seemed not to favor the designs of those colonies [the Dutch] in the matter of their trade with the Indians, (the salvation of whose souls should have been their principal aim, and so their merchandize might have been holiness to the Lord of Hosts,) by his constant blasting their plantations, intended chiefly to carry on such designs, yet he seemed to be more highly offended with them, that without cause set themselves so violently to oppose them."

(as was supposed) of twenty thousand pounds, and carried away with him two of our people under censure, (the one condemned for rape,) though we pursued them, etc. But in their passage in the (8th) month, the ship, mistaking the channel, was carried into Severn, and cast away upon the coast of Wales near Swansey, the governour and eighty other persons drowned, and some twenty saved.

Complaint had been made to the commissioners of the colonies, at their last meeting, by Pumham and Sacononoco, against the Gortonists (who were now returned to Shaomett, and had named it Warwick) for eating up all their corn with their cattle, etc. It was left to our commissioners, who wrote to some in those parts to view the damages, and require satisfaction. But Mr. Coggeshall (who died soon after) and

\*317 other of their magistrates of Rhode Island, came to Shaomett, and gave the praisers a warrant under their hands and one of their seals, forbidding them or any other to intermeddle, etc., pretending it to be within their jurisdiction, whereupon the men returned, and did nothing. And upon another warrant from the president, in the name of the commissioners, there was nothing done neither; so as the poor Indians were in danger to be starved, etc. Upon their farther complaints to us, the general court in the (1) month sent three messengers to demand satisfaction for the Indians, and for other wrongs to some English there, and to command them to depart the place, as belonging to us, etc. They used our messengers with more respect than formerly, but gave no satisfaction, bearing themselves upon their charter, etc. We could do no more at present, but we procured the Indians some corn in the mean time.

In the agitation of this matter in the general court, some moved to have an order (upon refusal of satisfaction, etc.) to send forces presently against them; but others thought better to forbear any resolution until the return of our messengers, and the rather because we expected our agent out of England shortly, by whom we should know more of the success of our petition to the parliament, etc., it being very probable, that their charter would be called in, as illegal, etc., and this counsel prevailed.

It may be now seasonable to set down what success it pleased the Lord to give Mr. Winslow, our agent, with the parliament.

Mr. Winslow set sail from Boston about the middle of October, 1646, and carried such commissions, instructions, etc., as are before mentioned. Upon his arrival in England, and delivery of his letters to the Earl of Warwick, Sir Henry Vane, etc., from the governor, he had a day appointed for audience before the committee, and Gorton and other of his company appeared also to justify their petition and information, which they had formerly exhibited against the court, etc., for making war upon them, and keeping them prisoners, etc. But after that our agent had showed the two letters they wrote to us from Shaomett, and the testimony of the court, and some of the elders, concerning their blasphemous heresies and other miscarriages, it pleased the Lord to bring about the hearts of the committees, so as they discerned of Gorton, etc., what they were and of the justice of our proceedings against them; only they were not satisfied in this, that they were not within our jurisdiction, etc., to which our agent pleaded two things, 1. that they were within the jurisdiction of Plimouth or Connecticut, and so the orders of the commissioners of the United Colonies had left them to us, 2. the Indians (upon whose lands they dwelt) had subjected themselves and their land to our <sup>\*318</sup> government. Whereupon the committee made this order following, which they directed in form of a letter to Massachusetts, Plimouth, and Connecticut, (one to each) viz.

After our hearty commendations,

In our late letter of 25 May, etc., we imparted how far we had proceeded upon the petition of Mr. Gorton and Mr. Holden, etc. We did by our said letter declare our tenderness of your just privileges, and of preserving entire the authority and jurisdiction of the several governments in New England, whereof we shall still express our continued care. We have since that taken further consideration of the petition, and spent some time in hearing both parties, concerning the bounds of those patents under which yourselves and the other governments do claim, to the end we might receive satisfaction, whether Shaomett and the rest of the tract of land, pretended to by the petitioners, be actually included within any of your limits. In which point (being matter of fact) we could not, at

this distance, give a resolution, and therefore leave that matter to be examined and determined upon the place, if there shall be occasion, for that the boundaries will be there best known and distinguished. And if it shall appear, that the said tract is within the limits of any of the New England patents, we shall leave the same, and the inhabitants thereof to the proper jurisdiction of that government under which they fall. Nevertheless, for that the petitioners have transplanted their families thither, and there settled their residences at a great charge, we commend it to the government, within whose jurisdiction they shall appear to be, (as our only desire at present in this matter,) not only not to remove them from their plantations, but also to encourage them, with protection and assistance, in all fit ways; provided that they demean themselves peaceably, and not endanger any of the English colonies by a prejudicial correspondence with the Indians, or otherwise, wherein if they shall be found faulty, we leave them to be proceeded with according to justice. To this purpose we have also written our letters of this tenor to the governments of New Plimouth and Connecticut, hoping that a friendly compliance will engage these persons to an inoffensive order and conformity, and so become an act of greater conquest, honor, and contentment to you all, than the scattering or reducing of them by an hand of power. And so, not doubting of your concurrence with this desire, as there shall be occasion, we commend you to the grace of Christ, resting

Your very affectionate friends,

319 From the Committee, Warwick, Gov'r. and Admiral,  
etc. 22 of July, 1647. Pembroke and Montgomery,  
Manchester,  
Arth. Heselrige,  
John Rolle,  
Hen. Mildmay,  
Geo. Fenwick,  
Wm. Purefoy,  
Rich. Salway,  
Miles Corbet,  
Cor. Holland,  
Geo. Snelling.

The first letter from the committee after Mr. Winslow had delivered our petition and remonstrance, which should have been inserted before the former.

After our hearty commendations, etc.

By our letter of May 15, 1646, we communicated to you our reception of a complaint from Mr. Gorton and Mr. Holden, etc., touching some proceedings tried against them by your government. We also imparted to you our resolutions (grounded upon certain reasons set forth in our said letter) for their residing upon Shaomett; and the other parts of that tract of land, which is mentioned in a charter of civil incorporation heretofore granted them by us, praying and requiring you to permit the same accordingly, without extending your jurisdiction to any part thereof, or disquieting them in their civil peace, or otherwise interrupting them in their possession, until we should receive your answer to the same in point of title, and thereupon give further order. We have since received a petition and remonstrance from you by your commissioner, Mr. Winslow, and though we have not yet entered into a particular consideration of the matter, yet we do, in the general, take notice of your respect, as well to the parliament's authority, as your own just privileges, and find cause to be further confirmed in our former opinion and knowledge of your prudence and faithfulness to God and his cause. And perceiving by your petition, that some persons do take advantage, from our said letter, to decline and question your jurisdiction, and to pretend a general liberty to appeal hither, upon their being called in question before you for matters proper to your cognizance, we thought it necessary (for preventing of further inconveniences in this kind) hereby to declare, that we intended not thereby to encourage any appeals from your justice, nor to restrain the bounds of your jurisdiction to a narrower compass than <sup>\*320</sup> is held forth by your letters patent, but to leave you with all that freedom and latitude that may, in any respect, be duly claimed by you; knowing that the limiting of you in that kind may be very prejudicial (if not destructive) to the government

and public peace of the colony. For your further satisfaction wherein, you may remember, that our said resolution took rise from an admittance, that the Narragansett Bay (the thing in question) was wholly without the bounds of your patent, the examination whereof will, in the next place, come before us. In the mean time we have received advertisement, that the place is within the patent of New Plimouth, and that the grounds of your proceedings against the complainants was a joint authority from the four governments of Massachusetts, Plimouth, Connecticut, and New Haven, which if it falls in upon proof, will much alter the state of the question.

And whereas our said direction extended not only to yourselves, but also to all the other governments and plantations in New England, whom it might concern, we declare, that we intended thereby no prejudice to any of their just rights, nor the countenancing of any practice to violate them; and that we shall for the future be very ready to give our encouragement and assistance in all your endeavors for settling of your peace and government, and the advancement of the gospel of Jesus Christ, to whose blessing we commend your persons and affairs.

Your very loving friends,

From the committee of Lords Warwick, Gov'r. and Admiral,

and Commons, etc., 25 May, Bas. Denbigh,

1647. Edw. Manchester,

Wm. Say and Seale,

Fr. Dacre,

Wm. Waller,

Arthur Heselrige,

Miles Corbet,

Fr. Allen,

Wm. Purefoy,

Geo. Fenwick,

Cor. Holland.

The committee having thus declared themselves to have an honorable regard of us and care to promote the welfare of the four United Colonies and other English plantations to the eastward, (for they had confirmed Mr. Rigby his patent of Ligonia,

and by their favorable interpretation of it had brought it to the sea-side, whereas the words of the grant laid it twenty miles short, and had put Sir Ferdinando Gorge out of all as <sup>\*321</sup> far as Saco,) our agent proceeded to have the charter (which they had lately granted to those of Rhode Island and Providence) to be called in, as lying within the patent of Plim-outh or Connecticut.<sup>1</sup>

[Blank.]

1648.] 10, (3.) The court of elections was at Boston. Mr. Symmes, pastor of Charlestown, preached. Mr. Winthrop was chosen governour again, and Mr. Dudley, deputy governour, Mr. Endecott, sergeant major, and he and Mr. Bradstreet, commissioners, etc.

[Blank.]

(3.) Here arrived three ships from London in one day. By the passengers we understood, as also by letters from Mr. Winslow, etc., how the hopes and endeavors of Dr. Child and other the petitioners, etc., had been blasted by the special providence of the Lord, who still wrought for us. Dr. Child had a brother, a major of a regiment in Kent, who, being set on by his brother and William Vassall, (who went from Scituate to petition against the country, etc.) set out a pamphlet, wherein he published their petition, exhibited to our general court, and other proceedings of the court. This was answered by Mr. Winslow in a book, entitled the Salamander, (pointing therein at Mr. Vassall, a man never at rest, but when he was in the fire of contention,) wherein he cleared the justice of our proceedings. As for those who went over to procure us trouble, God met with them all. Mr. Vassall, finding no entertainment for his petitions, went to Barbados.

Dr. Child preferred a petition to the committee against us, and put in Mr. Thomas Fowle his name among others; but he, hearing of it, protested against it, (for God had brought him

---

<sup>1</sup> Winthrop could mean no more, than that Winslow attempted to subvert the Providence Charter, by praying to have it called in; but we know he had no success in that project. Yet the *scrupulous* copying in Hubbard, 510, would lead to wild misunderstanding.

very low, both in his estate and in his reputation, since he joined in the first petition). After this the Doctor, meeting

\*322 with Mr. Willoughby<sup>1</sup> upon the exchange, (this Mr. Wil-

loughby dwelt at Charlestown, but his father was a colonel of the city,) and falling in talk about New England, the Doctor railed against the people, saying they were a company of rogues and knaves; Mr. Willoughby answered, that he who spake so, etc., was a knave, whereupon the Doctor gave him a box on the ear. Mr. Willoughby was ready to have closed with him, etc., but being upon the exchange, he was stayed, but presently arrested him. And when the Doctor saw the danger he was in, he employed some friends to make his peace, who ordered him to give five pounds to the poor of New England, (for Mr. Willoughby would have nothing of him,) and to give Mr. Willoughby open satisfaction in the full exchange, and to give it under his hand, never to speak evil of New England men after, nor to occasion any trouble to the country, or to any of the people, all which he gladly performed; and besides God had so blasted his estate, as he was quite broken, etc.

Samuel Gorton arrived here. The court, being informed of it, made an order, that he should be apprehended, etc., but he sending us the Earl of Warwick's letter, desiring only that he

---

<sup>1</sup> Francis Willoughby became deputy governour in 1663, and died 3 April, 1671. He was entrusted with some agency in England for the colony, probably at a later date than that of our text. For I am furnished with an extract from our records of the general court, 15 October, 1669, as follows: "The court considering that our honored deputy governour Francis Willoughby, Esq., hath yet had no acknowledgment of the country's respect to him by grant of lands or otherwise, as has been shown to some others that have not done that public service which he hath done for this place as well in England as here, do therefore grant him one thousand acres of land to be laid out in any place that may not prejudice a plantation." In 2 Hist. Coll. VIII. 99-100 may be seen, among the earnest debates in council, 1666, about submission to the power at home, how decided was Willoughby against prerogative. Charlestown records notice baptism of Sarah, 13 June, 1641, birth of Hannah, 17 of 3d, 1643, who died 4 September following, and son Nehemiah, born 18 of 4th, 1644, and daughter Jerinnah 29 of 5th, 1647. Besides he had three or four other children, and three wives.

might have liberty to pass home, the court recalled their former order, and gave him a week's liberty to provide for his departure. This was much opposed by some; but the most considered, that, it being only at the Earl's request, (no command,) it could be no prejudice to our liberty, and our commissioner being still attending the parliament, it might much have disadvantaged our cause and his expedition, if the Earl should have heard that we had denied him so small a request. Yet it was carried only by a casting voice.

The Gortonists of Shaomett, hearing how matters were like to go against them in England, and [illegible<sup>1</sup>] by Aquiday, began to consider how they might make their peace with us, and for that end sent two of their company to petition our general court, etc., but these messengers being come to Dedham, and hearing that the court was adjourned, they came no further; but one of them wrote a letter to our governour, in this tenor following:—

To the right worshipful Mr. John Winthrop, Governour \*323  
of the Massachusetts,

Humbly presented to your worship's consideration,

That whereas I, with another, was chosen by the general court held at Providence the eighteenth of this month, and sent with an humble request to this honorable state concerning Shaomett business, but when we came at Dedham, hearing that the general court was adjourned, I your suppliant (being an inhabitant of Shaomett) seriously weighing my present condition there, I made bold to advise with Mr. Powell<sup>2</sup> concern-

---

<sup>1</sup> Curious readers will unite in my regret for the loss of a word, or at most two, in this place, but on turning to Hubbard, 511, they may find reason to presume from his caution, that the passage in our MS. was not less obscure one hundred and seventy years ago.

<sup>2</sup> Michael Powell kept the ordinary, as from the general court records I find, in the town of Dedham, and was of course an orthodox man, not likely to be corrupted by the schismatic. He removed next year to Boston, and was one of the founders of the second church of Boston. The people would gladly have ordained him as their pastor, or teacher, being unable, I suppose, to afford compensation at first, to more than one. But after long agitation of the matter,

ing the same, who advised me to repair to your worship, which (on consideration) I could not, till I had some knowledge of your worship's favorable acception. My humble request therefore is, that your worship would be pleased to send me your mind in a few lines concerning the premises. So, craving your worship's favorable construction,

I remain,

Yours, most humbly,

Rufus Barton.

Dedham, May 22, 1648.

\*324 This year corn was very scarce, and so it was in all countries of Europe. Our scarcity came by occasion of

---

the magistrates, having authority under a recent act of the legislature, forbade the union, in 1653, with such "a well gifted, though illiterate person." See Hubbard, 551. But he was made ruling elder, and exercised as an instructor in sacred things, and received pay for his services. An exquisite curiosity, illustrative of the habits of our fathers in their ecclesiastical administrations, subordinate to the civil authority in too great a degree, may be read in 3 Hist. Coll. I. 45-47. It is a memorial from Powell to the governour and assistants, in which he says: "I had rather be followed to my grave than unto that which crosses the rule of Christ, or disturbe the peace of the churches. Honored fathers of this commonwealth, my humble request is that you would not have such hard thoughts of me, that I would consent to be ordained to office without your concurrence; nor that our poor church would attempt such a thing without your approbation." The date is 6 September, 1653. It gives a fuller account of the origin of the second religious society of the metropolis than can elsewhere be found; but for sufficient and most interesting history of its progress to this time two sermons by its late, beloved pastor, with copious notes, leave nothing to be supplied. Of Powell Mr. Ware says, that he was "incapacitated for all labor by a paralytic affection" soon after being ordained as ruling elder, "and his office being vacant, I do not find that it was ever again filled." In a note he adds: "He died January 28, 1672-3." Our Probate records VII. 281 contain the inventory of his estate, taken 8 February after, the amount of which, £72.15, I am less particular to mention, than these two items: "a bed, bedstead and furniture £14," and all his library, "three bibles, a concordance, with other books, £2." It is almost as monstrous as Sir John's "half penny worth of bread" to five and eight pence of sack. No wonder the government interposed to prevent such a scandal to the office. Dedham records mention birth of daughters, Elizabeth 10 of 4th, 1641, and Dorothy 11 of 5th, 1643, and son Michael 12 of 8th, 1645. A large history of the second church in Boston, by its present minister, was published last year.

our transporting much to the West Indies, and the Portugal and Spanish Islands. The magistrates sent out to have a survey of the corn in the country, and finding it to fall very short, the next general court made an order to prohibit transportation except of such as should be brought in from other parts and such as were sold before to be transported, etc. Yet this restraint notwithstanding, etc., the price did not rise 12d. in the bushel, nor (through the good providence of the Lord) was the scarcity much felt among the people.

Mr. Eaton having again moved the governour to know the mind of the court touching the Dutch governour's proceedings, the court appointed a committee to consider of it, (after the court was adjourned,) and withal to consider of the articles of confederation, and some of the commissioners' orders; for there was some murmuring among the people about the inequality of some articles, as that we bearing more than half the charge upon all occasions, etc., should yet have no more commissioners than the smallest of the other, and that all charges should be levied by the poll, considering how great a part of our people were laborers and craftsmen, and of theirs the most were farmers and well stocked, etc.

28, (3.) Soon after the court was adjourned, the governour received two letters from the Dutch governour, holding forth much assurance of his sincere affection to a firm peace and neighborly compliance with all the English, and that upon these grounds, 1. our unity in the true religion, 2. the ancient league between the two nations, 3. the community in danger, in respect of the common enemy, both Spaniards and Indians, 4. the reconciling former differences and preventing future, 5. the benefit of a mutual league, both offensive and defensive, against a common enemy; and offered to meet Mr. Bradford, the governour of Plimouth, and Mr. Winthrop, the governour of the Massachusetts, at Connecticut, at such time as we should appoint, and to refer all to us.

The governour returned answer to him, of what gladness he conceived in his forwardness to peace, and had no reason to doubt of his cordial intentions, etc., promising to further the meeting what lay in his power, etc.

There was some reason, why the Dutch governour's spirit

should begin to fall, both in regard of the weakness the state of Holland (especially the West India Company) were fallen into, (which was not the least occasion of their late peace with Spain,) and also in respect of the doubts which he was fallen into at this time, both from his own unruly people, and also of their neighbor Indians, for neither would his people be restrained from furnishing the Indians with guns, powder, etc., nor would the Indians endure to be without that trade; and the great loss the company had sustained by late wreck of three ships, and the old governour and many principal men with him, made him doubtful of any great supply from Holland.

4. (4). Here arrived one Sir Edmund Plowden,<sup>1</sup> who had been in Virginia about seven years. He came first with a patent of a county Palatine for Delaware Bay, but wanting a pilot for that place, he went to Virginia, and there having lost the estate he brought over, and all his people scattered from him, he came hither to return to England for supply, intending to return and plant Delaware, if he could get sufficient strength to dispossess the Swedes.

This year a new way was found out to Connecticut, by Nashoway, which avoided much of the hilly way.

The magistrates, being informed at a court of assisfants that four or five Indians, who lived upon the spoil of their neighbors, had murdered some Indians of Nipnett, who were subject to this government, and robbed their wigwam, sent twenty men to Nashoway to inquire of the truth of the matter, and to apprehend the murderers, if they could be found; but being fled to Narragansett, they returned, and informed us certainly of the persons murdered, and of the actors, etc., which was of this good use, (though they could not apprehend them,) that the Indians saw our care of them, and readiness to protect them, and revenge their wrongs.

---

<sup>1</sup> In Haz. I. 160-170, may be seen a grant to this gentleman, of as singular character as any of the grants by royal authority, dated 21 June, 1634. Two following documents in the same volume relate to the same grant. Plantations are referred to in these papers as having been formed two years before, but it is a little remarkable, that no book of American history has taken notice of the labors and success of Sir Edmund Plowden in Maryland. He called his country New Albion, and himself Lord Palatine of New Albion.

After this, two Indians, of Cutshamekin's procuring, offering themselves to apprehend some of the murderers, we gave them commission, and withal wrote to Mr. Pincheon to assist them, etc. (they being near Springfield). Mr. Pincheon offered his assistance, but wrote to the governour, that the Indians murdered, nor yet the murderers, were not our subjects, and withal that it would endanger a war; whereupon the governour advising with the deputy, etc., wrote back presently to Mr. <sup>326</sup> Pincheon, that then he should proceed no further, but send back the Indians, etc.<sup>1</sup>

At this court one Margaret Jones of Charlestown was indicted and found guilty of witchcraft, and hanged for it. The evidence against her was, 1. that she was found to have such a malignant touch, as many persons, (men, women, and children,) whom she stroked or touched with any affection or displeasure, or, etc., were taken with deafness, or vomiting, or other violent pains or sickness, 2. she practising physic, and her medicines being such things as (by her own confession) were harmless, as aniseed, liquors, etc., yet had extraordinary violent effects, 3. she would use to tell such as would not make use of her physic, that they would never be healed, and accordingly their diseases and hurts continued, with relapse against the ordinary course, and beyond the apprehension of all physicians and surgeons, 4. some things which she foretold came to pass accordingly; other things she could tell of (as secret speeches, etc.) which she had no ordinary means to come to the knowledge of, 5. she had (upon search) an apparent teat in her secret parts as fresh as if it had been newly sucked, and after it had been scanned, upon a forced search, that was withered, and another began on the opposite side, 6. in the prison, in the clear day-light, there was seen in her arms, she sitting on the floor, and her clothes up, etc., a little child, which ran from her into another room, and the officer following it, it was vanished. The like child was seen in two other places, to which she had relation; and one maid that saw it, fell sick upon it, and was cured by the said Margaret, who used means to be employed to that end. Her behavior at her trial was very intemperate, lying notoriously,

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix P.

and railing upon the jury and witnesses, etc., and in the like distemper she died. The same day and hour she was executed, there was a very great tempest at Connecticut, which blew down many trees, etc.<sup>1</sup>

4.] The wife of one Willip of Exeter was found in the river dead, her neck broken, her tongue black and swollen out of her mouth, and the blood settled in her face, the privy parts swollen, etc., as if she had been much abused, etc.

[Large blank.]

\*327 A vessel of Connecticut being the last winter at Quorrasoe, in the possession of the Dutch, found there a negro, who had lost his legs, and had been sent thither out of Holland to perform such service to the governour, etc., as he was fit for (having been trained up to some learning in Holland). This man had attained to some good savor of religion, so as he grew weary of the Dutch of the island, who were very debauched, (only one man he found some piety in,) and there being some Indians in the island, he acquainted himself with them, and having attained some skill in their language, he began to instruct them and their children in the knowledge of God, etc., and the Lord so blessed his endeavors, as the Indians began to hearken to him, and yielded themselves to be taught at certain times which this negro appointed. This negro told the master of the English vessel, one Bull, a godly and discreet man, of all his proceedings, and what comfort he had in that one godly Dutchman, saying that he never was in his company but he found Jesus Christ warming him at the heart. He inquired of Bull about New England and our religion and churches, and asked if we were of those christians, who advanced the doctrine of merits, etc., and much rejoiced when he heard the truth of

---

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps this tempest, and several other of the pieces of evidence against the poor witch, are as strong proof of innocence as guilt. In Danforth's Almanack for this year, Mr. Farmer writes me, a note is set against 15 June: "Alice Jones was executed at Boston for witchcraft." The error of the name is observable. But I am unable to find any thing in our records about the trial, except an order for a strict watch on her in prison, the good effect of which is observable in the text.

our doctrine, etc., and showed himself very desirous to see New England ; and so he left him at that time.

28.] The Welcome, of Boston, about 300 tons, riding before Charlestown, having in her eighty horses and 120 tons of ballast, in calm weather, fell a rolling, and continued so about twelve hours, so as though they brought a great weight to the one side, yet she would heel to the other, and so deep as they feared her foundering. It was then the time of the county court at Boston, and the magistrates hearing of it, and withal that one Jones (the husband of the witch lately executed) had desired to have passage in her to Barbados, and could not have it without such payment, etc., they sent the officer presently with a warrant to apprehend him, one of them saying that the ship would stand still as soon as he was in prison. And as the officer went, and was passing over the ferry, one said to him, you can tame men sometimes, can't you tame this ship ? The officer answered, I have that here, that (it may be) will tame her, and make her be quiet; and with that showed his warrant. And at the same instant, she began to stop and presently staid, and after he was put in prison, moved no more.<sup>1</sup>

There appeared over the harbor at New Haven, in the \*328 evening, the form of the keel of a ship with three masts, to which were suddenly added all the tackling and sails, and presently after, upon the top of the poop, a man standing with one hand akimbo under his left side, and in his right hand a sword stretched out towards the sea. Then from the side of the ship which was from the town arose a great smoke, which

---

<sup>1</sup> Our fathers must not be charged with any partiality, I presume, in passing over what Mather would call the nefandous witchcraft of this man, though testimony to support it was apparent to the whole community in the diabolical motion of the ship. The acuteness, at least, of one of the judges, who foretold the security of the ship, as a necessary consequence of that precaution, before he who was refused passage in her was committed to prison, certainly entitled him to great influence in such a trial; but the escape of the husband undoubtedly was owing to a mistake in philosophy and law, that such powerful enchantments could be perpetrated only by female influence. Forty-four years later his sex would not have given him security, for so impudent was the devil then become by his success, as to make addresses sometimes even to men, though unhappily much more often to women.

covered all the ship, and in that smoke she vanished away ; but some saw her keel sink into the water. This was seen by many, men and women, and it continued about a quarter of an hour.<sup>1</sup>

[Large blank.]

---

<sup>1</sup> Here is the first known relation of that atmospherical phenomenon, out of which the unhappy mourners of relatives lost in the ship near two years and a half before, possibly, in their gloomy and solitary state, worked up their imaginations to shape some application to the cause of their suffering, and which tradition and credulity certainly magnified to one of the most portentous meteors that was ever witnessed in a land of marvels. How could the date of an occurrence of so much interest, as the sailing of the New Haven bark, be brought down two years later than the truth ? Probably by many minds the exact period was forgotten, before the strange illusion in the clouds had attracted attention. In January, 1645-6, she was "cut out of the ice three miles." See p. 254 ante. After this appearance, in the lapse of a very few years, the story of the apparition would be told more frequently, if not more impressively, than that of the unheard-of shipwreck, which preceded it ; and as the time of the meteorological splendor was probably marked, and this had become with the majority the principal event, though in frequent repetition connected with that as a cause, it became natural to bring cause and effect into greater propinquity. It was easy to call the time 1647. Few other subjects of conversation were so safe and interesting in that humble colony for many years ; yet it was never, I believe, exhibited in full blown magnificence, till the happy eye of Mather having been blessed with a momentary vision, he kindly solicited from Reverend James Pierpont an imperishable representation. How precise was the relation at so distant a day, even of "the most credible, judicious, and curious surviving observers of it," we can well judge without the aid of the author of the *Magnalia* or his correspondent. Pierpont was born 1660, fourteen years after the sailing of the ship, graduated at H. C. 1681, settled at New Haven 1685 ; and may be pardoned for some of his errors, especially such as would gratify his Boston friend. On p. 266 of our contemporary narrative, the disastrous bark is called "a ship of 80 tons ;" but in the *Magnalia*, I. 25, she became "about 150 tons." Hubbard, 322, says the ship, besides being ill built and very crank, was, "to increase the inconveniency thereof, ill laden, the lighter goods at the bottom ; so that understanding men did even beforehand conclude in their deliberate thoughts a calamitous issue." It was not, then, quite so remarkable, perhaps, as Pierpont thought, that Mr. Davenport "in prayer, with an observable *emphasis*, used these words : Lord, if it be thy pleasure to bury these our friends in the bottom of the sea, they are thine : save them," especially since he also notes, "that the master (Lamberton) often said she would prove their grave." Hubbard, who wrote in 1682, and is copious enough about the disaster, has nothing about the air-drawn picture of it ; and thus I am led to conclude that it was so justly told by our author, as to be thought by him, as our own judgment

Divers letters passed between our governour and the \*329 Dutch governour about a meeting for reconciling the differences between our confederates of New Haven, etc., and him. But Mr. Bradford, the governour of Plimouth, (being one of the two whom the Dutch governour desired to refer the

---

also makes it, too trivial an occurrence for such vast combinations to be united with.

The account of this air-ship has been so often republished from the *Magnalia*, that my regard for the people of New Haven induces me to request a perusal of that fictitious relation, which, though wonderfully amplified by their former clergyman, hardly contains any part of the modest particulars in our text: After perusal of the counterfeit, they must make a comparison with the original. Pierpont indeed has enriched the narrative with glowing appendages, as I. after failure of news of their ship from England in the following spring, "prayers, both public and private," of the distressed people, "that the Lord would (if it was his pleasure) let them hear what he had done with their dear friends, and prepare them with a suitable submission to his holy will" II. "a great thunder storm arose out of the northwest" III. "the ship sailing against the wind" IV. "the very children cried out, there's a brave ship" V. the pertinacity of the apparition, "crowding up as far as there usually was water sufficient for such a vessel, and so near some of the spectators, as that they imagined a man might hurl a stone on board her," VI. "her maintop seemed to be blown off, but left hanging in the shrouds, then her mizen top, then all her masting seemed blown away by the board." VII. the certainty and satisfaction enjoyed from this cloudy exhibition, "Mr. Davenport in public declared to this effect: that God had condescended, for the quieting of their afflicted spirits, this extraordinary account of his sovereign disposal of those for whom so many fervent prayers were made continually." It is very reasonable that the late version of his correspondent, worthy of Mather himself, who had our author's MS. in possession, having suppressed the actual circumstances as related at the time, should have furnished superior beauty to the narrative fifty years later. The duration of the appearance, in our text, is doubled, at least, in the modern story, which makes it sail "against the wind for the space of half an hour."

Were we in these days as skilful in penetrating the counsels of heaven by the signs of the sky, it might be thought that this play of the clouds in June, 1648, at New Haven, had as much relation to the loss in November, 1657, of Garret's ship, wherein was Mr. Davis, H. C., 1651, one of the best scholars at New Haven, besides Pelham, Ince, both, also, sons of Harvard, and Mayhew, the promising Missionary to the Indians, as to the loss of Lamberton in January, 1646; for the circumstances of each were nearly similar. See Gookin in 1 Hist. Coll. I. 202, 3. Providence might have some purpose in *foreshowing*, but could be less distinctly reverenced in so uncertain a *reflection*.

differences unto,) being sent unto about it, came to Boston, and there excused himself, by bodily infirmities and other reasons, that he could not go to Hartford that summer, but promised (the Lord assisting) to prepare against the middle of the (4) next summer. So the governour (Mr. Hopkins being then also at Boston) despatched away letters presently to the Dutch governour to certify him thereof, who returned answer soon <sup>330</sup> after, that he was very sorry the meeting did not hold, and professed his earnest inclination to peace, and that he never had any thought of war, and desired that in the mean time all things might remain as they were, neither encroaching upon others' pretended limits, desiring withal that he might meet the commissioners of the colonies also to treat with them about the Indian trade, which was much abused, etc.

[Large blank.]

15. (6.) The synod met at Cambridge by adjournment from the (4) last. Mr. Allen of Dedham preached out of Acts 15,<sup>1</sup> a very godly, learned, and particular handling of near all the doctrines and applications concerning that subject with a clear discovery and refutation of such errors, objections, and scruples as had been raised about it by some young heads in the country.

---

<sup>1</sup> Probably the whole chapter, as it contains the admirable history of the council of Jerusalem, almost the only one since the foundation of our religion, whose result in matter of general doctrine and practice can be venerated, afforded the theme of the preacher. But if any particular part were more largely commented on, than another, considering the manner of our fathers' dissent from the church of England, then in its humiliation, we may fancy that with reverential tenderness the 10 and 11 verses were handled, though the chief application was undoubtedly to resist the presbyterian form of government of the churches, established by the Westminster assembly:—

Now therefore why tempt ye God, to put a yoke upon the neck of the disciples, which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear?

But we believe that through the grace of the Lord Jesus we shall be saved, even as they.

This synod erected the famous Cambridge platform, which, with slight occasional departures, required by the lapse of time, continued the rule of our ecclesiastical polity until the constitution of the commonwealth in 1780, and is still of some influence in construction of difficult topics.

It fell out, about the midst of his sermon, there came a snake into the seat, where many of the elders sate behind the preacher. It came in at the door where people stood thick upon the stairs. Divers of the elders shifted from it, but Mr. Thomson, one of the elders of Braintree, (a man of much faith,) trode upon the head of it, and so held it with his foot and staff with a small pair of grains, until it was killed. This being so remarkable, and nothing falling out but by divine providence, it is out of doubt, the Lord discovered somewhat of his mind in it. The serpent is the devil; the synod, the representative of the churches of Christ in New England. The devil had formerly and lately attempted their disturbance and dissolution; but their faith in the seed of the woman overcame him and crushed his head.

The synod went on comfortably, and intended only the framing of a confession of faith, etc., and a form of church discipline (not entertaining any other business). For the first, they wholly agreed with that which the assembly in England had lately set forth. For the other, viz., for discipline, they drew it by itself, according to the general practice of our churches.<sup>1</sup> So they ended in less than fourteen days.

---

<sup>1</sup> No more proper place can, perhaps, be found for a correction of an error as to the number of New England congregational churches, which the authority of so distinguished a name as Dr. Stiles might irretrievably confirm.

He observes "there is no body of Christians on earth in such a rapid increase, and in so flourishing a state, as the congregationalists of New England. The present state of our denomination as to numbers, for the year 1760, is nearly this: In Massachusetts are above three hundred congregational churches; in Connecticut one hundred and seventy; in New Hampshire forty-three; which with those in this colony, [Rhode Island] form a body of about five hundred and thirty churches. In 1650 there were about thirty-six churches already founded, several of which were small beginnings, requiring many years to fill up. In 1696 there were but one hundred and thirty congregational churches in all New England. And being A. D. 1760 increased to five hundred and thirty the proportion of doubling is once in thirty years. A. D. 1643 there had arrived in two hundred and ninety-eight transports, about four thousand two hundred planters with their families, making about twenty-one thousand people for all New England. Since that time more have gone from us to Europe, than have arrived from thence hither. The present inhabitants, there-

This month, when our first harvest was near had in, the pigeons came again all over the country, but did no harm, "332 (harvest being just in,<sup>1</sup>) but proved a great blessing, it being incredible what multitudes of them were killed

---

fore, of New England are justly to be estimated a natural increase by the blessing of heaven on the first twenty-one thousand that arrived by the year 1643."

Holmes' Life of Stiles, 93.

First, I doubt he is too easily satisfied, as to the number in 1696, which he makes one hundred and thirty, when it is probable there were more. He relied on the Hecatopolis of the Magnalia, but inquiry has convinced me, as it will any one else, of an observation, that may soon become an axiom: put not your faith in Mather.

More pertinent however to the narrow limits, within which this work is confined, is the result of my investigation as to the churches already founded in 1650, which the learned president of Yale College makes "about 36." The latitudinarianism of the phrase, about, on such a subject, is to be abhorred. I make more than half as many again, and prove my computation, as follows: Massachusetts proper, see Vol. I. 95, 6, in note, 29, Martha's Vineyard, 1. Maine, scil. York, and Wells, 2, New Hampshire, scil. Portsmouth, Dover, Exeter, and Hampton, 4, Plimouth, scil. Plimouth, Scituate, Duxbury, Barnstable, Marshfield, Yarmouth, Sandwich, Taunton, Eastham, and Rehoboth, 10, Connecticut, scil. Hartford, Windsor, Weathersfield, New Haven, Milford, New London, Guilford, Stratford, Fairfield, Saybrook, Stamford, and Branford, 12, total 58. Johnson, in his Wonderworking Providences makes more, for in Book I. c. 8. he asserts ten for Plimouth, and in c. 10, forty-three for Massachusetts, which with the Connecticut and New Haven dozen count sixty-five.

As to the ships that brought planters to New England, it should always be remembered, the *authority* is no weaker, and after some weeks' labor on the matter, I am qualified to say, the *reason* is stronger for the number 198, than 298. But I have not room to give the reasons for my opinion, that would occupy several pages. Compare Johnson I. c. 14, sub finem, with the beginning of c. 16, in both places the Arabic numerals being employed. He says, too, "I hear of but one that ever miscarried." That was, beyond doubt, the Angel Gabriel, which sailed from Bristol, 1635, in company with the James, in which Richard Mather came, and was wrecked at Pemaquid in the fierce August storm.

If this subject should be examined with great leisure, and most careful citation of authorities, for each ship and her number of passengers, an approximation to certainty might perhaps be obtained.

<sup>1</sup> Our author's note of time is not very precise, inasmuch as the whole month of August is given. What harvest he intends is conjectural. I suppose English, not Indian, corn, as wheat, rye, pease, barley, etc., is meant. My indefatigable correspondent, John Farmer, Esquire, furnished the following extracts

daily. It was ordinary for one man to kill eight or ten dozen in half a day, yea five or six dozen at one shoot, and some seven or eight. Thus the Lord showed us, that he could make the same creature, which formerly had been a great chastisement, now to become a great blessing.

About the midst of this summer, there arose a fly out of the ground, about the bigness of the top of a man's little finger, of brown colour. They filled the woods from Connecticut to Sudbury with a great noise, and eat up the young sprouts of the trees, but meddled not with the corn. They were also between Plimouth and Braintree, but came no further. If the Lord had not stopped them, they had spoiled all our orchards, for they did some few.

At the last meeting of the commissioners at New Haven, information was given them, that Sequashin, a sachem near Hartford, would have hired an Indian to kill some of the mag-

---

from the notes in the interleaved Almanacs for 1646 and 1647 of Danforth, that may to some extent indicate the progress of vegetation.

1646, August 1. The great pears ripe.

3. The long apples ripe.

12. Blackston's apples gathered.

15. Tankerd apples gathered.

18. Kreton pippins, } gathered.  
Long red apples, } gathered.

1647, July 5. We began to cut the peas in the field.

14. We began to shear rye.

Aug. 2. We mowed barley.

The same week we shear summer wheat.

7. The great pears gathered.

Sept. 15. The Russetins gathered and Pearnaines.

1648, May 26. Sown 1 peck of peas, the moon in the full. Observe how they prove.

July 28. Summer apples gathered.

1646, July 20. Apricoks ripe.

Mr. Farmer remarks in a note: "Josselyn says under date of October 11, 1638, that he was presented with a score of *pippins* from Governour's Island, and that there was not one apple tree nor pear tree planted yet, in no part of the country, but upon that Island." Perhaps Josselyn was mistaken. Blaxton's apple trees had been growing, probably, a dozen years on the peninsula of Boston.

istrates of Hartford, whereupon he was sent for, but came not, and being among other Indians about Pacomtucket, they sent for Unkas, who undertook to fetch him in, which he not being able to do by force, he surprised him in the night, and brought him to Hartford, where he was kept in prison divers weeks.<sup>1</sup>

But there not being sufficient proof to convict him, etc., he was discharged. Yet the Indians, from whom he was taken, took it so to heart against Uncas, as they intended to make war upon him, and the Narragansetts sent wampom to them to encourage them; and accordingly in this month, there were gathered together from divers parts about one thousand Indians armed, three hundred or more having guns, powder, and bullets, and were at Pacumtucket preparing, etc., which the magistrates of Hartford hearing of, they sent three horsemen to them (one being very expert in the Indian language) to know their intent, and to tell them, that if they made war upon Uncas, the English must defend him. The Indian sachems entertained the messengers courteously; and having heard their message, they took time to give their answer, which was this, viz. they knew the English to be a wise and warlike people, and they intended not to fall out with them, therefore for the present they would desist, and consider further of the matter. And God had so disposed, as at the same instant they had intelligence of a defeat given to some of their confederates by other Indians, which called them to their aid, and also the Narragansett had failed to send them all the wampom he had promised. Thus the Lord delivered us from that war, which must needs have been very dangerous, especially to our brethren of Connecticut.

The Narragansett and Niantick dealing thus underhand contrary to their covenant, and being yet behind near one thousand fathom of the wampom they should have paid us long since, the commissioners, sitting at Plimouth, (7) ordered four men to be sent to them, with an interpreter, with instructions how to treat with them, both concerning their hiring other Indians to war upon Uncas, and also about the wampom behind. Cap-

---

<sup>1</sup> I hope, that a close examination of the acts of the commissioners, in Hazard, II. 59, et seq. may assure us, that Winthrop was misinformed.

tain Atherton and Captain Prichard, assisted with two others, voluntarily undertook this service, and went hence, 3 (8). They were to have taken Benedict Arnold for their interpreter; but he being from home, they went to Mr. Williams, who sent for the sachems. But they had heard that many horsemen were come to take them, which made Pesicus fly over to Rhode Island. Then our messengers went to Niantick, where Ninicraft entertained them courteously, (there they staid the Lord's day,) and came back with them to Mr. Williams, and then Pesicus and Canonicus' son, being delivered of their fear, came to them, and being demanded about hiring the Mohawks against Uncas, they solemnly denied it; only they confessed, that the Mohawk, being a great sachem, and their ancient friend, and being come so near them, they sent some twenty fathom of wampom for him to tread upon, as the manner of Indians is. And <sup>\*334</sup> Canonicus' son, called [blank,] used this asseveration, viz. Englishman's God doth know, that we did not send to stir up or hire the Mohawks against Uncas. Then they further promised, that they would not meddle with Uncas, nor stir up any other against him, before they had paid all their debt of wampom to the English, and then they would require satisfaction for all the wrongs Uncas had done them, and if the English would not see them satisfied, they would consider what to do. And for their wampom behind, etc., they desired the English to bear with them, in regard their want of corn last winter had made them lay out their wampom to the English for corn; but in the spring they would provide part of it, and the rest so soon as they could.

(8.) A shallop having been fishing at Monhigen, and returning with other boats, and being to put in at Damarell's cove, the other boats fell to their oars (the wind failing) and called upon this boat to do the like, that they might be harbored before night; but they were slothful, and neglected, etc., whereupon she missed her way, and was split upon a rock, and all the men (being four, and one Indian) and all the goods perished.

20.] In the time of our general court here arrived from Virginia one Mr. Haryson, pastor of the church of Nanseman there, and reported to us, that their church was grown to one

hundred and eighteen persons, and many more looking towards it, which had stirred up the governour there, Sir William Berkley, to raise persecution against them, and he had banished their elder, Mr. Durand,<sup>1</sup> and himself (viz. Mr. Haryson) was to depart the country by the third ship at furthest, which had caused [him] to come now to take advice of the magistrates and elders here about the matter. First he spake with the magistrates, and propounded two things, 1. whether their church ought not to remove, upon this persecution, 2. whither we would advise them to remove.

To the first our answer was, that seeing God had carried on his work so graciously hitherto, etc., and that there was so great hope of a far more plentiful harvest at hand, (many of the council being well inclined, etc., and one thousand of the people by conjecture,) they should not be hasty to remove, as long as they could stay upon any tolerable terms. 2. For the place they should remove to, if necessitated, Mr. Haryson acquainted us with a place allowed and propounded to them, and the occasion of it, which was thus: Captain Wm. Sayle<sup>2</sup> of Summers Islands, having been lately in England, had procured an ordinance of parliament for planting the Bahamas Islands (now called Eleutheria) in the mouth of the gulf of Florida, and wanting means to carry it on, had obtained of divers parliament men and others in London to undertake the work, which they did, and drew up a covenant and articles for all to enter into, who would come into the business. The first article was for liberty of conscience, wherein they provided, that the civil magistrate should not have cognizance of any matter which concerned religion, but every man might enjoy his own opinion or religion, without control or question, (nor was there any word of maintaining or professing any religion or worship of God at all;) and the commission (by authority of the ordinance of parliament) to Captain Sayle to be governour three years was with limitation, that they should be subject to such

<sup>1</sup> William Durand had before been at Boston, and joined our church 7 September, 1644.

<sup>2</sup> By a reasonable conjecture, this is the same gentleman, who first planted South Carolina, about twenty years after, with a commission as governour.

orders and directions as from time to time they should receive from the company in England, etc. Upon these terms they furnished him with a ship and all provisions and necessaries for the design, and some few persons embarked with him, and sailed to the Summers Islands, where they took in Mr. Patrick Copeland, elder of that church, a godly man of near eighty years of age, and so many other of the church there, as they were in the ship in all seventy persons. But in the way to Eleutheria, one Captain Butler, a young man who came in the ship from England, made use of his liberty to disturb all the company. He could not endure any ordinances or worship, etc., and when they arrived at one of the Eleutheria Islands, and were intended there to settle, he made such a faction, as enforced Captain Sayle to remove to another island, and being near the harbor, the ship struck and was cast away. The persons were all saved, save one, but all their provisions and goods were lost, so as they were forced (for divers months) to lie in the open air, and to feed upon such fruits and wild creatures as the island afforded. But finding their strength to decay, and no hope of any relief, Captain Sayle took a shallop and eight men, with such provisions as they could get, and set sail, hoping to attain either the Summers Islands, or Virginia, or New England; and so it pleased the Lord to favor them, that in nine days they arrived in Virginia, their provisions all spent, etc. Those of the church relieved them, and furnished them with a bark and provisions to return to relieve their company left in Eleutheria. Captain Sayle, finding the church in this state, persuaded them to remove to Eleutheria, which they began to listen unto, but after they had seen a copy of his commission and articles, etc. (though he undertook to them, that the company in England would alter any thing they should desire, yet) they paused upon it (for the church were very <sup>336</sup> orthodox and zealous for the truth) and would not resolve before they had received advice from us. Whereupon letters were returned to them, dissuading them from joining with that people under those terms.<sup>1</sup>

[Large blank.]

<sup>1</sup> Hubbard, 522-4, with very trifling qualifications, copies all this statement about the church of Virginia, and its invitation to Eleutheria; but of Durand,

(9) 2.] Here arrived a Dutch hoy of about 30 tons, with cordage and other goods, seven men in her. She came from the Isle of Wight hither in five weeks.

18.] One Bezaleel Payton<sup>1</sup> of the church of Boston, coming from Barbados in a vessel of 60 tons, was taken with a great storm of wind and rain at east in the night, between Cape Cod and the bay, so as he was forced to put out two anchors ; but the storm increasing, they were put from their anchors, and seeing no way but death before their eyes, they commended themselves to the Lord, who delivered them marvelously, for they were carried among Conyhasset rocks, yet touched none of them, and put on shore upon a beach, and presently there came a mighty sea, which lifted their vessel over the beach into a smooth water, and after the storm was over, they used means, and gate her safe out.

The like example of the blessing of prayer fell out not long after in saving a small open vessel of ours, wherein was one Richard Collicut<sup>2</sup> of the church of Dorchester, who being east-

---

Sayle, Copeland, or Butler, he adds no information, and but this little of Harrison : "Mr. Harrison tarried a year or two in New England, and then went to England, and at last settled in Ireland, having taken the degree of a doctor ; but what became of the church of Virginia, or the planters of Eleutheria, there was no certain report, but it is to be feared they were so nipped in the bud, they never flourished much afterwards." Johnson, Lib. III. c. 11, mentions Harrison, and Copeland, and Durand ; and his remarks about Virginia are very curious. William Prynne, in his Fresh Discovery of Blazing Stars, publishes a letter to him from the Summer Islands, in which less favorable accounts of these pietists are furnished.

<sup>1</sup> Bezaleel Payton's inventory, Vol. II. 56, was taken 21 (9) 1651 ; and on 3 of the next month his widow married the gentleman, commonly known as the blessed William Paddy. Our records show birth of daughters, Sarah 9 (6) 1643, and Mary 7 (3) 1646. I believe he had a son of the same christian name.

<sup>2</sup> Collicot was early settled there, see 2 Hist. Coll. VIII. 231, and the records of that town mention birth of Experience, daughter of him and Thomasin his wife, 29 (7) 1641, and of Dependence, their son, 5 (5) 1643. A former wife, Joanna, died 5 (6) 1640. He was a sergeant in the Pequot war, removed, after some years, to Boston, where the records contain entry of birth of his son, Ebenezer, 6 September, 1659. There were other children, one named, I think, Mary, and another Preserved, beside Elizabeth and Bethia, the last of whom was wife of Rev. Daniel Gookin. He lived long, for his will, contained in our Prob. Rec. XI. 17-18, was made 23 April, 1686, and proved 26 August after.

ward about trading was carried by a violent storm among the rocks, where they could find no place to get out. So they went to prayer, and presently there came a great sea, and heaved <sup>337</sup> their vessel over into the open sea, in a place between two rocks.

11, (11.) About eight persons were drowned this winter, all by adventuring upon the ice, except three, whereof two (one of them being far in drink) would needs pass from Boston to Winisemett in a small boat and a tempestuous night. This man (using to come home to Winisemett drunken) his wife would tell him, he would one day be drowned, etc., but he made light of it. Another went aboard a ship to make merry the last day at night, (being the beginning of the Lord's day,) and returning about midnight with three of the ship's company, the boat was overset by means of the ice, they guiding her by a rope, which went from the ship to the shore. The seamen waded out, but the Boston man was drowned, being a man of good conversation and hopeful of some work of grace begun in him, but drawn away by the seamen's invitation. God will be sanctified in them that come near him. Two others were the children of one of the church of Boston. While their parents were at the lecture, the boy, (being about seven years of age,) having a small staff in his hand, ran down upon the ice towards a boat he saw, and the ice breaking, he fell in, but his staff kept him up, till his sister, about fourteen years old, ran down to save her brother (though there were four men at hand, and called to her not to go, being themselves hastening to save him) and so drowned herself and him also, being past recovery ere the men could come at them, and could easily reach ground with their feet. The parents had no more sons, and confessed they had been too indulgent towards him, and had set their hearts over much upon him.

This puts me in mind of another child very strangely drowned a little before winter. The parents were also members of the church of Boston. The father had undertaken to maintain the mill-dam, and being at work upon it, (with some

---

In it he mentions his lands on the Merrimack, at Dunstable, given by the colony, and others on the Kennebeck, purchased of the Indians and English.

help he had hired,) in the afternoon of the last day of the week, night came upon them before they had finished what they intended, and his conscience began to put him in mind of the Lord's day, and he was troubled, yet went on and wrought an hour within night. The next day, after evening exercise, and after they had supped, the mother put two children to bed in the room where themselves did lie, and they went out to visit a neighbor. When they returned, they continued about an hour in the room, and missed not the child, but then the mother going to the bed, and not finding her youngest child, (a daughter about five years of age,) after much search she found it drowned in a well in her cellar; which was very observable, as by a special hand of God, that the child should go out of that \*338 room into another in the dark, and then fall down at a trap door, or go down the stairs, and so into the well in the farther end of the cellar, the top of the well and the water being even with the ground. But the father, freely in the open congregation, did acknowledge it the righteous hand of God for his profaning his holy day against the checks of his own conscience.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Here ends the MS. history of the venerable father of Massachusetts. As my notes have been abundant, beyond measure, I shall be excused readily from any such service as portraying his character. He died, Hobart's Diary says, on 26 March, being Monday, about noon, and was buried on Tuesday, 3 April, 1649. The notices from the colony records will, I hope, be acceptable:—

In the first volume of the deputies acts, which I call Vol. III. page 221, on 7 May, 1649, "it was unanimously agreed and voted that two hundred pounds should be given to the infant of our late honored governour John Winthrop, Esquire, out of the next country levy."

In the doings of the other branch, Vol. II. 234 is this vote: "Forasmuch as our late honored governour upon his death bed did express his tender desires towards his wife and youngest child, that if the country did think meet to bestow upon him any thing for his service done, that it should be to the said child, and remain in the hands of his wife for its education, and the stock preserved entire for the child's use, and forasmuch as the court hath not provided for the disposing of that estate in case of the death of the child, the court doth conceive it just, that in case the infant dies before it attain the age of 21 years, the one third part thereof should accrue to the widow of our late honored governour, and the other two thirds, one third to Mr. Deane Winthrop, and the other to Mr. Samuel Winthrop, they as yet having had no portions out of the late governour's estate, nor like to have."

This infant was, as our first church records show, "baptised 17 (10) 1648, being about five days old." He was son of the fourth wife, though Mather, nor any other writer I have ever seen, had not any knowledge of such an union. The paternal regard of the colony was ineffectual. Our town records mention, that "Joshua Winthrop, youngest son of the late Mr. John Winthrop, Esquire, died 11 (11) 1651."

Mather says, Winthrop was born on June 12, 1587, and though he has more correctly given the date of his death, yet one of his enigmatical sentences, about the coming of the "grand climacterical," has made most of his successors represent the year of our author's age, when he died, as the sixty-third. It is plain enough, from the record mentioned Vol. I. 63, that he was 61 years, 2 months, and 14 days old, having been born 12 January, 1588.

I was once asked by a descendant of gov. Winthrop, since deceased, whether his ancestor had, probably, received news of the beheading of his old master, Charles I. The reply was not prompt, but I have now before me a letter of Roger Williams "to his honored kind friend, Mr. John Winthrop at Nameag," that is lettered on the back "Mr. Williams of the high news about the king," from which it is very evident that our chief magistrate was spared that distress. Its date is Narragansett 26 3. 1649, of course two months after the governour's death. He says: "Sir, tidings are high from England; many ships from many parts say, and a Bristol ship come to the Isle of Shoals within few days confirms, that the king and many great lords and parliament men are beheaded: London was shut up on the day of execution, not a door to be opened, etc. The States of Holland and the Prince of Orange (forced by them) consented to proceedings: It is said Mr. Peters preached (after the fashion of England) the funeral sermon to the king, after sentence, out of the terrible denunciation to the king of Babylon, Is. 14, 18, etc."



There are appended to each of the three MS. volumes of Governor Winthrop's History certain memoranda in his hand writing, some of which are sufficiently important for publication. It is very evident that he designed most of them for publication, because two or three paragraphs, in the regular sequence of the history, are distinguished by marginal annotations directing them to be transferred to the other end. Such articles are now inserted with the others in the ends of the several MSS. Of these the two articles, in the beginning of the first volume, are directions to make a strong boat, and to cover a house, which, however valuable in that time, may now seem unnecessary. At the other end of the first volume, the earliest writing is a citation of several passages of scripture. The next page is here copied:—

December 7, 1630.] I have in all 15 cows and [illegible], whereof 5 are my brother Downing's, marked on the left horn with the brand of a [illegible]. Two of these cows I bought of the company; one at [blank] and the other at [blank].

I have also 14 she goats, whereof 3 I bought of the company at 30 shillings a piece. There be two wether goats here, not yet divided.

I have 2 sows bought from Plimouth.

I had more, of Kingsbury one cow, and of Lamb (which he could not pay for) one cow, and of Mr. Johnson's 9 cows, 2 at Boston, and of Mr. *Huysons* one brought to Boston.

I received more, of Mr. Allerton for my brother D. 5 heifers, which have a knotting in one horn, and 3 for Mr. Haynes, which have their tails tipped at the end.

Next is this remark:—

The agreement for the *Arbella* £.750, whereof to be paid in hand [blank] the rest upon certificate of our safe arrival.

Agreed with [Thomas] Keene of Southwark, baker, for 20,000 of biscuit, 15,000 of brown, and 5,000 of white.

Next,

Mr. Stretton of Eastcheap, butcher, agreed with for beef at 19 shillings the cwt. for pork at 20 pence the stone, neats tongues at 14 pence a piece.

The sides of beef, being 29 sides, with 50 pieces of Mr.  
cwt. q. lb.

Beecher's beef weighed 79,,2,,15. The beef is 15 hogsheads, the  
shanks in one hogshead, and the necks with 16 pieces of pork  
in a tierce, and are marked as followeth. Beef, No. 1 to 15 con-

340\* taining, etc. Pork 6 hogsheads weighing in all 28. 2. 23,  
cwt. q. lb.

Salt for all this viz. White salt, 16 1-2 bushels, L.2. 6.8

Spanish salt, 13 bushels, 2.12.0

Bay salt, 3 bushels, 13.6

The charge of salting L.2.11.2. 5.12.2

Next follows a catalogue, I presume, of those who in February designed to come over, and of whom the greater part embarked and arrived. It is possible, the author designed by spaces between the columns to distinguish the passengers in the several ships; but I cannot detect his distribution perfectly. Perhaps the last names in the second column are not of persons designing to embark, but only of proprietors.

Sir Rich. Saltonstall,	Mr. Fines,	2 ministers.
Mr. Johnson,	Mr. Humfry,	Mr. Hoffe.
Mr. Winthrop,	Mr. Pelham,	Ro. Cole.
Mr. Dudley,	Mr. Hen. Winthrop,	John Cole.
Mr. Coddington,	Mr. Sam. Dudley,	Simpson.
Mr. Ludlow,	Mr. Palgrave,	Sale.
Mr. Bradstreet,	Mr. Gager,	Bolston.
Mr. Rossiter,	Mr. Ball,	Penn.
Mr. Pincheon,	Johnson,	John Ruggle.
Mr. Vassal,	Richardson,	Milles.
Mr. Sharpe,	Child,	Waterbury.
Mr. Burrow,	Pond,	Jef. Ruggles.
Mr. Brand,	Mr. Burrows,	Hawkins.
Colburne,	Mr. Hosier,	Gosnold.
Lockwood,	Mr. Parke,	Hammond.
Sergeant,	Hodson,	Reeder.
Warren,	Lambe,	Redby.
Firmin,	Goffe,	
Sterne,	Nicole,	
Cuttin,	Mr. Wade,	
Wood,		
Hen. Kingsbury,		
Thos. Kingsbury,		

Hawke,	Sir W. Brereton,
Weed,	Mr. Cradock,
Page,	Mr. Downing,
Hutchinson,	Mr. Rowe,
Finch,	Mr. Webb,
Raynold,	Mr. [blank].
Mr. Revell,	
Mr. Dutton,	Salter.
Mr. [blank],	
Mr. Wilson.	

The next three pages are occupied with plans for a house and offices, and for forts, of which the latter seems to be of later date than the body of the work, perhaps drawn by the second John Winthrop. \*341

Next comes : —

A note of provisions set down for the ship *Arbella* for her voyage, 1629, by Mr. Beecher.

42	tons of beer,	The cook's store.
10	M of bread,	100 platters,
16	hogsheads of beef, neat,	4 trays,
600	of haberdyne,	2 wooden bowls,
40	bushels of peas,	4 lanthorns,
30	bushels of oatmeal,	4 pumps for water and beer,
11	firkins of butter,	3½ doz. of quarter cans,
3	way of cheese,	3 doz. of small cans,
14	tons of water cask,	13 doz. of wooden spoons,
1	hogshead of vinegar,	3½ doz. bread baskets,
2	hogsheads of cider,	3½ doz. mustard dishes,
1½	bushels of mustard seed,	2½ doz. butter dishes,
1	barrel of flour,	3 or 4 doz. trenchers,
100	weight of suet,	1 doz. cod lines,
1	barrel of salt,	3 doz. of cod hooks,
8	M of burning wood,	½ doz. mackerel lines,
6	dozen of candles.	1½ doz. mackerel hooks,
		12 leads,
		6 small leads.

Next follows a circular perpetual calendar for each day of the week, month, and year, much like such as are now common.

Next follows large instruction for making saltpetre, and then a recipe for making gunpowder, with minute particulars.

Next a small chart of Cape Ann, which is of no value, but the remarks show the author's diligence in observation :

About the E. point of Cape Ann lie 3 or 4 islands, which appear above water, and a ledge of rocks under water lyeth to the eastward of the bigger E. island, which ridge stretcheth about half a mile to the E., but a mile or two to the S. of the said islands is deep water above 30 fathoms. The most north-east of all the said islands is a small rock, bare, without weed or aught upon it; the rest have shrubs. Within 5 or 6 leagues of Cape Ann are store of mackerel. The Isles of Shoals are woody.

The addenda at the beginning of the second volume are as follows :—

Gifts bestowed upon the colony since 1634.

1635. Dec. 10.] Denis Geere of Sagus gave by his will (at the motion of Mr. Hugh Peter) *L.300*.

\*342 Mr. Robert Houghton of Southark, brewer, and others, gave to this colony, at the motion of Captain Underhill, 10 barrels powder.

John Allen of Surslingham, minister, in Norfolk, gave *L.25* to the treasury, sent by Thomas Fisher of Winton.

Sir Simonds Dewes,<sup>1</sup> Knight, gave a debt of one Hannel of *L.30*, but only *L.10* could be gotten.

Mr. [blank] Freeman of Sagus gave 20 corslets.

Brampton Gurdon, Esq.	<i>L.10,</i>	} Bestowed in 5 sheets of Sir William Spring, Knight, <i>L.5,</i> Emanuel Downing, Esq. 50s.	} lead for the Castle at the island. Mr. Tyng gave the freight in the William and John 9ber. 1636.
Mr. Smith, a tailor, London,	50s.		

Mr. Graves of Lynn gave near *L.300* left to Mr. Peters' order.

A pen is drawn through this last sentence, and I cannot be certain of the benefactor's name, yet hazard the suggestion, that it refers to the same person who is called Geere in a preceding line.

---

<sup>1</sup> This was the great legal antiquary, a sturdy puritan, afterwards sheriff of Suffolk, and strenuous asserter of the cause of liberty and reformation in the early days of the Long Parliament, that assembled in 1640, and, for "the glory of God and good of the nation," was forcibly dissolved, 10 April, 1653, by Cromwell.

About *L.500* procured in London by Mr. Welde, Mr. Peter, etc., and sent in goods.

Mr. Parker, of Weymouth, his brother, *L.300*, *L.50* to Mr. Parker and Mr. Stone their disposing.

Mr. Harvard gave to the college about *L.800*.

Mr. Richard Andrews gave many cattle, by Mr. Humfry, and *L.544* by Mr. Peter, etc.

1641.] Mr. Welde and Mr. Peter, etc., procured from Mr. Houghton, the king's brewer, and divers others, *L.500*, which was bestowed in commodities, etc.

After two blank pages, is added :—

Mr. Thomas Graves, a member of Dorchester, and a very understanding man, would needs leave the church, and go to Virginia against all counsel, etc. He and his wife and divers of his children died, and his whole family was ruined about a year after. Only one daughter escaped, who, being left a maid with a good estate, married after to that apostate, Nathaniel Eaton, who, having spent all she had, fled away, and left her miserable, 1646.

At the other end of the same volume, the four first papers, being a form of a warrant to the constable to call together the freemen of his town to choose deputies to the next general court, a form of a warrant to give notice of the court of elections, a form of a commission for marriages "to be duly, lawfully, and solemnly accomplished," and a form of marriage contract, may all be omitted.

Next follows this memorandum :—

This clause was put in beneath the warrant for the general court of elections, 1639, viz.:—

Upon conference with others of the magistrates, it is <sup>343</sup> thought fit to give notice that there will be need of a supply of the number of assistants, for which end it may be of use to name to the freemen some of those of best note amongst us, (as Mr. D. of S., Mr. H.<sup>1</sup> of C. Mr. S. of J., etc.) not with intent to lead their choice in these, or to divert it from any other, but only to propound them to consideration, (which any freeman may do,) and so leave them to use their liberty according to their consciences. This was looked at by the people as dan-

---

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps this letter should be F. Double f is often mistaken.

gerous to their liberty, and therefore they would choose none of these.

See p. 300 of Vol. I.

After by order of court {blank} the court of elections was to assemble without warrant.

From the next article, the relation between state and church will partly appear: —

To the constable of Salem: —

Whereas we are credibly informed, that divers persons, (both men and women,) within your town, do disorderly assemble themselves both upon the Lord's day and at other times, and contemptuously refusing to come to the solemn meetings of the church there, (or being some of them justly cast out,) do obstinately refuse to submit themselves, that they might be again received; but do make conventions and seduce divers persons of weak capacity, and have already withdrawn some of them from the church, and thereby have caused much (not only disturbance in the church, but also) disorder and damage in the civil state, so as if they be suffered to go on, your town is like to be deserted by many of the chief and most useful members, to the great dishonor of God; these are therefore to require you forthwith to repair unto all such disordered persons, (taking assistance of two or three honest neighbors,) and signify unto them that their said course is very offensive to the government here, and may no longer be suffered, and therefore command them from us to refrain all such disordered assemblies and pretended church meetings, and either to confine themselves to the laws and orders of this government, being established according to the rule of God's word, or else let them be assured, that we shall by God's assistance take some such strict and speedy course for the reformation of these disorders and preventing the evils which may otherwise ensue, as our duty to God and charge over this people do call from us. And when you have given them this admonition, you shall diligently attend how it is observed, and certify us accordingly, as you will answer your neglect herein at your peril.

H. Vane, Gov'r.

Jo. Winthrop, Dept.

Tho. Dudley.

From Boston this 30 of the 3 month, 1636.

All the following articles seem to have regular sequence of time.

1636, mo. 4. 2.] Governor and council and assistants <sup>\*344</sup> heard the cause between Richard Beggarly and his wife, who had been here six years, and he in England. She charged him with adultery, etc., and so great were the presumptions, as though we held them not sufficient to ground a divorce upon, yet we ordered he should remain separate from her till she might send into England for further proof, and appointed him twenty shillings from her to set him to work, etc.

16.] The governour with consent of Mr. Dudley gave warrant to lieutenant Morris to spread the king's colors at Castle Island, when the ships passed by. It was done at the request of the masters of the ten ships, which were then here, yet with this protestation, that we held the cross in the ensign idolatrous, and therefore might not set it up in our own ensigns; but this being kept as the king's fort, the governour and some others were of opinion, that his own colors might be spread upon it. The colors were given us by Captain Palmer, and the governour in requital sent him three beaver skins. But the deputy allowed not of this distinction.

16th of the 4th mo.] To lieutenant Howe of Sagus, and to the military officers and company there. Whereas we have formerly given you command of the trained band in Sagus, we do hereby require you to see them duly exercised according to the orders of court, and we do also require you, the military company there, that you diligently attend with your complete arms at such times and places as your said lieutenant shall appoint, and that all you, the officers and soldiers of the said company, be obedient to all such commands as by authority of this place or order from us you shall receive from him, so as you may be well trained and fitted for such future service as you may be called unto; hereof not to fail.

Hen. Vane, Gov'r.  
Jo. Winthrop, Dept.

18.] We granted Mr. Palmer a demi-culverin in exchange for a sacre of Mr. Walton's, which was ready mounted at Castle Island, being by the opinion of Mr. Peirce and some others better for us than the demi-culverin. We had 100 wt. of shot and some *wires* and sponges into the bargain.

21.] One of the seamen of the ship Prudence being at Mr. Long's at Charlestown, stole a beaver skin. He was apprehended and kept all night in the bolts, and next morning being brought before us, we ordered him to make double restitution, viz. 20s.

H. Vane, Gov'r.  
J. W., Dept.

\*345 28.] The governour and John Winthrop returned a letter of thanks to Mr. Robert Houghton of Southwark, brewer, and Mr. Wm. Hiccock, etc., for ten barrels of gunpowder, which they sent to this colony the last year upon the motion of captain Underhill.

30.] Warrant to the constable of Dorchester to inventory and appraise the rigging, etc., of the bark Warwick, cast away, etc., until some came to demand them, or till further order, etc.

By advice with other of the assistants, we ordered, that the present sent us by the Pequott should be forthwith returned, as being the price of blood.

Mo. 5. 14.] Nic. Simpkin brought before the governour and John Winthrop for braving the lieutenant Morris, and telling him in public, that he lied, etc. He confessed the words, but refused to acknowledge it a fault, or to ask his pardon in the mercate place. So we committed him.

16.] Upon his submission and acknowledgment, that he had done ill, we took his bond in twenty pounds, to appear at the next court, and left him at liberty. Besides he was ill, and we feared he would grow distracted, etc.

21.] John Newgate brought John *Gurney*, his apprentice, before us. He had gotten away his indentures. So we ordered he should serve him till he were 24 years of age, viz., for 3 years from the 29 of 7ber next.

Walter Palmer sworn constable of Charlestown.

28.] Sebastian Paulmin brought over by Nic. Simpkin and upon a covenant of his to bind him with Mr. Robert Keayne for ten years, but because it appeared to us by the witness of Mr. Jeyner, that Nic. Simpkin being his uncle had no power to put him apprentice, and for that Mr. Keayne had disbursed money about him, we ordered that the country should pay him

his money (Mr. Simpkin having long promised it, but not paid it) and so dispose of him till we heard from his mother.

Warrant to the constables of Watertown,<sup>1</sup> etc., at Connecticut to seize and inventory Mr. Oldham's goods for payment of his debts, etc.

Mo. 6. 8.] Lieutenant Edward Gibbons and John Higginson with one to attend them, and some Massachusetts Indians, were sent to Canonicus and Miantonomoh to treat with them about justice to be done upon those who were guilty of the murder of John Oldham.

9.] The deputy granted license to [blank] Andrews of Ipswich to sell wine by retail for six months, provided he did not wittingly sell to such as were like to abuse it by drunkenness.

11. [Blank] a boy of Mr. Oldham's, whom he bought of [blank] for *L.8*, we restored to his old master for *L.4*, in <sup>346</sup> regard he had no clothes, and had spent the most of the summer with Mr. Oldham, etc.

12.] John White, merchant, *L.10*, to appear at next court to answer for drunkenness, etc.

22.] John Newgate sworn constable of Boston for a year.

7. 3.] Mr. William Pincheon took the oath of assistant.

22.] Ezekiah Holle entertained to serve at Castle Island for a year at *L.10* per annum.

24.] Mr. Jones *L.10* to appear at next court for drunkenness.

29.] Edward Grove entertained to serve at the fort from the 24 of July at *L.10* per annum.

Richard Paul entertained for the same from this day at *L.10* per annum.

Thomas Tredwell *L.20* to appear at next court for misdemeanor, etc. Mr. Hill prosecutes.

8ber. 13.] Serjeant Willard appointed to exercise the military company at Concord.

Vincent Potter entertained to serve at the fort for one year at *L.10* wages.

18.] Thomas Gilbert brought before us. He was drunk at Serjeant Baulston's, and the constable being sent for, he struck

---

<sup>1</sup> Now Weathersfield.

him. He was kept in the prison all night, and next day his father, John Gilbert and his brother John Gilbert of Dorchester undertook in *L.40* that John Gilbert the younger would appear at court to answer for him and perform the order of the court, etc. The reason was, for that he was to go into England presently, and not known to have been any way disordered, and was his father's oldest son, who was a grave honest gentleman, etc. They did undertake also, that he should acknowledge his fault openly to the constable, etc.

9. 10.] Alice Benfield, an orphan of the age of three years, being left upon the charge of the country, (her parents dying ere they were settled, etc.) was put apprentice to Emanuel White of Watertown and Katharine, his wife, for fifteen years, and in consideration of *L.10* to be paid to him by the treasurer (for which he had warrant) they are to educate her as their own child during her minority, and after to employ and maintain her as a servant during the rest of the term; and if she die within these twelve months, he is to repay so much of the money, as we shall judge equal.

11.] Robert [blank] a freeman is bound in *L.5* to appear at next court at Boston to answer for drunkenness.

\*347 19.] *Letters to John Cogan ad colligenda bona Daniel Norcott*, who went in the Pied Cow last year, and not heard of.

Wm. Sanders, carpenter, sent to me by the governour, and Mr. Bellingham came with him, and having agreed before the governour, that, to satisfy the debt which he owed to Mr. Bellingham and Mr. Gibbins, he should serve them for three years, with this proviso, that if at any time he should satisfy so much as should remain of their several debts, that then the governour and council or any two of them might set him free.

12. 4.] Willington is to bring in his man to the next court to answer for stealing stuff, being a tailor, etc. His master promised to give satisfaction, etc.

1637.] 2. 13.] Edward Mellhouse sworn constable of Charlestown.

28.] Being about full moon, about ten of the clock in the evening, in a clear sky, a perfect moon was seen about a flight shoot northerly from the true moon. It was seen so about half

an hour, and then vanished with dim flashings. It was more dim than the true moon.

3. 11.] Anderson, master of a small pinnace, coming by our fort, stood out three shot before he would come to an anchor; and then three of our men going aboard him, he weighed and carried them to Boston, and used braving speeches. We sent for him and committed him, and the next day took security for his appearance at the next court, and ordered him to pay for the powder and shot, etc.

22.] Math. Bridge, for killing, by careless discharging a pistol at Concord, one John Abbot, John Bridge his father of Newton undertook in £.40 for his appearance at next court.<sup>1</sup>

4. 12.] Nathan Bircher and Philip Squance, taken vagrant at Muddy river, and having taken away Mr. Mayhew's skiff, and divers things at the governors' garden, Mr. Bellingham and myself caused them to be whipped and sent home.

5. 3.] Henry Wood, a seaman in Mr. Tillet his ship, brought by the constable of Charlestown, and Mr. Nowell with him, for suspicion of ravishing Ann Brakerbourne, a child of nine years of age. The child said, he did lie upon her, and did hurt her; and one Thomas Sheepy, hearing a child cry, went towards the place, and saw the said Henry arise from off her. But her mother and those who searched the child found no signs, whereby it might appear, that any act had been committed. We committed the *fellow* to prison, and after whipped him.

3.] Rich. Serle, servant to Elias Parkman of Dorchester, charged with giving his master insolent speeches, for which he taking up a stick to correct him, he ran within him, and laid violent hands upon him, which he confessed, and it was witnessed by Thomas Millet, who was present, etc., and it appeared to have been his manner before. Mr. Bellingham consenting, he was whipped.

20.] John Hobby, brought before me by the constable of Dorchester, confesseth that about fourteen days since, lying at Sam. Cole's, he saw beaver bound up in a bag there, whereof

---

<sup>1</sup> The assistants then discharged him. He lived to 1700, had wife, who was sister of Dep. Gov. Danforth.

he took two skins and a half, (the beaver was Phil. White's,) which he carried to Mr. Cutting's ship, and put it away there for twenty-four shillings, (it weighed four and a half pounds,) but he denies that he took any more. But after he confessed he had three skins more, which he laid in the backside.

6. 15.] Richard Knight of Weymouth undertook for Robert Corbin, master of the Speedwell, to appear at the next court to answer the action of Edward Wyatt, and to abide the order of the court.

7. 25.] James Penn and Edward Bendall of Boston did bind themselves, their heirs and executors, to pay unto the treasurer within three months forty pounds for the fine of Stephen Greensmith.

Marks are drawn across this paragraph, but it is evident that it was designed by the author only to express the discharge of the obligation, for in the margin is written: —

Paid by *L.20* in wampom and *L.20* by debt to Robert Saltonstall.

William Tuttle of Charlestown five pounds to prosecute suit against Robert Shorthose next court at Newtown for unlawful impounding his mare.

8. 19.] John Dotteris brought before me by Edward Andrews at the complaint of Henry Larmore. He was apprentice to Mr. Taylor of London, and sent by him in a ship to Virginia, and there fell sick, and left with Mr. *Letherbee* to take care of him, etc. He employs him in a bark hither. The boy goeth to his mother, the wife of the said Andrews, so as finding that Mr. *Letherbee* had no power to send him apprentice, his mother entreats us the last term, and so I wrote to Mr. *Letherbee* that the boy remains with her.

Job Tiler, servant to Richard Baldwin of Mount Wollaston, brought before me, and the treasurer, secretary, etc. He confessed he did attempt to have carnal knowledge of the body of Jane, the daughter of the said Richard, two times, but could not. Women had searched her, and found no act committed. We committed him to prison, etc.

21.] John Stringer, servant to Mrs. Knight, brought before me and the deputy and secretary for divers miscarriages to-

wards his mistress and Mr. Stoughton, and for running away, etc. We caused him to be whipped. <sup>349</sup>

9. 28. The governour and treasurer, by order of the general court, did demise to Edward Converse the ferry between Boston and Charlestown, to have the sole transporting of passengers and cattle from one side to the other, for three years from the first day of the next month, for the yearly rent of forty pounds to be paid quarterly to the treasurer: Provided that he see it be well attended and furnished with sufficient boats; and that so soon as may be in the next spring he set up a convenient house on Boston side, and keep a boat there, as need shall require. And he is allowed to take his wonted fees, viz. 2d. for a single person, and pence a piece, if there be more than one, as well on lecture days as at other times; and for every horse and cow with the man which goeth with them 6d. and for a goat 1d. and a swine 2d. And if any shall desire to pass, before it be light in the morning, or if after it is dark in the evening, he may take recompence answerable to the season and his pains and hazard, so it be not excessive.

Edward Converse.<sup>1</sup>

1638. 1. 30.] Edward Seale of Marblehead twenty pounds for his wife's appearance when she shall be called for after her delivery.

2. 30.] Anne, ux. Richard Walker, being cast out of the church of Boston for intemperate drinking from one inn to another and for light and wanton behavior, was the next day called before the governour and the treasurer, and convict by two witnesses upon oath of the same misdemeanor, and was stripped naked one shoulder, and tied to the whipping post; but because she was with child, her punishment was respited.

6. 28.] In my letter to Mr. Hooker, I complain of three things: —

---

<sup>1</sup> This is an original signature of the lessee, however strange the place may seem in the middle of a page in the history, where is written, "this should have been put in the other end." By our colony records L 80, I learn, that Converse had, 14 June, 1631, "undertaken to set up a ferry betwixt Charlton and Boston, for which he is to have 2d. for every single person, and 1d. a piece, if there be two or more."

1. That they told the Narragansetts, that they were not tied to the agreement we made with the Indians; and that they did this, to advance their own reputation with the Indians, and to abase ours; that it was a point of state policy in them not to dissent, while the war was at their doors, for they had need of our help, etc., that it was done without any pressing occasion; \*350 that it was done unseasonably, after their own commissioners had propounded that before the Indians we should in all things appear as one.

2. That they altered the articles of confederation in the most material point, and all because some preeminence was therein yielded to the Massachusetts, and being again agreed, (only referred to consent, etc.) in three months we had no answer from them; that the way which they would have taken, of referring differences to the churches, would occasion infinite trouble and expense, and yet leave the issue to the sword.

I expostulated about the unwarrantableness and unsafeness of referring matter of counsel or judicature to the body of the people, quia the best part is always the least, and of that best part the wiser part is always the lesser. The old law was, choose ye out judges, etc., and thou shalt bring the matter to the judge, etc.

3. That they did still exercise jurisdiction at Agawam,<sup>1</sup> though one of their commissioners disclaimed to intermeddle in our line, and thither we challenged our right, and it was agreed so, and I had wrote to them to desire them to forbear until, etc., that Mr. Pincheon had small encouragement to be under them; that if his relation were true, I could not see the justice of their proceeding against him, etc.

That the end of my writing to him was, that he might help quench these sparks of contention; that I did open our grievances to him in their most true and reasonable intendment; that though I be strict for our right in public, quia their magistrates are so, yet I am willing to listen to advice, and my aim is the common good.

Nothing is found worth transcription in the addenda of the third volume.

---

<sup>1</sup> Now Springfield.

## APPENDIX.

---

### A. 65.

MY GOOD SON,

THE comfortable season God was pleased to send after thy departure from us, and the fair S. E. wind the last day of the week, gives me hope, that you are all safe arrived at your new habitation. Upon the said last day of the week at evening here came in Captain Hawkins in a ship of 220 tons, set forth by one Mr. Roberts, a merchant of London. Her lading is linen, woollen, shoes, stockings, etc., and 40 tons of coal, and is bound from hence to Malago. Captain Hawkins is commander of her. Here came no more in her but my sister,<sup>1</sup> Peter, (who is now as she used to be,) and Mr. Clerk. Your brother hath again sent for his wife, and it seems means to stay in England with his brother Rainsborow, who is governour of Worcester, and he is captain of a troop of horse. The army intended for Ireland is put off, I suppose it is upon the king's refusing to comply with the parliament, which is all the news we have, except that the sickness began to spread much in London. I send you herein your letters, which I thought best to open least there might be any occasion from them to write back by this next ship. We are all as you left us, I praise God. We all salute you and all yours. The blessing of the Lord be upon you, and he protect and guide you in this great undertaking. Farewell.

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

26 (8) 46.

*To my very good son, Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, }  
at Fisher's Island, near Pequod River. }*

---

<sup>1</sup> Meaning member of our church, as by the name of Deliverance Sheffield received in March, 1639, and soon after dismissed, as wife of Peter, to his church at Salem.

## A. 66.

MY GOOD SON,

I received your letter, etc., from Rhode Island, and returned another to you by Mr. Cowley; and having another opportunity by Captain \*352 Malbone, I thought fit to write again. We bless God for the good hope we have of your safe arrival at your own place, which we much desire a further confirmation of. We all continue in health as you left us (blessed be God). Waitstill is with our sister Truesdale. They make much of him, and he likes so well, as he desires no change. Mary is with goodwife Childe. They are in love with her, and she likes them well. Betty and Luce are still with us. John Robertson (I hope) is come to you; he went hence a week after you in C. Dunham's vessel. I purpose to write to your brother Stephen, and press him to satisfy those two debts. The Rainbow went hence the 10th of this present with eighty passengers; but Mr. Peters is resolved to go by Malago with captain Hawkins. Major Bourne's ship will be ready within this fourteen days. Here arrived yesterday a Dutch ship of 300 tons, with 250 tons of salt, sent by Mr. Onge from Lisbon, so as salt was abated in a few hours from 36 to 16 a hogshead. We look at it as a singular providence and testimony of the Lord's care of us. Mr. Haynes is come safe to us, but in great danger to have perished in the tempest, but that beyond expectation, wandering in the night, God brought him to an empty wigwam, where they found two fires burning and wood ready for use. There they were kept two nights and a day, the storm continuing so long with them, with much snow as well as rain. Mrs. Peters went three days since to Salem, and Mrs. Mary Fenwick and Mrs. Lake and her daughter with her. This is all the news I can impart. It was to admiration, that in such a tempest (than which I never observed a greater) so little harm was done, and no person hurt. At Salem the lady Moody's house being a flat roof and but nine feet high, the roof was taken off, and so much of the chimney as was above it, and carried in two parts six or eight rods off. Ten persons lay under it, and knew not of it till they arose in the morning. I had thought we should only have declared our apprehensions concerning the petition, without questioning the petitioners, but the deputies called\* upon it, whereupon Mr. Fowle was forced to put in bond to answer, etc., and the rest being called, did presently appeal to the parliament, etc., so as we are like to proceed to some censure for their appeal, if not for the petition. I have no more at present, but commend you and my good daughter and your children

and Deane and all your company in your plantation (whom I desire to salute) to the gracious protection and blessing of the Lord. I rest your loving father.

Your mother, brother, and sister salute you all.

JO. WINTHROP.

BOSTON, 16 (9) 46.

*To my loving son, Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, at  
Fisher's Island, near Pequod River, d'd.* }

---

A. 67.

MY GOOD SON,

I have written two letters to you, by Wm. Cowley, and the other by New Haven. I received your letter from Rhode Island, and do bless God for your safety so far, and the hope of your safe arrival at Fisher's Island. I think very long to hear certainly from you, for the tempest was most violent. Some hurt was done here, especially by the tide the second day after, which was the greatest we ever had; much fish and salt lost at eastward, and terrible loss thereabout, as is feared. We are all in health, I praise God. Wait is with sister<sup>1</sup> Truesdale, and Mary at sister Child's. This gentleman, Mr. Malbone, can inform you of all, or in my other letters you may meet with more. So with your mother's and brother and sister's salutes to yourself and wife and children and Deane, I commend thee to the precious blessing of the Lord.

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

19 (9) 46.

*To my loving son, Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, at  
Fisher's Island.* }

---

<sup>1</sup> Misunderstanding this word, which refers only to the relation in our church body, Farmer, in his Genealogical Register, had written, of Richard Truesdale, "perhaps brother-in-law of Gov. Winthrop." On his admission to the church, 27 July, 1634, the record calls him "servant to our teacher, John Cotton." Similar error was caused by the same phrase, *sister*, three pages onward, applied to Mrs. Symonds of Ipswich, and her descendants long deluded themselves with the notion of having sprung from Gov. Winthrop.

## A. 68.

MR GOOD SON,

To your last by Willys I returned answer by the Indians who came with them, together with letters to Mr. Eaton enclosed, which (I hope) you have sent away before this; and from them, it is like, you will hear of the time of the commissioners' meeting here before us. For such things as have befallen us here, in the wreck of a new pinnace bound for Barbados two months since with nine persons in her, whereof Mr. Stoughton's son was one, and Mr. Ruck's another, and the taking of our trading ship at Cape Sable by D'Aulney, to the value of L.1000, etc.

Here came in this morning a ship from Virginia with captain Gookin and some others. She was bought by him [of] the governour there. She came out ten days since, and we hear by her, that Mr. Whiting's pinnace is safe there, and another of Connecticut.

Your mother had been very ill lately, but (I praise God) she is upon recovery. Your brother Adam is like to lose, L.60 by this ship that D'Aulney took. Thus the Lord is pleased to keep us under, and all in love, and for our good, that he may wean us from this world, and draw our hearts more after Christ Jesus and those riches which will endure to eternity.

I hear that Colonel Rainsborow is gone for Ireland, and, I fear, your brother Stephen is then gone with him. We shall hear no certainty till a ship come from England. Captain Harding arrived at Bristol 19 (10). They went from here 9 (9,) and had a very tempestuous voyage, and were carried among the rocks at Scilly, where never ship came. Our pinnaces had very good receipts in the West Indies. I received letters by them from your brother Samuel. He is well (I praise God) and desires to be remembered to you. He writes that there was a great loss in your brother's fish and corn; and that there was lately a great volcano in Palma, which brake out into seven fires, and they saw them every night at Teneriffe, which is sixty miles distant, and the ashes were blown thither in their faces. He sent some of them to me. It melted the stones, etc., so as they ran down like streams of molten lead. It threw forth mighty rocks, and let in the sea 300 fathom deep.

I hear that Unkas is much at Connecticut soliciting, etc. Seeing he is your neighbor, I would wish you would not be averse to reconciliation with him, if they of Connecticut desire it. The wampom which he received for me never came to my hands, as I wrote you in my last.

Your neighbors refusing to help drive the cattle hath discharged

Deane from coming at present. Your hogs will be lost or killed, for they lie in the neighbor's corn.

The receipt for ink [may be omitted].

We will see if a cooper can be had, but salt here is none now to be sold. You write not, whether you received the hogshead of salt I sent you by Captain Smith.

I can think of no more at present. Your mother and brother and sisters are at the garden. The Lord bless you and my good daughter and children. So I salute you all, and rest

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

14 (3) 47. Received May 22.

I send you a little box with my daughter's glasses, two are still behind. I could not bestow them. You write nothing about the stray mare. Gold's wife at Tenhills is dead.

*To my very good son, Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, }  
at Nameage upon Pequod River, d'd. }*

---

A. 69.

MY GOOD SON,

I bless the Lord, and rejoice with thee in the safe delivery of my dear daughter, and the comfort of your little Martha. We find by frequent experience, that where the Lord withholds the ordinary means, he supplies with the greater blessing upon such as he affords us. I hope you will find the like gracious goodness, in spiritual blessings, upon such means as you can attain, until you may be supplied with a public ministry. There were three hopeful young men commenced masters of arts this last commencement,<sup>1</sup> one is schoolmaster at Concord, another at Hartford, and a third at [blank]. Your neighbor Lathrop came not at me (as I expected) to advise about it; but went away without taking leave, etc. Only inquiring after him, I sent my letters to the house where he wrought, the day before his departure.

The auditor hath received the wampom, being but 88 fathom, and so

---

<sup>1</sup> They had taken their bachelors' degrees, 1646. It appears, that the day of the solemnity had been altered from the first Wednesday of Oct. 1642, to the second Wednesday of August. As our Catal. of 1646 shows four masters, I dare not appropriate, except Alcock, who was at Hartford, probably, as his uncle, our judicious Hooker, was minister there.

small as no man will receive it by the penny, etc. I shall acquaint the commissioners with what you write, and so leave it. The meeting is at Plimouth the first 5th of the (7). The last week we were at Salem, where they are all in health, and gave us very kind entertainment. Henry Pease, my old servant, died this day senight. Mrs. Bellingham was delivered of a daughter which died lately. The iron work goeth on with more hope. It yields now about 7 tons per week, but it is most out of that brown earth which lies under the bog mine. They tried another mine, and after 24 hours they had a sum of about 500, which when they brake, they conceived to be a 5th part silver. There is a grave man of good fashion come now over to see how things stand here. He is one who hath been exercised in iron works. I have no more at present, but my love and blessing to you all, yourself and my good daughter and all your children (little Martha also). My wife salutes you all. Your brothers and sisters, etc., are all abroad. In haste, farewell.

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

BOSTON, 14 (6) 48. Some two hours after I received yours.

*To my good son Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, }  
at Pequod, d'd.*

---

A. 70.

MY GOOD SON,

Returning this afternoon from Ipswich, I heard of this opportunity of writing to you, which I would not let slip. I have been ill of a fever these six weeks, yet (I praise God) I have been able to go abroad every day. At Ipswich they are all in health (God be praised). Your<sup>1</sup> sister Symonds is delivered of a daughter. All the other magistrates being absent, save your brother Symonds, there was some necessity of my going, and (through God's mercy) it was not useless. The news out of England is very sad; all the counties are for the king, save Yorkshire. Kent raised about 20,000. The general went against them with about 10,000, and soon routed them. Cromwell is gone against them in the west, and carries all before him, and will give no quarter. Some ten or more of the parliament's ships revolted to the king.

Our news is sad at home also: God hath visited our family and taken

---

<sup>1</sup> This means only Christian fellowship in the church of Ipswich.

from us your good sister Adam. She died at the garden. Divers young children die here. Our neighbor Sherman his daughter died this day. They are well at Salem, and your uncle is now beginning to distil. We have looked for you long. Mr. Endecott hath found a copper mine in his own ground. Mr. Leader hath tried it. The furnace runs 8 tons per week, and their bar iron is as good as Spanish. The adventurers in England sent over one Mr. Dawes to oversee Mr. Leader, etc., but he is far short of Mr. Leader for, etc. They could not agree, so he is returned by Teneriffe.

I can think of no more at present. I end with my blessing to you and my good daughter and all our children and my love to Mrs. Lake.<sup>1</sup> My wife salutes you all. So I rest

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

30 (7) 48. Received Oct. 7.

*To my good son Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, }  
at Pequod, d'd.*

A. 71.

MY GOOD SON,

I received your letter by Mr. Brewster, and am glad to hear of the welfare of all your family. For that which you write about a minister, I understand by my brother Dudley, that his son D. finding that Mr. B. is offended with his teaching at the new town, is now resolved to remove, and if he have a call from your people and assurance of reasonable maintenance at present, and what likelihood of competency afterward, he will come to you. The messenger stays for this, therefore for other things I must refer you to my other letter by the Roxbury butcher. So with all our loving salutations to you all and mine own blessing I rest

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

16 (8) 48. Received Nov. 9.

*To my good son Mr. Jo. WINTHROP, }  
at Pequod, d'd.*

<sup>1</sup> The conjecture of Miss Caulkins, in her valuable Hist. of New London, p. 154, that she was sister of the wife of John Winthrop, the younger, seems very probable.

## A. 72.

MY GOOD SON,

We have now received full and certain intelligence from England by Captain Hawkins's ship, (God was pleased to change his voyage and send him to heaven by the way). I send you herewith some books, 13 in all. I received also a letter from your brother Stephen, who was in all those northern wars against the Scots, and (I perceive) did good service; and the Lord was graciously pleased to preserve him, that he was come safe to London 7 (7), and I hope his heart is with the Lord, for he writes christianly; and he and his wife sit down meekly under the Lord's correction in taking away their two children by the small pox at London, after they had been driven from Deal to Harwich and so to Ipswich and then to London for fear of Goring's army. I had letters also from your brother Samuel, who is married in Holland to a Dutch woman, and intends to come this way and so to Barbados.

Trerice his ship was taken in the Downs by the Prince and carried to Holland, with other merchants' ships, but there is hope of her recovery. Mr. Fenwick is made a colonel and governour of Tinmouth Castle. The books will tell you more. I am in much streights of time. The Lord bless you and all yours. My wife salutes you all. So I rest

Your loving father,

JO. WINTHROP.

7 (9) 48.

My brother Peter took the Duke of Hamilton prisoner.

---

I. 1.

JOHN WINTHROP,

[SEAL.]

In the name of God, amen, this tenth day of May in the year of our Lord God 1620, and in the eighteenth year of the reign of our sovereign Lord King James of England, etc., and of Scotland the 53, I, John Winthrop of Groton in the county of Suffolk, Esquire, being (I praise God) of sound mind and memory, and in good health of body, (upon serious consideration of the frailty and uncertainty of this momentary life, occasioned by the Lord's watchword, and frequent examples of such as I have observed to have been snatched away suddenly and in their best health and strength,) do make and declare by these presents my last will and testament in manner following:—

First I commend my soul into the hands of God who made me, and redeemed me, and hath renewed me into the image of Christ Jesus, by whom only I am washed from my sins, and adopted to be the child of God and an heir of everlasting life, and that of the mere and free favor of God, who hath elected me to be a vessel of glory for the only manifestation of his infinite mercy, and accordingly hath called me outwardly by his word, and inwardly and effectually by his holy spirit, into this grace wherein now I stand and rejoice under the hope of the glory to come. My body I yield to the earth,† there to be decently bestowed, as waiting for the hope of the resurrection of the just. Now for such temporal goods as I shall leave behind me I do commit them to the care and disposition of Margaret my wife, \* Mr. Adam Winthrop my father, Anne Winthrop my mother,\* and John Winthrop my son, whom I do make and ordain executors of this my last will and testament to this end and upon this confident persuasion, that they will have a mutual love and due regard each to other and to all the rest of our family, and that they will faithful perform this my last will and testament. Item I give unto my said wife all those my lands and tenements which I lately purchased of William Forthe of Neyland, gentleman, viz., the two tenements and six acres of land lying by Leven heath in the occupation of [blank] Coker and ten acres of woodland lying near the same tenements, which land and woods are called by the several names of Masterman's Cross, Masterman's Grove, Stubbins Cross, Stubbins Grove, and Homylie's Grove, or by what other names soever; and also one close of pasture ground, called little pond field containing about eight acres lying at the end of Neyland town towards Buers; and also three acres of meadow lying in Lowe's meadow in the parish of Assington just by the said end of Neyland town; all which said parcels of land, meadow and wood are more particularly expressed in a certain deed of feoffment from the said William Forthe to me made, bearing date the 27th day of July, 1617. To have and to hold the said tenements, land, meadows, pastures, and woods unto my said wife for term of her life, and after her decease to remain to Adam my son and to his heirs. Item I give unto my said son John all that messuage wherein I now dwell, together with all the appurtenances, and all that indenture of lease or term of years which I have in the same and in certain acres of land therewith let, being now in my occupation situate in Groton aforesaid, and being parcel of the rectory of the same parish. Item, whereas I have one parcel of land called Upper Crabtree went containing about twelve

† I desire to be laid near my godly and loving wives, if conveniently it may be.

acres, lying in Groton aforesaid, and now in the occupation of Philip Gostlin the elder, which I have left out of former conveyances to this end that I might lay it unto the parsonage of Groton, in satisfaction of the like quantity of land which I have of the same, I do hereby admonish my said son and streightly charge him before the Lord, that he so dispose hereof as may be best to God's glory, the peace of his own conscience, and the due recompense of the faithful incumbent, as myself purpose to do, if God spare me life to a fit opportunity.

Item for Mary my daughter I will that my executors shall pay her grandfather Forthe his legacy of *L.240* to be paid her at her age of eighteen years, and withal I do commit her to the care of my executors to be well and christianly educated with such goods as I shall leave unto them. \* Item I will that my said executors shall pay unto Luce Winthrop my sister one hundred and twenty pounds, one hundred whereof is due to her upon an agreement between my father and me upon the setting over his whole estate unto me. Item I will that they shall pay unto Ezekiel Bonde three score pounds and [blank] that which is behind and due to him of such legacies as my said father was to pay unto him.\* Item I will that my son \*s Henry and\* Forthe shall be brought up and disposed of by my executors in learning, \* or else in some honest calling such as they shall prove most fit for,\* out of the rents and profits as they are to have by the will and testament of their said grandfather Mr. Forthe, when they shall attain to certain ages, as in the said will is expressed. My other two sons Stephen and Adam I commend to the care of their mother to be brought up in the fear of God by the help of such lands and goods as I shall leave unto her. Item I will that my executors shall pay my son Henry *L.13.6.8d.* yearly out of those lands which should fall to him by his grandfather Forthe's will at his age of twenty-four years. Item I make my loving wife and John my son executors of this my last will and testament, entreating and charging them that they will provide that all my debts may be truly paid and satisfied out [of] such lands and goods as I shall leave unto them, for performance whereof I do give unto my said son John the lease of the house I dwell in with the lands thereunto belonging and therewith occupied.

Published in the presence of

HENRY WINTHROP,  
SAMUEL GOSTLIN.

## I. 2. Page 3.

I, JOHN WINTHROP of Boston in New England being (through the blessing of the Lord) in good health, yet considering my change approaching and the uncertainty thereof, and desiring (according to the good pleasure of the Lord) so to settle the affairs of my family, as when the Lord shall call me to himself, I may neither be troubled with the care of these outward things, nor for want thereof may leave any occasion of strife or evil report behind me, do in the name and fear of the Lord ordain this my last will and testament, though I can't make it so full and exact as I would in many particulars, in regard of those engagements which now lie upon me, and the uncertainty of my estate in England, yet my intent is that this shall stand for the present to be some direction to my executors, etc., till God may please to give opportunity of altering the same in a more clear way.

First my care is that all my debts and duties be paid, and for that end I give power to my executors to sell the house I dwell in at Boston, and the land beyond Powder-horn hill, and any of my stock and moveables, corn on the ground, my part of the windmill, and interest at the wear at Mistick. And for my dear wife, who hath been a faithful help to me, though I left an estate for her in England, yet being doubtful what may become of that,<sup>1</sup> and having had £.400 of it already, my will is, she should be maintained in a comfortable and honorable condition, according to her place, and as my estate will bear, therefore I give unto her half my farm Tenhills during her life, with the use of such stock as shall be left upon it (my debts, etc., paid).

And for my good son John, who hath always been most loving and dutiful to me, and to my wife, as if she had been his natural mother, and hath cheerfully departed with all his interest both in his mother's inheritance and mine, to a great value, and that without any recompence, I do command him to the Lord in all that the blessing of a father may obtain for an abundant recompence upon him and his; and I do give unto him the other moiety of my farm Tenhills, with the stock thereupon, and after the decease of my wife the whole, to remain to him and his heirs forever.

I give to my son Adam my island called the Governour's Garden, to have to him and his heirs forever; not doubting but he will be dutiful

---

<sup>1</sup> Some foreboding of the evils consequent on the apprehension of civil convulsions is shadowed here, I think; certainly not the utter ruin of his affairs, shown, in the cancelling of the will, to have occurred suddenly.

and loving to his mother, and kind to his brethren in letting them partake in such fruits as grow there. I give him also my Indians there and my boat and such household as is there.

I give to my son Stephen my moiety of the Isle Prudence in Narragansett Bay, which with his part of the reversion of his mother's estate in England will be a good portion, for it will be fit she should dispose some part of it to her other sons, according to our first intention, and I hope they will all rest satisfied at their mother's disposal thereof.

I give to my son Deane and his heirs my land at Pullen Point with the 40 acres of marsh on the other side the hill there; and I must leave him to his mother's care to furnish him with some stock; and if my land beyond Powder-horn hill shall not be sold, etc., then I give it to him and his heirs.

I give to my son Samuel my lot at Concord, which I intend to build upon, if God give life and means, and the half of my farm of 1200 acres upon Concord river, and my 3 oxen in Ephr. Child's keeping.

All the rest of my land undisposed of (there being above 2000 acres still due to me from the country) I give to my son John and his heirs, whom together with my wife I make executors of this my last will and testament, and my will is that all my plate and other [(8) 29, 1639,]<sup>1</sup> household, and books shall be equally divided between them; and my wife to dispose of her part (besides her own jewels and other peculiar things fit for her own use) as herself shall think fit.

I will that John Gager shall have a cow, one of the best I shall have, in recompence of a heifer his father bought of me, and 2 ewe goats and 10 bushels of Indian corn.

[In a later hand.]

My estate becoming since much decayed through the unfaithfulness of my servant Luxford, so as I have been forced to sell some of my land already, and must sell more for satisfaction of £2600 debts, whereof I did not know of more than £300, when I intended this for my testament, I am now forced to revoke it, and must leave all to the most wise and gracious providence of the Lord, who hath promised not to fail nor forsake me, but will be an husband to my wife and a father

---

<sup>1</sup> We can hardly doubt, that was the date of this second will, drawn only a short time previous to the news from England, of the loss of his estate by the means of his servant there. See the opening paragraph of this volume. See also, in Hutch. Coll. 110, an admirable letter of sympathy, 17 Feb. following, from Gov. Winslow.

to our children, as he hath hereto been in all our struggles. Blessed be his holy name.<sup>1</sup>

JO. WINTHROP.

(4) 25, 1641.

K. page 75.

*General Court, 19 October, 1630. Vol. I. p. 62.*

"The names of such as desire to be made freemen."

[Some went home, several died before being admitted, and some were absent at the next court, and took the oath at a later one.]

Mr. Samuel Maverick,	John Johnson,§	Edward Converse,§
Mr. Edward Johnson,§	George Alcocke,§	Mr. Richard Palgrave,§
Mr. Edward Gibbons,§	Mr. Robert Coles,§	John Taylor,§
Mr. William Jeffries,§	Jeuh Burr,§	Richard Church,
Mr. John Burslin,§	Thomas Rawlins,§	Rich. Sylvester,
Mr. Samuel Sharpe,	Rich. Bugby,§	Will. Balstone,§
Mr. Thomas Graves,§	Richard Hutchins,	Robert Abell,§
Mr. Roger Conant,§	Ralph Mushell,§	Thomas Lamb,§
John Woodbury,§	Mr. William Clerke,§	William Frothingham,
Peter Palfry,§	Mr. Abraham Palmer,§	William Chase,
Mr. Nath'l Turner,	John Page,§	[Richard?] Foxwell,§
Mr. Samuel Freeman,	Mr. Robert Feake,§	Mr. Charles Gott,§
Ephraim Child,§	Mr. William Pelham,	Henry Harwood,
John Grinoway,§	Mr. Benj. Brand,	William Bräkenbury,
Christopher Gibson,	Mr. William Blackstone,§	John Drake,
John Benham,§	Mr. Edmond Lockwood,§	John Balche,§
Thomas Williams,§ alias Harris,	Mr. Richard Browne,§	Mr. Samuel Coole,§
Richard Garrett,	John Stickland,§	Mr. William Traske,
John Howman,	Ralph Sprague,§	Henry Wolkott,
John Crabb,	Mr. George Ludlow,	Thomas Stoughton,§
Capt. Walter Norton,§	James Penn,	William Phelps,§
Mr. Alex. Wignall,§	Mr. George Phillips,§	George Dyer,§
Mr. William Jeninison,§	Mr. John Wilson,	John Hoskins,§
Mr. Thomas Southcoate,§	Mr. John Maverick,§	Thomas Ford,§
Mr. Richard Southcoate,	Mr. John Warham,§	Nich. Upsalt,§
James Pemberton,	Mr. Samuel Skelton,§	Stephen Terry,§
Mr. John Dillingham,§	Mr. William Colbron,§	Henry Smyth,§
	Mr. William Aspinwall,	Roger Williams,§ <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> So effectually had he expended his property, as well as time, for the public service, that his Inventory footed up only £103.10.11.

<sup>2</sup> Not the famous founder of Providence, but a Dorchester man. The scholar had not then reached our country, and never took the freeman's oath in Massachusetts.

John Woolridge,	Edmond James,	Henry Herrick,§
Thomas Lumberd,§	John Phillips,	Samuel Hosier,§
Bigot Egglestone,§	Nath. Bowman,	Rich. Myllet,
Mr. Giles Sexton,§	John Doggett,§	Mr. Abraham Pratt,
Robert Seely,§	Laurence Leach,§	William James,
John Mills,	Daniel Abbott,§	William Allen,§
John Cranwell,	Charles Chadwick,§	Samuel Archer.
Mr. Ralph Glover,	William Gallard,§	
William Hulberd,	William Rockwell,§	

[On p. 73, are "the names of such as took the oath of freemen," at the General Court, 18 May, 1631, besides those above marked with a section (§).]

Capt. Daniel Patrick,	John Edmonds,	William Bateman,
Capt. John Underhill,	John Moore,	Daniel Finch,
Mr. Geo. Trockmorton,	Math. Grant,	Mr. John Masters,
Sergeant Morris,	Simon Hoyt,	Roger Mawry,
John Horne,	William Parks,	John Gosse,
Mr. John Oldham,	William Hudson,	John Perkins,
Rich. Sprague,	Walter Palmer,	Francis Smyth,
Francis Johnson,	Jonas Weed,	John Peirce,
Bray Rossiter,	Mr. Edward Tomlyns,	Griffin Crofte,
William Noddle,	Mr. Rich. Saltonstall,	Thomas Moore,
William Agar,	Isaac Sterne,	Ezekiel Richardson,
Nich. Stower,	John Ferman,	Thomas Dexter,
Robert Harding,	Richard Bulgar,	Mr. Edward Jones,
William Woods,	Anthony Dix,§	William Cheesbrough.
Robert Moulton,	John Warren,	Francis Aleworth.
Mr. Edward Belchar,	Davy Johnson,	

On 6 March, 1631-2.

Mr. John Eliot,	Isaac Perry,	John Black,
Jacob Eliot,	Gregory Baxter,	John Mills,§
Abraham Browne,	William Frothingham,§	
James Penniman,	Samuel Moore,	

On 3 April, 1632.

Mr. John Winthrop, jr.	John Sampford,
Mr. William Aspinwall,§	William Hulbert,§

On July 3, 1632.

Mr. Nath. Turner,§	Mr. William Dennison,	John Moore.
John Ruggles,	Mr. Samuel Sharpe,§	
Elias Stileman,	Mr. John Wilson,§	

On August 7, 1632.

John Phillips,§	John Hull,¹
Valentine Prentice,	Samuel Wakeman,

On October 2, 1632. Mr. Samuel Maverick.§

On 6 November, 1632.

Mr. Thomas Weld,	Mr. John Branker,	James Olmstead,
Mr. Thomas James,	Mr. Thomas Beecher,	John Clerke,
Mr. John Willust,	Thomas French,	William Leawis,
Mr. John Coggeshall,	William Goodwin,	Nath. Richards,
Mr. Rich. Dummer,	John Benjamin,	William Wadsworth,
Mr. Thomas Oliver,	John Talcot,	Rich. Webb.

On 4 March, 1632-3.

William Curtis,	Henry Harwood,§	John White,
Thomas Uffot,	William Brackenbury,§	William Spencer,
John Perry,	Eltweed Pummery,	Richard Collocott,
Isaac Morral,	Nich. Denslowe,	John Smith,
William Heath,	Giles Gibbs,	John Kirman,
George Hull,	John Newton,	Timothy Tomlyns.

On 1 April, 1633.

Sergeant Greene,	Rise Coles,	William Dady.
------------------	-------------	---------------

On 11 June, 1633.

William Stilson,	Jespar Rawling,	John Witchfield,
Rich. Millett,§	Thomas Smyth,	Elias Maverick.
Rich. Lyman,	David Wilton,	

On November 5, 1633.

Mr. Israel Stoughton,	Mr. John Moody,	John Watson,
Mr. John Coggins,	John Porter,	John Holgrave.
Mr. William Hill,	Francis Weston,	

On 4 March, 1633-4.

Thomas Grubb,	William Andrews,	Rich. Walker,
Edmond Hubbert,	Thomas Howlett,	George Ruggles,
Edward Hutchinson,	John Gage,	Joshua Hewes,
Mr. Thomas Leverett,	Samuel Wilboare,	Robert Turner,
Mr. Giles Firman,	John Levens,	John Biggs,
Edmond Quinsey,	John Cranwell,§	Thomas Matson,
William Collishaw,	Edward Mellowes,	Walter Merry,
Thomas Minor,	James Brown,	Rich. Tappin,
Mr. Atherton Hough,	Mr. John Woolridge,§	Mr. Nich. Parker.

---

<sup>1</sup> Not the distinguished mintmaster, who was, at this time, a youth.

On 1 April, 1634.

Mr. Daniel Dennison,	Robert Roise,	John Gallop,
George Minot,	John Pemerton,	Rich. Silvester,§
Rich. Gridley,	Bernard Lambert,	William Horseford.
Thomas Reade,	Henry Wolcot,§	
George Hutchinson,	Rich. Hull,	

On 14 May, 1634.

John Haynes, Esq.,	Samuel Finch,	Robert Houlton,
Philip Sherman,	George Williams,	John Odlyn,
Daniel Brewer,	Edward Giles,	Roger Clap,
Thos. Gouldthait,	William Dixy,	Joshua Carter,
Robt. Gamlyn, senr.	George Norton,	Thomas Talmage,
Thomas Hale,	Thomas Eborne,	Richard Fairbanks.
Edward Riggs,	Daniel Wray,	Philip Tabor,
John Walker,	Abraham Mellowes,	Gregory Taylor,
Thomas Wilson,	John Oliver,	John Chapman,
Samuel Bass,	Robert Hale,	Wm. Learned,
Thomas Pigg,	Thomas Cakebread,	Mr. Thomas Hooker,
William Hill,	Thomas Squire,	Mr. Samuel Stone,
Edward Howe,	Bartholomew Greene,	Rich. Wright,
John Steele,	Edm. Stebbins,	Andrew Warner,
George Steele,	Rich. Butler,	Thomas Spencer,
Edwd. Must,	Rich. Goodman,	John Pratt,
John Haward,	Andrew Ward,	Joseph Twitchwell,
Thomas Hatch,	George Whitehand,	Jerad Hadden,
Joseph Reddings,	Anthony Colby,	John Bosworth,
Francis Plummer,	Humphrey Pynney,	Bray Wilkins,
James Rawlyns,	Jacob Barny,	Thomas Lowthrop,
Stephen Hart,	Jeffery Massy,	Richard Brakenbury,
Thomas Fairweather,	William Hedges,	John Hoskins,
Peter Wolfe,	William Chase,§	Wm. Talmidge,
Mr. John Cotton,	Nath. Gillet,	Daniel Howe,
Miles Reddin,	John Eales,	Mr. Wm. Peirce,
Mr. Thomas Mayhew,	Robt. Walker,	Philip Randill,
Thomas Holcombe,	Thos. Dewey,	Thomas Jeffrey,
James Parker,	Walter Filer,	John Haydon,
Edmd. Harte,	William Hathorne,	Stephen French,
Christopher Hussey,	Edwd. Bendall,	John Button,
Rich. Raymond,	Jonathan Wade,	Thomas Coldham,
James Tompson,	Thomas Hubbard,	John Hall,
John Baker,	Mr. Wm. Brenton,	John Capen,
Francis Dent,	Henry Feakes,	

On September 3, 1634.

Benjamin Hubbard,	Edmond Hubbard,	Oliver Mellowes,
-------------------	-----------------	------------------

Jasper Rawlyns,  
Wm. Freeborne,  
Jonathan Negos,  
Henry Pease,  
Edwd. Hutchinson,  
Moses Maverack,  
Henry Short,  
Abraham Finch,  
Martin Underwood,  
John Edy,  
Nathaniel Foote,  
John Pope,  
Robert Reynolls,  
Thomas Thorne-ton,  
Mr. Nicholas Easton,  
John Mousell,  
Wm. Baker,

Robt. Gamlyne,  
John Stowe,  
Wm. Perkins,  
Nicholas Willust,  
Samuel Crumwell,  
John Sibley,  
Mr. John Spencer,  
Philip Fowler,  
Anthony Peirce,  
Samuel Smyth,  
Robt. Abbott,  
Rich. Davenport,  
John Hawkes,  
Robt. Potter,  
Matthias Sension,  
Mr. James Noise,  
Wm. Nash,

Thomas Goble,  
Ralph Hiningway,  
John Cumpton,  
James Everill,  
Alex. Becke,  
Joseph Rednape,  
Hugh Hillyard,  
Robt. Mussey,  
Bryan Pendleton,  
John Bernard,  
John Browne,  
Robt. Coe,  
Mr. Thomas Newbery,  
Ralph Fogg,  
John Hardy,  
Mr. Thomas Parker,  
Joshua Hubbard.

## On March 4, 1634-5.

Capt. John Mason,  
Hugh Mason,  
George Munings,  
John Brandish,  
Samuel Hubbert,  
Edward Dix,  
Thomas Bartlett,  
George Buncar,  
Robt. Blott,  
Rich. Kettle,  
Wm. Johnson,  
Thomas Lynd,  
Mr. Wm. Andrews,  
Wm. Westwood,  
Mathew Allen,  
Guy Bambridge,  
Wm. Pantry,  
Thomas Fisher,  
Thomas Scott,  
John Webster,  
Wm. Bartholomew,

James Davis,  
Thomas Marshall,  
Thomas Wardall,  
Gamaliel Wate,  
Robert Parker,  
Thomas Standley,  
John Hopkins,  
John Bridge,  
Wm. Kelsey,  
John Bernard,  
James Ensign,  
Samuel Greenhill,  
Timothy Stanley,  
Rich. Lord,  
John Prince,  
Thomas Boreman,  
Hugh Sheratt,  
Thomas Dorman,  
John Newgate,  
Rich. Cooke,  
Rich. Hutchinson,

Rich Trusedale,  
Joseph Easton,  
Edward Winship,  
Samuel Greene,  
Joseph Clerke,  
John Wulcott,  
Abraham Newell,  
Rich. Pepper,  
Isaac Johnson,  
Christopher Peakes,  
Thomas Woodford,  
Roger Lankton,  
Joseph Metcalfe,  
Rich. Kent,  
Mr. Wm. Hutchinson,  
Wm. Netherland,  
Francis Hutchinson,  
Edwd. Hitchin,  
John Tylley.

## On 6 May, 1635.

Philemon Portmorte,  
Henry Elkins,  
Christopher Marshall,  
Edmond Jackling,

Mr. Zechariah Symmes,  
John Reynolls,  
John Lethermore,  
John Clerke,

Thomas Hosmer,  
George Stockin,  
Jeremy Adams,  
Samuel Allen,

John Gay,	Wm. Butler,	Jeffery Ferris,
Thomas Marshall,	Nathaniel Ely,	Thomas Hastings,
Robert Andrews,	Joseph Maggott,	John Thompson,
Thomas Gun,	Humfry Bradstreet,	Robert Wincall,
Elias Parkman,	George Strange,	John Arnoll,
Joseph Morse,	Thomas Hoskins,	Robert Day,
Wm. Moody,	Henry Wright,	John Hall,
Rich. Jacob,	Robert Dibell,	Thomas Pyne,
Boniface Burton,	John Blackleach,	Nathaniel Duncan,
Wm. Edmends,	Edward Garfield,	Rich. Kemball,
George Farr,	Christopher Osgood,	Jonathan Jellett,
Edmond Bulckley,	Aaron Cooke,	Henry Fowkes,
Edward Browne,	Robert Bootefish,	Daniel Morse,
Jarrett Bourne,	John Ravensdale,	Rich. Browne,
John Sebley,	Robert Cotty,	Thomas Buckland,
Barnaby Wynes,	Wm. Pell,	George Phelps,
Henry Bright,	Benjamin Gillam,	Robert Driver,
John Batchelor,	Thomas Alcocke,	John Legge,
Thomas Swift,	Thomas Peirce,	Mr. Stephen Batchelor.

## On 2 September, 1635.

Wm. Blumfield,	Wm. Read,
Joseph Hull,	Rich. Adams,
Wm. Smyth,	Rich. Woodward,
Mr. George Burditt,	Mr. Townsend Bishop,
Mr. John Fawn,	Thomas Scruggs,

John Upham,  
Robert Lovell,  
Peter Hubbert,  
Philip Verein.

## On 3 March, 1635-6.

Mr. Clement Chaplain,	Richard Tuttle,	Thomas Rawlyns,
Wm. Moss,	Thomas Loring,	Mr. George Cooke,
Robert Lord,	Thomas Wakely,	Mr. Samuel Shepheard,
Clement Bates,	George Marsh,	Thomas Cheeseholme,
Wm. Norton,	Nicholas Jacob,	Mr. Hugh Peters,
John Otis,	Philemon Dolton,	Mr. Thomas Shepheard,
David Phippin,	Henry Kingman,	Thomas Bridgden,
John Whitney,	Thomas White,	John Kingsbury,
Wm. Dyer,	John Levett,	Roger Harlackenden, Esq.
Joseph Wells,	Mr. Joseph Cooke,	Mr. Nicholas Danforth,
Wm. Walton,	Thomas Marryott,	Wm. French,
John Astwood,	Simon Crosby,	John Russell,
George Ludkin,	Passevil Greene,	Thomas Bloggett,
Nicholas Baker,	Edmond Frost,	Henry Vane, Esq.
Edmond Batter,	Thomas Ewer,	Michael Bastowe.
Wm. Swayne,	Joseph Andrews,	
John Cogswell,	Angel Hollard,	

On 25 May, 1636.

Jasper Gun,	Thomas Bell,	Mr. Samuel Appleton,
Isaac Heath,	Philip Eliot,	Adam Mott,
Wm. Webb,	Edward Woodman,	Thomas Judd,
John Knight,	Richard Knight,	Anthony Mosse,
Robert Long,	Robert Hawkins,	Edward Carington,
Bernard Capon,	Wm. Hammond,	John Saunders,
Mr. Robert Keaine,	Mr. Daniel Maude,	Ralph Hudson,
Thomas Hassard,	James Johnson,	John Davy,
George Bate,	Nathaniel Heaton,	Wm. Bensley,
Wm. Townsend,	Richard Bracket,	Thomas Savage,
Mr. Henry Flint,	Wm. Courser,	James Browne,
Zaccheus Bosworth,	Mathias Ines,	Wm. Wilson,
Wm. Salter,	Anthony Harker,	Edward Goffe,
Rich. Champneys,	Edmond Lewis,	John Stowers,
John Smythe,	John Eaton,	Edmond Sherman,
John Coolidge,	Gregory Stone,	Simon Stone,
George Hepburne,	Wm. King,	Augustine Clement,
Rich. Carder,	John Higginson,	John Mylam,
Thomas Dimmock,	John Lovering,	Wm. Wilcocks,
Edward Bennett,	Thomas Mekyn, junr.	Hugh Gunnison.
Edmond Jackson,	Bernaby Doryfall,	

On 7 December, 1636.

James Bate,	Edward White,	Oliver Purchas,
Edward Clapp,	David Peirce,	John Webb,
John Smythe,	George Aldridge,	Alex. Winchester,
Robert Scott,	Stephen Winthrop,	Wm. Goodhue,
Gilbert Crackborne,	Samuel Whiting,	Thomas Brooke,
Wm. Wilcockson,	Wm. Beadesley,	Alex. Knolls,
Thomas Atkinson,	John Holland,	Walter Nicholas.

On 8 December, 1636.

Mr. Thomas Jenner,	Francis Lightfoot,	Edward Howe,
John Cooper,	John More,	Thomas Beale.

On 9 March, 1636-7.

Edward Ketcham,	Rich. Wayte,	James Heyden,
John Hassell,	Wm. Dinny,	Thomas Carter,
Samuel Warde,	Richard Root,	Robert Hull,
Nicholas Hudson,	Richard Betsham,	Thomas Meakins,
Robert Lockwood,	Thomas Hammond,	Joseph Isaac,
Edward Bates,	John Winchester,	Anthony Eames,
Gerret Spencer,	Wm. Barsham,	Thomas Underwood,
Robert Sedgwick,	Jenkin Davies,	Abraham Shaw,
John Strong,	Thomas Tilestone,	Rich. Beares,

Matthew West,  
Henry Collins,

Thomas Samford,  
Joseph Armitage,

Richard Wade.

On 18 April, 1637.

Thomas Parish,  
Wm. Towne,  
John Ruggles,  
Giles Pason,

Thomas Brigham,  
John Gore,  
Laurence Whitamore,  
George King,

Wm. Cutter,  
Robert Sever,  
John Graves.

On 17 April, 1637.

I know not why the secretary entered the last first.

Christopher Foster,  
Wm. Dodge,  
Edward Dinny,  
Nath. Woodward,  
Thomas Wheeler,

Thomas Browning,  
Francis Smythe,  
Wm. Dineley,  
John Smythe,  
John Lawrence,

Simon Eyre,  
Nath. Porter,  
Francis East,  
Edward Rainsford.

On 17 May, 1637.

Thomas Olney,  
Henry Bartholomew,  
Henry Seawell, junr.  
Nicholas Noise,  
Robert Pike,  
George Hunn,  
Thomas Dible,  
John Syverens,  
Thomas Bircher,  
John Sharman,  
Richard Johnson,  
Thomas Gardner,  
Joseph Grafton,  
Henry Bull,  
Archelaus Woodman,

Thomas Coleman,  
Wm. Sumner,  
Philip Drinker,  
Thomas Wells,  
Edward Porter,  
John Rogers,  
Thomas Parker,  
Joseph Pope,  
Francis Skerry,  
Thomas Smythe,  
James Browne,  
Matthew Chafe,  
George Proctor,  
John Cheney,  
John Perkins,

James Howe,  
Miles Nutt,  
John Hanchet,  
Wm. Bound,  
Edmond Marshall,  
Nicholas Holt,  
John Bartlett,  
George Burden,  
Thomas Millet, .  
John Norton,  
Wm. Lampson,  
Thomas Rogers,  
James Osmer,  
John Gibson.

On 7 September, 1637.

Mr. George Moxam,      Mr. Timothy Dalton.

On 2 November, 1637.

Nathaniel Wales,  
Mr. John Harvard,

Edward Sale,  
Wm. Casely,

Mr. John Fiske.

In March, 1637-8. Day not mentioned.

Thomas Spooner,  
Henry Skerry,  
Michael Spencer,  
Samuel Symonds,  
George Haywood,

Henry Rust,  
Thomas Lincoln,  
Thomas Venner,  
Joseph Bachiler,  
John Pearce,

Mr. Thomas Flint,  
Thomas Fox,  
David Fiske,  
Henry Tuttle,  
James Moulton,

John Symonds,  
Nicholas Busby,  
Rich. Griffin,  
George Hochens,

Wm. Harsey,  
James Haynes,  
John Gedney,  
Ralph Woodward,

John Evart,  
Edward Rawson,  
Wm. Ludkin.

On 2 May, 1638.

Samuel Richardson,  
John Brimsmeade,  
Henry Dow,  
John Tatman,  
Ralph Tomkins,  
Michael Willes,  
Edward Hall,  
Mark Symonds,  
George Taylor,  
Wm Ballard,  
John Browne,  
Robert Cutler,  
Isaac Mixer,  
Nicholas Byram,

Robert Williams,  
Richard Hawes,  
John Sill,  
Mr. Wm. Hubbard,  
Thomas Rawlinson,  
John Gould,  
Wm. Thorne,  
Henry Burdall,  
Thomas Richardson,  
Henry Kemball,  
Samuel Hackburne,  
Humfry Atherton,  
Alex. Miller,  
George Willis,

Rich. Lumkin,  
Thomas Carter,  
Thomas Cobbet,  
Abraham Tappin,  
Edward Johnson,  
Wm. Nickerson,  
Abraham Howe,  
Gabriel Meade,  
Joseph Wilson,  
Thomas Sweetman,  
Wm. Warrener,  
Wm. Knight,  
Daniel Peirce,  
Henry Lunt.

On 9 June, 1638.

Mr. Nathaniel Eaton was made free.

On 6 September, 1638.

The magistrates of Ipswich had order to give Mr. Nath. Rogers the oath of freedom.

On 7 September, 1638.

Thomas Hale,  
Zachary Fitch,  
Rich. Singletary,

Thomas Treadwell,  
Stephen Fosditch,  
George Giddings,

Nicholas Browne.

On 13 March, 1638-9.

Mr. John Allen,  
Mr. Edward Alleyne,  
Mr. Ralph Wheelock,  
Mr. Wm. Tyng,  
John Leuson,  
John Frarye,  
Eleazer Lusher,  
John Hunting,  
Robert Hinsdall,  
Edward Kempe,  
John Dwite,  
Henry Phillips,  
Mr. Joseph Peck,  
Henry Smythe,  
Edward Gilman,

Thomas Cooper,  
John Beale,  
Henry Chamberlin,  
Thomas Clapp,  
John Palmer,  
John Tower,  
Henry Webb,  
James Mattucks,  
John Tuttle,  
Theophilus Wilson,  
John Rogers,  
Edmond Greenliffe,  
Robert Meriam,  
Wm. Eastowe,  
Thomas Jones,

Jeremy Belcher,  
Christopher Batte,  
Thomas Bulkley,  
James Bennett,  
Thomas Moulton,  
Wm. Cockerom,  
Samuel Newman,  
Luke Potter,  
John Whiteman,  
Rich. Swayne,  
Edward Bates,  
Mr. Robert Peck,  
Ephraim Wheeler,  
Wm. Palmer,  
Wm. Wakefield.

On 14 March, 1638-9.

Nicholas Butler,	Mr. Edward Howell,	Thomas Browne,
Rich. Wells,	Thomas Townsend,	Henry Farewell,
Wm. Langley,	Christopher Cayne,	Isaac Cole,
Robert Parsons,	Joseph Meriam,	Wm. Reed,
Godfrey Armitage,	Henry Brooke,	Nicholas Batter,
Hezekiah Usher,	Seth Switzer,	James Boutwell,
Edward Burcham,	Joseph Farnworth,	Wm. Partridge,
Wm. Busse,	Thomas Clarke,	Roger Shaw,
John Miles,	Edward Baker,	Robert Dannell,
John Maudsley,	Henry Gaynes,	George Keezar,
Thomas Dickerman,	Arthur Geree,	George Fowle,
Mr. Thomas Wills,	Joseph Pell,	Roger Draper,
Mr. Edward Holiock,	Thomas Layton,	John Wisewall,
Mr. Rich. Sadler,	Robert Steedman,	Wm. Blake.

Mr. Endecott and Mr. John Winthrop, jr., had order to give Mr. Emanuel Downing the oath of freedom.

On 22 May, 1639.

Mr. Wm. Sergeant,	Hopestill Foster,	John Robert,
Mr. Thomas Hawkins,	John Alderman,	Joseph Jewet,
John Goffe,	John Clarke,	John Skot,
John Mussellwhit,	Jarves Garfoard,	Henry Swan
Thomas Ruggles,	Wm. Osborne,	Stephen Dummer,
Wm. Bowstreete,	James Astwood,	John Osgood,
Thomas Says,	Walter Blackborne,	Hulling,
Richard Hollige,	Nath. Chappell,	Richard Waters,
Benjamin Felton,	John Smythe,	Walter Edmonds,
Edmond Bloise,	Nicholas Guy,	Wm. Adams,
Mathew Boyse,	Mr. Samuel Winsley,	John Spooer,
Edward Bridge,	Thomas Browne,	Joshua Tead,
Thomas Firman,	John Moulton,	Wm. Clarke,
Hugh Laskin,	Francis More,	George Holmes,
Mr. Samuel Freeman,	Thomas Scotto,	Rich. Pecocke,
Thomas Marten,	Griffin Bowen,	Roger Porter,
Stephen Kent,	Giles Firman,	James Buck.
John Rimmington,	Edward Bréck,	
Joseph Shaw,	John Miller,	

On 23 May, 1639.

Mr. Ezekiel Rogers,	Mr. Nath. Rogers,	Mr. Nath. Sparkhawk.
Mr. Thomas Nelson,	Robt. Saunders,	

On 6 June, 1639.

Stephen Paine,	James Garrett.
----------------	----------------

On 6 September, 1639.

Mr. Thomas Ginner,	John Cross,	Thomas Masie,
Lawrence Southick,	Anthony Sadler,	Wm. Lord,
Luke Heard,	Job Swinnerton,	John Ellsley.
Mr. Benj. Keayne,	John Roffe,	

On 7 September, 1639.

Edmond Bridge,	Robert Tuck,
Richard Mellen,	Robert Saunderson.

On 13 of May, 1640.

Mr. Wm. Worcester,	Mr. William Paine,	Wm. Andrews,
Henry Munday,	John Whipple,	John Trumball,
John Saunders,	Mr. Wm. Stephens,	Nathan Aldish,
Thomas Bradberry,	Clement Tapley,	Wm. Bullard,
Thomas Dummer,	John Fairfield,	Daniel Fisher,
Thomas Barker,	John Bachilor,	Edward Passon,
Thomas Mighill,	Robert Elwell,	Edward Bumstead,
Maximi. Jewet,	Thomas Watson,	Simon Rogers,
Francis Parrat,	Mark Formais,	John Norwick,
Richard Swan,	Thomas Waterhouse,	Wm. Carpenter,
John Oliver (Newbr.)	Jeremy Howchenes,	Samuel Butterworth,
Mr. Edward Norris,	Jonas Humphreyes,	Thomas Richards,
Richard Withington,	Thomas Toleman,	Wm. Haward,
Robert Haseldine,	George Weekes,	James Davis,
John Haseldene,	John Farnum,	Edmond Rice,
Francis Lambert,	Rich. Lipincote,	Edmond Goodnoe,
Wm. Scales,	Gawin Anderson,	John Howe,
John Burbank,	John Bowelis,	Francis Lyle,
Wm. Bointon,	John Trumbell,	Peter Oliver,
John Jarrat,	John Chandler,	George Curtis,
Michael Hopkinson,	George Browne,	Stephen Kinseley,
George Kilborne,	John Harding,	Thomas Place,
Edward Woode,	Thomas Bayly,	Wm. Allise,
James Standige,	Robert Titus,	John Stidman,
Mr. Thomas Ruck,	Thomas Arnoll,	Wm. Manning,
Rich. Syckes,	Arthur Clarke,	Michael Medcalfe,
Mr. Thomas Coytemore,	Walter Hayne,	John Bullard,
Mr. Thomas Graves,	John Bent,	Joshua Fisher,
Mr. Francis Willoughby,	John Ruddyk,	Wm. Chanler,
Edward Larkin,	Valentine Hill,	Joseph Wheeler,
Thom. Caule,	John Leveritt,	Michael Wood,
John Penticus,	Samuel Sherman,	Edmond Pitts,
John Martin,	George Rowes,	John Holbroke,
Wm. Fillips,	Gregory Belchar,	Robert Marten,
Abraham Hill,	Edward Spolden,	Henry Greene,

Abraham Perkins,	Ferdinando Adams,	John Wood,
Mr. Edmond Browne,	Henry Smythe,	Arthur Perry,
Thomas White,	Rich. Barboe,	Nath. Williams,
Thomas Islin,	John Hall,	Anthony Stoddard,
Mr. Wm. Hibbens,	Timothy Wheeler,	Mr. Wm. Tompson,
John Hurd,	John Merrill,	Wm. Potter,
John Kenerick,	Francis Smyth,	Thomas Flackman,
Cotton Flack,	Nicholas Fillips,	John Reade,
John Dassette,	Matthew Prat,	Richard Frances,
James Copie,	Wm. Godfree,	Rich. Hogg,
Martin Saunders,	Jeffery Mingy,	Francis Chickering,
Edmond Anger,	Peter Noyse,	John Mose,
Edward Collins,	John Parmenter,	John Scarbrow.

On 7 October, 1640.

Mr. Samuel Dudley,	Robert Hunter,	James Barker.
Henry Sands,	Edmond Gardner,	
Josias Cobbit,	Wm. Stickney,	

On 8 October, 1640.

John Page,	Samuel Morse,	Thomas Weight.
------------	---------------	----------------

On 9 October, 1640.

Robert Ring,	Isaac Buswell.
--------------	----------------

On 12 October, 1640.

Wm. Hudson,	James Oliver,	Thomas Painter,
Mr. Wm. Bellingham,	Mr. Wm. Hooke,	Edward Fletcher.

On 2 June, 1641.

Mr. Henry Dunster,	Rich. Sanford,	Samuel Chapin,
Mr. Richard Russell,	Robert Paine,	Walter Harris,
Mr. John Allen,	John Deane,	John Palmer,
John Maies,	Simon Tompson,	Francis Lawes,
Samuel Bidfield,	George Bullard,	Henry Dawson,
Nicholas Wood,	Rich North,	Augustine Walker,
Robert Holmes,	John Seir,	John Baker,
Wm. Woodberry,	John Stevens,	Edward Browne,
John Robinson,	Mr. Adam Winthrop,	Robert Day,
Thomas Gould,	Francis Eliot,	Henry Chickry,
Robert Fuller,	John Harbert,	Wm. Barnes,
Samuel Corning,	Goulden More,	John Harrison,
Wm. Browne;	Wm. Geares,	John Lowell,
Thomas Davenish,	Thomas Gardner,	Thomas Davies,
Philip Verin,	Thomas Wilder,	Abel Kelly,
Nehemiah Bourne,	Wm. Blanchard,	Thomas Lake,
Robert Cooke,	Jonathan Porter,	Rich. Cutter,

Philemon Dickinson,  
 Thomas Marston,  
 Rich. Robinson,  
 Bozoun Allen,  
 Rich. Pattergell,  
 Christo. Stanley,  
 Ellis Barron,  
 Rich. Parker,  
 Robert Bridges,  
 Wm. Tiff;  
 Henry Archer,  
 Michael Katherick,  
 Daniel Warner,  
 Andrew Hodges,  
 Michael Powell,  
 John Emery,  
 Samuel Plummer,  
 Moses Payne,  
 Daniel Weld,  
 Jacob Wilson,  
 Andrew Pitcher,  
 John Fessenden,  
 Esdras Read,  
 Rich. Bartelmew,

John Marston,  
 Miles Ward,  
 John Goodnow,  
 John Harrison,  
 Wm. Parker,  
 Edward Tyng,  
 John Baker,  
 Wm. Brisco,  
 Charles Glover,  
 John Jackson,  
 John Knowlton,  
 Jacob Leager,  
 Joseph Kingsbury,  
 John Roaper,  
 Benjamin Smyth,  
 Henry Wilson,  
 Abel Parr,  
 Josias Firman,  
 Benjamin Turney,  
 John Viall,  
 Arthur Gill,  
 George Wheeler,  
 Nath. Coalborne,  
 Austin Kilham,

Samuel Bullen,  
 Benjamin Ward,  
 Wm. Cop,  
 Rich. Rice,  
 Thomas Buttolph,  
 Thomas Clipton,  
 Obediah Wheeler,  
 John Ellis,  
 Thomas Payne,  
 Wm. Fuller,  
 Wm. Hunt,  
 Nath. Halsted,  
 James Blood,  
 Francis Dowse,  
 George Merriam,  
 Francis Bloyce,  
 Edward Richards,  
 Timothy Dwight,  
 Evan Thomas,  
 Wm. Bateman,  
 Nath. Billing,  
 Thomas Clarke,  
 John Sweete,  
 John Heald.

On 4 June, 1641  
 Thomas Marshall.

On 7 October, 1641.  
 Mr. Richard Blindman, Thomas Wheeler.

On 18 May, 1642.

Mr. Francis Norton,  
 Robert Button,  
 Thomas Putman,  
 James Fiske,  
 Elias Stileman,  
 John Bulfinch,  
 Robert Bradford,  
 John Ingoldsbey,  
 Daniel Briscow,  
 Rich. Taylor,  
 Thomas Oakes,  
 John Coggan, junr.  
 John Hill,  
 Rich. Taylor,

Hugh Chaplin,  
 John Greene,  
 Wm. Ripley,  
 John Stodder,  
 Rich. Baker,  
 Thomas Bliss,  
 John Cooper,  
 Thomas Bateman,  
 John Stevens,  
 Wm. Berry,  
 Peter Woodward,  
 Robert Page,  
 Henry Ambrose,  
 John Withman,

Benjamin Vermaes,  
 John Cooke,  
 George Byam,  
 John Tomkins, senr.  
 John Tomkins, junr.  
 Joseph Boyse,  
 Hugh Williams,  
 Robert Howen,  
 John Search,  
 Philip Taylor,  
 Edward Gooding,  
 John Clough,  
 Richard Wody,  
 Edward Carleton,

Rich. Lowder,	Sampson Shore,	John Guttering,
Isaac Comins,	John Witherell,	Thomas Foster,
Matthew Hawkes,	John Mathis,	Rich. Knight,
Wm. Robinson,	Humphrey Reyner,	Edward Oakes,
Robert Pond,	John Burrage,	Wm. Torrey,
Benjamin Albee,	Allen Pearley,	Samuel Thatcher,
Wm. Dickson,	Hugh Pritchard,	Wm. Lewis,
Wm. Alline,	Robert Peirce,	Hugh Smith,
Wm. Stevens,	John Rigbey,	Solomon Phips,
Samuel Guile,	Roger Bancroft,	Thomas Thaxter,
John Brock,	Moses Wheat,	Thomas Lincoln,
Francis Peabody,	Thomas Wheller,	Thomas Davenport,
Walter Roper,	Anthony Somersbey,	George Right,
Gawdy James,	Abel Hews,	Rich. Eckels,
Thomas Antrum,	Nathaniel Whiting,	Robert Edwards,
Phineas Fiske,	Isaac Perkins,	Wm. Hartwell,
Rich. Bishop,	Henry Kibbey,	Henry Somersbey,
Ananias Conkling,	John March,	John Swett,
Samuel Grimes,	Michael Shafin,	Michael Metcalfe,
Rich. Crichley,	Wm. Fiske,	Thomas Worde,
Thomas Snow,	Allen Kenniston,	David Zullesah.
John Baker,	John Neale,	
John Bulkeley,	Theodore Atkinson,	

On 19 May, 1642.

John Sadler,	Walter Tybbot,	Wm. Hilton.
Wm. Walderne,	Obediah Bruein,	

On 22 June, 1642.

Henry Palmer,	Joseph Peaseley,	Rich. Pid,
Wm. White,	Thomas Dowe,	Wm. Titcombe.

On 14 September, 1642.

Thomas Het.

On 21 September, 1642.

Wm. English.

On 27 December, 1642. At Salem.

Walter Price,	Robert Gutch,	Thomas Treasler,
Robert Looman,*	Thomas More,	Rich. Prence,
Hugh Cawkin,	George Gardner,	Wm. Robinson.

On 28 February, 1642-3.

Thomas Edwards,	John Kitchin,	Henry Harwood.
-----------------	---------------	----------------

And at Salem same day.

Rich More, Thomas Avery,  
Hugh Stacey, Edward Beachamp.

On 10 May, 1643.

Mr. Thomas Wallis,	Jeffrey Turner,	Miles Tarne,
Gideon Lister,	William Turner,	Rich. Hildrick,
John Parmenter,	Rich. Evans,	Andrew Stephenson,
Christo. Smyth,	Nath. Howard,	John Wright,
John Jackson,	Francis James,	John Hollister,
Thomas Beard,	John Woode,	Nath. Herman,
Nich. White,*	Rich. Rawlen,	Wm. Phese,
Wm. Ware,	Wm. Blanton,	John Ward,
Henry Woodworth,	Robert Pepper,	Henry Looker,
John Mansfield,	Thomas Danforth,	John Thurston,
Wm. Vincen;	John Tydd,	John Knights,
George Barrell,	Nicholas White,	John Pratt,
Isaac Colimer,	Peter Bracket,	James Prest,*
James Morgan,	John Whetley,	Laurence Smyth,
Daniel Stone;	Isaac Wheeler,	John Gurnell,
Henry Simonds,	Robert Dants,	Robert Williams,
Edward Winn;	John Newton,	Wm. Fletcher,
John Albye;	John Plunton,	Robert Mader,
John Hastings,	George Parkhurst,	John Sanderbank,
Thomas Adams,	John Hollister,*	Nath. Norcross,
John Scot,	Roger Billings,	Edward Shepard,
Thomas Goodnow,	Wm. Trescott,	Wm. Manning,
Wm. Ward,	Rich. Way,	Benj. Butterfield,
John Guile,	Robert Proctor,	James Prest,
Nathan Fiske,	Henry Bridgham,	Sam. Adams,
John Arnol,	Strong Furnell,	John Shephard.

On 29 May, 1644.

Capt. Daniel Gookins,	Philip Torry,	Edward Wilder,
Wm. Bachilor,	Thomas Chamberlin,	John Gay,
Thomas Marshall,	John Carter,	Baptize Smeedley,
George Spear,	Faithful Rouse,	Rich. Woody,
Robert Gowing,	Wm. Smith,	John Russell,
Rich. Haule,	Roger Toule,	James Parker,
Thomas Dyer,	Simon Bird,	Robert Leach,
Jasper Rush,	John Lake,	Wm. Greene,
Thos. Fox,	Nicholas Boulton,	Edward Witheridge,

\* Three names, Nicholas White, John Hollister, and James Prest are, probably, careless repetitions in the original record.

Henry Powning,  
Thomas Trott,  
Henry Cunlith,  
Joseph Phippen,  
Rich. Goard,  
Stephen Streete,  
Edmund Shefield,

Allen Converse,  
Faintnot Wines,  
Robert Field,  
Timothy Prout,  
Thomas Webster,  
John French,  
Nath. Patridge,

John Blake,  
John Smedley,  
John Maynard,  
James Jones,  
Lambert Sutton.

## In May, 1645.

Herbert Pelham,  
Abr. Hackburne,  
George Davies,  
Thos. Line,  
Thos. Holbrook,  
Samuel Stow,  
Hugh Griffin,  
Jeremy More,  
James Umphryea,  
Samuel Davies,  
Thos. Barrill,  
Lambert Genery,  
Ralph Day,  
Henry Firnam,  
Edward Devotion,  
Joseph Underwood,  
Wm. Wenbane,  
Joseph Hill,  
Samuel Fellows,  
Rich. Newberry,  
Anth. Fisher,  
George Allen,  
Edward Jackson,  
John Langford,  
Peter Aspinwall,  
Rich. Black,  
Rich. Bullock,

John Morley,  
John Gay,  
Mich. Medcalfe,  
Thos. Roberts,  
Henry Chamberlin,  
Henry Evans,  
John Bird,  
Matthew Smith,  
George Halsall,  
Nath. Bishop,  
Thos. Richards,  
Wm. Davies,  
Nich. Wise,  
Rich. Newton,  
Edward Wyatt,  
James Nash,  
Abr. Harding,  
Henry Black,  
Sam. Miles,  
Sam. Sendall,  
Robert Jenison,  
Vincent Ruth,  
John Fownell,  
Harman Atwood,  
Abr. Hawkins,  
Abr. Parker,  
John Stimson,

Wm. Pardon,  
John Jones, stud.  
John Watson,  
John Toll,  
Rich. Leeds,  
Benj. Thwing,  
Christopher Webb,  
Edward Gilman,  
John Daming,  
Wm. Hely,  
John Warren,  
Thos Barnes,  
Sam. Bright,  
Nath. Greene,  
Francis Grissell,  
Robert Long,  
Henry Aldridge,  
John Rydeat,  
Thos. Reeves,  
Wm. Patten,  
Wm. Parsons,  
Nich. Chelett,  
Elijah Corlet,  
Thos. Thacher,  
George Dowdy.

## On 6 May, 1646.

Matthew Day,  
Francis Heman,  
Thos. Buckmaster,  
Benj. Crispe,  
Joel Jenkins,  
John Wincoll,  
Richard Everard,  
Anthony Fisher,  
John Lewes,

John Gingin,  
Alex. Baker,  
Wm. Pary,  
Henry Thorpe,  
Wm. Douglas,  
Joshua Kent,  
Thos. Jones,  
Nath. Hadlock,  
John Haynes,

Thomas Collier,  
Wm. Dawes,  
George Woodward,  
Peter Place,  
Robert Onion,  
Isaac Walker,  
John Hill,  
John Looker,  
Thos. Gardner,

Henry Modsley,  
Chas. Stearns,

John Collins,  
Andrew Dewing.

On 26 May, 1647.

Ro. Chaulkly,  
Manus Sally,  
John Wayte,  
Mr. John Wilson, junr.  
Thos. Tayer,  
Moses Payne,  
John Harris,  
Daniel Kemster,  
Barth. Chever,  
George Munjoy,  
Ro. Wares,  
Henry Wight,  
Thos. Dunn,  
Thos. Paget,  
James Greene,  
James Pike,  
Law. Dowse,  
Wm. Harvy,  
John Niles,

David Fiske,  
Thomas Boyden,  
Jonah Clooke,  
John Miriam,  
Rich. Hassall,  
Thos Jorden,  
James Allen,  
Thos. Foster,  
George Davies,  
Thos. Carter, junr.  
Richard Harrington,  
Wm. Bridges,  
Wm. Kerley,  
John Stebbin,  
David Stone,  
Mr. Samuel Danford,  
Thos. Huit,  
Francis Kendall,  
Wm. Buttrick,

John Metcalfe,  
Nath. Adams,  
Thomas Pratt,  
John Peirson,  
Mighil Smith,  
Samuel Carter,  
Edward White,  
Richard Newton,  
John Whitney, junr.  
Philip Cooke,  
Wm. Ames,  
John Smith,  
Wm. Cotton,  
George Barber,  
John Baker,  
Wm. Holbrook,  
Robert Rendell.

The court in October authorized Mr. Pincheon "to make freemen in the town of Springfield, of those that are in covenant and live according to their profession." A few pages after is recorded "made free at Springfield, 13 April, 1648."

John Pynchon,  
Samuel Wright,

Elizur Hollioak,  
Wm. Branch,

Henry Burt,  
Roger Pritchard.

On 10 May, 1648.

Mr. Edward Denison,  
Thomas Hartshorn,  
George Denison,  
Thomas Kendall,  
Thomas Osburn,  
Wm. Hooper,  
Benj. Negus,  
Edward Tayler,  
Rich. Holbrook,

Samuel Bass,  
Henry Rice,  
John Staple,  
Simon Tomson,  
Wm. Daniel,  
John Chickley,  
Mr. Samuel Danforth,  
Benj. Negus,  
Barth. Porsyne,

Rich. Hardier,  
James Pemberton,  
Mr. Samuel Mather,  
Henry Allen,  
Wm. Needam,  
Philemon Whale,  
Alex. Adams,  
John Peeree.

Those marked with a section (§) had applied for admission in 1630.

I must earnestly beg the reader not to make me responsible for any omissions, repetitions, or other errors of the records. Several gentlemen, who *desired* admission, have left no proof of the ~~ful~~filment of their request. Perhaps they were

stockholders in England of the company, and therefore their oaths were not required. At least we are confident of the employment in most responsible offices of some, to whom we have not evidence that the oath of fidelity was ever administered.

---

L. Page 75.

*Colony Records, II. p. 197-199.*

These presents witnesseth I Martha Coytemore of Charles towne widow executrix unto my late husband Thom: Coytemore deceased, in and for the more sure accomplishment of his said last will and testament bearing date the 25th of the 6th mo. 1642 by which he did bequeath and give his whole estate unto myself and child his sonne Thom: Coytemore, as by the said will doth appeare, which estate did amount unto the sume of twelve hundred sixty-six pounds nyne shillings seaven pence, as by the inventory thereof doth appear, and now being purposed, by the providence and permission of God, to enter marriage, (with) John Winthrop, Esq., govr. of the Massachusetts, in tender respect also to my said child and other considerations mee thereunto moveing with the advice and consent of my beloved brother Mr. Increase Nowell, one of the overseers of the said will, as also by the direction and with the good liking of the said John Winthropp have agreed and do hereby covenant graunt and agree, that all and every the particulers hereafter mentioned shall henceforth be, and be accounted the proper estate of my said child as his halfe part of the estate come to my hands, by vertue of the said last will and testament and also to ordaine and appoint my beloved brethren Mr. Increase Nowell, Mr. William Ting, Mr. Joseph Hill, and Wm. Stitson, as feoffees in trust and trustees in the behalf of my s'd child, into whose hands and power to the onely use and behoof of my s'd child, I do hereby estate and intrust all the particulers hereafter mentioned, as aforesaid, as well lands, houses, mills, and other goods, in nothing to be alienated, allesseed, or diminished by me or any other person or persons whatsoever, without the order and consent of the s'd feoffees or the major part of them, or the survivors of them under their hands in writing, and that to the benefit of the s'd child, which s'd division I also agree to, and desire it may be ratified by the next general corte and confirmed, and the s'd feoffees authorized to do, execute, provide, and perorme on the behalfe of my s'd child in all things according to the true intent and meaning of this present agreement, and according to the last will and testament

afores'd; provided, nevertheless, that all the revenue of the s'd housing, lands, mills, and cattle, as also so much of the other estate hereafter mention'd, as shall be put into land or other improvement for annual advantage, or incombe shalbe and remaine to my owne use and benefit, and for education of my s'd child, until he shall come the age of discretion; and further, till he shall accomplish the age of twenty-one yeaeres, unless he shall before (being capable thereof) make choyce of some other guardian, till he shall attaine his full age afores'd: provided, also, that if it so come to passe, that any part of the s'd estate shalbe disposed unto my mother Mrs. Catherine Coytemore as is provided in the s'd will, then the feoffees shall pay out of the estate of my s'd child in proportion, as is provided in the s'd will notwithstanding the agreement and division hereby made and express'd. In witness whereof I have hereunto put my hand and seale the 20th of the 10th mo. 1647.

1 new feather bed, boulster, and pillow,	(—)	4 00 00
2 blankets, 1 green rug, and coverlet,	(—)	1 18 00
1 chest of drawers,	(—)	2 00 00
1 trunk and 2 Holland skirts,	(—)	1 02 00
9 towels, 5s. 3 1-4 duss napkins, 32 1-2s.		1 17 06
1 quilt, red and blew, etc.	(—)	1 06 00
54 3-4 plate, at 4 1-2s. per dwt. or (oz.)	( )	12 06 04
1 silver girdle and silke jacket,		1 00 00
1 trunle 4s. an iron pot and hooks 8s.		0 12 00
		<hr/>
		26 01 10
 The other part,		26 01 10
500 acres of land at Woburn,		50 00 00
The house, garden, etc.		120 06 00
1-2 the further mil,		100 00 00
5 cowe commons,		10 00 00
23 hay lots,		41 00 00
85 acres of land by mil,		63 10 00
A warming pan and copper frying pan, }		110 00 00
4 cows 20l. new mill,		
Half Colo. Rainsborough debt,		75 13 00
A copper furnace,		1 10 00
130 acres land at eelpond,		22 00 00
		<hr/>
		L.620 00 10

The corte consented that these indentures sh'd be recorded and kept among the private records of this courte.

This indenture made the 20th of the 10th mo. 1647, between John Winthrope of Boston in New England, Esq., and Martha Coytemore the relict of Thom: Coytemore, late of Charles towne in New England afores'd, on the one party, and Increase Nowell of Charlestown afores'd, gent. William Ting of Boston afores'd, marchant, Joseph Hills and Wm. Stitson of Charlestowne also on the other party witnesseth, that whereas by the good providence of God there is a marriage intended shortly between the s'd John Winthropp and Martha Coytemore; and whereas the s'd John having disposed of his estate among his children, and such persons as he was engaged unto, so as he hath not to endowe the s'd Martha, and therefore out of the love he beares to her is careful to have her owne estate so secured to her as that by the blessing of the Lord it may be preserved and remaine to her and her children, after the death of the s'd John Winthropp: It is hereby covenanted, granted, concluded, and agreed, by and between the s'd parties, in manner and forme following, videl: that the s'd John and Martha presently upon their intermarriage and during the coverture shall and will stand seized and possess'd of all such houses, mills, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, goods, and chattels, whatsoever being the proper estate of the s'd Martha, by and according to the last will and testament of her s'd late husband, and particularly mention'd and express'd in a certain sce-dule hereunto annex'd to the use of s'd Increase Nowell, Wm. Ting, Joseph Hill, and Wm. Stitson, and of the survivors or surviver of them, for the intent and purposes in this present indenture express'd and to no other use, intent or purpose, viz: that they the s'd John Winthropp and Martha shall and may receive and take all the rents, incomes, profits, and benefits of the same during the coverture, without rendring any account—or of any part thereof, and if the said Martha shall have any issue of her body begotten by the s'd John Winthropp, or shall depart this natural life during the [by use destroyed]—it shall be lawfull for the said Martha, by her last will and testamt. in writing to bequeath and dispose of all or any part of her s'd estate both reall and personall to and among her children or otherwise according to her will and best discretion, but neither the s'd John, nor Martha, nor both of them, nor any other person shall have any interest or power in the s'd estate, or any part thereof or in any of the rent, revenue, profit, or benefit thereof, other then is in this present indenture express'd and intended; except upon such reasonable and fit occasion, as the s'd trustees or the major part of them, or the survivors of them shall under their hands in writing given consent unto, or by the allowance and authority of the general corte, and it is the humble request of all the s'd parties,

that this present indenture, and every part thereof may be confirmed by the authority of the s'd general corte and entered among the private records of the same. Witness whereof the parties abovenamed to these presents interchangably have put their hands and seals the day and yeare above written.

A particular of the goods and chattels apportion'd to Mrs. Martha Coytemore—*In primis.*

A parcell of books 7. 8. 8d. a feather bed and boulster 3l. together,	10 08 08
A bed steed trundle bed with roapes and mats,	1 10 00
2 pr. of striped curtens, windor curtens and valance, and green rug,	2 10 00
1 feather bed, boulster, flock boulster pillow, blankets, red rug and trundle bed,	1 15 00
A pr. brass hollow andirons, fire shovell tongs and creep- ers,	1 15 00
A Ciprus chest, 2l. 10s. 7 pr. Holland sheets, 10l.	12 10 00
3 diaper table cloathes 3 1-2 duss. napkins, 2 col'd clothes, and a damask napkin,	7 10 00
4 pr. Holland pillow bears, 3 col'd cloths, 1 duss. napkins, 2 towels,	3 05 00
7 pr. course sheets, 3l. 9 towels, 5s. 17 table clothes, 2l.	5 05 00
3 1-4 dussen napkins, 1.12.6d. 2 pr. sheets and 1 pr. pil- low beers 1l. 6s.	2 18 06
1 pr. striped silke curtens and valence, 5 windo curtens, 2 windo cloths, 1 col'd cloth and chimney do.	5 00 00
1 green cloth carpet, 1 col'rd do. 1 chimney do. and a little table cloth,	3 10 00
1 silk red and green quilt, 2, 10s. a little turkey carpet 1l. 6s.	3 16 00
A suite of red tabie 3l. 54 3-4 oz. of plate at 4s. 6d, being half,— whole 12.6.4,	15 06 04
A parcel of cheney plates and saucers 1l. 1 trunk, 2 flas- kets, 4 cases, 12s.	1 12 00
A meridian compas and another compas 12s. a pr. scales 5s. a case knives, a screw for almonds, 17s.	1 14 00
An ould coverlet tent and blanket 14s. 26 1-2 lbs. powder at 20d. pr. lb. 2.4.2,	2 18 02
2 brass skellets 2 spits, 1 jack, 1 stew pan, 2l. 6, halfe the farther mill 100l. and purtenances,	102 06 00

Land beside all apportion'd to ye child 12l. 10s. a tapes- try coverlet 11. 6s.	13 16 00
12 leather chayres 11. 10s. 2 ould coverlets 5s. 6 blan- kets 36s. 1 pr. andirons 1,	4 11 00
1 bed boulster, 2 pillows, 2 pr. pillow beers, 1 sealeskin trunk,	4 10 00
1 wicker chaire 8s. 11 quishions 18s. a hamacho 20s.	2 01 00
2 pr. stiffe breeches, 1 coate, 1 jacket, 30s. a spruce chest 10s. andirons and creepers,	2 05 00
135 lbs. of pewter at 12d. pr. lb. 6l. 15s. a parcel tin ware, 10s. a smothing iron, pestle and mortar,	7 10 00
3 iron pots, 1 [unknown] and 2 kettles 2l. 5s. 1 pr. and- irons, 2 iron dripping pans, 1 pr. pot hooks. 2 iron bars 1l. ould iron and a fowling peece 2l. 15, a cop- per kettle,	7 10 00
A striped carpet 6s. a clock 1l. in ould lumber 5l.	6. 06 00
4 cowes 20l. an eighth of the new mill 90l.	110 00 00
Divers small things above the mill, above	6 09 00
Major Gibons 140l. Colonel Rainsborow and his brother,	215 14 00
Other debts, things sould and things not herein mention'd particularly,	54 10 00
<hr/> Total L.620 10 08	

M. Page 245.

*To the Honored General Court.*

The oath I took this yeare att my enterance upon the place of assistante was to this effect: That I would truly endeavor the advancement of the gospell and the good of the people of this plantation, (to the best of my skill,) dispensing justice equally and impartially (according to the laws of God and this land) in all cases wherein I act by virtue of my place. I conceive myselfe called by virtue of my place to act (according to this oath) in the case concerning the Negers taken by captain Smith and Mr. Keser; wherein it is apparent, that Mr. Keser upon a sabbath day gave chace to certaine Negers; and upon the same day tooke divers of them; and at another time killed others; and burned one of their townes. Omitting several misdemeinors which accompanied these acts above-mentioned, I conceive the acts themselves

to bee directly contrary to these following laws (all which are capitall by the word of God; and 2 of them by the lawes of this jurisdiction).

The act (or acts) of murder (whether by force or fraude) are expressly contrary both to the law of God, and the law of this country.

The act of stealing Negers, or of taking them by force, (whether it be considered as theft, or robbery) is (as I conceive) expressly contrary both to the law of God, and the law of this country.

The act of chacing the Negers (as aforesayde) upon the sabbath day (being a servile work and such as cannot be considered under any other heade) is expressly capitall by the law of God.

These acts and outrages beeing committed where there was noe civil government which might eall them to accompt, and the persons by whome they were committed beeing of our jurisdiction, I conceive this court to be the Ministers of God in this case; and therefore my humble request is that the severall offenders may be imprisoned by the order of this court, and brought unto their deserved censure in convenient time; and this I humbly crave, that soe the sinn they have committed may be upon their owne heads, and not upon ourselves (as otherwise it will).

Yrs in all christean observance,  
RICHARD SALTONSTALL.

The house of deputs thinke meete that this peticon shall be graunted, and desire our honored magists. concurrence heerein.

EDWARD RAWSON.

---

N. Page 246.

SIR,

I with your son were at Uncas fort where I dressed seventeen men and left plasters to dresse seventeen more who were wounded in Uncas brother's wigwam before we came. Two captains and one common soldier were buried, and since we came thence two Captains and one common man more are dead also, most of which were wounded with bullets. Uncas and his brother told me, the Narragansetts had thirty guns which won them the day, else [they] would not care a rush for them. They drew Uncas forces out by a wile, of forty appearing only, but one thousand in ambush, who pursued Uncas men into their own land, where the battle was fought vario marte, till God put fresh spirit into

the Moheagues, and so drove the Narragansets back again. "Twould pity your hearts to see them lie like so many new circumcised Sechemites in their blood. Sir, whatever information you have, I dare boldly say, the Narragansets first brake the contract they made with English last year, for I helped to cure one Tantiqueson, a Moheague captain, who first fingered Miantonomio. Some cunning squaws of Narraganset led two of them to Tantiqueson's wigwam, where in the night they struck him on the breast through the coat with an hatchet, and had he not fenced it with his arm, no hope could be had of his life. Uncus hath been shy to meddle, but still enquires of us what to do, though daily provoked with death of this and that other man, till lately four of Uncus men went into Narraganset and slew two of some other parts. Sir, if the Bay (for all the association which seems *nomen not res*) will not help Uncas against these proud Narragansets, we must do it of necessity to preserve our own life, for we know the number of the Narragansets and their thoughts towards us, and their slighting us, as you, behind your back. They have drawn newly a party from Long Island and labor to engage all the rest to their side, and have labored to withdraw Uncus his Pequits from him by tender of wampom. (Haud ignota loquor.) The Lord pardon our neglect of Uncus, and charge not the blood on our faces which our foreslowings had shed ; and create more unanimous proceedings twixt Bay and us, else Old England's divisions will soon be with us and end sadly. My zeal to all the Englishes welfare in these parts makes me thus bold. If I err, tis error amoris. But if we be not all of that heap which we find in Josh. 3. tot. I fear we shall be in flames ere we are aware. Expedition and unanimity must never sunder, if any great work follow on here. Excuse my plainness. Sir, I am

Totus tuus

THO. PETERS.

*To the worshipful his much honored friend*  
 JOHN WINTHROP, Esquire, at his house,  
*in Boston, these be presented.*

[This letter was written about the spring of 1645. See Haz. II. 48.]

O. Page 259.

In the case between ourselves of this jurisdiction and the French our neighbors, it is granted on all hands :

1st. That Monsieur Delatore (as also Monsieur Dony) living to the eastward of us, each of them in a strong sufficient fort, have from time to time traded great store of pieces, powder and shot to all sorts of Indians (far and near our plantations) enabling them thereby to put in execution any treacherous attempt upon our towns and habitations, which we cannot free from their invasion (as is not unknown to the French).

2dly. That Monsieur Delatore was a papist, when he first came amongst us (attended with friars, papists, and other such like persons).

3dly. That Delatore craving of our then Governor the aid of men and ships against Monsieur Dony, the Governor gave allowance for the hiring of several ships, and beating up of drums for volunteers, using arguments in writing to persuade some who were unwilling to engage themselves in this design, doubting the lawfulness thereof.

4thly. In this expedition, admitting so many deep and doubtful consequences, there was no consultation with the General Court, nor with the Council of the Commonwealth, who could not orderly assemble but by warrant from the Governour, and therefore could not interpose, as otherwise they would have done.

5thly. The true state of the case between Latore and Dony was unknown to us, for we may not rest upon the information of a party in the absence of his opposite, and (in this particular) we had nothing else to lead us. We were then ignorant (as we are at this present) which of the two aforesaid might be first or most in fault.

6thly. The case between Latore and Dony did not concern us, (themselves being papists, and subjects of the King of France,) nor were we bound by any rule of scripture to aid Latore, (as the case then stood,) for we did not know that he was in danger of Monsieur Dony, (as himself then pretended,) nor had we any reason to rely upon his own report, especially in so great a case, considering his religion, as also that he had a very able warlike ship, well furnished, and at his own command, beside other vessels and frigates at his fort or elsewhere, which forces (being compared with Monsieur Dony's at that time) might have made it a just question, whether Dony had not more cause to stand in fear of him, than he had of Dony. We had no reason to conceive ourselves bound to act in this case, (as the Samaritan in the Gospel,) for we could not duly and rationally conclude Monsieur Delatore to be as that man who fell among thieves; in which case two things were evident, namely, the distress of the party, and the integrity of his cause, both which, as they then concerned Latore, were very dark and doubtful. But, on the contrary, the scripture speaking against Jehosaphat's

confederacy with Ahaz an idolater, speaks expressly to the case in hand, and that with some advantage in such respects as might be mentioned, and are apparent in the text unto such as are considerate.

7thly. Our men and ships hired (as aforesaid) being upon the expedition, and not far from Dony's fort, he sent respectively to Captain Hawkins, signifying the many wrongs and injuries that he had sustained by Delatore; notwithstanding which letter and the declaration therein contained, our men, being landed killed some of Dony's men, burnt his mill, killed his cattle, great and small, as many as they met with, took his pinnace loaden with beaver and other peltry, in the taking of which pinnace they sorely wounded one of his men, and that without cause, as is confessed by some who were then and there present. This beaver and peltry being brought to Boston was sold by an outcry and divided among the soldiers.

8thly. Our men and ships (as may appear by sufficient proof) might have brought Latore in safety to his fort (which was and is pretended to have been their only aim) without any opposition from or act of hostility against Monsieur Dony.

9thly. Our men upon their return were very ready to own and ascribe unto themselves the killing of Dony's men, reporting they had killed nine, eleven, or more; which argues they transgressed no commands or direction given to the contrary by such as did especially persuade and prevail with them to undertake the service. It much concerned those, by whom the General Court and Council of the Commonwealth were restrained from acting in this case, to have taken good caution for preventing of such wicked and mischievous effects; and if that were done, it is meet it should appear for their own indemnity.

The Lord hath seemed very much to threaten us in many passages pointing at this case, all which (as we conceive) do call us to account for our slowness and backwardness in searching out the same; which (being of so great concernment unto all our confederates) we commend it to the consideration of this honorable Court of Commissioners, desiring their advice and helpfulness for our further proceeding, to the end that guilt (where any is) may be removed, all offences may be cleared, and such demands as have been made by Dony may be both speedily and justly satisfied, that the name of God and our profession may no longer be blasphemed.

RICHARD SALTONSTALL,  
WM. HATHORNE.

[The whole is Saltonstall's writing, except H.'s signature, and is endorsed by Gov. Winthrop "delivered to the Commissioners of the Uni-

ted Colonies, 16 (6) 1645." The proceedings of the Commissioners of the United Colonies upon the foregoing which was prepared under authority of the General Court of Massachusetts, may be seen in Haz. II. 50, where they fill nearly four pages.]

---

## P. Page 326.

*Springfield this 5 of the 5 mo. 1648.*

SIR,

I received a letter from you with the hands of four magistrates more to it, to assist two Indians of Quabaug with men, etc., for the apprehending of three murtherers at Naucotak, which is about fifteen miles from our town up the river.

These Indians of Quabaug have dealt subtilly in getting Cutsham-quin to get Mr. Eliot to be their mediator to you for the help. The principal argument which Mr. Eliot doth use to move you is, that the murthered are your subjects, and thereupon the warrant from the court runs, that the said Indians may charge either Indians or English to assist them to apprehend them at Naucotak, 1, because the murthered are your subjects, and 2dly, because the murtherers are within your jurisdiction.

But if things be well examined, I apprehend that neither the murthered are your subjects, nor yet the murtherers within your jurisdiction.

I grant they are all within the line of the patent, but yet you cannot say, that therefore they are your subjects, nor yet within your jurisdiction, until they have fully subjected themselves to your government (which I know they have not) and until you have bought their land; until this be done, they must be esteemed as an independent free people, and so they of Naucotak do all account themselves. I doubt lest when ours go with strength of men to disturb their peace at Naucotak, they will take it for no other than a hostile action; witness their deadly feud which they have and do bear to the Monaheganicks ever since they took Sowoquasse from them the last year, which I doubt will be the ground of a further dangerous war, for I hear that Pacoutick will pursue the quarrell and join with the Indians of the Dutch river against them. But the Naxicauset must begin the war, and as I hear either yesterday or this day is like to be the day of fight between them and the Naxicauset; though this river Indians will delay their time till

the time that corn begins to be ripe. But now they are making of a very large and a strong fort.

But to return to the case of the murthered. The first three that were murthered the last year lived about six or seven miles on this side Quabaug nearer us, and the murtherers of them are known as they affirm; and there are several small factions of Quabaug, and in all near places there are other small factions. No one faction doth rule all; and one of these petty factions hath made friendship with Cutshamoquin, and that makes Cutshamoquin call them his subjects; but I believe they will stick no longer to him than the sun shines upon him.

The last five that were killed this spring (with one more that escaped) lived in the midway between Quabaug and Nashaway, and yet not properly belonging to either place, but living as neuters, and yet because they were somewhat near neighbors to both places, therefore both places do desire their help against the murtherers. The murtherers of these five are not known; but because the murtherers of the first three are known, therefore they suppose they are the same men. But the man that is escaped saith, that if he can see their faces, he doth know their faces, though he knows not their names.

Mr. Eliot also writ a letter to me to stir me up to assist the said Indians that came from you. 1st. He urgeth me with a command of God to make inquisition for blood, and 2dly with a promise, They shall hear and fear, etc., and hence he concludes that there is no fear of a war to proceed from this dealing.

If the first positions can be made good, namely, that the murthered were your subjects, and 2dly that the murtherers were within your jurisdiction, then Mr. Eliot's exhortation to me had been seasonable, or else not.

But yet notwithstanding I have not declined the business, but have bethought myself how to get it effected in the best manner; and therefore advised the Quabaug Indians to stay until Nippusnit returned from Sowoquasse's house, which I expected within two days, but he came not till the third day. Then we had a private conference, and I ordered my speech thus to him, that I had received letters from you, that whereas Chickwallop desired Cutshamoquin to appoint a meeting at Quabaug, it was your desire that the meeting might be at Boston, that you might understand the business as well as the Indian sachems, and that you would take it kindly, if he would talk with the Naucotak sachems to apprehend the three murtherers, and that they would send some to the meeting at Boston.

Thereupon Quacunquasit, one of the sachems of Quabaug, and Nip-

punsit and others discoursed a long time how to effect this matter, and who to apprehend in the first place. But neither I nor my son for want of language could understand their discourse, but in conclusion they explained unto us what they had concluded on, namely to take two of the four that were at Naucotak ; but they thought it best not to meddle with Wottowon and Reskescconeage, because they were of Pamshad's kindred, who is a Maqua sachem, but Nippunsit said he would tell him that they should live hoping he would further them in the taking of the rest ; and all the Indians consented to this motion as the most feasible and likely way to attain their end in the rest. The other two, namely Wawhelam and his brother, Nippunsit hath undertaken by some wile or other to bring them to my house in a private way, and then he will leave them to me to apprehend them, and so to send them to you. And this they thought might be effected about ten or twelve days after this conclusion was made, which was made two days before the date of this letter.

And thus by these means they will engage the English as the chiefest parties in their business.

But I must confess I look upon this service in sending them to you as a difficult and troublesome service, for 1, I have no prison to keep them safe, and 2dly, it will occasion great resort of Indians to my house to see what I will do with them, and 3dly, we shall want men ; and I perceive that the Indians are afraid to meddle with them, unless they can make the English the principal in the business.

If the Lord should let loose the reins to their malice, I mean to their friends and abettors, it may be of ill consequence to the English that intermeddle in their matters by a voluntary rather [than] by a necessary calling, for they and their friends stand upon their innocence, and in that respect they threaten to be avenged on such as lay any hands upon them.

And any place is more obnoxious to their malice than the bay by far ; especially the Naucotak Indians are desperate spirits, for they have their dependence on the Mohawks or Maquas who are the terror of all Indians.

My advice therefore is, that you will as much as may be take the matter from us ; which may thus be effected, send three or four men to our plantation with all speed, that may live being here either at the ordinary or at some other house till the said parties be brought to me, if they be not brought before they come. They may improve their time here by doing some work, and if there be not a sufficient number of Indians to go with them to carry them safe, I may appoint more

men that the business may not fail for want of a good guard. Let these persons march here [with] a charge to be private and silent in the business till they see it effected. You may send these men away on the second day. If the Indians should make an escape, and not be taken, yet the charge of three or four men in so weighty a business for the fairer carrying of it on, is not to be stood upon. If they be taken, before they come, I will set a guard upon them for two or three days in hope you will send them with as much speed as may be. Indeed there should not be a day's delay after they come to my house. It will prevent the tumult of Indians and prevent their waylaying. If these two be once apprehended and put to death, then they have determined the death of six more near Quabaug, and only the former two to live.

Thus have I as briefly as I can (though abruptly) related the substance of the matter. I entreat you that the men may call to my son Davis for a letter before they come away. They must be active men and light of foot, for the better countenancing of the business. I shall ere long send you further intelligence about this Pacoutuck business with the Monaheganicks. The Lord is able to divert their intentions, though it is to be suspected it is intended for the utter ruin of the Monaheganicks, and the English will, I fear, be embroiled in the war.

Your assured loving brother in the Lord.

W. PYNCHON.

Haste, haste.

*For his loving brother, the Deputy }  
Governour, with speed. }*

On receipt of this letter, the deputy governour, Dudley, sent it with this address:—

“To his honored friend Mr. John Winthrop, governour, at his house in Boston, deliver it with all speed.”

Governour Winthrop writes upon it:—

Sir,

I pray acquaint Mr. Eliot with this letter, and let me have your advice about it speedily. So I rest

Your loving brother,

JOHN WINTHROP, Gov'r.

9 (5) 48.

[It was, we may be sure, sent to Dudley from what here follows on the same paper inside.]

Upon reading this letter and conference with Mr. Elyott I give my

advice (which you require) for a pause in the business, before we proceed any further in it.

1. For that the ground and warrant of our meddling in it is by this letter taken away, it being denied that the murthered were our subjects or the murtherers within our jurisdiction.

2. If the murtherers should be apprehended and brought to us, the party escaping is, for ought we yet know, all the witness against them, he affirming he knows their faces, which yet is doubtful, the murther being done in the night.

3. It is like in Mr. Pinchon's opinion to draw a war upon us, which, if (as he saith) it be provoked by us voluntarily, not necessarily, we shall incur blame at home and with our confederate English, and want the [aid?] from heaven in it and comfort in prosecuting it.

4. The charge and difficulty which the sending men out in hay and harvest time would be considered.

5. A pause will advantage us in hearing what the Narragansetts will do upon Uncus whom we must defend.

6. And if so, it cannot be wisdom in us to stir up other Indians against us to join with the Narragansetts.

I have forgotten two other reasons while I was setting down these.

I think a messenger would be despatched to Mr. Pinchon to let such Indians loose, if any should be apprehended, which I think will not be, they who have promised not being like to do it, or if Mr. Pinchon see cause to do otherwise, to leave it to him.

THOS. DUDLEY.

---

Q.

In book III. of the *Magnalia*, Mather has given a catalogue of New England ministers, in three classes, first, of those who were in office when they left England; second, of those who having not finished their education at home, came over here to perfect it before our college was come to maturity to bestow its laurels; third of such ministers, as came over after the acts of uniformity. A careful reader will find frequent occasion to mark the inaccuracy of this ecclesiastical historian; and in this part of his work, where his means were most ample, and his desire most excited to show his correctness, we may often lament the want of it. He had no precision in his knowledge of any thing; and least of all, of dates. Carter and Sherman he injuriously throws into the sec-

ond classis, though each had his A. B. at the Univ. of Cambridge at the regular day in 1629-30, as well as his A. M. in 1633; while, to make the wrong worse, he causes Dunster, who was a year later, and even Harvard, who was a year after Dunster, to figure in the first classis.

I have thought it would, however, be useful to take the lists from Mather, and add, where it was in my power, the time and place of the decease of each individual, supplying the names of baptism, where omitted by him, and correcting any other mistake of the author of the *Magnalia*.

1. Thomas Allen of Charlestown, returned home, died 21 Sept. 1673, in his native city of Norwich.
2. John Allen of Dedham, died 26 August, 1671.
3. [John] Avery of Marblehead, drowned 15 August, 1635.
4. Adam Blakeman of Stratford, died 7 Sept. 1665.
5. Richard Blinman of Gloucester, returned home.
6. Brucy of Braintree, returned home, before we learned to spell his surname, or to find his baptismal designation.
7. Edmund Browne of Sudbury, died 24 June, 1677 or 8.
8. Peter Bulkley of Concord, died 9 March, 1659.
9. Jonathan Burr of Dorchester, died 9 August, 1641.
10. Charles Chauncey of Scituate, died 19 February, 1672.
11. Thomas Cobbett of Lynn, and Ipswich, died 5 November, 1685.
12. John Cotton of Boston, died 23 December, 1652.
13. Timothy Dalton of Hampton, died 28 December, 1661.
14. John Davenport of New Haven, died 15 March, 1670.
15. Richard Denton of Stamford, and Hempstead, L. I. died about 1663.
16. Henry Dunster of Cambridge, died 27 February, 1659.
17. Samuel Eaton of New Haven, returned home, died 9 January, 1665.
18. John Eliot of Roxbury, died 20 May, 1690.
19. John Fisk of Chelmsford, died 14 January, 1677.
20. Henry Flint of Braintree, died 27 April, 1668.
21. [Robert] Fordham of Southampton, died 1674.
22. [Henry] Green of Reading, died 11 Oct. 1648.
23. John Harvard of Charlestown, died 14 September, 1638.
24. Francis Higginson of Salem, died 6 August, 1630.
25. William Hooke of New Haven, returned home in 1656, was in favor with Oliver, died 21 March, 1668, or (Calamy) 1678.
26. Thomas Hooker of Hartford, died 7 July, 1647.
27. Peter Hobart of Hingham, died 20 January, 1679.

28. Ephraim Hewett of Windsor, died 4 September, 1644.
29. [Benjamin] Hull of the Isle of Shoals.
30. [Thomas] James of Charlestown, returned home after short residence at New Haven.
31. [John] Jones of Fairfield, died early in 1665.
32. [William] Knight of Topsfield, went home before 1648.
33. [John] Knowles of Watertown, returned home in 1650, died 10 Nov. 1685.
34. [William] Leveridge of Sandwich, moved to Long Island, was there living in 1674.
35. John Lothrop of Barnstable, died 8 November, 1653.
36. Richard Mather of Dorchester, died 22 April, 1669.
37. [Daniel] Maud of Dover, died 1655.
38. [John] Maverick of Dorchester, died 3 February, 1636.
39. John Mayo of Boston, died May, 1676.
40. John Miller of Yarmouth, died at Groton, 12 June, 1663.
41. [George] Moxon of Springfield, returned home, died 15 September, 1687.
42. Samuel Newman of Rehoboth, died 5 July, 1663.
43. [Edward] Norris of Salem, died 23 Dec. 1659.
44. John Norton of Boston, died 5 April, 1663.
45. James Noyes of Newbury, died 22 October, 1656.
46. Thomas Parker of Newbury, died 24 April, 1677.
47. Ralph Patridge of Duxbury, died April, 1658.
48. [Robert] Peck of Hingham, returned home.
49. Hugh Peter of Salem, returned home, executed 16 Oct. 1660.
50. Thomas Peter of Saybrook, returned home.
51. George Phillips of Watertown, died 1 July, 1644.
52. [John] Phillips of Dedham, returned home in 1641.
53. Abraham Pierson of Southampton, removed to Newark, N. J. died 9 Aug. 1679.
54. Peter Prudden of Milford, died 1656.
55. [John] Reyner of Plymouth, died 20 April, 1669.
56. Ezekiel Rogers of Rowley, died 23 January, 1661.
57. Nathaniel Rogers of Ipswich, died 3 July, 1655.
58. [Peter] Saxton of Scituate, returned home.
59. Thomas Shepard of Cambridge, died 25 August, 1649.
60. Zechary Symmes of Charlestown, died 4 February, 1671.
61. [Samuel] Skelton of Salem, died 2 August, 1634.
62. Ralph Smith of Plimouth, died 1 March, 1662.
63. [Henry] Smith of Wethersfield, died 1648.

64. Samuel Stone of Hartford, died 20 July, 1663.
65. Nicholas Street of New Haven, died 22 April, 1674.
66. William Tompson of Braintree, died 10 December, 1666.
67. William Walton of Marblehead, died 1668.
68. Nathaniel Ward of Ipswich, returned home, and died 1653.
69. John Ward of Haverhill, died 27 December, 1693.
70. John Warham of Windsor, died 1 April, 1670.
71. [Thomas] Welde of Roxbury, returned home, died 1661.
72. [John] Wheelwright of Salisbury, died 15 November, 1679.
73. Henry Whitfield of Guilford, returned home.
74. Samuel Whiting of Lynn, died 11 December, 1679.
75. John Wilson of Boston, died 7 August, 1667.
76. [William] Wetherel of Scituate, died 9 April, 1684.
77. William Worcester of Salisbury, died 20 October, 1662.
78. [John] Young of Southold, died 1672.

Now it seems to me, that in this department of his great work, the author is less excusable for his numerous errors than in other parts; though "little more than two years" elapsed from the commencement of the *Magnalia* to the unknown date of the introduction. We are told by him, that in 1668 it was computed, that ninety-four ministers had come to us from England, chiefly in the first ten years, of whom thirty-one were then alive, thirty-six dead, and twenty-seven returned home. It is, however, probable, that this enumeration is very loose, and perhaps was designed to include those of his third classis. He adds, indeed, four other names, Hanserd Knollys, of Dover, Mr. Miles of Swansey, William Blaxton, and Mr. Lenthall of Weymouth, besides one darkly described without sufficient circumstance for us to vindicate his identity, whom he throws into a class of anomalies, however evident it may be that they belong to his first. But the shadows have been thickening on these humble spots of our history more than a century and a half since Mather's inquiries; and yet I discover the names following, of whom all are entitled to rank in the first classis as much as Avery, Eaton, Hull, Mayo, Waltham, or Young, and none in the *Magnalia* is by the present age revered above Roger Williams. The extraordinary carelessness, which omitted the baptismal names of James, Knowles, Leveridge, Maverick, Norris, Skelton, Welde, and Wheelwright, should have caused hesitation in trusting to many other details, in which our careful examination detects deficiencies that in those who have deferred implicitly to the Ecclesiastical History of New England may excite astonishment.

Stephen Batchelor of Hampton.  
 Francis Bright of Salem.  
 Browne of Portsmouth.  
 George Burdett of Dover.  
 Samuel Dudley of Exeter.  
 Nathaniel Eaton of Harvard College.  
 Richard Gibson of Portsmouth.  
 Thomas Jenner of Weymouth.  
 Thomas Larkham of Dover.  
 Robert Lenthall of Weymouth.  
 John Lyford of Plymouth.  
 Marmaduke Matthews of Malden.  
 Thomas Mayhew of Martha's Vineyard.  
 Nathaniel Norcross of Lancaster.  
 James Parker of Portsmouth.  
 Rogers of Plymouth.  
 William Sargent of Malden.  
 Ralph Wheelock of Dedham.  
 James Williams of Plymouth.  
 Roger Williams of Providence.

The second classis of the *Magnalia* follows:—

1. Samuel Arnold of Marshfield, died 1 Sept. 1693, aged 71.
2. John Bishop of Stamford, died 1694.
3. Edward Bulkley of Concord, died 2 Jan. 1696.
4. [Thomas] Carter of Woburn, died 5 September, 1684.
5. Francis Dane of Andover, died 17 Feb. 1697.
6. James Fitch of Norwich, died 18 November, 1702.
7. [Thomas] Hanford of Norwalk, died 1693.
8. John Higginson of Salem, died 9 December, 1708.
9. [Samuel] Hough of Reading, died 30 March, 1662.
10. [Thomas] James of Easthampton, died 1696.
11. Roger Newton of Milford, died 7 June, 1683.
12. John Sherman of Watertown, died 8 August, 1685.
13. Thomas Thacher of Boston, died 16 October, 1678.
14. John Woodbridge of Newbury, died 17 March, 1695.

In making this list, to which should be added, probably,

1. Nicholas Baker of Scituate, died 22 August, 1678.
2. Noah Newman of Rehoboth, died 26 April, 1678, I suspect some carelessness, for Carter, and Sherman certainly do not belong to this classis.

The third classis of the *Magnalia* follows:—

1. James Allen of Boston, died 22 September, 1710.
2. John Bailey of Watertown, died December, 1697.
3. Thomas Bailey of Watertown, died 21 January, 1690.
4. [Thomas] Barnet of New London, went home.
5. James Brown of Swansey.
6. Thomas Gilbert of Topsfield, died October, 1673.
7. James Keith of Bridgewater, died 23 July, 1719.
8. Samuel Lee of Bristol, returned home, died 1691. See Wood's *Athenae*.
9. Charles Morton of Charlestown, died 11 April, 1698.
10. Charles Nicholet of Salem, returned home.
11. John Oxenbridge of Boston, died 28 December, 1674. See Wood.
12. Thomas Thornton of Yarmouth.
13. Thomas Walley of Barnstable, died 24 January, 1678.
14. William Woodrop of Lancaster. This name is misprinted for Woodroffe.

Here we might hope to rely on Mather's information without hesitation, for he was engaged at the same time in the same cause with most of the individuals. Yet the omission of the given name of Barnet causes some doubt; and with regard to Keith, we are sure he was wrong. Mather's father had introduced Keith, when he was only twenty years old, and of course could not have been "of such ministers as came over to New England after the reëstablishment of the Episcopal church government in England." See 2 Hist. Coll. VII. 162.

---

R.

Having been requested by a gentleman, whose requests were moderate enough to be received as commands, to annex to my work a list of the ancient Indian names of our modern towns, etc., as far as in my power, with caution to the reader, that spelling is altogether unsettled, and geography rather doubtful, I have spent some time in preparing the following, which with some days' labor might easily be increased:—

Accomack, Plimouth.  
Acomenticus,  
or  
Agamenticus, York.

Acquettinck,  
or  
Aquiday, Rhode Island.  
Acushnett, New Bedford.

Agawam, Ipswich.	Cowesit, part of Wareham.
, Springfield.	Cufchankamaug, Windsor.
Agowaywam, part of Wareham.	Cummaquid, Barnstable north harbor.
Apauum,	Cupheag, part of Stratford.
or	Georgeekee, Thomaston.
Umpame, Plimouth.	Hammonasset, Killingworth.
Ashuelot, Keene and Swansey.	Harraseekit, Freeport.
Asquenunseck, West Springfield.	Hassanamesitt,
Assanipi, part of Scituate.	or
Assonet, part of Taunton.	Hassanamisco, Grafton.
Assoowamsoo,	Hockamock, Easton and Raynham.
or	Hokkanom, part of Yarmouth.
Assawomit,	Houseatonick, Stockbridge.
or	Hyannis, part of Barnstable.
Assawampsit, part of Middleborough.	Kamesit, part of Plimouth.
Babboosuck, part of Amherst, N. H.	Keekamuit, part of Bristol.
Bogestow, Medfield.	Kitaumet, part of Plimouth.
Capawack, Martha's Vineyard.	Kitteauumut, part of Sandwich.
Caucumsquissick, North Kingstown.	Konickey, part of Tisbury.
Cantaugeanteest, part of Plimouth.	Maanexit, part of Woodstock.
Chabanakongkom, Dudley.	Machemoodus, East Haddam.
Chappaquonsett, part of Tisbury.	Magunkaquog, or
Chequocket, part of Barnstable.	Magunkook, Hopkinton.
Coatue, part of Nantucket.	Manamoskeagin, Abington.
Coatuit, part of Barnstable.	Mananoyik, Chatham,
Coaxit,	Manchage, Oxford.
or	Maneikshun, part of Plimouth.
Coxit, part of Dartmouth.	Manises, New Shoreham.
Cocheco,	Mannamit, part of Sandwich.
or	Masacsick, Longmeadow.
Quochecho, Dover.	Mashamoquet, Pomfret.
Cochichawick,	Mashapoag, Sharon.
or	Massassoomineuk, part of Sandwich.
Coojetewick,	Matakeese, part of Yarmouth.
or	Matapan, Dorchester.
Cochituit, Andover.	Mattabeeset, Middletown.
Cogingchang, Durham, Con.	Mattakeeset, Duxbury.
Cohanit, Taunton and Raynham.	Mattakeset, Pembroke.
Cokesit, Little Compton.	, part of Edgartown.
Comassakumkanit, part of Plimouth.	Mattaneaug, Windsor.
Conconut, part of Salem.	Mattapoiset, part of Rochester.
Contoocook, Boscawen.	Mattatock, Waterbury.
Coquitt, part of Dartmouth.	Meeshawn, Truro.
Coskaty, part of Nantucket.	Menemsha, part of Chilmark.
Cotuktikut, part of Middleborough.	Menunkateseck, Guilford.

Miacomit, part of Nantucket.  
 Mishawum, Charlestown.  
 Misquamicut, Westerly.  
 Mohootset, part of Carver.  
 Monchauaset, part of Rochester.  
 Monponset, Halifax.  
 Moshasuck, Providence.  
 Muhhekaneew, Stockbridge.  
 Musketaquid, Concord.  
 Musquannipash, part of Rochester.  
 Mussauco, Simsbury.  
 Naamakeket, part of Harwich.  
 Namaskat, or  
 Namasseket, part of Middleborough.  
 Nameag, New London.  
 Nanakumas, part of Nantucket.  
 Nashamoiees, part of Edgartown.  
 Nashobah, Littleton.  
 Nashuakemmiuk, Chilmark.  
 Nashwash,  
 or  
 Nashway, Lancaster.  
 Naugatuck, Derby.  
 Naumkeag, Salem.  
 Nauset, part of Eastham.  
 Nawbesetuck, Mansfield, Con.  
 Neantick,  
 or  
 Neanticut, Lyme.  
 Neponset, bounds of Dorchester and  
 Milton.  
 Newichawanock, Berwick,  
 Nipmuck river, Blackstone's,  
 Nisitissit, Hollis.  
 Nobsquassit, part of Yarmouth.  
 Nonantum, bounds of Watertown and  
 Newtown.  
 Nonotuck, Northampton.  
 Nope, Martha's Vineyard.  
 Nukkehkummees, part of Dartmouth.  
 Nuketest, Bridgewater.  
 Nunnepoag, part of Edgartown.  
 Oggawame, part of Nantucket.  
 Ohkonkemme, part of Tisbury.  
 Okommakamesitt, Marlborough.

Oronoake, part of Stratford.  
 Ouschankamaug, Windsor.  
 Pakachoog, part of Worcester.  
 Pakanokick,  
 or  
 Pawkunnawkutt, Bristol.  
 Pakemitt,  
 or  
 Punkapaog, Stoughton.  
 Pamaquasset,  
 or  
 Pattaquasset, Saybrook.  
 Pamet, part of Truro.  
 Pantoosuck, Plainfield and neighbor-  
 hood.  
 Pascomuck, Easthampton.  
 Patackosi, part of Plimouth.  
 Patuxet, Plimouth.  
 Paugasset, Derby.  
 Paukopunnakuk, part of Plimouth.  
 Pautucket, Providence.  
 Pautuxet, Cranston.  
 Pawcatuck, Stonington.  
 Peagscomsuck, Canterbury.  
 Penacook, Concord, N. H.  
 Pentucket, Haverhill.  
 Pequawket, or  
 Pigwacket, Fryeburgh.  
 Pequusset, Watertown.  
 Pequusset, Derby.  
 Pequot, New London and neighbor-  
 hood.  
 Pequot river, Thames.  
 Perpooduck, Cape Elizabeth.  
 Pettequamscoot, South Kingston, R. I.  
 Piscatacook, Kent.  
 Pocasset, the part of the river between  
 Tiverton and Portsmouth.  
 Pochet, part of Orleans.  
 Pocomtuck, Deerfield.  
 Podpis, part of Nantucket,  
 Podunk, Windsor.  
 Pohtatuck, Newtown, Con.  
 Pokessel, part of Sandwich.  
 Pomperaug, Woodbury.

Pompociticut, part of Stow.  
 Pontoosuck, Pittsfield.  
 Popponesset, part of Mashpee.  
 Poquaig, Athol.  
 Poquannock, part of Stratford.  
 Potanumaquut, part of Eastham.  
 Poughkeeste, part of Sandwich.  
 Presumscot, part of Falmouth, Me.  
 Pughquonnuck, part of Stratford.  
 Pumpisset, part of Sandwich,  
 Punonakanit, Wellfleet.  
 Pyquag, Weathersfield,  
 Quaboag, Brookfield.  
 Quaket, part of Tiverton.  
 Quampeagan, part of Berwick.  
 Quansit, part of Wareham.  
 Quantissit, part of Woodstock.  
 Quascacunquen, Newbury.  
 Quayz, part of Nantucket.  
 Quilipeak, New Haven.  
 Quinibaug, Plainfield and neighbor-  
 hood.  
 Quinsigamond, Worcester or Hopkin-  
 ton.  
 Quittaquas, part of Middleborough.  
 Quittaub, part of Middleborough.  
 Quononoquot,  
 or  
 Canonicut, Jamestown.  
 Rippowance,  
 or  
 Rippowams, Stamford.  
 Suckiaug, Hartford.  
 Sagus, Lynn.  
 Sakonett,  
 or  
 Sogkonate, Little Compton.  
 Sanctuit, part of Barnstable.  
 Sasacacheh, part of Nantucket.  
 Sasaquash, or  
 Sauquish, part of Plymouth.  
 Saugatuck, part of Fairfield.  
 Satucket,  
 or  
 Sawkattukett, Brewster.  
 Saughtuckquett, Bridgewater.

Scatacook, part of Kent.  
 Scook, part of Plymouth.  
 Scusset, part of Sandwich.  
 Seconckqut, part of Chilmark.  
 Seipican, Rochester.  
 Seneputuit, part of Rochester.  
 Sengekontakit, part of Edgartown.  
 Sesuet, part of Dennis.  
 Setauket, part of Brookhaven.  
 Shaomet, Warwick.  
 Shabbukin, part of Stow.  
 Shaukimmo, part of Nantucket.  
 Shaume, Sandwich.  
 Shawmut, Boston.  
 Shawshin,  
 or  
 Shawshinock, Billerica.  
 Sheneemedy, Topsfield.  
 Shequocket, part of Barnstable.  
 Shimmoah, part of Nantucket.  
 Siasconsit, part of Nantucket.  
 Skunkamug, part of Barnstable.  
 Sokones,  
 or  
 Succonusset, part of Falmouth.  
 Souhegan, Amherst, N. H.  
 Sowams, or  
 Sowamset, Bristol or Barrington.  
 Spurwink, part of Scarborough.  
 Squabette, part of Raynham.  
 Squakeag, Northfield.  
 Squam, part of Nantucket.  
 . . . . , Ipswich Bay.  
 Squantum, part of Dorchester,  
 Squatesit, part of Nantucket.  
 Squipnocket, part of Chilmark.  
 Squomscutt, Exeter.  
 Squummacut, Westerly.  
 Statehook, part of Sheffield.  
 Suncook, Pembroke, N. H.  
 Talhanio, part of Chilmark.  
 Tashmuit, part of Truro.  
 Tawawog, New London.  
 Tecticut, Taunton.  
 Teightaquid,  
 or

Titicut, part of Bridgewater and Mid-dleborough.	Washqua, part of Edgartown.
Tetankimmo, part of Nantucket.	Wawayontat, Wareham.
Tionet, part of Plymouth.	Wawaytick, part of Chilmark.
Tockiming, Tisbury.	Weataug, Salisbury, Con.
Totoket, Branford.	Weequakut, part of Barnstable.
Tunxis, Farmington and Neighborhood.	Weesquobs, part of Sandwich.
Uncataquissit, or	Wekapaug, Southerton?
Unquety, Milton.	Wenatuksset, Plympton.
Unquowa, Fairfield.	Wenaumut, part of Sandwich.
Waahkttohook, part of Stockbridge.	Wenimesset, New Braintree.
Wabquisset, part of Woodstock.	Wequaset, part of Chatham.
Wachusett, Princeton.	Weshakim, Lancaster.
Waeuntug, Uxbridge.	Wesko, part of Nantucket.
Wagutuquab, part of Nantucket.	Wessaguscus, Weymouth.
Wamesitt, Tewksbury.	Westgostogua, North Yarmouth.
Wamasquid, part of Nantucket.	Wickataquay, part of Edgartown.
Wannamoiset, Swansea.	Winicowett, Hampton.
Waqua, part of Edgartown.	Winnaganset, Boothbay.
Waquoit, part of Mashpee.	Winisemet, Chelsea.
Waranoke, Westfield.	Wollomonuppoag, Wrentham.
	Wopowage, Milford.
	Wyantenock, New Milford.

## S.

Magistrates, usually called assistants, including governours and deputy governours, of the Massachusetts colony.

From the *Magnalia*, Book II. chap. 6. with corrections and additions.

Where the month is not given, in the first column, it was May.

1628	Mathew Cradock, never came over.
1628	Thomas Goffe, never came over.
1628	Sir Richard Saltonstall, lived at Watertown ; returned home in 1631.
1628	Isaac Johnson, died at Charlestown 30 September, 1630.
1628	Samuel Aldersey, never came over.
1628	John Venn, never came over.
1628	John Humfrey, lived at Lynn, left the colony in 1641.
1628	Simon Whetcomb, never came over.
1628	John Browne, never served in Massachusetts.
1628	Increase Nowell, died at Charlestown, 1 November, 1655.
1628	Richard Ferry, never came over.
1628	Nathaniel Wright never came over.
1628	Samuel Vassal, never came over.
1628	Theophilus Eaton, never served in Massachusetts, was governour of New Haven, and there died 7 January, 1658, aged 66.

1628 Thomas Adams, never came over.  
 1628 Thomas Hutchins, never came over.  
 1628 George Foxcroft, never came over.  
 1628 William Vassal, returned home in 1630, came again, 1635, but lived out of our colony.  
 1628 William Pyncheon, lived at Springfield, returned home in 1652, died Oct. 1662.  
 1628 John Endecott, lived at Salem, died at Boston 15 March, 1665, aged 76.  
 1629 John Pocock, never came over.  
 1629 Christopher Coulson, never came over.  
 20 Oct. 1629 John Winthrop, died at Boston, 26 March, 1649, aged 61.  
 20 Oct. 1629 Thomas Dudley, at Roxbury, 31 July, 1653, aged 76.  
 20 Oct. 1629 Thomas Sharp, returned home in 1631.  
 20 Oct. 1629 Samuel Sharp, never served in Massachusetts, but was a ruling elder at Salem, died 1658.  
 20 Oct. 1629 Edward Rossiter, died at Dorchester, 23 Oct. 1630.  
 20 Oct. 1629 John Revell, returned home in 1630.  
 10 Feb'y 1630 Roger Ludlow, lived at Dorchester, left the colony in 1635.  
 18 March 1630 Sir Brian Janson, never came over.  
 18 March 1630 William Coddington, lived at Boston, removed in 1638 to Rhode Island, died at Newport 1 Nov. 1678, aged 77.  
 18 March 1630 \*Simon Bradstreet, died at Salem 27 March, 1697, aged 94.  
 1632 John Winthrop, junr. lived at Ipswich, not chosen after 1649; died governour of Connecticut at Boston 5 April, 1676, aged 70.  
 1634 John Haynes, lived at Cambridge, went to Connecticut in 1637, and was there governour, died at Hartford, 1654.  
 1635 Richard Bellingham, died at Boston 7 December, 1672, aged 80.  
 1635 Atherton Hough, died at Boston 11 Sept. 1650.  
 1635 Richard Dummer, died at Newbury 14 Dec. 1679.  
 1636 Henry Vane, lived at Boston, returned home 1637, executed 14 June, 1662, aged 50.  
 1636 Roger Harlackeadeen, died at Cambridge 17 Nov. 1638, aged 30.  
 1637 Israel Stoughton, lived at Dorchester, went to England to serve in the parliament's army, died 1644.  
 1637 Richard Saltonstall, lived at Haverhill, died in England 29 April, 1694, aged 83.  
 1642 Thomas Flint died at Concord, 8 Nov. 1655.  
 1643 Samuel Symonds, died at Ipswich, October, 1678.  
 1643 William Hibbins, died at Boston, 28 July, 1654.  
 1645 Herbert Pelham, lived at Cambridge, returned home, not chosen after 1649.  
 1647 Robert Bridges, died at Lynn, 1656.  
 1650 Francis Willoughby, died at Charlestown 4 April, 1671.  
 1650 Thomas Wigggin of Hampton, died 1667.  
 1650 Edward Gibbons, died at Boston 9 Dec. 1654.  
 1652 John Glover, Dorchester, January, 1654.

1652 Daniel Gookin, died at Cambridge 19 March, 1687, aged 75.  
 1653 Daniel Denison, Ipswich 20 Sept. 1682.  
 1654 Simon Willard, Groton, 24 April, 1676.  
 1654 Humphrey Atherton, Dorchester, 16 Sept. 1661.  
 1659 Richard Russell, Charlestown, 14 May, 1676, aged 65.  
 1659 \* Thomas Danforth, died at Cambridge 5 Nov. 1699, aged 77.  
 1662 William Hathorne, died at Salem, 1681.  
 1662 Eleazer Lusher, died at Dedham 13 Nov. 1672.  
 1665 John Leverett, died at Boston 16 March, 1679.  
 1665 John Pyncheon, Springfield, died 16 June, 1702.  
 1668 Edward Tyng, died at Dunstable 28 Dec. 1681, aged 81.  
 1671 William Stoughton, died at Dorchester 7 July, 1701, aged 70.  
 1673 Thomas Clark, died at Boston 28 July, 1678.  
 1676 Joseph Dudley, died at Roxbury 2 April, 1720, aged 72.  
 1677 Peter Bulkley, died at Concord 24 May, 1688.  
 1679 \*Nathaniel Saltonstall, died at Haverhill 21 May, 1707.  
 1679 Humphrey Davy, died at Hartford, 18 Feb. 1689.  
 1680 \*James Russell, died at Charlestown, 28 April, 1709, aged 68.  
 1680 Samuel Nowell, son of Increase, died at London Sept. 1688.  
 1680 \*Peter Tilton, Hadley.  
 1680 \*John Richards, died at Boston 2 April, 1694.  
 1680 John Hull, died at Boston 29 September, 1688.  
 1680 Bartholomew Gidney, Salem, 28 Feb. 1698.  
 1680 Thomas Savage, died at Boston 14 February, 1682, aged 75.  
 1680 William Browne, died at Salem 20 January, 1688, aged 80.  
 1681 \*Samuel Appleton, Ipswich, died early in 1696.  
 1682 \*Robert Pike, died at Salisbury 12 Dec. 1706, aged 90.  
 1683 Daniel Fisher, died at Dedham Nov. 1683.  
 1683 John Woodbridge, died at Newbury 17 March, 1695, aged 82.  
 1684 \*Elisha Cook, died at Boston 31 October, 1715, aged 78.  
 1684 \*William Johnson, died at Woburn 22 May, 1704.  
 1684 \*John Hathorne, died at Salem 10 May, 1717, aged 75.  
 1684 \*Elisha Hutchinson, died at Boston 10 December, 1717, aged 76.  
 1684 \*Samuel Sewall, died at Boston 1 January, 1730, aged 77.  
 1685 Oliver Purchase, declined to take the oath. He was of Lynn, died at Concord 20 Nov. 1701, aged 88.  
 1686 \*Isaac Addington, died at Boston 19 March, 1715, aged 70.  
 1686 \*John Smith, of Hingham, died May, 1695.

In this last year all power was taken away from the people, and on the recovery of their liberties by the glorious revolution of 1689, were chosen, in addition to survivors of above, marked thus (\*),

1689 Wait Winthrop, Boston, died 7 Nov. 1717, aged 75.  
 1689 Samuel Shrimpton, Boston, died 5 Feb. 1698.  
 1689 Jonathan Curwin, Salem, died 9 June, 1718, aged 77.  
 1689 John Phillips, Charlestown, died 20 March, 1725, aged 93.

1689 Jeremiah Swaine, Reading.  
1690 Sir William Phipps, lived at Boston, died in London, 18 Feb. 1695.  
1690 Thomas Oakes, lived at Boston, died at Welfleet, 15 July, 1719, aged 76.  
1691 William Stoughton, rechosen after a period of unpopularity.

In 1692 the royal charter of William and Mary made a change in the number and manner of election of this branch of the government.



## GENERAL INDEX.

The references are to pages of the preceding edition, given along the side of page

### A.

Abatement, sudden, of prices, ii. 21, 31  
Abbot, John, killed, ii. 347  
Abigail, the ship, i. 1, 169  
Adams, John Q. i. 35, 223  
Adams, Nathaniel, i. Apx. H  
Adultery, punished with death, i. 257; ii. 249  
Agamemnon, i. 90  
Aikin, Lucy, Court of Charles I. i. 172  
Alcock, George, i. 129  
Alden, rev'd Timothy, i. 97, 131, 150, 260; ii. 138  
Alden, John, i. 131  
Alderman, John, i. 144  
Aldworth, i. 61  
Aleworth, i. 52  
Alexander, Sir William, i. 98, 117; ii. 179  
Alfoot, Mr. i. 248  
Allegiance to England, how construed, ii. 279, 282—291, 295—301  
Allen, Rev. Dr. Wm., Biog. Dic. i. 84, 183, 223, 272  
Allen, gov. Samuel, i. 53  
Allen, rev'd John of Dedham, i. 142; ii. 280, 330  
Allen, rev. John, in England, ii. 342  
Allen, capt. ii. 237.  
Allen, Thomas, the claimant of New Hampsh. i. Apx. H  
Allen, Bozoun, ii. 221—236  
Allen, Bozoun, jr. ii. 221  
Allerton, Isaac, i. 25, 57, 124, 154, 182, Apx. A. 45, 55; ii. 96, 210  
Ambrose, the ship, i. 2, 29, 46  
America, painted to the life, i. 1  
Ames, rev'd Dr. William, i. 58  
Anabaptists increase, ii. 174, parlia- ment dissatisfied with our treatment of, 272  
Anasquam, i. 174  
Anderson, capt. ii. 347  
Andover, i. 96; ii. 17, 101, 194, 252  
Andrew, Thomas, i. 62  
Andrews, Mr. of Ipswich, ii. 345  
Andrews, Richard, i. 136; ii. 75, acknowledgment of his benevolence, 212, 342  
Andrews, Thomas, ii. 75  
Andrews, Joseph, ii. 18  
Andros, Gov'r Sir Edmund, i. 65.  
Angel, the ship, i. 57, 59, 60  
Ann, the ship, i. 25  
Antinomian controversy, i. 204, 215  
Apparition of a ship in the sky, ii. 328  
Appleton, Samuel, i. 314; ii. Apx. S  
Aquiday, i. 265, 280, 296, 297, claimed by Plymouth, ii. 220  
Arbella, the lady, i. 1, 4, 6, 12, 34, 48, 76, Apx. A. 47, 49  
Arbella, the ship, i. 1, Apx. A. 39, 41, 43  
Armine, the Lady's benefaction, ii. 212, 305  
Arnold, gov'r Benedict, i. 283, 293; ii. 120, 333  
Arnold, William, i. 283, 293; ii. 85  
Articles of consideration, ii. 101—106  
Artillery, the ancient and honorable company of, i. 253  
Arundel, town of, i. 89  
Ashley, Edward, i. 29  
Aspinwall, Wm. i. 32, 130, 245, 248, 322; ii. 62, 161, 179, 216  
Aspinwall, Peter, i. 33  
Assembly of the elders. See Synod

Atherton, Humphrey, speaker, ii. 53, 137, 234, 333  
 Atherton, Hope, ii. 138  
 Atherton, Jonathan, ii. 138  
 Atherton, Charles Humphrey, ii. 139  
 Audley, John, ii. 216  
 Austin, taken by the Turks, ii. 12  
 Avery, rev'd John, i. 165.  
 Ayanemo, i. 232

B.

Babbe, Mr. i. Apx. A. 62  
 Bachelor, the bark, i. Apx. A. 58, 60  
 Backus' History of the Baptists, i. 193; ii. 58  
 Bacon, Dr. Leonard, i. 25, 217  
 Bagmall, Walter, i. 62, 93  
 Baker, Robert, killed by accident, ii. 24  
 Baker, John, ii. 29  
 Baldwin, Sylvester, i. 267  
 Baldwin, Richard, ii. 348  
 Ball, captain, i. 153, 187  
 Ball, Mr. i. 275  
 Balstone, William, i. 45, 152, 248, 296; ii. 216  
 Baltimore, Lord, i. 184; ii. 149  
 Bancroft, George, i. 127  
 Barkstead, John, ii. 241  
 Barlow, Joel, i. 159  
 Barnardiston, Sir Nathaniel, i. Apx. A. 21, 43, 45.  
 Barnes, John, hanged for murder of Indian, i. 269  
 Barnstable, i. 306  
 Baronius, Cardinal, i. 262  
 Bartholomew, William, i. 248  
 Bartlett, Josiah, i. 95  
 Barton, Rufus, ii. 323  
 Bastwick, John, i. 291  
 Batchelor, rev'd Stephen, i. 78, 176, 260; ii. 44, 177, 211  
 Bates, Edward, i. 248  
 Bayley, rev'd Mr. i. 224  
 Bayley, captain, his trouble, ii. 192, 198, 201, 247  
 Bear's, or Bare, Cove, i. 163  
 Beaver, trade in, i. 113, 193  
 Beecher, captain Thos. i. 2, 129, Apx. A. 45  
 Beggerly, Richard, i. 283; ii. 344  
 Belcher, gov'r Jona. i. 51  
 Bell, gov. Phillip, ii. 141  
 Bellingham, gov'r Richard, i. 145, 158, 320, his first election, ii. 35, strange marriage, 43, opposition to other ma-  
 gistrates, 116, 186, 209, gift, 215, 292, 347  
 Belknap, rev'd Dr. Jeremy, i. 38, 78, 91, 192  
 Bendall, Edward, i. 248; ii. 72, 216, 348  
 Bendall, Freegrace, ii. 73  
 Benedict's History of the Baptists, i. 42, 293.  
 Benfield, Alice, ii. 346  
 Benjamin, John, i. 185  
 Bennet, Philip, ii. 77  
 Bentley, rev'd Dr. Wm. i. 26, 30  
 Berkley, gov. Sir William, ii. 159, 334  
 Berkley, Alderman, ii. 198, 200, 247  
 Bernard, rev'd Mr. i. 275, 289  
 Best, captain, i. Apx. A. 13  
 Beverley's History of Virginia, ii. 159, 165  
 Bevis, the ship, i. 268  
 Bewett, Hugh, ii. 19, 22  
 Bigelow, Tyler, ii. 152  
 Bigelow, rev'd Andrew, ii. 161  
 Biggs, John, i. 101, 248; ii. 216  
 Billington, John, i. 36  
 Billington, Francis, i. 36  
 Billington, John, jr. i. 36  
 Binks, Mr. i. 86  
 Birch, rev'd Dr. Thomas, i. 78  
 Bircher, Nathan, ii. 347  
 Bird, the ship, i. 111  
 Bird Island, i. 154  
 Blaxton, William, i. 44, 45, Apx. H  
 Blake's Annals, i. 80  
 Blessing, the governour's bark, i. 57, 60, 112, Apx. A. 57  
 Blinman, rev'd Richard, ii. 64  
 Block island, expedition against, i. 192, 194, 219, Apx. D  
 Bond, Ezekiel, ii. Apx. I  
 Bonington, Richard, i. Apx. H  
 Borland, Francis, i. 66  
 Boston, i. 94, 267  
 Boston bay, called a lake, i. 121  
 Boswell, Sir William, ii. 129  
 Bosworth, Zaccheus, i. 248; ii. 216  
 Boundary between Plimouth and Massachusetts, i. 284; ii. 18  
 Bourne, Nehemiah, ii. 245, 265, Apx. A. 66  
 Bourne, Mrs. ii. 302  
 Bowdoin, James, i. 289  
 Boyle, Sir Robert, i. 66  
 Boynton, Sir Matthew, i. 294, Apx. A. 59  
 Bozman's History of Maryland, i. 139

Bradford, gov'r Wm. i. 29, 67, 113, 131, 136, 139, 166, 303; ii. 8, 308, 329

Bradford, Alden, ii. 53

Bradstreet, gov'r Simon, i. 34, 49, 51, 145; ii. 37, 99, 172, 242, 292

Bradstreet, rev'd Simon, ii. 161

Bradstreet, Ann, i. 51

Braintree, town of, i. 43, 87, 202, iron works; ii. 213

Brakerbourne, Ann, ii. 347

Brand, Benjamin, i. Apx. A. 42

Braybrook, Lord, his Ed. of Pepys's Memoirs, ii. 241

Breck, rev'd Robert, i. 12

Brenton, governour William, i. 109, 296; ii. 21, 216

Brewster, William, i. 91

Brewster, Jonathan, ii. Apx. A. 71

Bridge, John, ii. 347

Bridge, Matthew, ii. 347

Bridges, Robert, speaker, ii. 53, commissioner to D'Aulney, 237, his house burned, 311

Brierly, rev'd Mr. i. 224

Briscoe, Nathaniel, i. 303—312; ii. 66

Briscoe, Mr. writes against maintenance of ministers, ii. 93 and loses his barn and stock, 92

Britton, James, whipped, i. 289, hanged, ii. 158

Brodhead's Hist. of N. Y. ii. 267

Brook, Lord, i. 115, 131, 145, 170

Brookline, town of, i. 88

Brooks, Mr. i. 234

Brown, Chad, i. 267

Brown, Richard, i. 58, 67, 129, 138, 146

Brown, John, ii. 220, 252

Brown, James, ii. 220

Brown's Island, i. 169

Brown, James, i. 248

Browne, William, i. 65

Browne, rev'd Edmund, i. 142

Buckingham, Duke of, i. Apx. A. 10, 13

Buckland, William, i. 62

Buckminster, rev. Joseph S. i. 262

Bulgar, Richard, i. 248

Bulkley, rev. Peter, i. 167, 189, 217, 238

Bulkley, Peter, speaker, ii. 56

Bulkley, rev. Edward, ii. 64

Bulkley, rev. John, ii. 240

Bull, Dixy, i. 79, 96, 104

Bull, Henry, i. 248

Bull, Thomas, i. Apx. A. 59

Bull, captain, ii. 327

Bumstead, Thomas, ii. 203

Bunker George, i. 248

Burden, George, i. 248

Burdet, rev. George, i. 276, 281, 291, 298, 326; ii. his adultery, 10

Burglary by students of college, ii. 166

Burk, John, History of Virginia, ii. 159, 165

Burleigh, i. 4

Burr, rev. Jonathan, ii. 22

Burr, Jonathan, ii. 22

Burr, Peter, ii. 22

Burr, Aaron, ii. 22

Bursley, John, i. 44

Burton, Thomas, ii. 262, 302

Bushnell, i. Apx. A. 56, 57, 60

Butler, captain, ii. 335

Butler's Hudibras, i. 35

Butterfield, killed, i. 198

Button, John, i. 248; ii. 216

C.

Calamy, rev'd Edmund, i. 94, 205

Callender, rev'd John, 41, 50, 272, 288, 293

Calvert, Leonard, i. 139; ii. 149

Calvert, Charles, 139

Cambridge, i. 95, 180, 265

Cambridge and Oxford, sons of in New England, i. 145, 265

Cammock, Thomas, i. 90

Campbell's History of Virginia, ii. 159

Canonicus, i. 58, 72, 86, 190—192, 195, 196, 198; ii. 165, his death, 308

Carder, Richard, i. 248; ii. 147

Carpenter, William, ii. 85

Carter, rev'd Thomas, ii. 91, 253

Carver, Govr. John, i. 92

Castle Island, i. 257; ii. 155

Chadbourne, Humphrey, i. Apx. H.

Chaddock, John, ii. 149, 150, 153

Chalmers' Political Annals, ii. 159, 260

Chamber, rev'd Mr. i. Apx. A. 48

Champlain's Voyage, i. 13

Charity, the ship, i. 34, 185

Charles, II. letter of, i. 126

Charles, the ship, i. 2, 29, 46; ii. 22, 44

Charles river, boundary between Plymouth and Massachusetts, i. 284

Charlestown, i. 94

Charlevoix's History, i. 13

Chauncey, rev'd Charles, i. 330, ii. 72  
 Chauncey, rev'd Dr. Charles, i. 330  
 Cheesebrough, William i. 55, 76  
 Cheever, rev'd Thomas, i. 329  
 Chelsea, i. 328  
 Chickatabot, i. 48, 53, 56, 61, 72, 87,  
     dies 115, the younger; ii. 153  
 Chickering, rev'd Joseph, i. 84  
 Chickwallop, ii. Apx. P  
 Child, Ephraim, ii. Apx. I. 2  
 Child, Dr. Robert, i. 28; ii. 161, 261,  
     278, 284, 291—294, 301, 305, 321  
 Child, John, ii. 261, 321  
 Chimnies forbidden to be built of  
     wood, i. 48  
 Church the old, in Boston, sold, i. 318  
 Church, cost of the new, ii. 24  
 Churches, early, in Massachusetts,  
     founding of, i. 94, number of in New-  
     England, ii. 331, regulation of by  
     authority, 343  
 Clap, capt. Roger, i. 28, 46, 96, 287  
 Clark, John, constable of Watertown,  
     i. 74  
 Clark, Thomas, speaker, ii. 53, 262  
 Clarke, John, i. 248, 271, 273; ii. 238  
 Clerk, William, of Ipswich, i. 101, 386  
 Clerk, William, of Salem, ii. 301  
 Cleves, George, i. 231; ii. 256  
 Climate, amelioration of, i. 118  
 Clotworthy, Sir John, i. 172  
 Cobbet, rev'd Thomas, i. 272  
 Cobbet, Mr. i. 52  
 Coddington, Gov. Wm. i. 50, 75, 102,  
     118, 132, 220, 224, 265, 294—296,  
     329; ii. 215  
 Coggan, John, i. 125; ii. 216, 347  
 Coggeshall, or Coxhall, Gov. John, i.  
     129, 130, 245, 248, 296, 329, 392,  
     404; ii. 216, dies, 316  
 Coins found in the earth at Dorchester,  
     i. 59  
 Coke, Sir Edward, i. 42.  
 Colburn, William, i. 37, 48, 76, 318,  
     Apx. A. 28 in note; ii. 213, 216  
 Colchester, now Salisbury, i. 306  
 Cold, extreme, long continued, ii. 60,  
     254  
 Cole, Samuel, i. 124, 248; ii. 216, 348  
 Cole, Mr. in England, i. Apx. A. 19,  
     27, 47  
 Coles, Robert, i. 54, 76, 101; ii. 85  
 Coles, another, i. 125  
 Coles, John, ii. 267  
 College established, ii. 88, contribution  
     for, 214, 216  
 Collicot, Richard, ii. 336  
 Collier, William, i. 189; ii. 99, 172  
 Collins's Peerage, i. 34, 196  
 Collins, Mr. ii. 8, 38—40  
 Commins, i. 248  
 Commission for foreign plantations, ii.  
     159  
 Compton, i. 248  
 Conant, Roger, i. 43, 76, 130  
 Conant's Island, i. 59  
 Concord, i. 95, 167, 217, difficulty in  
     church of, ii. 73  
 Confederation, proposed, i. 237, 284,  
     299; ii. 85, 101—106  
 Connecticut, i. 105, 118  
 Constable, Sir William, i. 294  
 Contribution for Governor Winthrop,  
     ii. 3; for the college, 214, 216  
 Converse, Edward, ii. 349  
 Cooke, George, i. 68, speaker; ii. 53,  
     137, 142  
 Cooke, Joseph, ii. 137  
 Cooke, Richard, i. 248  
 Cook, John, killed, ii. 97  
 Copeland, rev'd Patrick, ii. 335  
 Corbet, Miles, ii. 241  
 Corbin, Robert, ii. 348  
 Corlis, Jacobus Van, i. 113  
 Corn, Indian, did not ripen in wet sea-  
     son, ii. 44, scarcity of, 94  
 Cornhill, or Corning, Thomas, ii. 136  
 Cornish, —, murdered, ii. 210  
 Cotton, rev'd John, of Boston, i. 108,  
     114, 121, 125, 132, 135, 141, 152,  
     180, 184, 201, 202, 206, 209—213,  
     221, 224, 236—239, 253, 256, 257,  
     280, 295, 316—318, 322; ii. 204, 216,  
 Cotton, Seaborn, i. 110  
 Cotton, Josiah, i. 91  
 Cotton, rev'd John, jr. i. 110  
 Council chosen for life, i. 184, 302.  
     Book against it; ii. 64, 89  
 Council, special, proposed, ii. 167—169  
 Cowley, William, ii. Apx. A. 66  
 Cowper, i. 120  
 Coyntmore, Thomas, ii. 75, 94, his death,  
     239, his widow's marriage contract  
     with Gov'r Winthrop, Apx. L.  
 Cradock, Govr. Matthew, i. 2, 4, 30,  
     56, 59, 60, 103, 124, 135, 137, 298,  
     Apx. A. 28, 45; ii. 161  
 Crawford, Mr. i. 138  
 Crandall, John, i. 271; ii. 238  
 Cromwell, Oliver, i. 117, 126, 168, 172,  
     252; ii. 241  
 Cromwell, Richard, i. 150  
 Cromwell, capt. adventures of, ii. 263,  
     274

Cross in the ensign, i. 146, 154, 156, 158; ii. 344

Cullick, John, i. 228

Curacao, religious negro in, ii. 327

Cushing, Daniel, i. 268; ii. 234

Cushing, Caleb, i. 192

Cushman, Robert, i. 36, 97

Cutshamequin, i. 19, 195, 199; ii. 8, 120, 153, 156, 303, Apx. P

Cutting, capt. i. 292; ii. 348

D.

Dalley, Henry, i. 229

Dalley, Elizabeth, i. 229

Dalley, Patience, i. 229

Dalton, rev'd Timothy, ii. 28, 38, 45, 177

Dalton, Samuel, ii. 28

Dane, Nathan, ii. 7

Dand, John, ii. 262, 292—295, 301

Danforth, rev'd Samuel, i. 142, ii. 313

Danforth, Thomas, i. 304

Davenport, rev'd John, i. 227—229, 236, 241, 252, 257, 259, 260, 294, 306, Apx. G.; ii. 328

Davenport, Richard, i. 146, 192, 238, 248

Davis, John, lost at sea, ii. 329

Davis, Judge, Editor of Morton's Memo. i. 25, 92, 94, 139, 206, 322

Davis, Samuel, i. 44, 78, 92, 308

Davis, Jenkin, ii. 45

Davis, William, ii. 213, son-in-law of W. Pynchon ? 386

Davy, John, i. 248

Daye, Stephen, i. 289; ii. 161

D'Aulney, Govr. i. 171, 206; ii. 110, 216, special negotiation with, 259, 273, takes a Boston ship, 306, 309; See Tour de la

Deane, Sir John, i. 170

Deane, Charles, ii. 58

Dedham, i. 95, 275; ii. 30

Defence, the ship, i. 169

Delaware, attempted settlement at, ii. 62, 76, 178

Dell, George, ii. 312

Denison, Edward, i. 248

Denison, William, i. 248; ii. 307

Denison, Daniel, speaker, ii. 53, 260

Denison, George, ii. 307

Dependence on England, ii. 279, 282 —291, 295

Deputies, see representatives

Desire, the ship, i. 193, 254, 330

Deweese, Sir Simond, ii. 342

Dexter, Thomas, i. 53, 54

Dexter, rev'd Samuel, ii. 86

Dick, Anthony, i. 287

Dickerson, i. 321

Digby, Sir Kenelm, i. 66

Diligent, the ship, i. 268

Dinely, William, i. 248, 287

Discipline of the church, i. 121

Disease, foul, unaccountably spread in Boston, ii. 257

Diving bell, used in Boston harbor, ii. 73, at St. Kitts, 95

Dobson, capt. his ship taken by D'Aulney, ii. 306, 309

Dorchester, i. 28, 94, 286

Dorety, drowned, i. 150, Apx. A. 55

Dormer, Thomas, i. 59

Dotteris, John, ii. 348

Douglas, William, i. 2

Dove, the pinnace, i. 139

Dover, i. 276

Downing, Emanuel, i. 49, 100, 115, 150, 274, Apx. A. 12, 17, 44, 46, 53, his house burned, ii. 211, 242, 342

Downing, Mary, i. 49, Apx. A. 59

Downing, Sir George, i. 49; ii. 240, 243

Downing, James, i. Apx. 37

Downing, Calibute, ii. 240

Downing, Sir George, founder of Downing College, ii. 242

Drake, S. G. i. Apx. H

Drinking healths disused, i. 37, forbidden, 324

Drought, alarming, i. 304

Drunkenness, punishment of, ii. 11, rarity of, 41

Dudley, Govr. Thomas, i. 34, 50, 72, 82—86, 88, 93, 107, 118, 132, 177, 179, 184, 188, 208, 264; ii. 3, 63, 116, Apx. F.

Dudley, Samuel, i. 51, 55; ii. Apx. A. 71

Dudley, Ann, Patience, Mercy, and Sarah, i. 51

Dudley, Govr. Joseph, i. 51, 66, 97, 230

Dudley Thomas, i. 51

Dudley, Paul, i. 51.

Dudley, William, i. 51.

Dummer, Richard, i. 77, 116, 158, 220, 248, 268; ii. 4

Dummer, Jeremy, i. 77.

Dummer, William, i. 77

Dunham, captain, ii. Apx. A. 66

Dunstable, i. 258

Dunster, rev'd Henry, i. 68

Durand, banished from Virginia, ii. 334

Dutch claim of Connecticut, i. 105, war with Indians, ii. 97

Dutch complaint against Connecticut, ii. 129, against New Haven, 268, 315

Dyer, William, i. 248, 261

Dyer, Mary, i. 261—263

E.

Eagle, the ship, i. 1

Eamea, Anthony, ii. 221—236

Earthquake of, 1638, i. 265, of 1642, ii. 93

Easton, governour Nicholas, i. 248, 280, 296; ii. 40

Easton, governour John, i. 281

Eaton, governour Theophilus, i. 228, 237, 260, 294, Apx. G; ii. 315, 324, Apx. A. 68

Eaton, reverend Samuel, i. 228, 252, 259

Eaton, reverend Nathaniel, i. 308—313; ii. 22

Eddy, John, i. 101

Eddy, Samuel, i. 296; ii. 58

Edgworth, Maria, i. 275

Edy, Mr. i. 379

Elbridge, Giles, i. 61

Elder, ruling, office of, i. 31, may not be a magistrate, 81

Eleanor, the ship, ii. 63

Election, day of, ii. 31, sermons at, 218, 258, manner of, 311

Election sermon, contest about, ii. 218

Eliot, rev'd John, apostle, i. 64, 69, 93, 151; ii. 297, 303—305, Apx. P

Eliot, Jacob, i. 248, misfortune of his daughter, ii. 202, selectman of Boston, 218, 216

Eliot, Philip, ii. 203

Eliot, Francis, ii. 203

Eliot, Mr. of Ipswich, i. 151

Eliot, rev'd Dr. John, i. 25, 28, 30, 31, 51, 66, 94, 143, 165, 175, 183, 205, 228, 249, 329

Elizabeth Bonadventure, the ship, i. 104

Elizabeth Dorcas, the ship, i. 136

Elkins, Henry, i. 248

Elston, John, i. 59

Elton, Romeo, i. 42, 193

Elyng, H. Clerk of House of Commons, ii. 98

Elwell, Robert, ii. 178

Emerson, reverend Wm. i. 30, 108, 117, 175, 216; ii. 19

Endeavor, the ship, ii. 236

Endecott, govr. John, i. 1, 26, 28, 30, 35, 52, 53, 56, 63, 122, 158, 166, 192, 197, 217, 219, 303, Apx. H; first chosen Gov'r, ii. 166

England, church of, articles, i. 204, 278, government of, how far authorized to control us; ii. 282—301

Ephesus, council of, i. 244

Epidemic in Massachusetts, ii. 310, in Barbados and St. Kitts, 312

Essex party, ii. 167

Everell, James, i. 290, selectman of Boston, ii. 213

Ewre, i. 234

Excommunication, benefit of, ii. 129, and see Underhill

Exeter, i. 290

Extortion, punished, i. 314

Eyre, Thomas, i. 7, 88, Apx. H

F.

Faber, Joseph, i. 326

Fairbanks, Richard, i. 248

Fairfax, Sir Thomas, ii. 243

Fairfield, town of, i. 28

Fairfield, Daniel, ii. 45

Farmer, John, i. 84; ii. 43, 88, 96

Farrar, Professor John, i. 120

Feake, Robert, i. 69, 101, 129

Felt, Rev. Joseph B. i. Apx. A. 28 in note; ii. 166

Fenwick, George, i. 306, Apx. A. 59, 60; ii. Apx. 72

Fenwick, Mary, daughter of George, ii. Apx. 66

Ferne, capt. i. 187, 230

Ferry between Boston and Charlestown, ii. 349

Field, Darby, discovers and first visits the White Mountains, ii. 67

Fiennes, Charles, i. 14

Fines, remission or diminution of, ii. 52

Firmin, i. 88

Firmin, Giles, i. 114

Firmin, Giles, Jr. 114

Firnes, capt. ii. 238

Fiske, rev'd John, ii. 88

Fish, Gabriel, i. 327

Fish for manure, i. 84

Fisher, John, ii. 161

Fisher's island, ii. Apx. A. 67

Fishery, success of, ii. 42

Fleming, i. 133 Apx. A. 60

Flint, rev'd Henry, i. 169, 247, 313

Flint, Thomas, i. 300; ii. 47  
 Fones, Thos. i. 29, 64, Apx. A. 2, 9, 22  
 Fones, Mrs. i. Apx. A. 23, 34  
 Forrister, Andrew, ii. 6  
 Forrest, James, ii. 4  
 Forth, William, ii. Apx. I.  
 Forth, John, i. 64, Apx. A. 53 in note; ii. Apx. I  
 Fortune, the ship, i. 97  
 Foster, John, printer, i. 51  
 Foster, i. 248  
 Foulsham, John, ii. 234  
 Fowle, Thomas, ii. 196, 248, 261, 265, 284, 291, 321, Apx. A. 66  
 Franklin, William, tried for murder, ii. 184, hanged, 185  
 Freeborn, William, i. 248  
 Freeman, Samuel, i. 41  
 Freeman, Henry, i. 41  
 Freeman, Edmund, i. 41  
 Freeman, John, i. 41  
 Freeman, rev'd James, i. 41, 169  
 Freemen, number of, ii. 74, must be church members, 171, full list of, Apx. K.  
 Friend, John, i. Apx. A. 59  
 Friendship, the ship, i. 57  
 Fuller, Samuel, i. 14, 30, 82, 92

G.

Gabriel, the ship, i. 165  
 Gage, John, i. 101  
 Gager, William, i. 33, Apx. A. 49  
 Gager, John, i. 34; ii. Apx. I. 2  
 Gager, rev'd William, i. 34  
 Gallard, John, i. 76  
 Gallop, John, i. 97, 108, 154, 189, Apx. A. 60  
 Garde, Roger, mayor of York, ii. 210  
 Gardiner, Sir Chr. i. 35, 54, 57, 100, 102, 106; ii. 12, 189  
 Gardner, Lyon, i. 174, 198, 212, Apx. A. 57, 59  
 Garret, capt. i. 329  
 Garrett, Richard, i. 39  
 Garsford, i. Apx. A. 59  
 Geere, Davis, ii. 341  
 Gennison, William, i. 53; See Jennison  
 George, of Bristol, the ship, i. 213  
 George Bonadventure, the ship, i. 48, Apx. II  
 Gibbens, Ambrose, i. 7, Apx. H  
 Gibbins, Edward, i. 76, 192, 226, 328; ii. 15, 60, 107, 127, 149, 247, 273, 305, 347  
 Gibbon's History, i. 134, 244

Gibbs, William, ii. 217  
 Gibson, rev'd Richard, ii. 66  
 Gibson, Elizabeth, i. 30  
 Gifford, Abigail, i. 153  
 Gift, the ship, i. 30, Apx. A. 47  
 Gifts made to Massachusetts, ii. 341  
 Gilbert, Thomas, ii. 346  
 Gilbert, John, ii. 346  
 Gillow, i. 274  
 Girling, capt. i. 168  
 Gloucester, i. 96  
 Glover, John, i. 46, 212  
 Glover, Elizabeth, i. 8  
 Glover, Jose, i. 289  
 Godfrey, Edward, i. 90,  
 Goffe, Thomas, i. 8, 37, 75, Apx. A. 40, 43, 45, 46  
 Goffe, William, the regicide, i. 252  
 Gold, Edmond, ii. 234  
 Gold, Mrs. ii. Apx. A. 68  
 Goodwin, William, i. 129, 142, 229  
 Goodyear, Stephen, ii. 276  
 Gookin, Daniel, speaker, ii. 53, 165, 305, Apx. A. 68  
 Gorges, Sir Ferdinando, i. 7, 39, 57, 90, 100, 137, 196, 281; ii. 9, 12, 321  
 Gorges, Robert, i. 26, 43  
 Gorges, John, i. 34  
 Gorges, Ferdinando, ii. 256  
 Gorges, Thomas, i. 61; ii. 9  
 Gorton, Samuel, i. 91, 296; ii. 57, 84, 120, 137, taken prisoner, 142, tried, 144, punished, 147, liberated, 156, right denied, 166, asserted in England, 280, 295—299, 317, 322  
 Gostlin, Thomas, i. Apx. A. 8, 5, 15, 26, 47, 50  
 Gostlin, Philip, ii. Apx. I  
 Gostlin, Saml., i. Apx. A. 8; ii. Apx. I. 1.  
 Gostlin, Amy, i. Apx. A. 50  
 Gostlin, Ann, i. Apx. A. 50  
 Gould, Benjamin A. ii. 215  
 Governor, penalty for refusing the office in Plymouth, i. 98  
 Grace, controversy about, i. 213  
 Grafton, Joseph, i. 332; ii. 217  
 Grafton, Joseph, jr. ii. 217  
 Grant, capt. i. 78, 115  
 Graves, capt. Thomas of Charlestown, i. 8, 77, 104, 106, 161; ii. 154  
 Graves, Mr. of Lyman, a benefactor, ii. 342  
 Graves, Thomas, of Dorchester, ii. 342  
 Gray, Thomas, i. 85  
 Fr. C. Gray, i. 322; ii. 55  
 Great Hope, the ship, i. 164, 168  
 Greene, John, i. 256, 283; ii. 272

Greene, Nathaniel, i. 256  
 Greene, rev'd Henry, ii. 152, 253  
 Greene, Gardiner, ii. 262  
 Greensmith, Stephen, i. 214, 234; ii. 348  
 Greenwood, rev'd F. W. P. i. 42  
 Greyhound, the ship, ii. 127  
 Gridley, Richard, i. 248; ii. 216  
 Griffin, the ship, i. 108, 115  
 Grigson, Thomas, ii. 99, 172, 266  
 Gross, Isaac, i. 248, ii. 216  
 Grove, Edward, ii. 346  
 Gunnison, Hugh, i. 248  
 Gurdon, Brampton, i. Apx. A. 21, 28, 48; ii. 342

H.

Hackett, William, ii. 48, executed, 49  
 Hale, Nathan, i. 23, 153  
 Hales, ii. 9  
 Hales, William, ii. 51  
 Hales, Sarah, ii. 51  
 Hall, Hugh, i. 68  
 Hall, i. 123  
 Hammond, Joseph, i. Apx. H  
 Hampton, i. 306, factions at, ii. 177  
 Hancock, rev'd John, i. 313  
 Handmaid, the ship, i. 37, Apx. A. 49  
 Harcourt, Sir Simon, i. 230  
 Harding, Robert, i. 248, 296; ii. 41, 216, Apx. A. 68  
 Hardy, Thomas, i. 101  
 Harlackenden, Roger, i. 277, 278  
 Harlackenden, Richard, i. 277  
 Harris, rev'd Dr. T. M. i. 79, 94, 313  
 Harrison, i. 126  
 Hartford, i. 285  
 Harvard, rev'd John, ii. 88, 842  
 Harvey, gov'r Sir John, i. 184; ii. 159  
 Harwood, Henry, i. 40  
 Harvson, rev'd Mr. ii. 334  
 Haslerig, Sir Arthur, Apx. A. 59  
 Hatherly, Timothy, i. 78  
 Hawthorne, William, i. 308, 330, first speaker; ii. 52, 169, 172, 381—383  
 Haven, Nathaniel A. jr. i. Apx. H  
 Haverhill, i. 96, settlement of; ii. 101, church, 194, 252  
 Hawkins, Richard, i. 263  
 Hawkins, Jane, i. 261, 263; ii. 9  
 Hawkins, Thomas, ii. 127, 135, 238, 274, Apx. A. 65, 72, and O  
 Haynes, gov'r John, i. 109, 118, 132, 158, 178, 218, 265, 285, 299; ii. 8, 278, Apx. A. 66  
 Haytor, Thomas, i. 229

Hazard's Historical Collections, i. 23, 34, 69, 80, 117, 153, Apx. H  
 Heard, Mrs. i. 163  
 Heard, i. Apx. H  
 Heath, William, i. 129  
 Heath, Isaac, i. 142; ii. 211, 307  
 Hector, the ship, i. 187, 189, 227  
 Hercules, the ship, i. 134  
 Herne, i. 281  
 Hersey, William, ii. 234  
 Hett, or Huet, i. 236; ii. 65, 129  
 Hewes, John, i. 92  
 Hewes, Joshua, ii. 213  
 Hewson, Thomas, i. 29, Apx. A. 46  
 Hibbins, Wm. i. 320, 321, 328, agency in Eng.; ii. 25, 31, 76, 99, 223  
 Hibbins, Ann, i. 315, 321  
 Hiccock, William, his gift, ii. 345  
 Higginson, rev'd Frs. i. 2, 31, 52, Apx. A. 47, and H  
 Higginson, rev'd John, I. 192  
 Hill, Valentine, i. 226; ii. 346  
 Hill, Joseph, speaker, ii. 58, Apx. L  
 Hilton, Edward, i. 44, 97, 276, 281, 291, Apx. A. 55, and H  
 Hilton, William, i. 97  
 Hilton, Winthrop, i. 97  
 Hingham, i. 95, 163, 275, great controversy in, ii. 221—236  
 Hobart, rev'd Peter, i. 161, 163, 276; ii. 138, 222—236, 255, 278, 305, 316  
 Hobart, Joshua, speaker, ii. 56, 224—236  
 Hobart, Edmond sen'r, ii. 175  
 Hobart, rev'd Joshua, ii. 222  
 Hobart, rev'd Jeremiah, ii. 222  
 Hobart, rev'd Gershom, ii. 222  
 Hobart, rev'd Nehemiah, ii. 222  
 Hobart, rev'd Nehemiah, another, ii. 223  
 Hobart, rev'd Noah, ii. 223  
 Hobart, Joshua, ii. 222  
 Hobart, Sloss, ii. 223  
 Hobart, Edmond, jr. ii. 224  
 Hobart, Thomas, ii. 224  
 Hobbamock, i. 254  
 Hobby, John, ii. 348  
 Hocking, i. 131, 136, 139, 146  
 Hodges, capt. i. 101, 162, Apx. A. 56, 57, 60  
 Hoddy, John, murdered, i. 241  
 Hogs, limited, i. 108  
 Holden, Randall, i. 256; ii. 147, 272, 230  
 Holgrave, i. Apx. A. 58  
 Holland, John, ii. 177

Holland, the Earl of, i. 280  
 Holle, Hezekiah, ii. 346  
 Holliman, Ezekiel, i. 293  
 Hollingworth, Richard, fined for death  
   of Baker, ii. 24  
 Holmes, Obadiah, i. 271; ii. 59, 238  
 Holmes, William, i. 92, 113  
 Holmes's Annals, i. 39, 94, 268, 297  
 Holy Ghost, controversy about, dwell-  
   ing in believers, i. 200—204, 206,  
   211, 221, 239  
 Hooke, rev'd William, i. 252  
 Hooke, William, ii. 125  
 Hooker, rev'd Thomas, i. 88, 108, 109,  
   115, 118, 140, 160, 187, 238, 304,  
   Apx. A. 55, 57, 59, and D; ii. 248,  
   his death, 310, 349  
 Hopewell, the ship, i. 2, 29  
 Hopkins, gov'r Stephen, i. 42; ii. 133,  
   147  
 Hopkins, Ann, i. 230; ii. 216  
 Hopkins, gov'r Edward, i. 228; misfor-  
   tune of his wife, ii. 216, 329  
 Hopkins, Richard, i. 88  
 Hopkins, Henry, i. 229  
 Hough, Atherton, i. 109, 154, 158, 220  
 Hough, rev'd Samuel, i. 310  
 Houghton, Richard, his benefactions,  
   ii. 342, 345  
 Housatonic, ii. 268, 276  
 Howe, Edward, of Watertown, ii. 51  
 Howe, Edward, of Lynn, i. 295  
 Howe, Daniel, i. Apx. D.; ii. 5. 344  
 Howes, Edward, i. 56, 103, Apx. A. 55  
 Howl, Thomas, i. 101  
 Hoyt, Epaphras, ii. 139  
 Hubbard's History, i. 1, 14, 29, 35, 39,  
   41, 43, 46, 54, 58, 60, 76, 79, 80, 90,  
   94, 106, 111, 115, 117, 121, 125, 138,  
   141, 145, 166, 194, 198, 228, 246,  
   276, 278, 291, 293, made half his  
   Hist. out of Winthrop, 297, 321; ii.  
   11, 19  
 Hudson, Francis, i. 45  
 Hudson, Ralph, ii. 216  
 Hudson, William, ii. 216  
 Hudson, John, ii. 46  
 Hudson, William, jr., ii. 245, 249  
 Huet, or Hett, i. 236; ii. 65, 129  
 Hull, i. 96; ii. 175  
 Hull, George, i. 129  
 Hull, rev'd Benjamin, i. 163; ii. 100, 210  
 Hull, Robert, i. 248  
 Hull, Joseph, ii. 275  
 Hume, History of England, i. 172; ii.  
   110  
 Humfrey, John, i. 34, 75, 103, 134,

186, 332, Apx. B.; ii. 18, 26, 45, 85,  
   191  
 Hunt, perfidious, i. 174  
 Hunter, Rev. Joseph, i. 91  
 Hurlston, capt. i. 2, 160  
 Hutchinson's History, i. 5, 25, 36, 117,  
   141, 153, 172, 200, 216, 219, 244,  
   296, 306, 321; ii. 171  
 Hutchinson, Edward, sen'r, i. 45, 248;  
   ii. 216  
 Hutchinson, Edward, jr., i. 249  
 Hutchinson, Francis, i. 297; ii. 38—40  
 Hutchinson, William, i. 248, 295, 296,  
   329; ii. 215  
 Hutchinson, Ann, i. 143, 200, 201,  
   232, 246—248, 257—259, 261—  
   263, 268, 271, 275, 280, 288—296,  
   329, 329; ii. 9, death, 136  
 Hutchinson, Elisha, i. 249  
 Hutchinson, Thomas, i. 249  
 Hutchinson, Richard, i. 248

## I.

Jacie, rev'd Henry, i. 78, 378; ii. 174  
 Jackson, Thomas, hanged for murder  
   of an Indian, i. 269  
 Jackson's, John, house burned, i. 200;  
   ii. 19  
 Jackson, captain of a privateer, i. 307;  
   ii. 263  
 James, rev'd Thomas, i. 94, 127, 182;  
   ii. 95  
 James, Thomas, i. 268  
 James, the ship, i. 78, 115, 161  
 James of Bristol, the ship, i. 164  
 Janemoh, i. 243, 267; ii. 8  
 Janson, Sir Brian, i. Apx. A. 40; ii. 172  
 Jefferson, Thomas, i. 255; ii. 159  
 Jeffery, William, i. 44, 138  
 Jenkins, i. 89  
 Jenks, gov'r Joseph, ii. 214  
 Jenks, Joseph, ii. 214  
 Jenks, rev'd Dr. William, ii. 213  
 Jenner, rev'd Thomas, i. 250; ii. 345  
 Jennison, Will'm, i. 53, 133, 192; ii. 8, 176  
 Jesuits, fear of, i. 99  
 Jewell, i. 244  
 Jewel, the ship, i. 2, Apx. A. 43  
 Ignis fatuus, i. 290  
 Impost on wines, etc. ii. 259  
 Increase, the ship, ii. 95, 127  
 Indian, deeds, form of, i. Apx. H; in-  
   struction in christianity, ii. 297, 303  
   —305  
 Johnson, Isaac, i. 4, 34, Apx. A. 45,  
   49, and B

Johnson, Edward of Woburn, i. 1, 6, 30, 46, 60, 78, 84, 94, 100, 115, 148, 153, 192, 200, 201, 224, 247, 265, 276—278, 286, 298; ii. 53

Johnson, John, i. 76, 129, 248, 284; his house burned, ii. 211, 234, 307

Johnson, Robert, i. 84

Johnson, William, i. 84

Johnson, James, i. 248, 318

Johnson, Edward, of York, charged with adultery, ii. 210

Johnson, of Hingham, ii. 305

Jones, rev'd John, 169, 189, 217

Jones, William, i. 228

Jones, Mr. ii. 346

Jones, Margaret, hanged for a witch, ii. 326

Jortin, rev'd John, i. 127, 262

Josselyn, John, i. 27, 90, 164, 267

Josselyn, Henry, i. 90, 137; ii. 256

Josselyn, Sir Thomas, i. Apx. H.

Jovian, the emperor, i. 262

Joy, Thomas, put in irons, ii. 294

Ipswich, i. 95, 99, 101, 137

Iron mines, ii. 213

Judgments of heaven, i. 106, 262

Judicial power claimed by the deputies, ii. 209

Julian the emperor, i. 262

Jury, grand, first called, i. 166

Iyans, Matthew, i. 248

K.

Keayne, Robert, i. 248, 254, 318—317, 321, 328, 331; ii. 4, speaker, 53, 69, 215, 234, 345

Keayne, Benjamin, i. 314; ii. 4

Kedby, i. Apx. A. 49

Keisser, i. 321

Keith, Sir William, History of Virginia, ii. 159, 165

Keith, Rev. James, ii. Apx. Q.

Kendall, rev. Dr. Samuel, i. 94

Kerman, or Carman, captain, ii. 124, drowned, 289

Keyser, Thomas, i. 321; ii. 248, 379

Kieft, William, i. 112, 299; ii. 6, his loss by shipwreck, 316

King or Parliament, doubts about siding with, ii. 176, 212

King, Sarah, i. 314

King, William, i. 248

Kingsbury, Henry, i. Apx. A. 41, 45

Kingsley, Professor J. L. i. 228

Kirby, Francis, i. 103, 307, Apx. A. 55

Kirk, Sir David, i. 13, 307; ii. 247

Kirk, Thomas, i. 13

Kirk, Lewis, i. 13

Kirkland, rev. John T. i. 31

Knickerbocker, Diedrick, 112, 299

Knight, Richard, ii. 348

Knight, Mrs. ii. 348

Knollys, rev. Hanserd, i. 291, 306, 326; ii. 27

Knower, Thomas, i. 72

Knowles, rev. John, ii. 18, 78, 96

Knox, John, i. 107

L.

Lake, Thomas, ii. 262

Lake, Mrs. ii. Apx. A. 66

Lamberton, captain George, ii. 130, 140, 266, 329

Lamson, Rev. Alvan, ii. 86

Land, made liable for debts, ii. 7

Larkham, rev. Thomas, ii. 27, 66, 92

Larmore, Henry, ii. 348

Latham, Mary, hanged, ii. 158

Lathrop, rev. John, i. 143, 144, Apx. H

Lathrop, rev. Dr. Joseph, i. 143

Lathrop, rev. Dr. John, i. 143

Lathrop, Mr. of New London, ii. Apx. A. 69

Laud, Archbishop, i. 65

Laws, body of, i. 322

Lawyers not encouraged, ii. 86

Leader, overseer of ironworks, ii. Apx. A. 70

Lechford, Thomas, i. 45, 287, 289; ii. 6, 36

Lectures, abundant, i. 324, 325

Leigh, rev. William, i. Apx. A. 12, 14, 19, 41, 43, 47

Lenthall, rev. Robert i. 287—289

Leonard's iron works, ii. 214

Letherbee, Mr. ii. 348

Letherland, William, i. 45, 248

Leveredge, rev. William, i. 115, 331

Leverett, Thomas, i. 114, 121; ii. 215

Leverett, governour John, i. 114, 168; ii. 53, 245, 306

Leverett, Hudson, ii. 245

Leverett, John, President of H. C. ii. 245

Levett, captain, i. 26, 100

Levett, Christopher, Esq. i. 26

Levett, Thomas, i. 26, Apx. H

Levingston, rev. Mr. i. 135

Lewis, Alonzo, ii. 214

Ley, Lord, i. 329, 332, 334, 335

Liberty, enlargement of, desired, ii. 261  
 Liberties, Body of, established, ii. 55  
 Lights, or meteors, ii. 153  
 Lincoln, the Countess of, i. 1, 34  
 Lincoln, the Earl of, i. 34, 76, 134  
 Lioll, Francis, ii. 245  
 Lockwood, Edmond, i. 76, Apx. A. 45  
 Lollor, John, i. 229  
 Long, Mr. of Charlestown, ii. 344  
 Long Island, controversy about settlement of, ii. 4, settled by people of Lynn and Ipswich, 34  
 Loring, Thomas, ii. 255  
 Louis, an officer of D'Aulney, arrives at Boston, ii. 273  
 Lovett, i. 26  
 Lovell, William, i. 174, Apx. A. 57  
 Lowe, captain, John, i. 2  
 Luddam's ford, i. 92  
 Ludlow, Roger, i. 28, 59, 74, 111, 132, 137, 158, 233, 285, Apx. B. and C  
 Luther, captain, murdered by the Indians, ii. 204, 236  
 Luxford, Governor Winthrop's steward, ii. Apx. I. 2  
 Luxon, captain, i. 163  
 Lyford, rev. Mr. i. 43  
 Lynde, Thomas, i. 248; ii. 234  
 Lynn, Henry, i. 61  
 Lynn, town of, i. 94, 287; iron works, ii. 213  
 Lyon, the ship, i. 25, 29, 41, 43, 46, 63, 90, 91  
 Lyon's Whelp, the ship, i. Apx. H

M.

Machias, i. 117; ii. 125  
 Mackerel fishing, i. 308  
 Magistrates, general statement of their power, ii. 204, 209  
 Makepeace, Thomas, i. 289  
 Malbon, Richard, ii. 95, Apx. A. 66  
 Malden, i. 57, 96, 274  
 Manchester, i. 96; ii. 220  
 Mansell, Sir Robert, i. 23  
 Mansfield, i. 150  
 Manufactures of cotton, hemp, flax, ii. 119, of iron, 212—214  
 Marblehead, ii. 48  
 Marie, D'Aulney's agent, ii. 196, his treaty, 197, 273  
 Marlboro, Earl of i. 229; ii. 219  
 Marriage, intent of, to be published, ii. 43  
 Marriages, sermons at, ii. 313

Marsh, Herbert, i. Apx. H  
 Marshall's Life of Washington, ii. 159  
 Marshall, Thomas, i. 248; ii. 213, 216  
 Marshall, i. 150  
 Marten, Ambrose, i. 289  
 Martin, Mary, tried and hanged, ii. 302  
 Martin, Sir William, i. 173  
 Marvell, Andrew, an error of, corrected, ii. 240  
 Mary and John, the ship, i. 29  
 Mary and Jane, the ship, i. 102, 162  
 Mary Rose, the ship, blown up, ii. 1172  
 Masconomo, i. 27  
 Mascononoco, ii. 156  
 Mason, John, of N. H. i. 7, 100, 102, 137, 161, 187, Apx. H; ii. 12  
 Mason, John, of Conn. i. 104, 223, 233, 267; ii. 311  
 Mason, John, jr. i. 223  
 Mason, Jeremiah, i. 223 Apx. H  
 Massasoitet, i. 72  
 Masters, John, i. 69, 76, 81  
 Mather, rev. Richard, i. 164, 183; ii. 22, 92  
 Mather, rev. Dr. Increase, i. 65, 165, 174, 183  
 Mather, rev. Dr. Cotton, i. 14, 30, 34, 41, 46, 50, 79, 82, 94, 106, 108, 142, 163, 168, 183, 201, 204, 205, 216, 226, 247, 308 Apx. H; ii. 22, 24  
 Matson, Thomas, i. 248  
 Mattakeesee, i. 260  
 Mattapan, i. 28, 286  
 Mathews, rev. Marmaduke, i. 273; ii. 175  
 Maude, rev. Daniel, i. 314; ii. 92, 215  
 Maverick, Samuel, i. 27, 119, 120, 191, 232, Apx. A. 57; ii. 51, 262, 284, 291, 301  
 Maverick, rev. John, i. 28, 31, 72, 82, 181, Apx. C  
 Maverick, Samuel, i. 201  
 Mayflower, the ship, i. 1, 2, 25, 29, 97  
 Mayhew, Thomas, i. 109, Apx. A. 57; ii. 152, 347  
 Mayhew, rev. Thomas, jr. ii. 152  
 Mayhew, rev. Dr. Jonathan, ii. 152  
 M'Gregor, rev. James, i. Apx. H  
 Medford, ii. 161  
 Meeting house, new, at Boston, ii. 24  
 Mellhouse, Edward, ii. 347  
 Mellows, Oliver, i. 248  
 Mercurius Britannicus, i. 205  
 Merry Mount, i. 34  
 Metcalf, Michael, i. 227

Mowtis, Thomas, i. 274  
 Miantunnomoh, i. 86, 190, 196, 198, 217, 222, 223, 237, 243, Apx. D; ii. 8, 15, 80—83, 120, taken and put to death, 131—134  
 Mice eat a prayer book, ii. 20  
 Milborne, captain Peter, i. 2, Apx. A. 45, 46  
 Mildmay, Sir Henry, i. 76, Apx. A. 21  
 Military sedition in Hingham, ii. 221—236  
 Miller rev. John, i. 272; ii. 78  
 Miller, Thomas, i. 187, 188  
 Millet, Thomas, ii. 348  
 Milton, John, i. 280  
 Mitchell, rev. Jonathan, i. 142, 164, his Register, 180  
 Mitchell, Nahum, ii. 53  
 Mitton, ii. 302  
 Mistick river, i. 2, 57  
 Mistick fight, i. 225  
 Mohawks, i. 89; ii. 8, Apx. P  
 Molten's point, i. 154  
 Monaheganicks, ii. Apx. P  
 Money, found in the harbor, lawsuit about, ii. 74  
 Monk, George, i. 126  
 Mononottoh, i. 233, 325  
 Monotocot river, ii. 213  
 Moody, Lady Deborah, ii. 123, 136, 278, Apx. A. 66  
 Moodye, John, i. 106  
 More, Sir Thomas, i. 275  
 Morell, rev. William, i. 43  
 Morris, Richard, i. 127, 248; ii. 344, 345  
 Morton, Nathaniel, i. 25, 34—36, 54, 80, 104, 105, 108, 131, 166, 265, 297, Apx. H  
 Morton, Thomas, i. 30, 34—36, 56, 57, 93, 102, 138, Apx. H; ii. 12, 151, tried, 189, letter to Jeffery, 190, punished, 192  
 Motham, Mr. i. 133  
 Moulson, the Lady's benefaction, ii. 212  
 Moulton, Robert, i. 129, 248  
 Mousall, Ralph, i. 247  
 Moxon, rev. George, i. 12  
 Munnings, George, i. 195  
 Munt, Thomas, i. 154.  
 Murder, detection of by touching the dead body, ii. 303  
 Musketaquid, i. 167

N.

Namasket, i. 55

Narragansetts, i. 89, 196, Apx. D; ii. 198, 262, 333, Apx. N. and P  
 Nashacowam, ii. 156  
 Nashaway, settled, ii. 152, 161, Apx. P  
 Nassau, Count, i. 247  
 Naucotak, ii. Apx. P  
 Nausett, i. 174  
 Naxicauaset, ii. Apx. P  
 Neal, Walter, i. 38, 56, 63, 91, 96, 106, Apx. H  
 Neal, rev. Daniel, i. 204, 277, 291  
 Negative voice, or vote, of magistrates, as a branch of government, question about, ii. 118, 169  
 Negroes, stolen from Africa, ii. 243, 379  
 Neipnet, i. 69, 89  
 Newberry, Thomas, i. Apx. C  
 Newbury, i. 95  
 Newell, rev. Wm. Cambridge church, i. 180  
 Newgate, John, i. 328; ii. 216, 345  
 New Hampshire, jurisdiction of, ceded to Massachusetts, ii. 38, 42  
 New Haven, ship cut out of the ice at, ii. 254, lost, 266, 275, apparition of, 328  
 New London settled, ii. 265  
 Newman, rev. Samuel, i. 251  
 Newman, captain, i. 274, 280; ii. 13  
 New Somersethire, i. 231  
 Newton, rev. Roger, i. 228  
 Newton, Mary i. 228  
 Nianticks, ii. 82, 333  
 Nichols, Matthias, i. 115; ii. 16  
 Ninigret, ii. 311  
 Nippunsit, ii. Apx. P  
 Nix's Island, i. 154  
 Noddle, William, i. 39, 80  
 Noddle's Island, i. 27, 39, 154  
 Nomination of assistants, i. 300  
 Norcott, Daniel, ii. 347  
 Norcross, rev. Nathaniel, ii. 161  
 Norris, rev. Edward, i. 329; ii. 65, 219  
 North, Dudley, ii. 217  
 North river, i. 92  
 Norton, John, i. 123  
 Norton, rev. John, i. 51, 175; ii. 218, 270, 283  
 Nowell, Increase, i. 31, 48, 50, 58, 67, 127, 156, 360; ii. 298, Apx. L  
 Noyes, rev. James, i. 281; ii. 162, 253  
 Noyes, rev. Nicholas, ii. 162  
 Nuchawanack, i. Apx. H

Ω.

O.

Odlin, John, i. 45, 248  
 Ogilby, John, i. 101  
 Okey, John, the regicide, ii. 241  
 Oldenburgh, Henry, i. 66  
 Oldham, John, i. 76, 80, 87, 111, 129, 147, murdered by the Indians, 189— 192, Apx. A. 57, 60, and II; ii. 345  
 Oliver, Thomas, i. 96, 98, 248, 251, 328; ii. 215  
 Oliver, Peter, i. 96  
 Oliver, John, i. 328; ii. 257  
 Oliver, John, i. 246, 248  
 Oliver, Nathaniel, i. 96  
 Oliver, Daniel, i. 96  
 Oliver, Andrew, i. 96  
 Oliver, Peter, i. 96  
 Oliver, Mrs. i. 281, 282  
 Oliver's Hist. of Beverley, Eng. cited, i. 294  
 Olney, Thomas, i. 42  
 Onoortsevror, i. 148  
 One in each town to buy for all, i. 161  
 Ong, Frances, i. 42  
 Ong, Simon, i. 42  
 Onge, Mr. ii. Apx. A. 66  
 Onion, Robert, ii. 95  
 Onkus, or Okoco, or Okace, see Unkus  
 Ordination by bishops, i. 217  
 Osgood, rev'd Dr. David, ii. 162  
 Ovid, i. 198; his sons come to N. E., i. Apx. H.  
 Owen, Thomas, ii. 51  
 Owsamequin, i. 72, 139, 264  
 Oxford and Cambridge, sons of, in N. E. i. 145, 265

P.

Packanocott, i. 72  
 Packers, Captain, i. Apx. A. 55  
 Pacoutick, ii. Apx. P.  
 Page, John, i. 47, 54  
 Painter, rev. Henry, i. 64, Apx. A. 34, 53  
 Painter, Thomas, whipt, ii. 174  
 Palfrey, Peter, i. 76  
 Palmer, Walter, i. 76, 129; ii. 345  
 Palmer, Edward, punished, ii. 71  
 Palmer, John, i. 331  
 Palmer, Capt. i. 186, 188; ii. 344  
 Pamshad, ii. Apx. P.  
 Parker, rev. Thomas, i. 193, 281; ii. 253  
 Parker rev. James, i. 193; ii. 93, 253, 342  
 Parker, Robert, i. 58

Parker, Mr. a benefactor, ii. 342  
 Parker, Mr., i. 248  
 Parker, William, ii. 234, 307  
 Parkman, Elias, ii. 347  
 Parliament's order in favor of New England, ii. 98  
 Partridge, rev. Ralph, i. 205  
 Partridge, George, i. 206  
 Partridge, Alexander, ii. 251  
 Passaconamy, i. 89, 412, his deed, Apx. H.; ii. 79, submission, 166, 214  
 Passaquo, i. Apx. H  
 Passengers, counted, short, i. 170  
 Passage over the ocean, short, i. 187  
 Pastor, office of, i. 31; ii. 18  
 Patrick, capt. Daniel, i. 69, 74; killed, ii. 151  
 Paul, Richard, ii. 346  
 Paulmin, Sebastian, ii. 345  
 Payton, Bezaleel, ii. 386  
 Peach, Arthur, hanged, i. 269  
 Pease, Henry, ii. 355  
 Peck, rev. Robert, i. 268, 275, 276  
 Peck, Joseph, i. 276; ii. 216  
 Peirce, Captain William, i. 25, 41, 46, 47, 49, 63, 67, 90, 91, 101, 147, 198, 225, 234, 274, 289, Apx. A. 45, 51, 54, 60; his death, ii. 33  
 Peirce, Mr. William, i. 109; ii. 216  
 Pelham, William, i. 8, 29  
 Pelham, Herbert, i. 8, 47; ii. 19, 37, 150, 220  
 Pelham, Penelope, marries Gov. Bel-lingham, ii. 43  
 Pell, William, i. 248  
 Pemaquid, i. 61, 96, 206  
 Pemberton, John, ii. 216  
 Penalties for offences, controversy about, ii. 56, 206  
 Penn, James, i. 318, ii. 218, 216, 348  
 Penn, admiral, Sir William, i. 25; ii. 242  
 Penn, Gov'r William, i. 41  
 Penniman, James, i. 248; ii. 216  
 Pentucket, i. Apx. H.  
 Pepys's, Samuel, Memoirs, ii. 241  
 Pequods, i. 72, 147, 192, 194, 198, 218, 222, 223, 225, 234, 237, Apx. A. 55, and D  
 Pequusset, ii. 268  
 Peregrine, the ship, ii. 239  
 Perkins, i. 42  
 Perkins, John, i. 42  
 Perkins, William, i. 101  
 Perry, Marmaduke, i. 318  
 Pescus, ii. 141, 154, 165, 333  
 Peter, rev. Hugh, i. 42, 65, 169, 176, 185, 209, 279, Apx. A. 57, and C.

ii. 24, 338, misfortune of his wife, Apx. A. 65

Peter, rev. Thomas, ii. 266, a letter from, Apx. N

Petfree, Capt. i. 227

Pettuall, i. Apx. A. 12

Phelps, William, i. 76, 129

Philip, King of the Narragansetts, i. 72; ii. 245

Philip and Mary, the ship, ii. 127

Phillips, rev. George, i. 14, 30, 36, 58, 67, Apx. A. 43, and B; ii. 18, 78, 171

Phillips, Mrs. i. Apx. A. 49

Phillips, rev. Samuel, ii. 171

Phillips, rev. George, ii. 171

Phillips, rev. Samuel, ii. 171

Phillips, Samuel, ii. 171

Phillips, William, ii. 171

Phillips, rev. Henry, ii. 86

Phillips, rev. John, ii. 86

Pickering, John, i. Apx. H

Pied cow, the ship, i. Apx. A. 60; ii. 347

Pierpont, rev. James, ii. 275, 328

Pierson, rev. Abraham, ii. 6

Pierson, Mrs. i. Apx. H

Pigeons, vast flocks of, ii. 94, 332

Pitt, of Hingham, ii. 305

Plain, of Guilford, hanged, ii. 265

Plastow, Josias, i. 52, 61, 62

Plimouth, i. 1

Plimouth and Massachusetts bounds, i. 284; ii. 18

Plough, the ship, i. 58, 60

Plough, patent, ii. 154

Plowden, Sir Edmund, ii. 325

Plumer, gov. William, i. Apx. H

Pocock, John, ii. 212

Pond, John, i. Apx. A. 45

Poole, Elizabeth, i. 252

Poole, William, i. 252

Poole, Timothy, i. 252

Population, increase of, ii. 42

Formort, Philemon, ii. 215

Porson, Richard, i. Apx. H

Port Royal, i. 98

Porter, James, i. 229

Porter, John, i. 248, 296

Porter, rev'd Aaron, ii. 161

Possession with the devil, i. 134

Potter, Robert, ii. 147

Potter, Vincent, ii. 846

Povey, i. 61

Powell, Michael, ii. 323

Power, Nicholas, ii. 148

Pratt, John, of Newtown, i. 173; ii. 152, drowned in shipwreck, 239

Pratt, John, of Hingham, ii. 255

Prence, gov'r Thomas, i. 168, Apx. A. 55

Prescott, of Sudbury, ii. 305

Prices of commodities, i. 116

Prichard, Hugh, ii. 308, 338

Prince, rev. Thos. Annals, i. 6, 20, 29—32, 36, 43—46, 53—55, 59, 60, 63, 81, 91, 93, 94, 96—98, 101—106, 109, 190, 223, 252, 272, 318, Apx. H

Printing, introduction of, i. 289

Prophecy, exercise of, i. 50

Providence, in Bahamas, church at, ii. 33

Provinces, imaginary, New England divided into, i. 161

Proxies in elections allowed, i. 185

Prudden, rev'd Peter, i. 259

Prudence, isle, ii. Apx. I. 2

Pumham, ii. 120, 149, 169, 316

Purchas's Pilgrims, i. 35, 59, 97

Pynchon, William, i. 63, 127, 156, 235, Apx. A. 45, 57, and H; ii. 325, 346, Apx. P

Pynchon, John, i. 12

Q.

Quabaug, ii. Apx. P

Quacunquasit, ii. Apx. P.

Quarantine, ii. 312

Quascacunquen, i. 160

Quebeck, i. 13

Quincy, i. 28, 38, 43, 87, 95

Quincy, Edmund, i. 45, 129

Quinepiack, i. 237, 259, 294, 306, Apx. D. and G.

R.

Rainbow, the ship, ii. Apx. A. 66

Rainsborough, Col. ii. 245, Apx. A. 65, 68, and L

Rainsford, Edward, i. 248; ii. 19, 216

Randolph, Edward, i. 61

Ratcliff, Philip, i. 56, 85, 100, 102; ii. 191

Rates, i. 112, 166, 193, 222, 223, 257, 269, 303; ii. 4, 69, 246

Rawson, Edward, clerk of the deputies, ii. Apx. M.

Razier, i. 113

Reading, i. 96; ii. 220, 253

Rebecca, the ship, i. 116, 147, 153, 162, 175, 182, Apx. A. 57, 59

Redman, tried for murder, ii. 237

Reed, Edward, i. 65

Regard, the ship, i. 149  
 Rehoboth, question of jurisdiction, ii. 212  
 Representatives, house of, i. 128, 300; elected for towns where they did not reside, ii. 53, sit separate from Assistants, 160, number of, 214  
 Reskesconeage, ii. Apx. P  
 Resurrection of the body or flesh, i. 255  
 Retaliation, i. 223  
 Revell, John, i. 20, Apx. A. 45, 46, and H  
 Rexham, now Marshfield, ii. 64  
 Rhode Island, i. 259, 296, charter, ii. 193  
 Rice, Robert, i. 248  
 Richards, John, speaker, ii. 54  
 Richardson, capt. ii. 194  
 Richard, the ship, i. 115  
 Richman's Isle, i. 62  
 Rigby, Alexander, i. 231; ii. 158, 256, 320  
 Rigby, Edward, i. 231  
 Robbins, rev'd C. Hist. of second ch. ii. 323  
 Roberts, of New Hampshire, i. 327  
 Roberts, agent of Gorges, ii. 256  
 Robertson, John, ii. Apx. A. 66  
 Robinson, i. Apx. D  
 Roe, Sir Thos, i. 4  
 Rogers, rev'd Richard, i. 278, 294  
 Rogers, rev'd John, of Dedham in old England, i. 46, 205, Apx. A. 12  
 Rogers, John of Cambridge, i. 205  
 Rogers, Nathaniel, i. 205  
 Rogers, Ezekiel, i. 278, 294, 324; ii. 17, 99, 116, 308  
 Rome, church of, i. 58, 67  
 Roper, Lord, i. 277  
 Rose, capt. John, i. 162  
 Roselly, Mons. i. 166  
 Rossiter, Edward, i. 28, 37, Apx. A. 49, and H  
 Rowe, Owen, regicide, i. Apx. B  
 Rowley, i. 95, 279, 324; ii. 17  
 Roxbury, i. 94  
 Royal, i. 304  
 Ruck, Mr. ii. Apx. A. 68  
 Ruggles, Jeffery, i. 47, Apx. A. 49  
 Rumford, Count, i. 313  
 Rumney, Marsh, i. 328  
 Russell, Richard, i. 142; speaker, ii. 53  
 Russell, William, ii. 215  
 Rutherford, rev. Mr. i. 216  
 Rymer's *Fœdera*, ii. 159

S.

Sable, Isle of, i. 162  
 Sacononoco, ii. 120, 123, 316  
 Salary to the governour, i. 105  
 Salem, i. 1, 2, 94  
 Salisbury, i. 95, 289, 306  
 Salter, William, i. 248; ii. 216  
 Saltonstall, Sir Richard, i. 4, 36, 49, 103, 161, 171, Apx. A. 45, 55; ii. 190  
 Saltonstall, Richard, i. 67, 145, 220; ii. 64, difficulty about his book, 89, 116, differs from other magistrates, 186, 209, 245, 292, prosecution of slave dealers, Apx. M. and O  
 Saltonstall, Robert, ii. 348  
 Sanctification, an evidence of justification, i. 209, 215, 221, 239  
 Sandwich, i. 253  
 Sanford, John, i. 248, Apx. A. 37; ii. 216  
 Sargeant, William, i. 101  
 Sassaacus, i. 194, 232, 233, 235  
 Savage, Thomas, i. 248; ii. 53, 216  
 Say, Lord, i. 115, 131, 135, 145, 161, 170, 174, 333, Apx. A. 59  
 Saybrook fort burned, ii. 311  
 Sayle, gov'r William, ii. 334  
 Scarcity of food, i. 46; ii. 324 . . . of fuel, i. 251 . . . of money, ii. 85  
 Schooler, William, hanged, i. 241, 321  
 Schools, establishment of, ii. 215  
 Scituate, i. 78, 92  
 Scott, Richard, i. 151, 293  
 Scottow, Joshua, i. 32  
 Scrugge, Thomas, i. 248  
 Scurvy, prevalence of the, i. 44  
 Seabridge, the ship, ii. 98, 127  
 Seale, Edward, ii. 349  
 Seales, John, i. 124  
 Sears, David, i. 66  
 Sedan, a splendid one, taken from the Spaniards, given to the French, ii. 274  
 Sedgwick, Robert, i. 168, 314; ii. 213, 247, 265  
 Sellanova, capt. i. 168, Apx. A. 60  
 Sequasson, ii. 128, 332  
 Sequin, i. 260  
 Serjeant major general, first introduced, ii. 35  
 Sergeants attending on governour, i. 220, 224, 225  
 Serle, Richard, ii. 347  
 Servants, wages of, very high, ii. 219  
 Sewall, Henry, i. 136, 150, Apx. A. 55  
 Sewell, Nathaniel, murdered, ii. 184

Shaomet, see Gorton, Holden, or Greene  
 Sharp, Samuel, i. 48, 167, Apx. H  
 Sharp, Thomas, i. 48, 50, Apx. H  
 Shaw, Charles, i. 45, 152  
 Shaw's house burned, i. 200  
 Sheepy, Thomas, ii. 347  
 Shelly, Ann, i. 130  
 Shepherd, rev'd Thomas, i. 169, 179, 221, 237, 309; ii. 214, 309  
 Sherman, Ursula, i. 66, beautiful letter, Apx. A. 53  
 Sherman, rev'd John, i. 142  
 Sherman, Philip, i. 248  
 Sherman, Samuel, i. 248  
 Sherwood, rev'd Mr. driven from Providence in the Bahamas, ii. 33  
 Ship building undertaken, ii. 24, 65, 173, 278  
 Ship taken in Boston harbor, ii. 180, 192, 194  
 Sholy, Mary, murdered, i. 242  
 Shorthose, Robert, ii. 348  
 Shurd, Abraham, i. 61, 79; ii. 177  
 Shuter, i. 52  
 Silvester, Richard, i. 289, casualty in his family, ii. 77  
 Simonds, rev'd Mr. i. Apx. A. 12  
 Simpkin, Nicholas, ii. 345  
 Skelton, rev'd Samuel, i. 26, 31, 99, 117, 137, Apx. H  
 Skidmore, James, i. Apx. A. 59  
 Skidmore, Thomas, i. Apx. A. 59  
 Sloane, Sir Hans, i. 66  
 Smallpox, i. 119, 128  
 Smith, capt. John, i. 12, 97  
 Smith, rev'd Ralph, i. 91, 136, 175; ii. 253  
 Smith, William, i. 112, 113  
 Smith, Henry, i. Apx. A. 60  
 Smith, John, of Providence, ii. 262, 284, 291—294, 301  
 Smith, James, member of Boston church, steals negroes, ii. Apx. M  
 Smith, Mr. a benefactor, ii. 342  
 Smith, Mr. i. Apx. A. 49  
 Smith, capt. ii. Apx. A. 68  
 Smith, Jeremiah, i. Apx. H  
 Smyth, John, i. 288, 289  
 Snow, Caleb H. historian of Boston, i. 5; ii. 215  
 Solling, i. Apx. A. 59  
 Southcot, Thomas, i. 57  
 Southcot, William, i. 57  
 Sow, great lawsuit about one, ii. 69—72, 115—118  
 Sowams, i. 72, 75  
 Swoquasse, ii. Apx. P  
 Speakers of the Deputies, origin of, and list of, ii. 52—55  
 Speech, celebrated, of gov'r Winthrop, ii. 228  
 Speedwell, the ship, ii. 348  
 Spencer, William, i. 76, 129, John, 248  
 Sprague, of Charlestown, i. 53  
 Spring, Sir William, i. Apx. A. 21, 45; ii. 342  
 Springfield, i. 12, 96, 285  
 Squance, Philip, ii. 347  
 Squib, capt. i. 28  
 Squidrayset, i. 62  
 St. Patrick, the ship, i. 186  
 Stagg, capt. ii. 180, 186  
 Stamford, i. 306  
 Standish, Miles, i. 88, 75, 93, 104, 168  
 Stanton, Thomas, i. Apx. D  
 Staples, Judge, i. 193  
 Steele, John, i. 285  
 Stephenson, Sarah, i. 44  
 Stirling, Earl of, i. 281, his claim to Long Island, ii. 4  
 Stephens, Henry, ii. 13  
 Stiles, rev'd Dr. Ezra, i. 167, 169, 194; ii. 331  
 Still, family of, i. 65  
 Stitson, William, ii. Apx. L  
 Stocks, confinement in, ii. 187  
 Stoddard, rev'd Solomon, i. 109, ii. 39  
 Stoddard, Anthony, ii. 39, 213  
 Stone, capt. John, i. 104, 111, murdered by the Indians, 122, 148, 193, 218, 237, Apx. A. 55  
 Stone, rev'd Samuel, i. 108, 109, 115, 142, 235  
 Story, Augustine, i. 26, Apx. H  
 Story, Charles, i. Apx. H  
 Story, George, ii. 65, 116  
 Stoughton, Israel, i. 129, 155, 160, 220, 223, 231, 233—235, 303, Apx. B. and D; ii. 220, 245, 349  
 Stoughton, Thomas, i. 155  
 Stoughton, William, i. 156  
 Stringer, John, ii. 348  
 Stuyvesant, gov'r Peter, i. 113; ii. 123, 314  
 Stuyvesant, Peter, i. 66  
 Success, the ship, i. 2, 29, 46  
 Sudbury, i. 95, 167, 306  
 Sullivan, Jamee, Hist. of Maine, i. 90, 231, Apx. H; ii. 9, 10  
 Sunday, i. 110, travelling on, 304  
 Swedes, negotiation with them, ii. 140, 157, 178, 187, 204, their fort at Delaware burned, 254

Sykes, rev'd A. A. i. 255  
 Symmes, rev'd Zechariah, i. 143, 153, 182, Apx. H; ii. 321  
 Symonds, Samuel, i. 800; ii. 88, 99, Apx. A. 70  
 Synods, of 1637, i. 237—of 1643; ii. 136—of 1647, 264, 269, 308, 330

T.

Talbot, the ship, i. 2, 29, Apx. A. 43, 45, and H  
 Talby, Dorothy, hanged, i. 279  
 Talcott, John, i. 129  
 Talmage, William, ii. 216  
 Tantiquieson, ii. Apx. N  
 Tarentines, the Eastern Indians, i. 59  
 Taunton, i. 252  
 Taxation, right of, i. 70  
 Taxation, proportions of, see Rates  
 Taylor, i. Apx. A. 49  
 Teacher, office of, i. 31  
 Tecticut, i. 251  
 Tempest of 1635, i. 166  
 Tempest of 1815, i. 166  
 Tempest of 1646, ii. 278, Apx. A. 66  
 Ten Hoven, Cornelius Van, ii. 6  
 Tennent, rev'd Gilbert, i. 238  
 Thacher, rev'd Peter, i. 165  
 Thacher, Anthony, i. 165  
 Thacher, rev'd Thomas, i. 165, 251  
 Thacher rev'd Dr. Peter, i. 165  
 Thacher, rev'd Samuel C. i. 165  
 Theodosius, i. 244  
 Thomas, Evan, i. 25  
 Thomas, Isaiah, History of Printing in America, i. 289; ii. 161  
 Thomson, David, i. 44, 106  
 Thomson, Margaret, i. 229  
 Thomson, Henry, i. 229  
 Thomson, Robert, i. 229, 230  
 Thomson, Maurice, i. 307, Apx. B  
 Thorndike, Mr. i. 101  
 Throgmorton, John, i. 42, ii. 136  
 Thunder, the ship, i. 133  
 Thurloe, Edmund, i. 78, 126; ii. 241  
 Tilden, Nathaniel, ii. 18  
 Tiler, Job, ii. 348  
 Tillett, capt. ii. 347  
 Tilley, John, i. 200, Apx. A. 57  
 Tindal, Sir John, i. Apx. A. 14; ii. 310  
 Tindal, Mr. Apx. A. 14, 55  
 Tomlyns, Edward, i. 130; ii. 5, 121  
 Tomlyns, Timothy, ii. 5  
 Tomson, rev'd William, i. 313, 324; ii. 78, 96, 330  
 Tomson, Joseph, i. 313; ii. 96

Tomson, Benjamin, i. 313  
 Tomson, Benjamin, i. 313  
 Tomson, Robert, i. 318  
 Tomson, Archibald, ii. 43  
 Tonnage duty, laid by Massachusetts, ii. 236  
 Topsfield, ii. 254  
 Tour, Lord de la, i. 117, 154, 172, 192, 332, embassies from, ii. 42, 88, 107, 124, expeditions against D'Aulney, 135, 143, 178, his fort taken, 237, distress, 247, ingratitude, 266, Apx. O  
 Tour, Lord de la, his wife, ii. 192, 196, 198  
 Tower, John, ii. 234  
 Townsend, William, i. 248  
 Trade, diminution of foreign, ii. 85  
 Training, military, i. 298  
 Trask, William, i. 167  
 Travis, rev'd George, i. Apx. H  
 Treadwell, Thomas, ii. 346  
 Trerice, Nicholas, i. 320; ii. Apx. A. 72  
 Trial, the ship, i. 2, 29; another built at Boston, ii. 75, 94, 153, 236  
 Trial of Winthrop, ii. 221—236  
 Trials, civil or criminal, form of, ii. 37  
 Truesdale, Richard, ii. Apx. A. 66, 67  
 Trumbull, gov'r Jonathan, i. 230; ii. 25  
 Trumbull's History of Connecticut, i. 28, 31, 34, 66, 80, 142, 153, 174, 223, 228, 230, 259, 306; ii. 5  
 Trumbull, J. H. Coun. Col. Rec. ii. 268  
 Tucker, agent of Rigby, ii. 256  
 Tucker, Abraham, Light of Nature, i. 255  
 Tuckerman, rev'd Dr. Joseph, i. 329; ii. Apx. R  
 Turell, rev'd Ebenezer, ii. 162  
 Turner, Nath'l, i. 129, 192, 218; ii. 276  
 Turner, John, ii. 61  
 Tuttle, Richard, ii. 216  
 Tuttle, William, ii. 348  
 Twiller, Wouter Van, i. 104, 112  
 Tyng, Edward, i. 142; ii. 100  
 Tyng, William, i. 300; ii. 99, Apx. L

U.

Underhill, John, i. 55, 88, 89, 129, 176, 192, 217, 247, 269, 270, 277, 281, 291, 306, 326, Apx. A. 55; ii. 13, 41, 63, 97, 151, 157  
 United Colonies, of New England, confederation of, ii. 101—106

Unkus, i. 265, quarrel with Miantunomoh, ii. 80—82, with Sequasson, 128, captures Miantunomoh, 181, follows English advice, 183, cannibal, 184, 246, troubles New London, 276, 382, Apx. A. 68, N. and P. Usher, Hezekiah, i. 311; ii. 262 Usury, law of, i. 73

## V.

Vane, Sir Henry, i. 170, 177, 184, 187, 206—211, 219, 220, 224, 232, 235; ii. 215, 248, 317 Vassall, William, i. Apx. H; ii. 260, 278, 321 Vassall, Samuel, ii. 261 Vaughan, George, i. Apx. H Veazie, rev'd Samuel, ii. 176 Vegetation, general succession of, ii. 332 Veils for women, i. 125 Verin, Joshua, and Philip, i. 283 Vines, Richard, i. Apx. H; ii. 10, visits White mountains, 89, quarrel with La Tour, 126, agent of Gorges, 154, 177 Vineyard, settled, ii. 152 Virginia, great cold in, 1642, ii. 60, missionaries to, 78, 95, massacre in, 164 Volcano at Palma, ii. Apx. A. 68 Voysye, killed, ii. 263

## W.

Wabon, Indian convert, ii. 303, 305 Wachusett, i. 69 Wadsworth family, of Hartford, i. 142 Wahginnacut, i. 52 Wakeman, Samuel, killed, ii. 33 Waldegrave, Mr. i. Apx. A. 11 Waldron, Richard, i. Apx. H. speaker; ii. 53, 278 Waldron, William, ii. 278 Walford, Thomas, i. 44, 53 Walford, Jane, i. 53 Walford, John, i. 53 Walker, John, i. 45, 248 Walker, Richard, ii. 349 Wall, capt. John, ii. 262, 273 Wall, Mr. i. Apx. A. 45 Waltham, rev. William, i. 169 Waltham, i. 69 Wampom, trade, i. 193 Wannerton, Thomas, ii. 177 Wanton, Gov'r Joseph, i. 66

Ward, rev. Nathaniel, i. 114, 154, 322, Apx. A. 55, preaches election sermon; ii. 35, remonstrates against breach of neutrality, 128, 167 Ward, rev. John, ii. 29, 252 Ware, rev'd Henry, ii. 323 Wardel, Thomas, i. 248 Wardel, William, i. 248; ii. 148 Warham, rev. John, i. 82, 144 Warham, Mrs. death, i. Apx. A. 55 Warner, Sir Thomas, ii. 94 Warner, John, ii. 147 Warren, John, i. Apx. A. 45 Warwick, the Earl of, i. 90, 137, 280; ii. 149, 159 Warwick, ii. 316 Warwick, the ship, i. 7, 39, 71; ii. 345 Wassamagoin, ii. 156 Watch, military, i. 74 Waterman, Richard, ii. 148 Waters, Mr. I. Apx. A. 47, 49 Watertown, i. 36, 94; ii. 152 Wawhela, ii. Apx. P Way, Henry, i. 43, 59, 79; ii. 177 Wayte, Gamaliel, i. 248 Wayte, Richard, i. 248, 315 Weathersfield, i. 285, church controversy, 305 Weaver, Mr. i. 52 Webb, Henry, ii. 213 Webster, Noah, i. 75, 119, 153 Webster, Daniel, i. Apx. H Welcome, the ship, bewitched, ii. 327 Welde, rev. Thomas, i. 77, 82, 144, 151, 238, 245, 258, 262, 263, Apx. E; ii. 25, 77, 136, 212 Welde, Joseph, i. 78, 247, arrested in England; ii. 248, 307 Welde, Thomas, i. 258 Welde, Habijah, i. 258 Welden, Robert, i. 45 Welles, gov'r Thomas, i. 55 Wenham, i. 96; ii. 177 Wentworth, Sir Thomas, Earl of Strafford, i. 186 Wentworth, William, i. Apx. H. Wequash Cook, i. 243, Apx. D; first Indian convert, dies, ii. 74 Wessaguscus, i. 34, 43, 163 West, Francis, i. 26 West, Nicholas, i. Apx. A. 28, in note Westminster Assembly Catechism, i. 204 Westminster, assembly of divines, invitation of three New England clergy to attend, ii. 76 Weston, i. 34, 43, 48

Weston, Francis, i. 130; ii. 147  
 Weymouth, i. 28, 43, 95, 163, 250, 287; trouble in the church of, ii. 277  
 Whale, the ship, i. 2, 29, 46, 77  
 Whalley, Edward, i. 252  
 Wheeler, Thomas, i. 248  
 Wheelwright, rev. John, i. 130, 201—204, 214—217, 219, 221, 222, 224, 236, 238, 239, 244—247, 259, 290, forgery of the great Indian deed to him, Apx. H; ii. 162, 164, 211  
 Whipping by the President of students at College, ii. 166  
 White Angel, the ship, i. 330  
 White, John, i. Apx. H  
 White, Philip, ii. 348  
 White, Emanuel, ii. 346  
 White, John, ii. 346  
 White, William, ii. 261  
 White mountains, first visited, ii. 67, 89  
 Whitefield, rev. George, i. 288  
 Whiting, rev. Samuel, i. 204, 313, Apx. H; ii. 238  
 Whiting, rev. Samuel, jr. i. 204  
 Whiting, William, ii. 268, Apx. A. 68  
 Whitman, rev. Zechariah, ii. 176  
 Whitman, Zechariah G. i. 254  
 Wicke, Sir Peter, i. Apx. A. 20  
 Wicks, John, ii. 147  
 Wiggin, Thomas, i. 55, 62, 100, 115, 146, 155, 276, 291, Apx. A. 55 and H  
 Wilbore, Samuel, i. 45, 248; ii. 216  
 Wilkinson, Bray, ii. 178  
 Willard, Simon, ii. 346  
 Willes, Mr. i. 129, Apx. A. 60  
 Willet, Thomas, i. 322  
 William, the ship, i. 100  
 William and Francis, the ship, i. 2, 29, 77  
 William and Jane, the ship, i. 102  
 William and John, the ship, ii. 342  
 Williams, rev'd Roger, i. 41, 52, 91, 110, 117, 122, 151, 162, 164, 166, banished, 170, 175, his influence, 185, 190, 191, 193, 196, 199, 223, 225, 226, 255, 256, 267, 268, 283, 293, 307; ii. 97, his charter, its true date, 193, 333  
 Williams, Francis, ii. 28  
 Williams, Thomas, his fury, ii. 68  
 Williams, John, hanged, i. 241  
 Willington, Mr. ii. 347  
 Willis, John, of Boston, i. 150, Apx. A. 55.  
 Willis, Jane, i. 150  
 Willoughby, Francis, i. 229; ii. 324  
 Wills, of Gov. Winthrop, ii. Apx. I  
 Willys, Nicholas, ii. 216, Apx. A. 68  
 Wilson, rev. John, i. 30, 31, goes home, 50, returns, 77, 81, chosen pastor, 96, 121, comes third time, 169, 172, 201, 209—214, 223, 236, 317, Apx. A. 52, 54; ii. 216  
 Wilson, Sarah, i. 228, Apx. A. 52  
 Wilson, rev. John, jr. i. 310  
 Wilson, William, i. 248  
 Windsor, i. 28, 285  
 Winicowett, i. 290, 306, Apx. H  
 Winslow, Gov. Edward, i. 25, 78, 98, 105, 136—138, 172, 181, 218, 251, 303; ii. 69, 283, 295—302, 317, 321  
 Winthrop, Gov. John, jr. i. 63, 64, chosen Assistant, 76, plants Ipswich, 100, 127, 170, 172, 189, 261; ii. 215, Apx. I. 1, 2  
 Winthrop, Henry, i. 7, 29, 66, Apx. I. 1, 2  
 Winthrop, Adam, i. 66, 68, Apx. A. 10, 14, 19, 21, 44, 45  
 Winthrop, Stephen, i. 65, 126, Apx. A. 57, 60, 64; arrested in England, ii. 248, Apx. A. 65, 68, 72, and I. 1, 2  
 Winthrop, Deane, i. 66, 126, Apx. A. 5; ii. 338, Apx. A. 66, 68, and I. 2  
 Winthrop, Forth, i. 63, 64, 66, Apx. A. 45, 53; ii. Apx. I. 1  
 Winthrop, Mary, i. 63, 64, 66; ii. Apx. I. 1  
 Winthrop, Samuel, i. 66, ii. 338, Apx. A. 68, 72, and I. 2  
 Winthrop, William, i. 88  
 Winthrop, Margaret, i. 63, 68; dies, ii. 310, Apx. I. 1, 2  
 Winthrop, Joshua, ii. 338  
 Winthrop, gov'r Fitz John, i. 64, 65  
 Winthrop, Wait, S. i. 64, 65, Apx. A. 66  
 Winthrop, Martha, i. 64, 125, Apx. A. 69  
 Winthrop, Ann, i. 64, 66  
 Winthrop, Elizabeth, i. 65, Apx. A. 66  
 Winthrop, John, i. 66  
 Winthrop, John S. i. 66  
 Winthrop, Basil, i. 66  
 Winthrop, John, i. 66  
 Winthrop, Francis B. i. 66  
 Winthrop, William, i. 66  
 Winthrop, Joseph, i. 66  
 Winthrop, Thomas L. i. 66  
 Winthrop, Benjamin, i. 66  
 Winthrop, Robert, i. 66  
 Winthrop, Francis B. i. 66  
 Winthrop, Adam, i. 68

Winthrop, Adam, i. 68  
 Winthrop, Adam, i. 68  
 Winthrop, John, i. 68  
 Winthrop, John, i. 68  
 Winthrop, Adam, i. 68  
 Winthrop, Adam, i. 68  
 Winthrop, James, i. 68  
 Winthrop, William, i. 68  
 Winthrop, John, i. 68  
 Wiswell, Mr. i. 328  
 Witch, first, executed in Connecticut, ii. 307, in Massachusetts, 326  
 Witchcraft, proved by the water trial, ii. 303  
 Wither, Mr. i. 182  
 Witheridge, Mr. i. 165  
 Woburn, town of, i. 84, 96; ii. 91  
 Wollaston, capt. i. 34, 43  
 Wollaston Mount, i. 38, 43, 192, 196, 202, 313  
 Women, prophesying by, discommended, 240  
 Wood, William, New England's Prospect, i. 48, 107  
 Wood, Silas, account of Long Island, ii. 5, 6, 15, 123  
 Wood, John, i. Apx. A. 58  
 Wood, Anthony, Athenæ Oxon. an error in, corrected, ii. 240  
 Woodbridge, rev'd John, ii. 253  
 Woodbridge, Benjamin, ii. 161  
 Woodhouse, capt. i. 143  
 Woodward, Nathaniel, i. 284  
 Wormewood, i. 52  
 Worronoco, ii. 138  
 Wright, Dr. i. 229  
 Wright, Richard, i. 76, 79; ii. 216  
 Wright, Mr. i. 52  
 Wyatt, gov'r Sir Francis, ii. 159  
 Wyatt, Edward, ii. 348

X.

Yale, David i. 229; ii. 217, 262  
 Yale, Thomas, i. 229; ii. 217  
 Yale, gov'r Elihu, ii. 217  
 Yarmouth, i. 260, 273, 306  
 Young, capt. i. 139  
 Young, Dr. Alexander, Chron. of Pilgr. i. 35, Chron. of Mass. i. 165  
 Young, Sir Charles, i. Apx. A. 53, in note.





THE BORROWER WILL BE CHARGED  
AN OVERDUE FEE IF THIS BOOK IS  
NOT RETURNED TO THE LIBRARY ON  
OR BEFORE THE LAST DATE STAMPED  
BELOW. NON-RECEIPT OF OVERDUE  
NOTICES DOES NOT EXEMPT THE  
BORROWER FROM OVERDUE FEES.

3 2044 015 517 436



WIDENER  
WIDENER  
APR 09 1995

BOOK DUE  
CANCELED

WIDENER  
BOOK DUE  
SEP - 9 1989

3123323

WIDENER  
WIDENER  
APR 09 1995  
MAR 13 1995

BOOK DUE  
CANCELED

STALL STUDY  
CHARGE

WIDENER  
WIDENER  
MAR 18 1998  
MAR 30 1998

BOOK DUE

CANCELED

WIDENER  
FEB 10 1995

BOOK DUE

CANCELED

